

VALU 2004 - SVT exit poll survey European parliament election 2004

Näsman, Per

KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Safety Research

Hernborn, Hans

Sveriges Television

Holmberg, Sören

University of Gothenburg, Department of Political Science

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Swedish national data service	
Production Date	September 9, 2014	
Identification SND0811-001		

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage.	
Producers & Sponsors.	
Sampling.	
Data Collection.	
Accessibility	
File Description(s)	
VALU 2004 EUP	
Variables List.	
VALU 2004 EUP	8
Variables Description	
<u>VALU 2</u> 004 EUP.	

VALU 2004 - SVT exit poll survey European parliament election 2004(VALU04)

VALU 2004 - SVT:s vallokalsundersökning Europaparlamentsvalet 2004

Overview			
Туре	SVT exit poll surveys		
Identification SND0811-001			
Version Production Date: 2014-09-09 2.0			
Series	In Valu - Swedish exit poll surveys - voters leaving polling stations are asked by public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) to fill in a questionnaire and put it anonymously in a sealed box. After collecting and processing the responses, Sveriges Television is able to present an election forecast and an analysis of the reasons underlying the outcome of the election in it's Election Night broadcast. The surveys are referred to as VALU, an abbreviation for vallokalsundersökning, Swedish for exit poll survey. VALU has been carried out by the public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) in connection with all national elections since 1991; parliamentary elections, referendums, and elections to the European Parliament. The first exit poll survey in Sweden was carried out by SVT in collaboration with Stockholm University and University of Gothenburg. Since 1994, SVT has carried out the studies in collaboration with University of Gothenburg and the Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm (KTH). SVT's main aim with VALU is to obtain an analytical basis for SVT's election night broadcast and for SVT's and other professional analysts' post-election analyses. Another aim is to be able to forecast the result of the election at an early stage during election night. VALU is carried out in geographical regions centred around the largest university cities. A university lecturer is appointed in each of the regions as regional survey leader. Together, these survey leaders are responsible for the field workers who carry out the survey where voting takes place before election day and outside polling stations during election day. Survey leaders and field workers are recruited from departments of statistics at the respective university. Thus, all survey leaders and field workers are familiar with statistical methods.		

Abstract

This exit poll survey was carried out in connection with the election to the European parliament on June 13, 2004. In VALU - Swedish exit poll surveys - voters leaving polling stations are asked by public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) to fill in a questionnaire and put it anonymously in a sealed box. After collecting and processing the responses, Sveriges Television is able to present an election forecast and an analysis of the reasons underlying the outcome of the election in it's Election Night broadcast. The surveys are referred to as VALU, an abbreviation for vallokalsundersökning, Swedish for exit poll survey. The first exit poll survey in Sweden was carried out at the parliamentary election in 1991. Since then similar studies have been carried out in connection with all Parliamentary elections, referendums and elections to the European Parliament. Voters leaving the polling stations were asked to fill in a questionnaire including questions on: party voted for; vote on individual or party; time for decision how to vote; party voted for if a general election was held the same day; alternative voted for in the EMU-referendum 2003; opinion on a referendum on the new EU constitution; vote in a referendum on the constitution; opinion on the Swedish EU-membership; opinion on a European federal state; confidence in Swedish politicians; placement on a political left-right scale; gender, age, occupational group and union membership. The respondents also had to indicate the importance of the policy in EU-questions, achievements in Swedish politics, old party loyalties, and the candidates, when deciding how to vote. They also had to state the importance of following issues: environment, economy, employment, agricultural subsidies in the EU, peace in Europe, national independence, change of currency to euro, refugees/immigration, enlargement of the EU, terms of enterprise, equality between men and women, defence, democracy within the EU and social welfare. Furthermore they had to state how satisfied they were with the way democracy works in Sweden and within the European Union.

Kind of Data Voting data: Exit polls	
Unit of Analysis	Individual

Scope & Coverage		
Keywords	european union, european parliament, european parliament election, european integration, voting, elections, election data, electoral issues, exit polls, voting behaviour, electors	
Topics	international politics and organisations, mass political behaviour, attitudes/opinion, elections	
Time Period(s)	2004	
Countries	Sweden	
Universe Swedish electorate		

Producers & Sponsors		
Primary Investigator(s)	Näsman, Per, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Safety Research Hernborn, Hans, Sveriges Television Holmberg, Sören, University of Gothenburg, Department of Political Science	
Other Producer(s)	Sveriges Television	

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

In VALU a selection is made of a number of electoral districts and in this way their respective polling station. The number of electoral districts to be selected for VALU is allocated among the different regions according to the size of the electorate. Within each region, the electoral districts are then selected to be included in VALU. This selection is based on the size and geographical location of the electoral district.

Data Collection		
Data Collection Dates	start 2004-05-31 end 2004-06-13	
Time Period(s)	Time Period(s)	
Data Collection Mode	Fixed form self-administred questionnaire: paper	

Data Collection Notes

Andelen som vägrade fylla i enkäten vid poströstningsställena var cirka 20%. Siffran baserar sig helt på bedömningar av fältombuden då ingen kontroll av antalet vägrare eller kartläggning av deras bakgrundsvariabler eller motiv för vägran gjordes. Spontant uppgav huvuddelen av vägrarna tidsbriust som motivet för vägran. Andelen vägrare under valdagen bedöms av fältombuden som genomgående lägre än vid poströstningsställena.

Questionnaires

Structured

Accessibility	
Distributor(s) Swedish National Data Service	

File Description(s)

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

VALU 2004 EUP	
Cases 6092	
Variable(s)	48

Variables List

Dataset contains 48 variable(s)

	U 2004 EUP		
#	0.75	(NY) 1 0044	
1	SND_studie	SND study 0811	-
2	SND_dataset	SND dataset 0811-001	-
3	SND_version	SND version 2.0	-
4	<u>ort</u>	Central location	-
5	typ	Vote at polling station or postal vote	-
6	<u>f1</u>	Q.1 Party voted for in the 2004 European Parliamentary election	What party did you vote for today?
7	<u>f2</u>	Q.2 Marked a candidate on the ballot	Did you use the opportunity to vote for a particular candidat on your ballot?
8	<u>f3</u>	Q.3 Time for party choice	When did you decide how you were going to vote in the EU Parliament election?
9	<u>f4</u>	Q.4 Party voted for if parliamentary election today	What party would you vote for if it was a parliamentary election today?
10	<u>f5</u>	Q.5 Alternative voted for in the 2003 referendum on Swedish membership in EMU	How did you vote in the 2003 referendum on Sweden introducing euro as currency?
11	<u>f6</u>	Q.6 Opinion on a referendum on the new EU constitution	Do you think there should be a Swedish referendum on the new EU constitution?
12	<u>f7</u>	Q.7 Vote in a future referendum on the new EU constitution	If there is a referendum on the new EU constitution, how do you intend to vote?
13	<u>f8</u>	Q.8 Opinion on the Swedish EU membership	Do you think Sweden should leave the EU or remain a member of EU?
14	<u>f9</u>	Q.9 Opinion on EU developing into a federal state	Do you think it would be positive or negative if the EU developed into a federal state, a kind of United States of Europe?
15	<u>f10</u>	Q.10 Area/country of upbringing: Yourself	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Yourself
16	<u>f11</u>	Q.11 Area/country of upbringing: Your father	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Your father
17	<u>f12</u>	Q.12 Area/country of upbringing: Your mother	Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Your mother
18	<u>f13</u>	Q.13 Swedish citizen	Are you a Swedish citizen?
19	<u>f14</u>	Q.14 Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale	Sometimes people talk of political opinions in terms of left-right. Where would you place yourself?
20	<u>f15</u>	Q.15 Trust in Swedish politicians	Generally speaking, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians?
21	<u>f16</u>	Q.16 Gender	Are you a woman or a man?
22	<u>f17</u>	Q.17 Age	What year were you born in?
23	<u>f18</u>	Q.18 Union membership	Are you a member of a trade union?
24	<u>f19</u>	Q.19 Labour market situation	Which of these groups do you belong to?
25	<u>f20</u>	Q.20 Current or previous occupational group	Which of these occupational groups do you/did you belong to?
	1	The state of the s	1

AL	U 2004 EUP		
#			
26	<u>f21</u>	Q.21 Employment sector	Are/were you employed by the state, local government or a private employer?
27	<u>f22</u>	Q.22 Church/communion attendance	How often do you usually attend a service or a meeting in a church/communion?
28	<u>f23</u>	Q.23 Important reason for choice of party: The parties' policies on EU-related issues	How important are the following reasons for your choice of party today in the EU Parliament election? - The parties' policies on EU-related issues
29	<u>f24</u>	Q.24 Important reason for choice of party: The parties' policies in Swedish politics	How important are the following reasons for your choice of party today in the EU Parliament election? - The parties' policies in Swedish politics
30	<u>f25</u>	Q.25 Important reason for choice of party: Habit/loyalty to my party	How important are the following reasons for your choice of party today in the EU Parliament election? - Habit/loyalty to my party
31	<u>f26</u>	Q.26 Important reason for choice of party: The candidates on the ballot	How important are the following reasons for your choice of party today in the EU Parliament election? - The candidates on the ballot
32	<u>f27</u>	Q.27 Important issue for choice of party: The environment	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The environment
33	<u>f28</u>	Q.28 Important issue for choice of party: The economy	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The economy
34	<u>f29</u>	Q.29 Important issue for choice of party: Employment	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - Employment
35	<u>f30</u>	Q.30 Important issue for choice of party: The issue on agricultural subsidies in the EU	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The issue on agricultural subsidies in the EU
36	<u>f31</u>	Q.31 Important issue for choice of party: The peace in Europe	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The peace in Europe
37	<u>f32</u>	Q.32 Important issue for choice of party: The national independence	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The national independence
38	<u>f33</u>	Q.33 Important issue for choice of party: Introduction of euro as new Swedish currency	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The issue of introducing the euro as new Swedish currency
39	<u>f34</u>	Q.34 Important issue for choice of party: Refugees/immigration	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - Refugees/Immigration
40	<u>f35</u>	Q.35 Important issue for choice of party: Expansion of the EU	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - Expansion of the EU with new member states
41	<u>f36</u>	Q.36 Important issue for choice of party: Conditions for businesses	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - Conditions for businesses
42	f37	Q.37 Important issue for choice of party: Gender equality	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The equality of opportunity for women and men
43	<u>f38</u>	Q.38 Important issue for choice of party: Defence	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - Defence issues in the EU

VALU 2004 EUP				
#				
44	<u>f39</u>	Q.39 Important issue for choice of party: Democracy in the EU	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - Democracy in the EU	
45	<u>f40</u>	Q.40 Important issue for choice of party: Social welfare	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The social welfare	
46	<u>f41</u>	Q.41 Important issue for choice of party: Drug policy	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - Drug policy (alcohol, narcotics, etc.)	
47	<u>f42</u>	Q.42 Satisfaction with democracy in Sweden	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not especially satisfied or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Sweden?	
48	<u>f43</u>	Q.43 Satisfaction with democracy in the European Union	On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not especially satisfied or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the European Union?	

Variables Description

Dataset contains 48 variable(s)

File: VALU 2004 EUP						
# SND_stud	# SND_studie: SND study 0811					
	SND study 0811: VALU 2004 - SVT exit poll survey EU-parliament election 2004					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
811	SND 0811		6092		100.0%	
Warning: these figure	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as s	ummary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 811- 811] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 6092 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

#SND_dataset: SND dataset 0811-001					
	SND dataset 0811-001: VALU 2004 - SVT exit poll survey EU-parliament election 2004				
Value	Label	Cases Percentage			
1	SND 0811-	0811-0011		100.0%	
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 1] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 6092 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

#SND_version: SND version 2.0					
	SND version 2.0, September 2014				
Value	Label	Cases Percentage			
2	SND 2.0	6092			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as sur	mmary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2- 2] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 6092 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# ort: Central location						
		Central location				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Stockholm	Stockholm		31.0%		
2	Lund		1375	22.6%		
3	Göteborg		1431	23.5%		
4	Sundsvall		1397	22.9%		
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statistics of t	the population of interest.		
Information [Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Ran		[Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	4] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 6092		[Valid: 6092 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# typ: Vote at polling station or postal vote					
Vote at polling station or postal vote					
Value	Label	abel		Percentage	
1	Postal vote		2335	38.3%	
2 Vote at polling station 3757 61.7				61.7%	
Warning: these figi	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

File: VALU 2004 EUP					
# typ: Vote at polling	# typ: Vote at polling station or postal vote				
Information	[Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 6092 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Pre-question		At Sveriges Television, we want the election night broadcast on TV to reflect the opinions of the voters. We are therefore asking you to answer this questionnaire by crossing the appropriate boxes. You should not put your name on the questionnaire since you are to be anonymous to us. The results will only be reported in figures. No one can find out how you have answered. If you find it difficult to answer any question, leave it and go on to the next question. Thank you for helping us produce a good election broadcast on TV!				
Literal que	stion	What party did you vote for today?				
Value	Label		Cases	Pe	ercentage	
1	Moderate 1	Party	831		13.9%	
2	Centre Par	ty	362	6.0%		
3	Liberal Party		648	10.8%		
4	Christian I	Democrats	329	5.5%		
5	Social Der	nocrats	1348		22.5%	
6	Left Party		967		16.1%	
7	Green Part	у	430	7.2%		
81	June List		867		14.4%	
82	Other party	y	99	1.7%		
83	Blank		119	2.0%		
999	NA		92			
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statis	tics of the population of interes	t.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rat	nge: 1- 83] [Missing: */	999]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 6000		[Valid: 6000 /-1 [Invalid: 92 /-1	alid: 6000 /-] [Invalid: 92 /-]			

# f2: Q.2 Marked a candidate on the ballot						
Literal question		Did you use the opportunity to vote for a particular candidate on your ballot?				
Value	Label		Percentage			
1	Yes		3735	63.1%		
2	No		2187	36.9%		
999	NA		170			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statistics of the	population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-2]	Missing: */999]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 5922 /-] [Invalid: 170 /-]				

# f3: Q.3 Time for party choice					
Literal question When did you decide how you were going to vote in the EU Parliament election?					
Value	Label	el		Percentage	
1	Today	Today		20.2%	
2	During the	During the past week		25.0%	
3	Earlier dur	Earlier during the election campaign		17.9%	

File: VALU 2004 EUP						
#f3: Q.3 Time for party choice						
Value	Label	Label Cases Percentage				
4	Have know	Have known for a long time how I was going to vote		36.9%		
999	NA		98			
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	s summary state	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */999]			999]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 5994 /-] [Invalid: 98 /-]						

Literal question What party w		What party would you vote for if it was a parl	iamentary electi	ion today?			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Moderate I	Party	1103	18.9%			
2	Centre Par	ty	407	7.0%			
3	Liberal Par	ty	713	12.2%			
4	Christian I	Democrats	326	5.6%			
5	Social Den	nocrats	1761		30.1%		
6	Left party		802	13.7%)		
7	Green Part	y	460	7.9%			
81	Other party	1	263	4.5%			
82	Not entitle	d to vote	10	0.2%			
999	NA		247				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 82		82] [Missing: *	*/999]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 5845 /-] [Invalid: 247 /-]	[Valid: 5845 /-] [Invalid: 247 /-]				

# f5: Q.5 Alternative voted for in the 2003 referendum on Swedish membership in EMU					
Literal ques	stion	How did you vote in the 2003 referendum on S	weden introduc	cing euro as currency?	
Value	Label	Label		Per	centage
1	I voted yes		2783		46.1%
2	I voted no	I voted no			49.4%
3	I voted blan	I voted blank		1.6%	
4	Not entitled	l to vote	43	0.7%	
5	Did not vot	e	130	2.2%	
999	NA		55		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret	ed as summary state	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		5] [Missing: */	/999]		
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 6037 /-] [Invalid: 55 /-]				

# f6: Q.6 Opinion on a referendum on the new EU constitution					
Literal questio	Literal question Do you think there should be a Swedish referendum on the new EU constitution?				
Value	Label	Case	s Percentage		
1	Yes	3165	52.5%		

File: VALU 2004 EUP						
# f6: Q.6	Opinion on a	referendum on the new EU constitut	ion			
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
2	No		1770	29.4%		
3	DK/No opi	nion	1091	18.1%		
999	NA		66			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statistics	of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]						
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 6026 /-] [Invalid: 66 /-]					

Literal question		If there is a referendum on the new EU const	itution, how do you intend	to vote?
Value Label			Cases	Percentage
1	Yes to the constitution		1617	27.3%
2	No to the	constitution	1739	29.4%
3	DK/No op	inion	2561	43.3%
999	NA		175	
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statistics of the pe	opulation of interest.
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: nur		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 3] [Missing: */999]	
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 5917 /-] [Invalid: 175 /-]			

# f8: Q.8 (Opinion on t	he Swedish EU membership			
Literal question Do you think Sv		Do you think Sweden should leave the E	U or remain a member of l	EU?	
Value	Label		Cases	S Percentage	
1	Sweden she	ould leave EU	1758	29.2%	
2	Sweden she	ould remain a member of EU	3624		60.1%
3	No opinion	ı	644	10.7%	
999	NA		66		
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be is	nterpreted as summary statistics of	f the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang	ge: 1- 3] [Missing: */999]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 6026 /-] [Invalid: 66 /-]			

Literal question		Do you think it would be positive or negative if the EU developed into a federal state, a kind of United States of Europe?			
Value Label			Cases	Percentage	
1	Very positi	ve	484	8.2%	
2	Rather pos	itive	966	16.	3%
3	Neither po	sitive nor negative	1274		21.5%
4	Rather neg	ative	1385		23.3%
5	Very negat	ive	1829		30.8%
999	NA		154		

File: VALU 2004 EUP #f9: Q.9 Opinion on EU developing into a federal state Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 5938 /-] [Invalid: 154 /-]

Literal question Where did you, your father and your me		mother for the most part	live as a child?	- Yourself			
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	Percentage	
1	Rural area	in Sweden	1637			27.7%	
2	Village in	Sweden	1565			26.5%	
3	City/town in Sweden		1509			25.5%	
4	Stockholm	, Gothenburg, Malmö	919		15.6%		
5	Other Nor	dic country	92	1.6%			
6	Other Euro	opean country	78	1.3%			
7	Non-Europ	oean country	108	1.8%			
999	NA		184				
Warning: these	figures indicate the i	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary statis	stics of the population	on of interest.		
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [R	ange: 1- 7] [Missing: */9	999]			
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 5908 /-] [Invalid: 184 /-]					

# f11: Q.1	1 Area/coun	try of upbringing: Your father				
Literal question Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Your father						
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Rural area	in Sweden	2226			38.4%
2	Village in S	Sweden	1396		24.1%	
3	City/town i	in Sweden	1058		18.3%	
4	Stockholm	, Gothenburg, Malmö	666	11.5%		
5	Other Nord	lic country	160	2.8%		
6	Other Euro	pean country	158	2.7%		
7	Non-Europ	ean country	133	2.3%		
999	NA		295			
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	is summary statis	stics of the population of inte	erest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-7]	[Missing: */9	999]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 5797 /-] [Invalid: 295 /-]				

# f12: Q.12 Area/country of upbringing: Your mother							
Literal question Where did you, your father and your mother for the me			ar mother for the most part	live as a child? - Y	our mo	ther	
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		centage	
1	Rural area	in Sweden	2278				39.2%
2	Village in S	Sweden	1324			22.8%	
3	City/town	in Sweden	1069		18.	4%	
4	Stockholm	, Gothenburg, Malmö	661	11.	4%		
5	Other Nord	lic country	201	3.5%			
6	Other Euro	ppean country	146	2.5%			

File: VALU 2004 EUP							
#f12: Q.12 Area/country of upbringing: Your mother							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
7	Non-Europ	ean country	127	2.2%			
999	NA		286				
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.			
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		Missing: */	999]			
Statistics [NW/W] [V		[Valid: 5806 /-] [Invalid: 286 /-]					

# f13: Q.13 Swedish citizen							
Literal question		Are you a Swedish citizen?					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Yes		5931	98.6%			
2	No		85	1.4%			
999	NA		76				
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-2] [Missing: */	999]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 6016 /-] [Invalid: 76 /-]					

# f14: Q.1	4 Subjective	placement on ideological left-righ	nt scale		
Literal ques	stion	Sometimes people talk of political opinions	of political opinions in terms of left-right. Where would you place yourself?		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Clearly to t	he left	1148	19.3%	
2	Somewhat	to the left	1438	24.2%	
3	Neither lef	nor right	1332	22.4%	
4	Somewhat	to the left	1375	23.1%	
5	Clearly to t	he right	660	11.1%	
999	NA		139		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary statistics of the p	opulation of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 5] [Missing: */999]		
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 5953 /-] [Invalid: 139 /-]			

Literal question Generally speaking, how much trust do y			n Swedish p	oliticians?	
Value Label		Cases Percentage			age
1	Very high		251	4.2%	
2	Quite high	igh			45.4%
3	Quite low		2312		38.6%
4	Very low		710	11.8%	
999	NA		95		
Warning: thes	e figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	s summary stati	stics of the population of interest.	
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-4] [Missing: */9	999]	
Statistics [Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 5997 /-] [Invalid: 95 /-]				

#f15: Q.15 Trust in Swedish politicians

# f16: Q.16 Gender						
Literal question Are		re you a woman or a man?				
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	Woman		3054	51.7%		
2	Man		2851	48.3%		
999	NA		187			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [N	Missing: */	999]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 5905 /-] [Invalid:		[Valid: 5905 /-] [Invalid: 187 /-]				

# f17: Q.17 Age						
Literal question What year were you born in?						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	18-21 years	5	244	4.2%		
2	22-30 years	22-30 years		14.9%		
3	31-64 years	5	3407		58.1%	
4	65 years -		1336	22.8%		
999	NA		230			
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-4]			Missing: */9	999]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 5862 /-] [Invalid: 230 /-]						

# f18 : Q.1	# f18: Q.18 Union membership						
Literal ques	Literal question Are you a member of a trade union?						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Yes, a LO	union	1222 21.0%				
2	Yes, a TCC	Yes, a TCO union		20.1%			
3	Yes, a SAC	CO union	958	16.5%			
4	No		2470	42.4%			
999	NA		271				
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary statistics of th	he population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-4	[Missing: */999]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 5821 /-] [Invalid: 271 /-]					

# f19: Q.19 Labour market situation						
Literal question Which of these groups do you belong to?						
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Gainfully 6	Gainfully employed				56.7%
2	Participatin	Participating in labour market policy measures		1.0%		
3	Undergoin	g training organised by AMS	30	0.5%		

File: VALU 2004 EUP							
# f19: Q.19 Labour market situation							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
4	Unemploye	ed	216	3.7%			
5	Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner		1375	23.3%			
6	Disability p	Disability pensioner		4.4%			
7	Homework	er	28	0.5%			
81	Student		594	10.0%			
999	NA		181				
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	istics of the population of interest.			
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-8		[Missing: *	*/999]			
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid: 5911 /-] [Invalid: 181 /-]					

# f20 : Q.2	O Current o	r previous occupational group		
Literal question Which of these occupational groups do you/did you belong to?				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	White-coll	ar worker	2151	37.2%
2	White-coll	ar worker with supervisory status	888	15.4%
3	White-coll	ar employee with senior executive responsibility	225	3.9%
4	Blue collar	worker	1451	25.1%
5	Blue collar	worker with supervisory status	241	4.2%
6	Farmer: no	Farmer: no employee		1.1%
7	Farmer: on	e or more employees	24	0.4%
81	Self-emplo	yed: no employee	222	3.8%
82	Self-emplo	yed: 1-9 employees	189	3.3%
83	Self-emplo	yed: 10 or more employees	58	1.0%
84	Never had	paid work	271	4.7%
999	NA		308	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary sta	atistics of the population of interest.
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 84]	[Missing:	*/999]
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 5784 /-] [Invalid: 308 /-]		

Literal que	stion	Are/were you employed by the state, local govern	iment or a pri	vate employer?		
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	State		922	16.0%		
2	Local/regio	Local/regional government			32.0%	
3	Private		2720			47.3%
4	Never had	paid work	273	4.7%		
999	NA		336			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4]	[Missing: */9	999]		
Statistics [1	NW/ W]	[Valid: 5756 /-] [Invalid: 336 /-]				

File: VALU 2004 EUP							
# f22: Q.2	22 Church/co	ommunion attendance					
Literal question How often do you usually attend a service or a meeting in a church/communion?							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	At least on	ce a month	606	10.2%			
2	A couple of	f times a year	1327	22.3%			
3	Less freque	ently	1924	32.3%			
4	Never		2106	35.3%			
999	NA		129				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statistics of th	he population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		ge: 1- 4] [Missing: */999]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 5963		[Valid: 5963 /-] [Invalid: 129 /-]					

Literal question		How important are the following reasons for your choice of party today in the EU Parliament election? - The parties' policies on EU-related issues					
Value	Label		Cases	Percent	tage		
1	Very great	importance	2314		42.9%		
2	Fairly grea	t importance	1931		35.8%		
3	Neither gre	eat nor small importance	726	13.4%			
4	Fairly sma	ll importance	268	5.0%			
5	Very small	importance	159	2.9%			
999	NA		694				
Narning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		1- 5] [Missing: */9	99]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [V		[Valid: 5398 /-] [Invalid: 694 /-]					

Literal question		How important are the following reasons for your choice of party today in the EU Parliament election? - The parties' policies in Swedish politics						
Value	Label		Cases	Percen	ercentage			
1	Very great	importance	1576		31.0%			
2	Fairly grea	t importance	2022		39.8%			
3	Neither gre	eat nor small importance	828	16.3%				
4	Fairly smal	ll importance	352	6.9%				
5	Very small	importance	301	5.9%				
999	NA		1013					
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary statistic	s of the population of interest.				
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang		nge: 1- 5] [Missing: */999	0]					
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid		[Valid: 5079 /-] [Invalid: 1013 /-]	Valid: 5079 /-] [Invalid: 1013 /-]					

# f25: Q.25 Important reason for choice of party: Habit/loyalty to my party				
Literal question	How important are the following reasons for your choice of party today in the EU Parliament election? - Habit/loyalty to my party			

f25: Q.25 Important reason for choice of party: Habit/loyalty to my party

Value	Label		Cases	Pe	ercentage		
1	Very great	importance	518	10.6%			
2	Fairly great importance		1017		20.7%		
3	Neither great nor small importance		1193			24.3%	
4	Fairly smal	Fairly small importance			15.5%		
5	Very small	Very small importance				28.9%	
999	NA		1186				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.							
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Гуре: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]				
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid: 4906 /-] [Invalid: 1186 /-]					

f26: Q.26 Important reason for choice of party: The candidates on the ballot

Literal question	How important are the following reasons for your choice of party today in the EU Parliament election? - The
	candidates on the ballot

		<u>I</u>			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very great	Very great importance		24.0%	
2	Fairly grea	Fairly great importance		25.6%	
3	Neither gre	Neither great nor small importance		19.8%	
4	Fairly smal	Fairly small importance		12.6%	
5	Very small	Very small importance		18.0%	
999	NA		1132		
Warning: these	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
Information	ì	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 5] [Missing: */999	9]	

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 4960 /-] [Invalid: 1132 /-]

f27: Q.27 Important issue for choice of party: The environment

Literal questionAnd how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The environment

Value	Label	Cases		centage
1	Very great importance	2596		46.7%
2	Fairly great importance	1964		35.3%
3	Neither great nor small importance	713	12.8%	
4	Fairly small importance	167	3.0%	
5	Very small importance	124	2.2%	
999	NA	528		

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 5564 /-] [Invalid: 528 /-]

f28: Q.28 Important issue for choice of party: The economy

Literal question	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The
	economy

f28: Q.28 Important issue for choice of party: The economy

Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Very great	Very great importance			46.2%	
2	Fairly great importance		2098		38.0%	
3	Neither great nor small importance		655	11.9%		
4	Fairly small importance		113	2.0%		
5	Very small	Very small importance		1.8%		
999	NA		574			
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stati	istics of the population of in	aterest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999]				
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid: 5518 /-] [Invalid: 574 /-]				

#f29: Q.29 Important issue for choice of party: Employment

Literal question	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? -
	Employment

Value	Label		Cases	I	Percentage	
1	Very great	Very great importance			46	6.2%
2	Fairly grea	Fairly great importance			34.6%	
3	Neither gre	eat nor small importance	792	14.5%		
4	Fairly smal	Fairly small importance		2.6%		
5	Very small	Very small importance		2.1%		
999	NA		615			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	[Missing: */9	999]		

| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid: 5477 /-] [Invalid: 615 /-]

#f30: Q.30 Important issue for choice of party: The issue on agricultural subsidies in the EU

Literal questionAnd how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The issue on agricultural subsidies in the EU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	e
1	Very great importance	1330		25.0%
2	Fairly great importance	1369		25.7%
3	Neither great nor small importance	1578		29.6%
4	Fairly small importance	628	11.8%	
5	Very small importance	420	7.9%	
999	NA	767		

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 5325 /-1 [Invalid: 767 /-1

#f31: Q.31 Important issue for choice of party: The peace in Europe

Literal question	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The
	peace in Europe

#f31: Q.31 Important issue for choice of party: The peace in Europe

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very great importance		3345	60.5%	%
2	Fairly great importance		1125	20.4%	
3	Neither great nor small importance		686	12.4%	
4	Fairly smal	Il importance	195	3.5%	
5	Very small importance		175	3.2%	
999	NA		566		
Warning: these figu	ires indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 5526 /-] [Invalid: 566 /-]			

f32: Q.32 Important issue for choice of party: The national independence

Literal questionAnd how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The national independence

Value	Label		Cases	Percentag	ge
1	Very great	importance	2576		47.1%
2	Fairly great importance		1477	27.09	%
3 Neither great nor small importance		eat nor small importance	973	17.8%	
4	Fairly small importance		279	5.1%	
5	Very small importance		170	3.1%	
999 NA			617		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	[Missing: */9	999]	

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 5475 /-] [Invalid: 617 /-]

#f33: Q.33 Important issue for choice of party: Introduction of euro as new Swedish currency

Literal questionAnd how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The issue of introducing the euro as new Swedish currency

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very great importance	1929	35.5%
2	Fairly great importance	1439	26.4%
3	Neither great nor small importance	1247	22.9%
4	Fairly small importance	368	6.8%
5	Very small importance	458	8.4%
999	NA	651	

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 5441 /-] [Invalid: 651 /-]

#f34: Q.34 Important issue for choice of party: Refugees/immigration

Literal question And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - Refugees/Immigration

f34: Q.34 Important issue for choice of party: Refugees/immigration

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very great	importance	1429		26.4%
2	Fairly great importance		1667		30.8%
3 Neither great nor small import		eat nor small importance	1627		30.1%
4	Fairly smal	ll importance	333	6.2%	
5	Very small	importance	352	6.5%	
999	NA		684		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	1- 5] [Missing: */9	999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 5408 /-] [Invalid: 684 /-]			

#f35: Q.35 Important issue for choice of party: Expansion of the EU

Literal question And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? -Expansion of the EU with new member states

Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very great	importance	931		17.4%		
2	Fairly great importance		1499			28.0%	
3	Neither great nor small importance		1943				36.4%
4	Fairly small importance		542	10.1%			
5	Very small importance		430	8.0%			
999 NA			747				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.							
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5	5] [Missing: */9	99]			

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 5345 /-] [Invalid: 747 /-]

f36: Q.36 Important issue for choice of party: Conditions for businesses

And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? -Literal question Conditions for businesses

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very great importance	1561		29.2%
2	Fairly great importance	1596		29.8%
3	Neither great nor small importance	1452		27.1%
4	Fairly small importance	419	7.8%	
5	Very small importance	326	6.1%	
999	NA	738		
Warning: these	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They	cannot be interpreted as summary statist	tics of the population of interest.	

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 5354 /-1 [Invalid: 738 /-1

f37: Q.37 Important issue for choice of party: Gender equality

Literal question And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The equality of opportunity for women and men

#f37: Q.37 Important issue for choice of party: Gender equality

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very great	importance	2334	42.3%	
2	Fairly great importance		1535	27.8%	
3	Neither great nor small importance		1144	20.7%	
4	Fairly smal	l importance	258	4.7%	
5	Very small	importance	248	4.5%	
999	NA		573		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 5519 /-] [Invalid: 573 /-]			

#f38: Q.38 Important issue for choice of party: Defence

Literal question	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? -
	Defence issues in the EU

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very great	importance	1596		29.5%
2	Fairly great	timportance	1673		31.0%
Neither great nor small importance		at nor small importance	1493		27.6%
4	Fairly smal	l importance	371	6.9%	
5	Very small	importance	271	5.0%	
999 NA			688		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 5404 /-] [Invalid: 688 /-]			

f39: Q.39 Important issue for choice of party: Democracy in the EU

Literal questionAnd how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - Democracy in the EU

		Democracy in the Be				
Value	Label		Cases	Pe	rcentage	
1	Very great	importance	2990			54.8%
2	Fairly grea	t importance	1564		28.6%	
3	Neither gre	eat nor small importance	679	12.4%		
4	Fairly small	Il importance	112	2.1%		
5	Very small	importance	116	2.1%		
999	NA		631			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
T 6 42		[T	1 51 0 4: : */6	2001		

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 5461 /-] [Invalid: 631 /-]	

#f40: Q.40 Important issue for choice of party: Social welfare

Literal question	And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - The
	social welfare

#f40: Q.40 Important issue for choice of party: Social welfare

Value	Label		Cases	Perce	ntage
1	Very great	Very great importance			49.9%
2	Fairly grea	Fairly great importance			30.9%
3	Neither great nor small importance		799	14.5%	
4	Fairly small	Fairly small importance		2.5%	
5	Very small	Very small importance		2.2%	
999	NA		600		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 5492 /-] [Invalid: 600 /-]			

f41: Q.41 Important issue for choice of party: Drug policy

Literal question

And how important are the following issues for your choice of party today in the European Parliament election? - Drug policy (alcohol, narcotics, etc.)

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very great	importance	2618			47.4%
2	Fairly great	timportance	1445		26.1%	
3	Neither gre	Neither great nor small importance		18.7%		
4	Fairly smal	Fairly small importance		4.1%		
5	Very small	Very small importance		3.8%		
999	NA	NA				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 5529 /-] [Invalid: 563 /-]				

#f42: Q.42 Satisfaction with democracy in Sweden

Literal question On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not especially satisfied or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Sweden?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisfied	813	13.8%	
2	Fairly satisfied	3518	59.9%	
3	Not especially satisfied	1239	21.1%	
4	Not at all satisified	305	5.2%	
999	NA	217		
	NA		istics of the population of interest	

arning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid: 5875 /-] [Invalid: 217 /-]

f43: Q.43 Satisfaction with democracy in the European Union Literal question On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not especially satisfied or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the European Union? Post-question Thank you for taking part in this survey! Please put your questionnaire in the sealed box!

#f43: Q.43 Satisfaction with democracy in the European Union

Label	Cases	Percentage	
Very satisfied	89	1.6%	
Fairly satisfied	1775		31.5%
Not especially satisfied	2509		44.5%
Not at all satisified	1260	22.4%	
NA	459		
	Very satisfied Fairly satisfied Not especially satisfied Not at all satisified	Very satisfied 89 Fairly satisfied 1775 Not especially satisfied 2509 Not at all satisfied 1260	Very satisfied 89 1.6% Fairly satisfied 1775 Not especially satisfied 2509 Not at all satisfied 1260 22.4%

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-4] [Missing: */999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 5633 /-] [Invalid: 459 /-]