

Personality traits and decision-making styles among Swedish obstetricians and gynaecologists

SND-ID: 2022-57-1. **Version:** 1. **DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.5878/h91g-ra16>

Withdrawn

This version has been withdrawn and the data are no longer available from SND's research data catalogue. Please note that there may be a newer version available.

There is a later version of this dataset than the one you have requested.

[Go to the latest version: 2](#)

Version 2: 2023-10-03

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5878/xyad-9f70>

Citation

Raoust, G. (2022) Personality traits and decision-making styles among Swedish obstetricians and gynaecologists (Version 1) [Data set]. Lund University. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.5878/h91g-ra16>

Creator/Principal investigator(s)

[Gabriel Raoust](#) - Lund University, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University

Research principal

[Lund University](#) - Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University

Description

The purpose of the research was to: I) compare the personality traits of obstetricians and gynecologists with those of the general population and II) examine the relationship between obstetricians' and gynecologists' personality traits, cognitive ability, clinical experience, sex and three decision-making styles (Individual, Team and Flow) during obstetric emergencies

The data sheet is comprised of the answers (n = 472, corresponding to a 22% answering rate) to an online questionnaire that was sent out to obstetricians and gynaecologists, members of the Swedish Society for Obstetrics and Gynaecology. The questionnaire included a simplified version of the Five Factor Model questionnaire (IPIP-NEO-30), a spatial ability test (ICAR) and 15 general questions about decision-making during obstetric emergencies relating to three decision-making styles (Individual, Team and Flow).

The dataset is comprised of the answers (n = 472) to the questions in the attached questionnaire: items 1 to 24. The Likert scale was used for most questions, some others had a yes or no type of answer or multiple choice, and a few had a field for free text answering. The answers to question 3, regarding work place location, and the free text answers to question 11, regarding 'motivation of preference', have been removed to assure strict anonymity and avoid any risk of reverse

identification. The specific age of respondents 70 years old or older have also been coded to avoid any risk of reverse identification, those individuals being generally quite few in the workplace. For data access to data to specific age of individuals 70 years or older, contact the responsible researcher.

Data contains personal data

No

Language

[English](#)

[Swedish](#)

Unit of analysis

[Individual/Patient](#)

Population

Obstetricians and gynaecologists, members of the Swedish Society for Obstetrics and Gynaecology

Study design

Cohort study: Prospective

Description of study design

Correlational personality study

Sampling procedure

[Other](#)

Not applicable

Time period(s) investigated

2020-10-01 - 2020-11-30

Variables

87

Number of individuals/objects

472

Response rate/participation rate

22%

Data format / data structure

[Numeric](#)

[Text](#)

[Geospatial](#)

Data collection 1

- Mode of collection: Self-administered questionnaire
- Description of the mode of collection: Online survey

Geographic spread

Geographic location: [Sweden](#)

Highest geographic unit

Country

Responsible department/unit

Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, Lund University

Contributor(s)

Petri Kajonius - Lund University, Department of Psychology, Lund University

Funding

- Funding agency: Kamprad Family Foundation
- Funding agency's reference number: 20190142
- Funding information: Grant awarded after review of the project by a scientific committee. Funder was not involved in the research

Ethics Review

Lund - Ref. LU 2018/198

Research area

[Obstetrics, gynaecology and reproductive medicine](#) (Standard för svensk indelning av forskningsämnen 2011)

[Psychology \(excluding applied psychology\)](#) (Standard för svensk indelning av forskningsämnen 2011)

[Psychology](#) (CESSDA Topic Classification)

Keywords

[Decision making](#), [Psychology, social](#), [Personality assessment](#), [Psychological tests](#), [Obstetrics](#), [Delivery \(pregnancy\)](#), [Hospital births](#), [Physicians](#), [Social psychology](#), [Personality traits](#), [Emergencies](#), [Obstetrics](#), [Practice patterns, physicians'](#), [Physicians](#), [Patient safety](#), [Clinical decision-making](#)

Use of data

[Things to consider when using data shared through SND](#)

License

[CC BY 4.0](#)

Versions

[Version 2](#). 2023-10-03

Version 1. 2022-04-07

Contact for questions about the data

Gabriel Raoust

gabriel.raoust@med.lu.se

Related research data in SND's catalogue

[17 individual in-depth interviews of obstetricians and gynaecologists about their decision-making process during intrapartum emergencies](#)

Download metadata

[DataCite](#)

[DDI 2.5](#)

[DDI 3.3](#)

[DCAT-AP-SE 2.0](#)

[JSON-LD](#)

[PDF](#)

[Citation \(CLS\)](#)

Published: 2022-04-07

Last updated: 2023-10-03