SOM INSTITUTE
SOCIETY OPINION MEDIA

CODEBOOK
The SOM Institute Cumulative Dataset 1986-2021

v2022.1

UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Codebook The SOM Institute Cumulative Dataset 1986-2021

INTRODUCTION

The SOM Institute Cumulative Dataset contains data from the National SOM study, an annually repeated cross-sectional self-administered mail survey conducted in Sweden since 1986 (for further information see Scope & Coverage). The data contains a selection of questions frequently asked over the years, focusing on time series. A general rule is that questions should have been asked at least three times.

INSTRUCTIONS

The dataset follows a thematic scheme with comprehensive main themes and more specific sub-themes (se page iv). Variables are named according to the following structure: the first letter denotes the main theme, the second letter denotes the sub-theme, followed by a number denoting the question battery in which the item is found, a third set of letters denotes the item.

This codebook contains information about question wording, which year a specific item has been asked, and information about how variables are recoded, when applicable. It might also include information regarding changed item wording and references to similar items. If no additional information is available (currently in the "Definition" field), the given information applies to every year.

For further information regarding response rates, fieldwork and other methodological considerations, see the methodological section in the annual report (in Swedish: *https://www.gu.se/som-institutet/som-undersokningarna/metod-0*).

CONCEPTS

Battery: A set of items with the same overall question and response alternatives.

Constructed variables: Variables constructed, using information collected from one or, in most cases, several items, questions or population register. Most commonly, response categories are collapsed into fewer response categories/alternatives.

Close-ended questions/multiple choice: (As opposed to open-ended questions) A question asked with a predefined set of response alternatives, which in most cases are mutually exclusive.

ip: Respondent (ip is an acronym of the Swedish word *intervjuperson* - interviewees, i.e. respondents).

Item: A single question in a battery.

National 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7: Since 1998, the SOM study is carried out through several parallel surveys (two between 1998-2008, three between 2009-2011, four since 2012, five since 2014, six since 2016 and seven since 2021.

*Open-ended question:* A question requiring a free-text answer.

MISSING CODES

96 (996/9996): Missing code denoting questions that are not asked in a specific questionnaire edition.

97 (997/9997): Missing code denoting nonresponse for a single item in a battery.

98 (998/9998): Missing code denoting that several responses was given by the respondent to a question where only one response was required.

99 (999/9999): Missing code denoting nonresponse for an entire question battery or a single question.

Response rates National SOM 1986-2021:

Comments: SOM standard net response rates excludes respondents who are long-term ill or disabled, living abroad or travelling, who are non-Swedish-speaking, deceased or have an unknown address or moved. Gross rates include all individuals in the originally drawn sample in the calculation.

For questions regarding the codebook please contact:

SOM Institute
University of Gothenburg
+46 31-786 30 00
info@som.gu.se

THEMATIC STRUCTURE

A. **TRUST**

B. **IDEOLOGY & VALUES**

C. **POLITICS**

D. **ECONOMY, INDUSTRY & LABOR MARKET**

E. **AUTHORITIES & PUBLIC SERVICE**

F. **INTERNATIONAL & GLOBAL ISSUES**

G. **THREATS & RISKS**

H. **ENVIRONMENT & ENERGY**

I. **RESEARCH & EDUCATION**

J. **MEDIA USE & ACCESS**

K. **ATTITUDES TOWARDS MEDIA**

L. **CULTURE**

M. **LIFESTYLE, HEALTH & PERSONALITY**

N. **DEMOGRAPHICS & SOCIO-ECONOMY**

MAIN AND SUB-THEMES

A – TRUST

AA – Trust in Institutions

AB – Trust in Occupational Groups

AC – Social Trust

B – IDEOLOGY & VALUES

BA – Ideology

BB – Values

BC – Religion & Faith

BD – Equality

C – POLITICS

CA – Participation & Communication

CB – Parties

CC – Politicians

CD – Governance & Democracy

CE – Other Political Issues

D – ECONOMY, INDUSTRY & LABOR MARKET

DA – Economy & Finance

DB – Industry & Labor Market

DC – Taxes

E – AUTHORITIES & PUBLIC SERVICE

EA – Authorities & Service

EB – National Administration

EC – Regional & Municipal Administration

ED – Health Care

EE – Infrastructure & Communications

EF – Judicial System

EG – Libraries

EH - Social insurance systems

F – INTERNATIONAL & GLOBAL ISSUES

FA – Foreign/Security Policy

FB – EU

FC – Immigration & Refugees

G – THREATS & RISKS

GA – Risks in Society

GB – Individual Safety

H – ENVIRONMENT & ENERGY

HA – Environment & Climate

HB – Energy

I – RESEARCH & EDUCATION

IA – Research & Science

IB – Higher Education

IC – Schools

J – MEDIA USE & ACCESS

JA – Morning Newspapers

JB – Internet

JC – Radio

JD – Tv, DVD & Video

JE – Computers & Mobile Phones

JF – Periodicals & Books

JG – Advertising

JH – News

JI – Media Use in General

JJ – Media Access in General

K – ATTITUDES TOWARDS MEDIA

KA – Media Attitudes

KB – Advertising

KC – News & Journalism

L – CULTURE

LA – Cinema & Movies

LB – Scenes

LC – Sports & Arenas

LD – Cultural Institutions & Sights

LE – Cultural Habits in General

LF – Culture Policy

M – LIFESTYLE, HEALTH & PERSONALITY

MA – Interests & Habits

MB – Membership & Social Relationships

MC – Consumption & Personal Economy

MD – Life Satisfaction, Well–being & Happiness

ME – Personality

MF – Health

MG – Alcohol, Narcotics, Doping & Tobacco

N – DEMOGRAPHICS & SOCIO–ECONOMY

NA – Employment & Work Life

NB – Education

NC – Income

ND – Housing

NE – Household & Close Relationships

NF – Age & Gender

NG – Background Variables in General

CHANGES TO THE DATASET

Variable names:

Old variable name	New variable name	Changed since
-	-	-

Newly added variables since v2021.1:

ca110	oinc4rel
cc11aw	oinc5rel
cc11ax	mstatus
eb900f	mstatus2
fb900n	mstatus3
fb900o	mstatus4
ga10ai	mstatus5
ga10aj	
ga10ak	
ga10al	

Deleted variables:

Table of Contents

The SOM Institute Cumulative Data Set 1986-2021

Overview

Identification	v2022.1

Abstract

In order to identify how the evolution of society affects Swedes' attitudes and behaviour, the SOM Institute started its National SOM study in 1986. National SOM addresses three areas – society, opinions and mass media. The survey covers questions related to politics, society, media and social background. Every year, the questionnaires are complemented with questions related to current events. The survey is nationally representative. The field work starts in mid-September each year and, following a series of reminders distributed via post and text messages, ends in January of the following year.

Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage

Countries	Sweden

Geographic Coverage
National SOM: The samples are representative of the population according to public registers.

Universe
National SOM:

Age sample frame (Year: Age interval):
1986-1991: 15-75 years
1992-1997: 15-80 years
1998: 16-80 years (note 1)
1999: 15-80 years
2000-2008: 15-85 years
2009-: 16-85 years

Citizenship:
1986: the sample included both Swedish and foreign citizens living in Sweden.
1987-1988: only Swedish citizens.
1989: both Swedish and foreign citizens.
1990-1991: only Swedish citizens.
1992-: the sample included both Swedish and foreign citizens living in Sweden.

Total sample size (Year: Number of individuals):
1986-1991: 2,500
1992-1995: 2,800
1996: 2,841 (note 2)
1997: 2,800
1998-1999: 5,600
2000-2005: 6,000
2006: 6,050
2007-2008: 6,000
2009-2011: 9,000
2012: 12,000
2013: 17,000
2014: 13,600
2015: 17,000
2016-2017: 20,400
2018-2019: 21,000

2020: 22,500
2021: 24,500

Notes:
1) 15 year olds were unintentionally excluded from the sample.
2) 15 year olds were unintentionally excluded from the sample, but were added to the original sample afterwards (i.e. 2,800 + 41 individuals).

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	SOM Institute, University of Gothenburg

Sampling

Sampling Procedure
Systematic probability sampling based on the Swedish population and address register SPAR.

Data Collection

Data Collection Mode	Mixed mode: postal and websurveys.

Depositor(s)	

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

SICD v2022.1	
# Cases	165840
# Variable(s)	1447

Variables List

Dataset contains 1447 variable(s)

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idnr	ID number	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	-
2	year	Year of survey	continuous	numeric-4.0	165840	0	-
3	yearid	YEARxID. Unique identification number	discrete	numeric-12.0	165840	0	-
4	formular	Questionnaire edition	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	-
5	indatum	Date of questionnaire delivery	discrete	numeric-2.0	143757	22083	-
6	lan	County code	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	-
7	lanskod2 ..	County code in acc. with 2010 classification	discrete	numeric-2.0	165808	32	-
8	kommun	Municipality code	discrete	numeric-8.0	165840	0	-
9	komkod2010	Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification	discrete	numeric-4.0	165808	32	-
10	webpap	Web/paper questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	92083	73757	-
11	map1	Map variable 1	discrete	numeric-2.0	11387	154453	-
12	map2	Map variable 2	discrete	numeric-2.0	11387	154453	-
13	map3	Map variable 3	discrete	numeric-2.0	11387	154453	-
14	aa10a	Trust in: The government	discrete	numeric-2.0	115711	50129	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The government
15	aa10b	Trust in: The Police force	discrete	numeric-2.0	115711	50129	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Police force
16	aa10c	Trust in: Medical services	discrete	numeric-2.0	115711	50129	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Medical services
17	aa10d	Trust in: The armed forces	discrete	numeric-2.0	95275	70565	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The armed forces
18	aa10e	Trust in: The National Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	95275	70565	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The National Parliament
19	aa10f	Trust in: The Banks	discrete	numeric-2.0	114054	51786	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Banks
20	aa10g	Trust in: The daily press	discrete	numeric-2.0	114068	51772	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The daily press
21	aa10h	Trust in: Trade unions	discrete	numeric-2.0	103618	62222	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Trade unions
22	aa10i	Trust in: Radio and televison	discrete	numeric-2.0	114068	51772	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							and groups do their job? - Radio and televison
23	aa10j	Trust in: Primary school	discrete	numeric-2.0	93618	72222	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Primary school
24	aa10k	Trust in: Major companies	discrete	numeric-2.0	95275	70565	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Major companies
25	aa10l	Trust in: The Church of Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	85272	80568	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Church of Sweden
26	aa10m	Trust in: Courts	discrete	numeric-2.0	85072	80768	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Courts
27	aa10n	Trust in: The Royal family	discrete	numeric-2.0	97354	68486	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Royal family
28	aa10o	Trust in: Municipal boards	discrete	numeric-2.0	81559	84281	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Municipal boards
29	aa10p	Trust in: Universities/ university colleges	discrete	numeric-2.0	90248	75592	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Universities/ university colleges
30	aa10q	Trust in: The political parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	98705	67135	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The political parties
31	aa10r	Trust in: The EU Commission	discrete	numeric-2.0	73045	92795	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The EU Commission
32	aa10s	Trust in: The European Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	74702	91138	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The European Parliament
33	aa10t	Trust in: United Nations (UN)	discrete	numeric-2.0	67802	98038	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - United Nations (UN)
34	aa10u	Trust in: The Swedish Central Bank	discrete	numeric-2.0	42961	122879	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank
35	aa20a	Trust in: The Swedish National Labour Market Board (AMS)	discrete	numeric-2.0	17253	148587	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish National Labour Market Board
36	aa20b	Trust in: The Swedish Social Insurance Agency	discrete	numeric-2.0	10037	155803	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Social Insurance Agency
37	aa20c	Trust in: The Swedish Public Employment Service	discrete	numeric-2.0	6731	159109	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							and groups: - The Swedish Public Employment Service
38	aa20d	Trust in: The Swedish Security Service (SÄPO)	discrete	numeric-2.0	13252	152588	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Security Service (SÄPO)
39	ab12	Trust in: Swedish politicians	discrete	numeric-2.0	50754	115086	In general, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians? - Swedish politicians
40	ab13a	Trust in: Parliamentarians	discrete	numeric-2.0	20142	145698	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Parliamentarians
41	ab13b	Trust in: Health care staff	discrete	numeric-2.0	11985	153855	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Health care staff
42	ab13c	Trust in: Police	discrete	numeric-2.0	20142	145698	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Police
43	ab13d	Trust in: Primary teachers	discrete	numeric-2.0	16820	149020	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Primary teachers
44	ab13e	Trust in: Researchers	discrete	numeric-2.0	20142	145698	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Researchers
45	ab13f	Trust in: Daily press journalists	discrete	numeric-2.0	6947	158893	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Daily press journalists
46	ab13g	Trust in: Radio/TV journalists	discrete	numeric-2.0	6947	158893	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Radio/TV journalists
47	ab13h	Trust in: Regional politicians	discrete	numeric-2.0	3552	162288	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Regional politicians
48	ab13i	Trust in: Communications officers	discrete	numeric-2.0	3444	162396	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Communications officers
49	ab13j	Trust in: Politicians in your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	1778	164062	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Politicians in your municipality
50	ab13k	Trust in: Elderly care staff	discrete	numeric-2.0	1778	164062	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Elderly care staff
51	ab13l	Trust in: PR consultants	discrete	numeric-2.0	1778	164062	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - PR consultants
52	ab13m	Trust in: Advertising professionals	discrete	numeric-2.0	1778	164062	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Advertising professionals
53	ab13n	Trust in: Business leaders in the private sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	5169	160671	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Business leaders in the private sector

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
54	ab13o	Trust in: Journalists	discrete	numeric-2.0	13195	152645	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Journalists
55	ab13p	Trust in: Local politicians	discrete	numeric-2.0	1774	164066	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Local politicians
56	ab13q	Trust in: Judges	discrete	numeric-2.0	8195	157645	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Judges
57	ab13r	Trust in: Priests of the Church of Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	162487	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Priests of the Church of Sweden
58	ab13s	Trust in: Lobbyists	discrete	numeric-2.0	3264	162576	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Lobbyists
59	ab13t	Trust in: Corporate leaders	discrete	numeric-2.0	3250	162590	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Corporate leaders
60	ab13bb	Trust in: Economists	discrete	numeric-2.0	3244	162596	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Economists
61	ab13bc	Trust in: Engineers	discrete	numeric-2.0	3244	162596	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Engineers
62	ab13bd	Trust in: Doctors	discrete	numeric-2.0	6463	159377	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Doctors
63	ab100a	To what extent involved in corruption: Politicians	discrete	numeric-2.0	6614	159226	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Politicians
64	ab100b	To what extent involved in corruption: Public officials	discrete	numeric-2.0	6614	159226	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Public officials
65	ab100c	To what extent involved in corruption: Self-employed	discrete	numeric-2.0	4783	161057	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Self-employed
66	ab100d	To what extent involved in corruption: Journalists	discrete	numeric-2.0	3131	162709	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Journalists
67	ab200a	To what extent involved in corruption: Health care	discrete	numeric-2.0	6607	159233	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Health care
68	ab200b	To what extent involved in corruption: The construction sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	4876	160964	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Construction sector
69	ab200c	To what extent involved in corruption: The restaurant industry	discrete	numeric-2.0	4876	160964	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? The restaurant industry
70	ab200d	To what extent involved in corruption: Entertainment industry	discrete	numeric-2.0	1543	164297	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Entertainment industry
71	ab200e	To what extent involved in corruption: School	discrete	numeric-2.0	3333	162507	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? School
72	ab200h	To what extent involved in corruption: Political parties	discrete	numeric-2.0	1731	164109	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Political parties
73	ab200i	To what extent involved in corruption: Club/association activities	discrete	numeric-2.0	1731	164109	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Club/association activities
74	ab200j	To what extent involved in corruption: Mass media	discrete	numeric-2.0	1731	164109	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Club/association activities
75	ac10a	In your opinion, to what extent is it generally possible to trust people?	discrete	numeric-2.0	148942	16898	In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted, in general?
76	ac10b	To what extent do you believe you can trust people in the area you live?	discrete	numeric-2.0	60373	105467	In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted in the area where you live?
77	ba10	Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	The concept of a political left-right scale is often used to describe a person's political opinions. Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
78	ba900a	Proposal: Strive towards an env. friendly society, even without economic growth	discrete	numeric-2.0	52141	113699	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards an environmentally friendly society, even if it means low or no economic growth
79	ba900b	Proposal: Strive towards an environmentally friendly society	discrete	numeric-2.0	51692	114148	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards an environmentally friendly society
80	ba900c	Proposal: Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men	discrete	numeric-2.0	90642	75198	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men
81	bb10a	How important to you: A clean world	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	138191	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A clean world
82	bb10b	How important to you: Technological development	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	138191	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Technological development
83	bb10c	How important to you: A comfortable life	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A comfortable life

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
84	bb10d	How important to you: An exciting life	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - An exciting life
85	bb10e	How important to you: A sense of accomplishment	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A sense of accomplishment
86	bb10f	How important to you: A peaceful world	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A peaceful world
87	bb10g	How important to you: A beutiful world	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A beutiful world
88	bb10h	How important to you: Equality	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Equality
89	bb10i	How important to you: Family security	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Family security
90	bb10j	How important to you: Freedom	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Freedom
91	bb10k	How important to you: Happiness	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Happiness
92	bb10l	How important to you: Inner harmony	discrete	numeric-2.0	29512	136328	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Inner harmony
93	bb10m	How important to you: Love	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Love
94	bb10n	How important to you: National security	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - National security
95	bb10o	How important to you: A life full of pleasure	discrete	numeric-2.0	29512	136328	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A life full of pleasure
96	bb10p	How important to you: Salvation	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Salvation
97	bb10q	How important to you: Self-respect	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Self-respect
98	bb10r	How important to you: Social reputation	discrete	numeric-2.0	31136	134704	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Social reputation
99	bb10s	How important to you: True friendship	discrete	numeric-2.0	29493	136347	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - True friendship
100	bb10t	How important to you: Wisdom	discrete	numeric-2.0	29512	136328	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Wisdom
101	bb10u	How important to you: Justice	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	138191	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Justice
102	bb10v	How important to you: Power	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	138191	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Power
103	bb10w	How important to you: Health	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	138191	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Health
104	bb10x	How important to you: Wealth	discrete	numeric-2.0	27649	138191	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Wealth

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
105	bb10y	How important to you: Honesty	discrete	numeric-2.0	22851	142989	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Honesty
106	bb100a	World view: Benefit fraud is common in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	6953	158887	Below is a number of statments that have appeared in the Swedish debate on the labor market and unemployment. What is your opinion on each of them? - Benefit fraud is common in Sweden
107	bb100b	World view: Women are often discriminated against on the Swedish labor market	discrete	numeric-2.0	8447	157393	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Women are often discriminated against on the Swedish labor market
108	bb100c	World view: You do not need a newspaper subscription if you have the Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	12599	153241	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the Internet? - You do not need a newspaper subscription if you have the Internet
109	bb100d	World view: The Swedish society gives people opportunity to live as they please	discrete	numeric-2.0	3246	162594	What is your opion about the following statements? - The Swedish society gives people opportunity to live as they please
110	bb100e	World view: Immigrants´ culture and traditions enrich the society	discrete	numeric-2.0	13652	152188	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Immigrants' culture and traditions enrich the society
111	bb100f	World view: Swedish political parties usually keep their election promises	discrete	numeric-2.0	3247	162593	What is your opion about the following statements? - Swedish political parties usually keep their election promises
112	bb100g	World view: The divergence between old and young in Sweden is increasing	discrete	numeric-2.0	3730	162110	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about the elders in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - The divergence between old and young in Sweden is increasing
113	bb100h	World view: Internet news can only be complementary to newspapers, radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	5305	160535	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the Internet? - Internet news can only be complementary to newspapers, radio and tv
114	bb100i	World view: Sweden has a good business-friendly climate	discrete	numeric-2.0	7064	158776	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the industrial sector in Sweden? - Sweden has a good business-friendly climate
115	bb100j	World view: We do not make use of the knowledge that older people have to offer	discrete	numeric-2.0	5355	160485	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about the elders in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - We do not make use of the knowledge that older people have to offer
116	bb100k	World view: Internet is needed to keep onself informed about the news	discrete	numeric-2.0	3406	162434	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Internet is needed to keep onself informed about the news
117	bb100l	World view: Alcohol is one of our most serious societal problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	1629	164211	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about alcohol. What is your opinion on

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							each of them? - Alcohol is one of our most serious societal problems
118	bb101a	Perception of reality: Benefit fraud is common in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	1636	164204	What is your opinion on the following statements? Benefit fraud is common in Sweden
119	bb101b	Perception of reality: Women are often discriminated against by the Swedish labor market	discrete	numeric-2.0	1636	164204	What is your opinion on the following statements? Women are often discriminated against by the Swedish labor market
120	bb101d	Perception of reality: Swedish society gives people the opportunity to live the lives they want for themselves	discrete	numeric-2.0	1580	164260	What is your opinion on the following statements? Swedish society gives people the opportunity to live the lives they want for themselves
121	bb101f	Perception of reality: Swedish political parties usually keep their campaign promises	discrete	numeric-2.0	1580	164260	What is your opinion on the following statements? Swedish political parties usually keep their campaign promises
122	bb101g	Perception of reality: There are growing differences between older and younger people in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	1692	164148	What is your opinion on the following statements? There are growing differences between older and younger people in Sweden
123	bb900a	Proposal: Allow active euthanasia	discrete	numeric-2.0	1777	164063	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Allow active euthanasia
124	bb900b	Proposal: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children	discrete	numeric-2.0	3545	162295	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow homosexual couples to adopt children
125	bb900c	Proposal: Limit the right to abortion	discrete	numeric-2.0	5445	160395	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Limit the right to abortion
126	bb900f	Proposal: Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society	discrete	numeric-2.0	1597	164243	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society
127	bb901a	Proposal: Improve the rights of animals	discrete	numeric-2.0	20565	145275	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Improve the rights of animals
128	bb901b	Proposal: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children	discrete	numeric-2.0	8711	157129	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow homosexual couples to adopt children
129	bb901c	Proposal: Allow active euthanasia	discrete	numeric-2.0	18433	147407	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow active euthanasia
130	bb901d	Proposal: Limit the right to abortion	discrete	numeric-2.0	23713	142127	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Limit the right to abortion

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
131	bb901f	Proposal: Prohibit research that uses fertilized eggs (embryonic stem cells)	discrete	numeric-2.0	11700	154140	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Prohibit research that uses fertilized eggs (embryonic stem cells)
132	bb901g	Proposal: Introduce death penalty for murder	discrete	numeric-2.0	16711	149129	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Introduce death penalty for murder
133	bb901i	Proposal: Ban face-covering veils in public places	discrete	numeric-2.0	15096	150744	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Ban face-covering veils in public places
134	bb901j	Proposal: Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society	discrete	numeric-2.0	11925	153915	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society
135	bc10	Past 12 months: Visited church service or religious meeting	discrete	numeric-2.0	80721	85119	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited church service or religious meeting
136	bc20	Past 12 months: Prayed to God	discrete	numeric-2.0	138413	27427	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Prayed to God
137	bc30	Believe in: God	discrete	numeric-2.0	30341	135499	Do you believe in God?
138	bc100a	Opinion of world religions: Atheism	discrete	numeric-2.0	6852	158988	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Atheism
139	bc100b	Opinion of world religions: Buddhism	discrete	numeric-2.0	6852	158988	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Buddhism
140	bc100c	Opinion of world religions: Hinduism	discrete	numeric-2.0	6852	158988	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Hinduism
141	bc100d	Opinion of world religions: Islam	discrete	numeric-2.0	6852	158988	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Islam
142	bc100e	Opinion of world religions: Judaism	discrete	numeric-2.0	6852	158988	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Judaism
143	bc100f	Opinion of world religions: Christianity	discrete	numeric-2.0	5128	160712	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Christianity
144	bd900a	Proposal: Reduce income differences in society	discrete	numeric-2.0	30215	135625	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce income differences in society
145	ca10	Generally speaking, how interested are you in politics?	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	Generally speaking, how interested are you in politics?
146	ca50	During the past 12 months, have you had any contact w a Member of Parliament?	discrete	numeric-2.0	23582	142258	During the past 12 months, have you had any kind of contact with any Member of Parliament?
147	ca100a	Satisfaction with democracy in: EU	discrete	numeric-2.0	74160	91680	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - EU

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
148	ca100b	Satisfaction with democracy in: Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	81314	84526	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - Sweden
149	ca100c	Satisfaction with democracy in: The region where you live	discrete	numeric-2.0	63601	102239	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - The region where you live
150	ca100d	Satisfaction with democracy in: The municipality where you live	discrete	numeric-2.0	70589	95251	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - The municipality where you live
151	ca110	Satisfaction with democracy in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	60640	105200	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Sweden?
152	ca200a	How well do you think the government is doing its job?	discrete	numeric-2.0	67697	98143	How well do you think the government is doing its job?
153	ca200b	How well do you think the government in your municipality is doing its job?	discrete	numeric-2.0	18936	146904	How do you think the municipal government where you live is doing its job?
154	ca300a	Past 12 months: Discussed politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	162545	3295	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Discussed politics
155	ca300b	Past 12 months: Participated in a demonstration	discrete	numeric-2.0	67343	98497	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Participated in a demonstration
156	ca400a	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: SVT1	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - SVT1
157	ca400b	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: SVT2	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - SVT2
158	ca400c	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - TV4
159	ca400d	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Radio Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Radio Sweden
160	ca400e	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Private local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Private local radio
161	ca400f	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Dagens Nyheter	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Dagens Nyheter
162	ca400g	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Svenska Dagbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Svenska Dagbladet
163	ca400h	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Göteborgs-Posten	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Göteborgs-Posten

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
164	ca400i	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: The local morning paper	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - The local morning paper
165	ca400j	Satisfaction w information about national politics in: The evening tabloids	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - The evening tabloids
166	cb10	Which party do you like best today?	discrete	numeric-2.0	165735	105	Which party do you like best today?
167	cb11	Which party do you like best today?	discrete	numeric-2.0	133222	32618	Which party do you like best today?
168	cb15	Which party do you like best today? Name of other party	discrete	numeric-2.0	132898	32942	Which party do you like best today?
169	cb20	Do you consider yourself to be a convinced supporter of this party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	Do you consider yourself to be a convinced supporter of this party?
170	cb30	Which party do you like second best?	discrete	numeric-2.0	37176	128664	Which party do you like second best?
171	cb50a	Like/dislike: Centre Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	60700	105140	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Centre Party
172	cb50b	Like/dislike: Moderate Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	60700	105140	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Moderate Party
173	cb50c	Like/dislike: Left Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	60700	105140	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Left Party
174	cb50d	Like/dislike: Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	60700	105140	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Liberal Party
175	cb50e	Like/dislike: Social Democrats	discrete	numeric-2.0	60700	105140	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Social Democrats
176	cb50f	Like/dislike: Green Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	60700	105140	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Green Party
177	cb50g	Like/dislike: Christian Democrats	discrete	numeric-2.0	60700	105140	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Christian Democrats
178	cb50h	Like/dislike: New Democracy	discrete	numeric-2.0	7023	158817	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - New Democracy
179	cb50i	Like/dislike: Feminist Initiative	discrete	numeric-2.0	13260	152580	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Feminist Initiative
180	cb50m	Like/dislike: Sweden Democrats	discrete	numeric-2.0	24662	141178	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sweden Democrats
181	cb50n	Like/dislike: Pirate Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	7933	157907	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Pirate Party
182	cb51i	Like/dislike: Feminist Initiative (including "unknown" alternative)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	162487	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Feminist Initiative
183	cb51j	Like/dislike: June List (EU sceptical new party)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	162487	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Junilistan
184	cb51k	Like/dislike: Sjukvårdspartiet (local party focusing on health care)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	162487	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sjukvårdspartiet
185	cb51l	Like/dislike: Swedish pensioneer´s party (SPI)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	162487	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Swedish pensioneer´s party (SPI)
186	cb51m	Like/dislike: Sweden Democrats (including "unknown" alternative)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	162487	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sweden Democrats
187	cb100a	Election turnout: The Riksdag [Parliament of Sweden]	discrete	numeric-2.0	21163	144677	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
188	cb100b	Election turnout: Regional/ county council	discrete	numeric-2.0	14260	151580	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
189	cb100c	Election turnout: Municipal council	discrete	numeric-2.0	17208	148632	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
190	cb100d	Election turnout: European Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	12480	153360	Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 1999?
191	cb200a	Party choice: The Riksdag [Parliament of Sweden]	discrete	numeric-2.0	41433	124407	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
192	cb200b	Party choice: Regional/ county council	discrete	numeric-2.0	33185	132655	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
193	cb200c	Party choice: Municipal council	discrete	numeric-2.0	36593	129247	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
194	cb200d	Party choice: European Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	23985	141855	If you voted for European Parliament, which party would you vote for?
195	cc10a	Like/dislike: Carl Bildt	discrete	numeric-2.0	22171	143669	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Carl Bildt
196	cc10b	Like/dislike: Ingvar Carlsson	discrete	numeric-2.0	16898	148942	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Ingvar Carlsson
197	cc10c	Like/dislike: Bengt Westerberg	discrete	numeric-2.0	15121	150719	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Bengt Westerberg
198	cc10d	Like/dislike: Lars Werner	discrete	numeric-2.0	11560	154280	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Lars Werner
199	cc10e	Like/dislike: Karin Söder	discrete	numeric-2.0	1624	164216	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Karin Söder
200	cc10f	Like/dislike: Olof Johansson	discrete	numeric-2.0	18807	147033	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Olof Johansson
201	cc10g	Like/dislike: Birger Schlaug	discrete	numeric-2.0	13957	151883	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Birger Schlaug
202	cc10h	Like/dislike: Alf Svensson	discrete	numeric-2.0	22283	143557	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Alf Svensson
203	cc11h	Like/dislike: Alf Svensson	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Alf Svensson
204	cc10i	Like/dislike: Ian Wachtmeister	discrete	numeric-2.0	5319	160521	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Ian Wachtmeister
205	cc10j	Like/dislike: Gudrun Schyman	discrete	numeric-2.0	14018	151822	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gudrun Schyman
206	cc11j	Like/dislike: Gudrun Schyman	discrete	numeric-2.0	18406	147434	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gudrun Schyman
207	cc10k	Like/dislike: Mona Sahlin	discrete	numeric-2.0	6938	158902	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Mona Sahlin

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
208	cc11k	Like/dislike: Mona Sahlin	discrete	numeric-2.0	6498	159342	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Mona Sahlin
209	cc10l	Like/dislike: Vivianne Franzén	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	164136	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Vivianne Franzén
210	cc10m	Like/dislike: Ann Wibble	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	164136	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Ann Wibble
211	cc10n	Like/dislike: Maria Leissner	discrete	numeric-2.0	3556	162284	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Maria Leissner
212	cc10o	Like/dislike: Göran Persson	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Persson
213	cc11o	Like/dislike: Göran Persson	discrete	numeric-2.0	10460	155380	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Persson
214	cc10p	Like/dislike: Lars Leijonborg	discrete	numeric-2.0	6901	158939	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Leijonborg
215	cc11p	Like/dislike: Lars Leijonborg	discrete	numeric-2.0	10460	155380	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Leijonborg
216	cc10q	Like/dislike: Lennart Daléus	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lennart Daléus
217	cc10r	Like/dislike: Marianne Samuelsson	discrete	numeric-2.0	1740	164100	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Marianne Samuelsson
218	cc10s	Like/dislike: Bo Lundgren	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Bo Lundgren
219	cc11s	Like/dislike: Bo Lundgren	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Bo Lundgren
220	cc10t	Like/dislike: Lotta Nilsson-Hedström	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lotta Nilsson-Hedström
221	cc11t	Like/dislike: Lotta Nilsson-Hedström	discrete	numeric-2.0	1739	164101	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lotta Nilsson-Hedström

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
222	cc10u	Like/dislike: Matz Hammarström	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	164136	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Matz Hammarström
223	cc11u	Like/dislike: Matz Hammarström	discrete	numeric-2.0	1739	164101	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Matz Hammarström
224	cc11v	Like/dislike: Maud Olofsson	discrete	numeric-2.0	18489	147351	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Maud Olofsson
225	cc11w	Like/dislike: Maria Wetterstrand	discrete	numeric-2.0	15219	150621	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Maria Wetterstrand
226	cc11x	Like/dislike: Peter Eriksson	discrete	numeric-2.0	15219	150621	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Peter Eriksson
227	cc11y	Like/dislike: Ulla Hoffman	discrete	numeric-2.0	1816	164024	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Ulla Hoffman
228	cc11z	Like/dislike: Fredrik Reinfeldt	discrete	numeric-2.0	19849	145991	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Fredrik Reinfeldt
229	cc11aa	Like/dislike: Göran Hägglund	discrete	numeric-2.0	18033	147807	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Hägglund
230	cc11ab	Like/dislike: Lars Ohly	discrete	numeric-2.0	13156	152684	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Ohly
231	cc11ac	Like/dislike: Nils Lundgren	discrete	numeric-2.0	3295	162545	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Nils Lundgren
232	cc11ad	Like/dislike: Jan Björklund	discrete	numeric-2.0	19579	146261	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jan Björklund
233	cc11ae	Like/dislike: Jimmie Åkesson	discrete	numeric-2.0	24662	141178	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jimmie Åkesson
234	cc11af	Like/dislike: Rick Falkvinge	discrete	numeric-2.0	3234	162606	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Rick Falkvinge
235	cc11ag	Like/dislike: Håkan Juholt	discrete	numeric-2.0	1531	164309	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Håkan Juholt

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
236	cc11ah	Like/dislike: Gustav Fridolin	discrete	numeric-2.0	13081	152759	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gustav Fridolin
237	cc11ai	Like/dislike: Åsa Romson	discrete	numeric-2.0	7983	157857	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Åsa Romson
238	cc11aj	Like/dislike: Anna Troberg	discrete	numeric-2.0	4699	161141	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Anna Troberg
239	cc11ak	Like/dislike: Annie Lööf	discrete	numeric-2.0	18164	147676	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Annie Lööf
240	cc11al	Like/dislike: Jonas Sjöstedt	discrete	numeric-2.0	16546	149294	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jonas Sjöstedt
241	cc11am	Like/dislike: Stefan Löfven	discrete	numeric-2.0	16633	149207	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Stefan Löfven
242	cc11an	Like/dislike: Anna Kinberg Batra	discrete	numeric-2.0	4910	160930	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Anna Kinberg Batra
243	cc11ao	Like/dislike: Ebba Busch Thor	discrete	numeric-2.0	11756	154084	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Ebba Busch Thor
244	cc11ap	Like/dislike: Isabella Lövin	discrete	numeric-2.0	8563	157277	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Isabella Lövin
245	cc11aq	Like/dislike: Victoria Kawesa	discrete	numeric-2.0	1755	164085	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Victoria Kawesa
246	cc11ar	Like/dislike: Magdalena Andersson	discrete	numeric-2.0	3373	162467	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Magdalena Andersson
247	cc11as	Like/dislike: Ulf Kristersson	discrete	numeric-2.0	8601	157239	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Ulf Kristersson
248	cc11at	Like/dislike: Gita Nabavi	discrete	numeric-2.0	1763	164077	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Gita Nabavi
249	cc11au	Like/dislike: Nyamko Sabuni	discrete	numeric-2.0	5083	160757	-
250	cc11av	Like/dislike: Per Bolund	discrete	numeric-2.0	5083	160757	-

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
251	cc11ax	Like/dislike: Nooshi Dadgostar	discrete	numeric-2.0	11423	154417	-
252	cd100a	Importance of political issues: The taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	158916	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The taxes
253	cd100b	Importance of political issues: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	158916	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The environment
254	cd100c	Importance of political issues: Employment	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	158916	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Employment
255	cd100d	Importance of political issues: Law and order	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	158916	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Law and order
256	cd100e	Importance of political issues: Refugees/Immigration	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	158916	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Refugees/Immigration
257	cd100f	Importance of political issues: EU/EMU	discrete	numeric-2.0	5146	160694	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - EU \EMU
258	cd100g	Importance of political issues: EMU	discrete	numeric-11.0	1778	164062	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - EMU
259	cd100h	Importance of political issues: School/education	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	158916	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - School/education
260	cd100i	Importance of political issues: The child care	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	158916	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The child care
261	cd100j	Importance of political issues: Medical services	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Medical services
262	cd100k	Importance of political issues: The healthcare sector	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	162323	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The healthcare sector
263	cd100l	Importance of political issues: The elderly care	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	158916	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The elderly care
264	cd100m	Importance of political issues: The nuclear power	discrete	numeric-2.0	3407	162433	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The nuclear power
265	cd100n	Importance of political issues: Energy/nuclear power	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	162323	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Energy/nuclear power
266	cd100o	Importance of political issues: The government issue	discrete	numeric-2.0	6924	158916	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The government issue
267	cd100p	Importance of political issues: Mass media	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	164136	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Mass media
268	cd100q	Importance of political issues: IT	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	164136	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - IT

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
269	cd100r	Importance of political issues: Conditions for businesses/companies	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	162323	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Conditions for businesses/companies
270	cd100s	Importance of political issues: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	162323	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The Swedish economy
271	cd100t	Importance of political issues: The access to housing	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	162323	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The access to housing
272	cd100u	Importance of political issues: Gender equality	discrete	numeric-5.0	3517	162323	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Gender equality
273	cd100v	Importance of political issues: Foreign and security issues	discrete	numeric-11.0	1778	164062	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Foreign and security issues
274	cd110a	Opinion about policy area: Unemployment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Unemployment
275	cd110b	Opinion about policy area: Gender equality	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Gender equality
276	cd110c	Opinion about policy area: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The environment
277	cd110d	Opinion about policy area: The elderly care	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The elderly care
278	cd110e	Opinion about policy area: Schools and education	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Schools and education
279	cd110f	Opinion about policy area: Conditions for businesses/ companies	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies
280	cd110g	Opinion about policy area: Refugees/Immigration	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Refugees/Immigration
281	cd110h	Opinion about policy area: Energy/nuclear power	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Energy/nuclear power
282	cd110i	Opinion about policy area: Law and order	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Law and order
283	cd110j	Opinion about policy area: The child care	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The child care
284	cd110k	Opinion about policy area: Healthcare	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Healthcare
285	cd110l	Opinion about policy area: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The Swedish economy

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
286	cd110m	Opinion about policy area: Mass media	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	164136	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Mass media
287	cd110n	Opinion about policy area: IT	discrete	numeric-2.0	1704	164136	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - IT
288	cd111a	Opinion about policy area: The unemployment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The unemployment
289	cd111b	Opinion about policy area: Gender equality	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	162323	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Gender equality
290	cd111c	Opinion about policy area: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The environment
291	cd111d	Opinion about policy area: The elderly care	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The elderly care
292	cd111e	Opinion about policy area: Schools and education	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Schools and education
293	cd111f	Opinion about policy area: Conditions for businesses/ companies	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies
294	cd111g	Opinion about policy area: Refugees/Immigration	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Refugees/ Immigration
295	cd111h	Opinion about policy area: Energy/nuclear power	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Energy/nuclear power
296	cd111i	Opinion about policy area: Law and order	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	162323	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Law and order
297	cd111j	Opinion about policy area: The child care	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The child care
298	cd111k	Opinion about policy area: Healthcare	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Healthcare
299	cd111l	Opinion about policy area: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	162323	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The Swedish economy
300	cd111m	Opinion about policy area: The taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	162323	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The taxes
301	cd111n	Opinion about policy area: EU policies	discrete	numeric-2.0	5333	160507	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - EU policies
302	cd111o	Opinion about policy area: The access to housing	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	162323	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The access to housing

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
303	cd111p	Opinion about policy area: EMU	discrete	numeric-2.0	3594	162246	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - EMU
304	cd111q	Opinion about policy area: Foreign and security issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	3594	162246	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Foreign and security issues
305	cd200	Sweden developing: In the right or wrong direction?	discrete	numeric-2.0	22043	143797	Generally, do you find that Sweden is developing in the right direction or wrong direction?
306	cd240a	Proposal: Make Sweden a republic with an elected president	discrete	numeric-2.0	28590	137250	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Make Sweden a republic with an elected president
307	cd240b	Proposal: Make Sweden a republic	discrete	numeric-2.0	10221	155619	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Make Sweden a republic
308	cd245	Do you think Sweden should keep or abolish monarchy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	23804	142036	Do you think Sweden should keep or abolish monarchy?
309	cd900a	Proposal: Hold more municipal referendums	discrete	numeric-2.0	10092	155748	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold more municipal referendums
310	cd900b	Proposal: Lower the voting age to 16 in all elections	discrete	numeric-2.0	8355	157485	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Lower the voting age to 16 in all elections
311	cd900c	Proposal: Delegate decisions in important issues to experts	discrete	numeric-2.0	10180	155660	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Delegate decisions in important issues to experts
312	cd900d	Proposal: Lower the election threshold to the parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	4912	160928	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Lower the election threshold to the parliament
313	cd900e	Proposal: Hold more national referendums	discrete	numeric-2.0	8403	157437	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold more national referendums
314	cd900f	Proposal: Hold municipal and parliamentary elections at different times	discrete	numeric-2.0	11755	154085	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold municipal and parliamentary elections at different times
315	ce900a	Proposal: Increase government funding of rural areas in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	23247	142593	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Increase

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							government funding of rural areas in Sweden
316	ce900b	Proposal: Increase the number of wolves in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	8215	157625	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Increase the number of wolves in Sweden
317	samprob1 ..	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
318	samprob2 ..	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
319	samprob3 ..	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
320	samprob1 ..	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	55279	110561	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
321	samprob2 ..	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	55279	110561	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
322	samprob3 ..	Important societal issues or problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	55279	110561	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
323	sprobnamnt	Important societal issues or problems: Mentioned an issue	discrete	numeric-8.0	122692	43148	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
324	antalspr ..	Important societal issues or problems: Number of issues mentioned	continuous	numeric-8.0	122692	43148	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
325	sprob100	Important societal issues or problems: Taxes	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
326	sprob2000	Important societal issues or problems: Economy in general	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
327	sprob3000	Important societal issues or problems: The public sector, public services	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
328	sprob4000	Important societal issues or problems: Social policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
329	sprob5000	Important societal issues or problems: Pensions, pensioner issues	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
330	sprob6000	Important societal issues or problems: Eldercare	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
331	sprob7000	Important societal issues or problems: Health care	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
332	sprob8000	Important societal issues or problems: Alcohol/narcotics	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
333	sprob8500	Important societal issues or problems: Family policy, child care	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
334	sprob10000	Important societal issues or problems: Education policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
335	sprob11000	Important societal issues or problems: Sports, recreation	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
336	sprob12000	Important societal issues or problems: Housing policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
337	sprob13000	Important societal issues or problems: Regional policy, decentralization	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
338	sprob14000	Important societal issues or problems: Agricultural policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
339	sprob15000	Important societal issues or problems: Bureaucracy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
340	sprob16000	Important societal issues or problems: Environment	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
341	sprob17000	Important societal issues or problems: Communcations, traffic	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
342	sprob18000	Important societal issues or problems: Correctional treatment, police, crime	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
343	sprob19000	Important societal issues or problems: Religion, moral values, ethics	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
344	sprob20000	Important societal issues or problems: Mass media	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
345	sprob21000	Important societal issues or problems: Peace, international disarmament	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
346	sprob22000	Important societal issues or problems: Swedish foreign and security policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
347	sprob23000	Important societal issues or problems: International issues in general	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
348	sprob24000	Important societal issues or problems: Employment, unemployment	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
349	sprob25000	Important societal issues or problems: Commercial policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
350	sprob26000	Important societal issues or problems: Energy policy	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
351	sprob27000	Important societal issues or problems: State control of businesses	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
352	sprob28000	Important societal issues or problems: Participation in decision-making	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
353	sprob29000	Important societal issues or problems: Wage earner funds	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
354	sprob29400	Important societal issues or problems: Discrimination, equity	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
355	sprob29500	Important societal issues or problems: Gender equality	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
356	sprob29900	Important societal issues or problems: LGBTQ issues	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
357	sprob30000	Important societal issues or problems: Rule of law	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
358	sprob31000	Important societal issues or problems: Immigration policy, immigrants	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
359	sprob32000	Important societal issues or problems: Other specific issues	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
360	sprob32500	Important societal issues or problems: Future issues	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
361	sprob33000	Important societal issues or problems: Ideology	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
362	sprob35000	Important societal issues or problems: Party politics (specific parties)	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
363	sprob36000	Important societal issues or problems: Trust in parties and politicians	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
364	sprob37000	Important societal issues or problems: Party leaders	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
365	sprob38000	Important societal issues or problems: Social class	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
366	sprob39000	Important societal issues or problems: The current government/cabinet	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
367	sprob50000	Important societal issues or problems: Local and municipal issues	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
368	sprob80000	Important societal issues or problems: EU in general	discrete	numeric-8.0	67413	98427	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
369	da10a	Change during the past 12 months: Your own economic situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	93876	71964	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - Your own economic situation
370	da10b	Change during the past 12 months: The economy of your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	63791	102049	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The economy of your municipality

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
371	da10c	Change during the past 12 months: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	93876	71964	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The Swedish economy
372	da11a	Change during the past 12 months: Your own economic situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	20081	145759	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - Your own economic situation
373	da11b	Change during the past 12 months: The economy of your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	11324	154516	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
374	da11c	Change during the past 12 months: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	20081	145759	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The Swedish economy
375	da20a	Change during the next 12 months: Your own economic situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	82381	83459	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - Your own economic situation
376	da20b	Change during the next 12 months: The economy of your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	63791	102049	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
377	da20c	Change during the next 12 months: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	82381	83459	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The Swedish economy
378	da21a	Change during the next 12 months: Your own economic situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	20081	145759	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - Your own economic situation
379	da21b	Change during the next 12 months: The economy of your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	11324	154516	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
380	da21c	Change during the next 12 months: The Swedish economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	20081	145759	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The Swedish economy
381	db900a	Proposal: Liberalize labour legislation	discrete	numeric-2.0	20461	145379	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Liberalize labour legislation
382	db900b	Proposal: Introduce six-hour workday	discrete	numeric-2.0	58779	107061	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Introduce six-hour workday
383	db900c	Proposal: Set a time limit on unemployment benefits	discrete	numeric-2.0	5147	160693	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Set a time limit on unemployment benefits
384	db900d	Proposal: Increase wage differences	discrete	numeric-2.0	5182	160658	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase wage differences

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
385	db900e	Proposal: Prioritize labor market politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	10443	155397	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Prioritize labor market politics
386	db900f	Proposal: Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to accept available jobs	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	162323	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to accept available jobs
387	db900g	Proposal: Stricter requirem. for unemployed to move to where jobs are available	discrete	numeric-2.0	3517	162323	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to move to where there are jobs available
388	db900i	Proposal: Increase unemployment benefits	discrete	numeric-2.0	19754	146086	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Increase unemployment benefits
389	db900j	Proposal: Increase labor migration to Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	19006	146834	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? Increase labor migration to Sweden
390	dc900a	Proposal: Raise taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	19228	146612	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Raise taxes
391	dc900b	Proposal: Lower taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	62333	103507	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Lower taxes
392	ea100n	Opinion about public services: Libraries	discrete	numeric-2.0	44278	121562	What is your opinion about the service in the municipality where you live in regards to the following areas: - Libraries
393	ea151a	Opinion about publ services last 12 months in: The municipality where you live	discrete	numeric-2.0	45443	120397	Overall, what is your opinion about the public services provided in the last 12 months in: - The municipality where you live
394	ea151b	Opinion about publ services last 12 months in: The county/region where you live	discrete	numeric-2.0	36736	129104	Overall, what is your opinion about the public services provided in the last 12 months in: - The county where you live
395	ea900a	Proposal: Reduce the public sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	100270	65570	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce the public sector
396	ea900b	Proposal: Reduce spending in the public sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	5310	160530	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Reduce spending in the public sector

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
397	eb900a	Proposal: Convert public utilities like Swedish Telecom into private enterprises	discrete	numeric-2.0	18914	146926	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Convert public utilities, like Swedish Telecom, into private enterprises
398	eb900b	Proposal: Sell government owned companies that run business activities	discrete	numeric-2.0	6498	159342	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sell government owned companies that run business activities
399	eb900f	Proposal: Have the state take over respoinsibility for the schools from the municipalities	discrete	numeric-2.0	15244	150596	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Have the state take over respoinsibility for the schools from the municipalities
400	ed900a	Proposal: Increase the privatization of health care	discrete	numeric-2.0	78317	87523	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the privatization of health care
401	ed900c	Proposal: Allow private companies to provide care for the elderly	discrete	numeric-2.0	52042	113798	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow private companies to provide care for the elderly
402	ed900i	Proposal: Profits should be forbidden within tax-financed health care, education, and social care.	discrete	numeric-2.0	18662	147178	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Profits should be forbidden within tax-financed health care, education, and social care.
403	ed900h	Proposal: Prevent for-profit companies from running hospitals	discrete	numeric-2.0	8049	157791	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Prevent for-profit companies from running hospitals
404	eg10	Past 12 months: Visited a library	discrete	numeric-2.0	79648	86192	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited a library
405	eg200	Overall, how do you feel about library services provided in your municipality?	discrete	numeric-2.0	33320	132520	Overall, how do you feel about the library services provided in your municipality?
406	eh10a	Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: The pension system	discrete	numeric-2.0	13361	152479	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? The pension system
407	eh10b	Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: Health insurance	discrete	numeric-2.0	11716	154124	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? Health insurance
408	eh10c	Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: A-kassan (the unemployment insurance fund)	discrete	numeric-2.0	13361	152479	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? A-kassan (the unemployment insurance)
409	fa900b	Proposal: Reduce defence spending	discrete	numeric-2.0	52456	113384	How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy? - Reduce defence spending

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
410	fa900i	Proposal: Reduce Swedish foreign aid to developing countries	discrete	numeric-2.0	56796	109044	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce Swedish foreign aid to developing countries
411	fa900t	Proposal: Sweden should apply for NATO membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	48874	116966	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should apply for NATO membership
412	fa900al	Proposal: Re-establish compulsory national service	discrete	numeric-2.0	8119	157721	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Re-establish compulsory national service
413	fa901a	Proposal: Stop Sweden´s participation in the UN military mission in Afghanistan	discrete	numeric-2.0	9599	156241	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Discontinue Sweden´s participation in the UN military mission in Afghanistan
414	fa901c	Proposal: The Nordic countries should come together and form a federal state	discrete	numeric-2.0	1652	164188	And what is your opinion about the following proposals that have appeared in the debate on foreign policy? - The Nordic countries should come together and form a federal state
415	fa901d	Proposal: Sweden should not be involved in any military deployments abroad	discrete	numeric-2.0	6408	159432	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should not be involved in any military deployments abroad
416	fb10	In general, what is your attitude towards the EU?	discrete	numeric-2.0	105006	60834	In general, what is your attitude towards the EU?
417	fb100	View on membership in the EU/EG	discrete	numeric-2.0	75748	90092	What is your opinion on the Swedish membership of the EU?
418	fb110	Determined wiev on membership in the EG/EU	discrete	numeric-2.0	26581	139259	Is your opinion on this issue definite or do you feel a bit uncertain?
419	fb200a	EU membership would mean for Sweden: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	10183	155657	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The environment
420	fb200b	EU membership would mean for Sweden: The labor market	discrete	numeric-2.0	10183	155657	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The labor market
421	fb200c	EU membership would mean for Sweden: The social security	discrete	numeric-2.0	10183	155657	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The social security
422	fb200d	EU membership would mean for Sweden: The economic development	discrete	numeric-2.0	10183	155657	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The economic development
423	fb200e	EU membership would mean for Sweden: The credibility of Swedish neutrality	discrete	numeric-2.0	8479	157361	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The credibility of Swedish neutrality
424	fb210a	EU membership has meant for Sw: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							development of the following areas in Sweden - The environment
425	fb210b	EU membership has meant for Sw: The economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The economy
426	fb210c	EU membership has meant for Sw: The employment	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The employment
427	fb210d	EU membership has meant for Sw: The agriculture	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The agriculture
428	fb210e	EU membership has meant for Sw: The social security	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The social security
429	fb210f	EU membership has meant for Sw: The equality between women and men	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The equality between women and men
430	fb210g	EU membership has meant for Sw: The military security	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The military security
431	fb210h	EU membership has meant for Sw: The national independence	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The national independence
432	fb210i	EU membership has meant for Sw: The opportunity of influencing EU development	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU
433	fb210j	EU membership has meant for Sw: The level of food prices	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The level of food prices
434	fb210k	EU membership has meant for Sw: The fight against crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The fight against crime
435	fb210l	EU membership has meant for Sw: Companies competitiveness	discrete	numeric-2.0	1754	164086	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Companies competitiveness
436	fb211a	EU membership has meant for Sw: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	21862	143978	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The environment
437	fb211b	EU membership has meant for Sw: The economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	21862	143978	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The economy

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
438	fb211c	EU membership has meant for Sw: The employment	discrete	numeric-2.0	21862	143978	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The employment
439	fb211d	EU membership has meant for Sw: The agriculture	discrete	numeric-2.0	18544	147296	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The agriculture
440	fb211e	EU membership has meant for Sw: The social security	discrete	numeric-2.0	16693	149147	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The social security
441	fb211f	EU membership has meant for Sw: The equality between women and men	discrete	numeric-2.0	15041	150799	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The equality between women and men
442	fb211g	EU membership has meant for Sw: The military security	discrete	numeric-2.0	15259	150581	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The military security
443	fb211h	EU membership has meant for Sw: The national independence	discrete	numeric-2.0	11982	153858	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The national independence
444	fb211i	EU membership has meant for Sw: The opportunity of influencing EU development	discrete	numeric-2.0	13564	152276	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU
445	fb211j	EU membership has meant for Sw: The level of food prices	discrete	numeric-2.0	18749	147091	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The level of food prices
446	fb211k	EU membership has meant for Sw: The fight against crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	18665	147175	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The fight against crime
447	fb211l	EU membership has meant for Sw: Companies competitiveness	discrete	numeric-2.0	8664	157176	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Companies competitiveness
448	fb211m	EU membership has meant for Sw: Conditions for businesses/companies	discrete	numeric-2.0	8298	157542	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Conditions for businesses/companies
449	fb211n	EU membership has meant for Sw: Higher education/research	discrete	numeric-2.0	16933	148907	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Higher education/research
450	fb211o	EU membership has meant for Sw: Immigrants and refugees	discrete	numeric-2.0	18306	147534	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Immigrants and refugees
451	fb211p	EU membership has meant for Sw: The alcohol policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	8148	157692	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							development of the following areas in Sweden - The policy on alcohol
452	fb212a	EU membership has affected Sweden: The environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	16633	149207	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The environment
453	fb212b	EU membership has affected Sweden: The economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	16633	149207	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The economy
454	fb212c	EU membership has affected Sweden: The employment	discrete	numeric-2.0	16633	149207	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The employment
455	fb212d	EU membership has affected Sweden: The social security	discrete	numeric-2.0	15053	150787	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The social security
456	fb212e	EU membership has affected Sweden: The national independence	discrete	numeric-2.0	4813	161027	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The national independence
457	fb212f	EU membership has affected Sweden: The opportunity of influencing EU development	discrete	numeric-2.0	14924	150916	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU
458	fb212g	EU membership has affected Sweden: Higher education/ research	discrete	numeric-2.0	4813	161027	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - Higher education/research
459	fb212h	EU membership has affected Sweden: The fight against crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	14924	150916	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The fight against crime
460	fb212i	EU membership has affected Sweden: The immigration	discrete	numeric-2.0	16633	149207	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The immigration
461	fb212j	EU membership has affected Sweden: The alcohol policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	3233	162607	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The alcohol policy
462	fb212k	EU membership has affected Sweden: The agriculture	discrete	numeric-2.0	11820	154020	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The agriculture
463	fb212l	EU membership has affected Sweden: The equality between women and men	discrete	numeric-2.0	11820	154020	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The equality between women and men
464	fb212m	EU membership has affected Sweden: Conditions for businesses/companies	discrete	numeric-2.0	4933	160907	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
465	fb212n	EU membership has affected Sweden: The military security	discrete	numeric-2.0	13465	152375	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? The military security
466	fb900g	Proposal: Sweden should participate in the defence cooperation within the EU	discrete	numeric-2.0	12906	152934	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should participate in the defence cooperation within the EU
467	fb900j1	Proposal: Introduce a common currency in the EU	discrete	numeric-2.0	5310	160530	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Introduce a common currency in the EU
468	fb900j2	Proposal: Sweden should become a member of the EMU	discrete	numeric-2.0	23171	142669	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sweden should become a member of the EMU
469	fb900j3	Proposal: Sweden should introduce the euro as currency	discrete	numeric-2.0	29628	136212	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should introduce the euro as currency
470	fb900j	Proposal: Sweden should introduce the euro as currency	discrete	numeric-2.0	58109	107731	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should introduce the euro as currency
471	fb900l	Proposal: Sweden should withdraw from the EU	discrete	numeric-2.0	28190	137650	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should withdraw from the EU
472	fb900m	Proposal: Turkey should be granted EU membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	9838	156002	How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy? - Turkey should be granted EU membership
473	fb900n	Proposal: The EU should have a common fiscal policy	discrete	numeric-2.0	7031	158809	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - The EU should have a common fiscal policy
474	fb900o	Proposal: Strengthen the EU as a counterweight to the US in world politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	7000	158840	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Strengthen the EU as a counterweight to the US in world politics
475	fc10a	Statement: There are too many foreigners in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	10336	155504	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opion about the following standpoints? - There are too many foreigners in Sweden
476	fc10b	Statement: Immigrants in Sweden must be able to freely practice their religion	discrete	numeric-2.0	10336	155504	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opion about the following standpoints? - Immigrants in Sweden must be able to freely practice their religion
477	fc10c	Statement: Some ethnic groups are more intelligent than others	discrete	numeric-2.0	10336	155504	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opion about the following standpoints? - Some ethnic groups are more intelligent than others

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
478	fc10d	Statement: I can think of joining org. that works against racism/xenophobia	discrete	numeric-2.0	10336	155504	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opion about the following standpoints? - I can think of joining an organization that works against racism and xenophobia
479	fc10e	Statement: I would not appreciate having an immigrant marrying into the family	discrete	numeric-2.0	10336	155504	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opion about the following standpoints? - I would not appreciate having an immigrant from another part of the world marrying into the family
480	fc20a	Statement: Immigration is a threat to Swedish values and culture	discrete	numeric-2.0	13351	152489	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Immigration is a threat to Swedish values and culture
481	fc20b	Statement: Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here	discrete	numeric-2.0	14931	150909	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here
482	fc20c	Statement: I know many who feel that immigration is the most important soc issue	discrete	numeric-2.0	3220	162620	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I now many people who feel that the problems related to immigration form the most important societal issue of today
483	fc20d	Statement: Swedish media will not tell the truth about the immigration problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	6542	159298	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Swedish media will not tell the truth about the problems in society related to immigration
484	fc20e	Statement: I can think of joining org. that works against racism/xenophobia	discrete	numeric-2.0	3220	162620	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I can think of joining an organization that works against racism and xenophobia
485	fc20f	Statement: I´d consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	3220	162620	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I would consider joining an organisation that wants to stop immigration to Sweden
486	fc30b	Statement: Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here	discrete	numeric-2.0	18633	147207	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here
487	fc30e	Statement: I´d consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	13556	152284	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? I´d consider

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden
488	fc900a	Proposal: Accept more refugees into Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	37714	128126	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Accept more refugees into Sweden
489	fc900b	Proposal: Accept fewer refugees into Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	124410	41430	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Accept fewer refugees into Sweden
490	fc900e	Proposal: Immigration policies should adjust refugees/immigrants to Sw culture	discrete	numeric-2.0	6697	159143	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Swedish immigration policy should help refugees and immigrants adjust to Swedish traditions and culture
491	fc900f	Proposal: Immgration pol. should help refugees/ immigrants maintain their culture	discrete	numeric-2.0	6697	159143	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Swedish immgration policy should help refugees and immigrants maintain their national traditions and culture
492	ga10a	Concern about: Terrorism	discrete	numeric-2.0	58705	107135	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Terrorism
493	ga10b	Concern about: Tensions between rich and poor countries	discrete	numeric-2.0	8280	157560	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Tensions between rich and poor countries
494	ga10c	Concern about: Military arms races	discrete	numeric-2.0	3267	162573	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Military arms races
495	ga10d	Concern about: Population growth	discrete	numeric-2.0	6707	159133	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Population growth
496	ga10f	Concern about: The risk of a war between world superpowers	discrete	numeric-2.0	1643	164197	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - The risk of a war between world superpowers
497	ga10g	Concern about: Environmental degradation	discrete	numeric-2.0	57062	108778	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Environmental degradation
498	ga10h	Concern about: Economic crisis	discrete	numeric-2.0	57053	108787	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Economic crisis
499	ga10i	Concern about: The situation in Russia	discrete	numeric-2.0	18818	147022	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - The situation in Russia
500	ga10j	Concern about: Increased number of refugees	discrete	numeric-2.0	45850	119990	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased number of refugees
501	ga10k	Concern about: Spreading of the Balkan war	discrete	numeric-2.0	3561	162279	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Spreading of the Balkan war
502	ga10l	Concern about: High unemployment	discrete	numeric-2.0	52020	113820	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - High unemployment

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
503	ga10m	Concern about: Poverty in the Third World	discrete	numeric-2.0	11988	153852	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Poverty in the Third World
504	ga10p	Concern about: Neo nazism	discrete	numeric-2.0	8768	157072	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Neo nazism
505	ga10q	Concern about: Changes in the Earth´s climate	discrete	numeric-2.0	53865	111975	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Changes in the Earth´s climate
506	ga10r	Concern about: Organised crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	35580	130260	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Organised crime
507	ga10s	Concern about: A new world war	discrete	numeric-2.0	6753	159087	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - A new world war
508	ga10u	Concern about: Religious antagonism	discrete	numeric-2.0	14920	150920	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Religious antagonism
509	ga10v	Concern about: Increased alcohol consumption	discrete	numeric-2.0	19936	145904	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased alcohol consumption
510	ga10w	Concern about: Natural disasters	discrete	numeric-2.0	6671	159169	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Natural disasters
511	ga10x	Concern about: Military conflicts	discrete	numeric-2.0	29958	135882	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Military conflicts
512	ga10z	Concern about: Global epidemics	discrete	numeric-2.0	28734	137106	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Global epidemics
513	ga10aa	Concern about: Weakened democracy	discrete	numeric-2.0	21654	144186	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Weakened democracy
514	ga10ab	Concern about: Increased social inequality	discrete	numeric-2.0	34671	131169	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased social inequality
515	ga10ac	Concern about: Degradation of the marine environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	25165	140675	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Degradation of the marine environment
516	ga10ae	Concern about: Widespread corruption	discrete	numeric-2.0	11300	154540	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Widespread corruption
517	ga10af	Concern about: Political extremism	discrete	numeric-2.0	20140	145700	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Political extremism
518	ga10ag	Concern about: Worsened welfare	discrete	numeric-2.0	15294	150546	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? Worsened welfare
519	ga10ah	Concern about: Increased xenophobia	discrete	numeric-2.0	15482	150358	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? Increased xenophobia
520	ga10ai	Concern about: Restrictions on freedom of expression	discrete	numeric-2.0	10319	155521	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							the future? - Restrictions on freedom of expression
521	ga10aj	Concern about: Increased usage of narcotics	discrete	numeric-2.0	10316	155524	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased usage of narcotics
522	ga10ak	Concern about: Housing shortage	discrete	numeric-2.0	12062	153778	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Housing shortage
523	ga10al	Concern about: Increased antibiotic resistance	discrete	numeric-2.0	10437	155403	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased antibiotic resistance
524	ga100a	Combat security threats: Camera surveilance of public places	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	158967	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
525	ga100b	Combat security threats: Telephone tapping	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	158967	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
526	ga100c	Combat security threats: Registering of citizen opinions	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	158967	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
527	ga100d	Combat security threats: Screening of mail and parcels	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	158967	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
528	ga100e	Combat security threats: Censoring of the press, radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	158967	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
529	ga100f	Combat security threats: Banning of meetings and demonstrations	discrete	numeric-2.0	6873	158967	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
530	ga100g	Combat security threats: Surveillance of telephone/ data traffic to/from Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	5095	160745	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
531	gb10a	Anxiety about your own situation: Lacking money for an unexpected expense	discrete	numeric-2.0	8207	157633	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Lacking money for an unexpected expense
532	gb10b	Anxiety about your own situation: Not receiving a sufficiently large pension	discrete	numeric-2.0	8207	157633	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Not receiving a sufficiently large pension
533	gb10c	Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming unemployed	discrete	numeric-2.0	8207	157633	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming unemployed
534	gb10d	Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming seriously ill	discrete	numeric-2.0	8207	157633	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming seriously ill
535	gb10e	Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming a victim of crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	8207	157633	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming a victim of crime

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
536	ha10	Generally speaking, how interested are you in environmental issues?	discrete	numeric-3.0	44113	121727	Generally speaking, how interested are you in environmental issues?
537	ha20a	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Pollution from industries	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	139829	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Pollution from industries
538	ha20b	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Exhaust from car traffic	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	139829	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Exhaust from car traffic
539	ha20c	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Oil spillage on the coasts	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	139829	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Oil spillage on the coasts
540	ha20d	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Handling of industry pollution	discrete	numeric-3.0	12264	153576	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Handling of industry pollution
541	ha20e	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Handling of household garbage	discrete	numeric-3.0	12264	153576	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Handling of household garbage
542	ha20f	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Depletion of animal and plant species	discrete	numeric-3.0	22761	143079	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Depletion of animal and plant species
543	ha20g	Threats to the environment in Sweden: The use of chemicals in agriculture	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	139829	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The use of chemicals in agriculture
544	ha20h	Threats to the environment in Sweden: The depletion of the ozone layer	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	139829	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The depletion of the ozone layer
545	ha20i	Threats to the environment in Sweden: The management of high level nuclear waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	26011	139829	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The management of high level nuclear waste
546	ha20j	Threats to the environment in Sweden: The greenhouse effect	discrete	numeric-3.0	8758	157082	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The greenhouse effect
547	ha20k	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Over-fertilisation of lakes and oceans	discrete	numeric-3.0	1666	164174	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Over-fertilisation of lakes and oceans
548	ha20l	Threats to the environment in Sweden: Climate changes	discrete	numeric-3.0	4916	160924	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Climate changes
549	ha30a	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Overfertilization in agriculture and forestry	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Overfertilization in agriculture and forestry
550	ha30b	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Toxic antifouling paints	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Toxic antifouling paints
551	ha30c	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Poisonous emissions from industry	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Poisonous emissions from industry

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
552	ha30d	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Overfishing	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Overfishing
553	ha30e	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Pharmaceutical residues in the sewage water	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Pharmaceutical residues in the sewage water
554	ha30f	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Algal bloom	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Algal bloom
555	ha30g	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Spreading of alien plant and animal species	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Spreading of alien plant and animal species
556	ha30h	Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Wind power stations in the sea/archipelago	discrete	numeric-3.0	4832	161008	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Wind power stations in the sea/archipelago
557	ha100a1	How often do you: Chose to walk or bike instead of motorised transport	discrete	numeric-3.0	15711	150129	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose to walk or bike instead of motorised transport (car, bus etc.)
558	ha100a2	How often do you: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	1778	164062	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose to walk or bike instead of driving
559	ha100a3	How often do you: Chose public transport instead of driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	1778	164062	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose public transport instead of driving
560	ha100b	How often do you: Sort household waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	15907	149933	How often do you personally do the following things? - Sort household waste
561	ha100c	How often do you: Eat biodynamically grown vegetables	discrete	numeric-3.0	17489	148351	How often do you personally do the following things? - Eat biodynamically grown vegetables
562	ha100d	How often do you: Buy environmentally friendly marked products	discrete	numeric-3.0	15907	149933	How often do you personally do the following things? - Buy environmentally friendly marked products
563	ha101a	Do for environmental reasons: Reduce speed when driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	27696	138144	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Reduce speed when driving
564	ha101b1	Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	6943	158897	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to walk or bike instead of driving
565	ha101b2	Do for environmental reasons: Chose public transport instead of driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	8587	157253	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose public transport instead of driving
566	ha101b3	Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk/bike/ use PT instead of driving	discrete	numeric-3.0	9673	156167	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to walk, bike or use public transport instead of driving
567	ha101c	Do for environmental reasons: Sort household waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	16616	149224	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Sort household waste
568	ha101d	Do for environmental reasons: Buy eco-labelled products	discrete	numeric-3.0	16616	149224	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Buy eco-labelled products

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
569	ha101e	Do for environmental reasons: Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits	discrete	numeric-3.0	10207	155633	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits
570	ha101f	Do for environmental reasons: Chose to take the train instead of flying	discrete	numeric-3.0	11851	153989	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to take the train instead of flying
571	ha101g	Do for environmental reasons: Buy locally produced food	discrete	numeric-3.0	6363	159477	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Buy locally produced food
572	ha150a	How often decrease energy consumption: Home heating	discrete	numeric-3.0	8324	157516	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Home heating
573	ha150b	How often decrease energy consumption: Use of electric devices/lights	discrete	numeric-3.0	4826	161014	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Use of electric devices/lights
574	ha150c	How often decrease energy consumption: Purchases of electrical devices/lights	discrete	numeric-3.0	4826	161014	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Purchases of electrical devices/lights
575	ha150d	How often decrease energy consumption: Hot water consumption	discrete	numeric-3.0	8324	157516	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Hot water consumption
576	ha150e	How often decrease energy consumption: Transportation/ travels	discrete	numeric-3.0	8324	157516	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Transportation/travels
577	ha160	How much more is your household willing to pay for so called green electricity?	discrete	numeric-3.0	5108	160732	If your household has or will get access to so-called environmentally friendly electricity (green electricity), how much more do you estimate that your household will be willing to pay for it?
578	ha200a	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Water power	discrete	numeric-3.0	38997	126843	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Water power
579	ha200b	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Wind power	discrete	numeric-3.0	38997	126843	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Wind power
580	ha200c	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Solar energy	discrete	numeric-3.0	32375	133465	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Solar energy
581	ha200d	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Nuclear power	discrete	numeric-3.0	38997	126843	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Nuclear power
582	ha200e	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Biofuels	discrete	numeric-3.0	35520	130320	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Biofuels
583	ha200f	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Fossil/natural gas	discrete	numeric-3.0	29048	136792	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Fossil/ natural gas
584	ha200g	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Coal	discrete	numeric-3.0	29021	136819	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Coal

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
585	ha200h	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Oil	discrete	numeric-3.0	29126	136714	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Oil
586	ha200i	How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Wave power	discrete	numeric-3.0	25109	140731	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Wave power
587	ha800a	How important is it to limit emission sources: Air traffic	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Air traffic
588	ha800b	How important is it to limit emission sources: Truck transports	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Truck transports
589	ha800c	How important is it to limit emission sources: Private motoring	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Private motoring
590	ha800d	How important is it to limit emission sources: Energy consumption in industry	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Energy consumption in industry
591	ha800e	How important is it to limit emission sources: Household energy use	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Household energy use
592	ha800f	How important is it to limit emission sources: Meat production	discrete	numeric-3.0	6498	159342	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Meat production
593	ha900a	Proposal: Ban chemical pesticides in agriculture	discrete	numeric-3.0	8872	156968	Nedanstående lista innefattar ett antal förslag som förekommit i den svenska miljödebatten. Vilken är din åsikt om var och ett av dem? - Ban chemical pesticides in agriculture
594	ha900b	Proposal: Increase the petrol tax substantially to improve the environment	discrete	numeric-3.0	3221	162619	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Increase the petrol tax substantially to improve the environment
595	ha900c	Proposal: Ban plastic bottles and aluminium cans	discrete	numeric-3.0	8811	157029	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							about each of them? - Ban plastic bottles and aluminium cans
596	ha900d	Proposal: Legislate about recycling of household garbage	discrete	numeric-3.0	15785	150055	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Legislate about recycling of household garbage
597	ha900e	Proposal: Prohibit detergents containing optical brighteners	discrete	numeric-3.0	7229	158611	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Prohibit detergents containing optical brighteners
598	ha900m	Proposal: Increase the CO2 tax on petrol	discrete	numeric-2.0	35350	130490	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the CO2 tax on petrol
599	ha901a	Proposal: Increase the CO2 tax on petrol	discrete	numeric-3.0	1778	164062	One important cause of the climate changes that have received attention in recent years is carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions from for example automobiles. What is your opinion about the following measures to limit CO2? - Increase the CO2 tax on petrol
600	hb10_1986	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	1624	164216	Following the nuclear power referendum in 1980, the Swedish parliament decided that all nuclear power in the country should be phased out by 2010. How do you feel about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?
601	hb10_1987	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	18807	147033	In 1980 we had a referendum about nuclear power in Sweden. After the referendum Parliament ruled that nuclear power should be abolished by the year 2010. What is your opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?
602	hb10_1996	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	6976	158864	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
603	hb10_2000	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	8811	157029	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
604	hb10_2005	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	18156	147684	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
605	hb10_2011	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	15217	150623	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
606	hb10_2020	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-2.0	22550	143290	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
607	hb10	Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden	discrete	numeric-3.0	57430	108410	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden? Markera endast ett av svarsalternativen
608	hb20a	Nuclear power risks: Major radioactive emission from a nuclear power plant in Sw	discrete	numeric-3.0	40884	124956	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							power? - How large is the risk of a major accident involving radioactive emission in a nuclear power plant in Sweden
609	hb20b	Nuclear power risks: More cases of cancer/other diseases if we use nuclear power	discrete	numeric-3.0	16900	148940	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of more cases of cancer and other diseases if we use nuclear power
610	hb20c	Nuclear power risks: Increased environmental damage to land, air and water	discrete	numeric-3.0	16900	148940	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power provides increased environmental damage to land, air and water
611	hb20d	Nuclear power risks: Genetic damage to future generations	discrete	numeric-3.0	15322	150518	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power causes genetic damage to future generations?
612	hb20e	Nuclear power risks: Sw is unable to handle/store nuclear waste in a safe manner	discrete	numeric-3.0	40884	124956	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that we in Sweden are not able to handle and store radio-active nuclear waste in a safe manner
613	hb20f	Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons	discrete	numeric-3.0	40884	124956	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power will lead to more and more countries acquiring nuclear weapons
614	hb20g	Nuclear power risks: Accidents in the operation of Swedish nuclear power plants	discrete	numeric-3.0	6901	158939	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents in the operation of Swedish nuclear power plants?
615	hb20h	Nuclear power risks: Accident in connection w transportation of Sw nuclear waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	6901	158939	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents in connection with transportation of Swedish nuclear waste?
616	hb20i	Nuclear power risks: Accidents when storing Swedish nuclear waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	6901	158939	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents when storing Swedish nuclear waste?
617	hb20j	Nuclear power risks: Sabotage/terrorism when storing/transp. Sw nuclear waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	8530	157310	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							sabotage/terrorism in connection with storing or transportation of Swedish nuclear waste?
618	hb20k	Nuclear power risks: E. Europe unable to safely run/ handle nuclear plants/waste	discrete	numeric-3.0	20992	144848	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that the countries of Eastern Europe are unable to safely run their nuclear power plants and handle nuclear waste
619	hb20l	Nuclear power risks: Sabotage/terrorism against a Swedish nuclear power plant	discrete	numeric-3.0	3211	162629	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of sabotage/terrorism against a Swedish nuclear power plant?
620	hb21a	Nuclear power risks: Major radioactive emission from a nuclear power plant in Sw	discrete	numeric-2.0	3055	162785	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of a major accident involving radioactive emission in a nuclear power plant in Sweden
621	hb21b	Nuclear power risks: Sw is unable to handle/store nuclear waste in a safe manner	discrete	numeric-2.0	3055	162785	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that we in Sweden are not able to handle and store radio-active nuclear waste in a safe manner
622	hb21c	Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons	discrete	numeric-2.0	3055	162785	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power will lead to more and more countries acquiring nuclear weapons
623	hb900a	Proposal: Keep nuclear power after 2010	discrete	numeric-3.0	18677	147163	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Keep nuclear power after 2010
624	hb900b	Proposal: Sweden should in the long run abolish nuclear power	discrete	numeric-3.0	58742	107098	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sweden should in the long run abolish nuclear power
625	hb900c	Proposal: Keep the nuclear power	discrete	numeric-3.0	3367	162473	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Keep the nuclear power
626	ia10a	Trust in research in: Medicine	discrete	numeric-2.0	32172	133668	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Medicine
627	ia10b	Trust in research in: Natural science	discrete	numeric-2.0	32172	133668	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Natural science

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
628	ia10c	Trust in research in: Social sciences	discrete	numeric-2.0	32172	133668	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Social sciences
629	ia10d	Trust in research in: Technology	discrete	numeric-2.0	32172	133668	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Technology
630	ia10e	Trust in research in: Humanities	discrete	numeric-2.0	32172	133668	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Humanities
631	ia10f	Trust in research in: Educational sciences	discrete	numeric-2.0	28578	137262	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Educational sciences
632	ic900a	Proposal: Increase the number of private schools	discrete	numeric-2.0	12013	153827	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the number of private schools
633	ic900b	Proposal: Strive towards more independent schools [non-municipality]	discrete	numeric-2.0	37392	128448	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards more independent schools [non-municipality]
634	ja10a	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	55845	109995	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
635	ja10b	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	35414	130426	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
636	ja10c	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Third newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	35414	130426	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
637	ja11a	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	29179	136661	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
638	ja11b	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	29179	136661	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
639	ja11c	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Third newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	16161	149679	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
640	ja12a	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	-

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		newspaper]: First newspaper (2014-)					
641	ja12b	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	-
642	ja14	Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscr. daily newsp]: The most read newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	163462	2378	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
643	ja15a	Specified daily paper: First newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	164111	1729	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
644	ja15b	Specified daily paper: Second newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	157639	8201	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
645	ja15c	Specified daily paper: Third newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	51570	114270	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
646	ja19	Do not read any daily newspapers	discrete	numeric-2.0	164215	1625	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper. - I do not read any printed daily newspapers regularly
647	ja20a	Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: First newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	30948	134892	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
648	ja20b	Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: Second newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	30948	134892	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
649	ja20c	Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: Third newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	17930	147910	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
650	ja24	Morning paper online reading freq [daily newsp]: The most read newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	27006	138834	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
651	ja25a	Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	30930	134910	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
652	ja25b	Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	30936	134904	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
653	ja25c	Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	17923	147917	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
654	ja29	Do not read any morning papers online [mainly subscribed local daily newspaper]	discrete	numeric-2.0	30948	134892	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? - Jag läser aldrig någon morgontidning på internet
655	ja30a	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): Before 8.am	discrete	numeric-2.0	36605	129235	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Before 8.am
656	ja30b	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): 8.am-noon	discrete	numeric-2.0	36605	129235	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 8.am-noon
657	ja30c	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): Noon-5.pm	discrete	numeric-2.0	36605	129235	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Noon-5.pm
658	ja30d	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): After 5.pm	discrete	numeric-2.0	36605	129235	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - After 5.pm
659	ja31a	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Before 6.am	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	163981	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Before 6.am
660	ja31b	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: 6.am-8.am	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	163981	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 6.am-8.am
661	ja31c	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: 8.am-noon	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	163981	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 8.am-noon
662	ja31d	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Noon-5.pm	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	163981	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Noon-5.pm
663	ja31e	Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: After 5.pm	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	163981	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - After 5.pm
664	ja39	I never read a printed daily paper	discrete	numeric-2.0	8896	156944	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - I never read a printed daily paper
665	ja80a	How often do you read: Metro	discrete	numeric-2.0	5433	160407	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Metro
666	ja80b	How often do you read: Stockholm City	discrete	numeric-2.0	3612	162228	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Stockholm City
667	ja80c	How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	1657	164183	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
668	ja81a	How often do you read: Metro	discrete	numeric-2.0	17971	147869	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Metro
669	ja81b	How often do you read: Stockholm City	discrete	numeric-2.0	3675	162165	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Stockholm City
670	ja82a	How often do you read: Metro	discrete	numeric-2.0	18451	147389	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Metro
671	ja82b	How often do you read: Stockholm City	discrete	numeric-2.0	6835	159005	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Stockholm City
672	ja82c	How often do you read: City	discrete	numeric-2.0	11616	154224	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - City
673	ja82d	How often do you read: Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter	discrete	numeric-2.0	15115	150725	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter
674	ja82e	How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	11493	154347	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed
675	ja90a	How often do you read: Metro	discrete	numeric-2.0	41855	123985	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Metro
676	ja90b	How often do you read: Stockholm City	discrete	numeric-2.0	14122	151718	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Stockholm City
677	ja90c	How often do you read: City	discrete	numeric-2.0	11616	154224	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - City
678	ja90d	How often do you read: Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter	discrete	numeric-2.0	15115	150725	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter
679	ja90e	How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	21707	144133	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed
680	ja100a	How often do you read: Aftonbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	34365	131475	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
681	ja100b	How often do you read: Expressen	discrete	numeric-2.0	34365	131475	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
682	ja100c	How often do you read: GT	discrete	numeric-2.0	17578	148262	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT
683	ja100d	How often do you read: Kvällsposten	discrete	numeric-2.0	17578	148262	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten
684	ja100e	How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten	discrete	numeric-2.0	16787	149053	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten
685	ja110a	How often do you read: Aftonbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	19711	146129	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
686	ja110b	How often do you read: Expressen	discrete	numeric-2.0	19711	146129	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
687	ja110c	How often do you read: GT	discrete	numeric-2.0	19711	146129	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
688	ja110d	How often do you read: Kvällsposten	discrete	numeric-2.0	19711	146129	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten
689	ja120a	How often do you read: Aftonbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	54076	111764	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
690	ja120b	How often do you read: Expressen	discrete	numeric-2.0	54076	111764	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
691	ja120c	How often do you read: GT	discrete	numeric-2.0	37289	128551	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT
692	ja120d	How often do you read: Kvällsposten	discrete	numeric-2.0	37289	128551	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten
693	ja130a	How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	155891	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
694	ja130b	How often do you read: Aftonbladet online	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	155891	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
695	ja130c	How often do you read: Expressen printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	155891	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed
696	ja130d	How often do you read: Expressen online	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	155891	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online
697	ja130e	How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	155891	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten printed
698	ja130f	How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten online	discrete	numeric-2.0	9949	155891	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten online
699	ja140a	How often do you read: Evening tabloid printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	3359	162481	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Evening tabloid (Aftonbladet/Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten) printed
700	ja140b	How often do you read: Evening tabloid online	discrete	numeric-2.0	3359	162481	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Evening tabloid (Aftonbladet/Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten) online
701	ja150a	How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	164187	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
702	ja150b	How often do you read: Aftonbladet online	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	164187	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
703	ja150c	How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	164187	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone
704	ja150d	How often do you read: The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	164187	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid printed
705	ja150e	How often do you read: The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid online	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	164187	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid online
706	ja150f	How often do you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	164187	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		evening tabloid on mobile phone					GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid on the mobile phone
707	ja160a	How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	15987	149853	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
708	ja160b	How often do you read: Aftonbladet online	discrete	numeric-2.0	11053	154787	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
709	ja160c	How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	160906	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the computer
710	ja160d	How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	160906	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone
711	ja160e	How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the tablet	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	160906	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the tablet
712	ja160f	How often do you read: Expressen printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	15987	149853	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed
713	ja160g	How often do you read: Expressen online	discrete	numeric-2.0	11053	154787	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online
714	ja160h	How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	160906	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the computer
715	ja160i	How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the mobile phone	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	160906	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the mobile phone
716	ja160j	How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the tablet	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	160906	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the tablet
717	ja170a	How often du you read: Aftonbladet, printed (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? Aftonbladet printed
718	ja170b	How often du you read: Aftonbladet, online (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
719	ja170c	How often du you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, printed (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, printed
720	ja170d	How often du you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, online (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, online
721	ja190a	How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	81665	84175	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
722	ja190b	How often do you read: Expressen printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	80012	85828	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed
723	ja190c	How often do you read: GT printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	37289	128551	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT printed

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
724	ja190d	How often do you read: Kvällsposten printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	37289	128551	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten printed
725	ja190e	How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten printed	discrete	numeric-2.0	26736	139104	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten printed
726	ja194	How often do you read: The most read printed evening tabloid	discrete	numeric-2.0	84516	81324	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
727	ja195a	How often do you read: Aftonbladet online	discrete	numeric-2.0	42355	123485	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
728	ja195b	How often do you read: Expressen online	discrete	numeric-2.0	40702	125138	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online
729	ja196	How often do you read: The most read printed evening tabloid (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
730	ja197	How often do you read: The most read evening tabloid online (2014-)	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
731	ja199	How often do you read: The most read evening tabloid online	discrete	numeric-2.0	49153	116687	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
732	ja300a	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Dagens Nyheter	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
733	ja300b	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Svenska Dagbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
734	ja300c	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: A local morning paper	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
735	ja300d	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Sveriges Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
736	ja300e	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Sveriges Television	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
737	ja300f	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Nyheter24	discrete	numeric-2.0	6876	158964	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
738	ja300g	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Social media	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
739	ja300h	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Flashback	discrete	numeric-2.0	6876	158964	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
740	ja300i	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Non-Swedish news provider	discrete	numeric-2.0	79191	86649	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
741	ja300j	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Other local news provider	discrete	numeric-2.0	72315	93525	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
742	ja300k	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Other news provider	discrete	numeric-2.0	72315	93525	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
743	ja500a	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Articles about radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	6715	159125	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv
744	ja500b	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Foreign news	discrete	numeric-2.0	6715	159125	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news
745	ja500c	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Cultural articles	discrete	numeric-2.0	6715	159125	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Cultural articles
746	ja500d	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Local news	discrete	numeric-2.0	6715	159125	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news
747	ja500e	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Sports	discrete	numeric-2.0	6715	159125	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports
748	ja500f	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Letters to the editor	discrete	numeric-2.0	5091	160749	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor
749	ja500g	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Family news	discrete	numeric-2.0	5091	160749	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news
750	ja501a	Content read in local newspaper: Local news	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	154986	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news
751	ja501b	Content read in local newspaper: Culture	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	154986	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Culture
752	ja501c	Content read in local newspaper: Letters to the editor	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	154986	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor
753	ja501d	Content read in local newspaper: Sports	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	154986	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports
754	ja501e	Content read in local newspaper: Family news	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	154986	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news
755	ja501f	Content read in local newspaper: Articles about radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	10854	154986	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv
756	ja501g	Content read in local newspaper: Foreign news	discrete	numeric-2.0	9023	156817	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
757	ja502a	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Local news	discrete	numeric-2.0	16026	149814	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news
758	ja502b	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Cultural articles	discrete	numeric-2.0	16026	149814	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Cultural articles
759	ja502c	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Letters to the editor	discrete	numeric-2.0	14402	151438	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor
760	ja502d	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Sports	discrete	numeric-2.0	16026	149814	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports
761	ja502e	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Family news	discrete	numeric-2.0	14402	151438	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news
762	ja502f	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Articles about radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	16026	149814	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv
763	ja502g	Content read in local newspaper (not online): Foreign news	discrete	numeric-2.0	14195	151645	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news
764	ja600	Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?	discrete	numeric-2.0	110511	55329	Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?
765	jb10	Past 12 months: Used the Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	42385	123455	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used the Internet
766	jb11	Past 12 months: Used the Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	109950	55890	During the past 12 months, how often have you used the internet?
767	jb19	Past 12 months: Used the Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	150674	15166	During the past 12 months, how often have you used the internet?
768	jb100a	Purpose of personal Internet use: Entertainment/games	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	149456	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Entertainment/games
769	jb100b	Purpose of personal Internet use: Technical use/making home pages	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	149456	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Technical use/making home pages
770	jb100c	Purpose of personal Internet use: Participate in discussion/ chat group	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	149456	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Participate in discussion/chat group
771	jb100d	Purpose of personal Internet use: Hear/read the latest news	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	149456	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Hear/read the latest news
772	jb100e	Purpose of personal Internet use: Fact-finding/information searches	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	149456	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Fact-finding/information searches
773	jb100f	Purpose of personal Internet use: E-mail	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	149456	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - E-mail
774	jb100g	Purpose of personal Internet use: Order products or services	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	149456	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Order products or services

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
775	jb100h	Purpose of personal Internet use: Download/listen to music	discrete	numeric-2.0	11069	154771	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Download/listen to music
776	jb100i	Purpose of personal Internet use: Bank errands	discrete	numeric-2.0	11069	154771	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Bank errands
777	jb100j	Purpose of personal Internet use: Get forms/information from authorities	discrete	numeric-2.0	5528	160312	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Get forms/information from authorities
778	jb100k	Purpose of personal Internet use: Other	discrete	numeric-2.0	16384	149456	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Other
779	jb110a	How often done on the Internet: Sent/received e-mails	discrete	numeric-2.0	91050	74790	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Sent/received e-mails
780	jb110b	How often done on the Internet: Participated in discussion forums	discrete	numeric-2.0	8546	157294	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Participated in discussion forums
781	jb110c	How often done on the Internet: Heard/read the latest news	discrete	numeric-2.0	112004	53836	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Heard/read the latest news
782	jb110d	How often done on the Internet: Fact-finding/information searches	discrete	numeric-2.0	115381	50459	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Fact-finding/information searches
783	jb110e	How often done on the Internet: Commented news articles	discrete	numeric-2.0	31891	133949	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Commented news articles
784	jb110f	How often done on the Internet: Read a blog	discrete	numeric-2.0	55298	110542	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Read a blog
785	jb110g	How often done on the Internet: Bought/ordered products or services	discrete	numeric-2.0	95637	70203	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Bought/ordered products or services
786	jb110h	How often done on the Internet: Made bank errands	discrete	numeric-2.0	43729	122111	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made bank errands
787	jb110i	How often done on the Internet: Made errands at authority websites	discrete	numeric-2.0	69391	96449	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made errands at authority websites
788	jb110j	How often done on the Internet: Downloaded movies or TV series	discrete	numeric-2.0	13345	152495	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Downloaded movies or TV series
789	jb110k	How often done on the Internet: Chatted	discrete	numeric-2.0	8276	157564	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Chatted
790	jb110l	How often done on the Internet: Bought/sold wares via personal ads	discrete	numeric-2.0	10033	155807	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Bought/sold wares via personal ads
791	jb110m	How often done on the Internet: Made library errands	discrete	numeric-2.0	20410	145430	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made library errands
792	jb110n	How often done on the Internet: Played online games	discrete	numeric-2.0	87644	78196	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Played online games

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
793	jb110o	How often done on the Internet: Written own blog	discrete	numeric-2.0	34978	130862	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Written own blog
794	jb110p	How often done on the Internet: Used social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)	discrete	numeric-2.0	106696	59144	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)
795	jb110q	How often done on the Internet: Searched information about current research	discrete	numeric-2.0	3215	162625	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Searched information about current research
796	jb110r	How often done on the Internet: Searched information about health care	discrete	numeric-2.0	8165	157675	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Searched information about health care
797	jb110s	How often done on the Internet: Watched video clips	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	165840	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Watched video clips
798	jb110t	How often done on the Internet: Used music service (e.g. Spotify)	discrete	numeric-2.0	6345	159495	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
799	jb110u	How often done on the Internet: Watched eSports	discrete	numeric-2.0	22052	143788	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
800	jb110v	How often done on the internet: Read article comments	discrete	numeric-2.0	20412	145428	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
801	jb120a	How frequently do you visit the websites of: Your municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	28232	137608	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your municipality
802	jb120b	How frequently do you visit the websites of: Your county council/regional government	discrete	numeric-2.0	12889	152951	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your county council/regional government
803	jb120c	How frequently do you visit the websites of: The Swedish Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	16242	149598	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish Parliament
804	jb120d	How frequently do you visit the websites of: The Swedish government's website	discrete	numeric-2.0	8182	157658	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish government's website
805	jb120e	How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any political party	discrete	numeric-2.0	28232	137608	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any political party
806	jb120f	How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any member of Parliament	discrete	numeric-2.0	25052	140788	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any member of Parliament
807	jb120g	How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any local politicians	discrete	numeric-2.0	25052	140788	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any local politicians
808	jb121a	How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Your municipality's website	discrete	numeric-2.0	16405	149435	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your municipality's website
809	jb121b	How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Your county/region home page	discrete	numeric-2.0	7303	158537	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your county/region home page

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
810	jb121c	How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: The Swedish Parliament's website	discrete	numeric-2.0	16405	149435	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish Parliament's website
811	jb121d	How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: The Swedish government's/ Rosenbad's website	discrete	numeric-2.0	16405	149435	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish government's/ Rosenbad's website
812	jb121e	How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Any political party's website	discrete	numeric-2.0	16405	149435	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any political party's website
813	jc10a	How often do you listen to: P1 Swedish Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	85455	80385	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P1 Swedish Radio
814	jc10b	How often do you listen to: P2 Swedish Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	75297	90543	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P2 Swedish Radio
815	jc10c	How often do you listen to: P3 Swedish Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	82183	83657	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P3 Swedish Radio
816	jc10d1	How often do you listen to: P4 Swedish Radio/local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	83877	81963	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P4 Swedish Radio/local radio
817	jc10d2	How often do you listen to: Local radio stations	discrete	numeric-2.0	1578	164262	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Local radio stations
818	jc10e	How often do you listen to: Rix FM [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	61734	104106	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Rix FM [private radio station]
819	jc10f1	How often do you listen to: Radio City [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	21679	144161	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Radio City [private radio station]
820	jc10f2	How often do you listen to: Mix Megapol [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	54777	111063	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Mix Megapol [private radio station]
821	jc10f3	How often do you listen to: Mix Megapol/Radio City [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3476	162364	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Mix Megapol/Radio City [private radio station]
822	jc10g	How often do you listen to: NRJ (Radio Energy) [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	26816	139024	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - NRJ (Radio Energy) [private radio station]
823	jc10i	How often do you listen to: P6 (previously Z-radio) [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3481	162359	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P6 (previously Z-radio) [private radio station]
824	jc10j	How often do you listen to: "Lugna favoriter" [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	15780	150060	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - "Lugna favoriter" [private radio station]
825	jc10k	How often do you listen to: The Voice [private radio station]	discrete	numeric-2.0	5137	160703	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - The Voice [private radio station]
826	jc11a	How often do you listen to: Other private local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	33465	132375	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other private local radio

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
827	jc12a	How often do you listen to: Private local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	20692	145148	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Private local radio (e.g. Rix FM, Mix Megapol/Radio City, NRJ)
828	jc13a	How often do you listen to: Non-commercial local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	72290	93550	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Non-commercial local radio
829	jc14a	How often do you listen to: Other radio station	discrete	numeric-2.0	4881	160959	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other radio station
830	jc14b	How often do you listen to: Other commercial radio station	discrete	numeric-2.0	26638	139202	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other commercial radio station
831	jc15a	How often do you listen to: Other radio stations online	discrete	numeric-2.0	3435	162405	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other radio stations online
832	jc100a	How often do you listen to the radio via: A radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	17266	148574	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? A radio
833	jc100b	How often do you listen to the radio via: A car radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	17266	148574	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? A car radio
834	jc100c	How often do you listen to the radio via: Computers: live broadcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	17266	148574	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Computers: live broadcast
835	jc100d	How often do you listen to the radio via: Computers: post-broadcast/podcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	17266	148574	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Computers:post-broadcast/podcast
836	jc100e	How often do you listen to the radio via: Mobile phone: live broadcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	17266	148574	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Mobile phone: live broadcast
837	jc100f	How often do you listen to the radio via: Mobile phone: post-broadcast/podcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	17266	148574	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Mobile phone: post-broadcast/podcast
838	jc100g	How often do you listen to the radio via: Tablet: live broadcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	17266	148574	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Tablet: live broadcast
839	jc100h	How often do you listen to the radio via: Tablet: post-broadcast/podcast	discrete	numeric-2.0	17266	148574	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Tablet: post-broadcast/ podcast
840	jd20a	How often do you watch: SVT1	discrete	numeric-2.0	63224	102616	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1
841	jd20b	How often do you watch: SVT2	discrete	numeric-2.0	63224	102616	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT2
842	jd20c	How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2	discrete	numeric-2.0	17777	148063	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1/SVT2
843	jd20d	How often do you watch: TV3	discrete	numeric-2.0	82574	83266	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV3
844	jd20e	How often do you watch: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	82574	83266	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV4
845	jd20f	How often do you watch: Kanal 5	discrete	numeric-2.0	82574	83266	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - Kanal 5
846	jd20g	How often do you watch: CNN news channel	discrete	numeric-2.0	7023	158817	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - CNN news channel

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
847	jd20h	How often do you watch: BBC or CNN	discrete	numeric-2.0	40599	125241	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - BBC or CNN
848	jd20i	How often do you watch: MTV	discrete	numeric-2.0	32135	133705	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - MTV
849	jd20o	How often do you watch: TV6	discrete	numeric-2.0	42919	122921	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV6
850	jd21a	How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2	discrete	numeric-2.0	3272	162568	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1/SVT2
851	jd21b	How often do you watch: TV3	discrete	numeric-2.0	3272	162568	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV3
852	jd21c	How often do you watch: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	3272	162568	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV4
853	jd21d	How often do you watch: Kanal 5	discrete	numeric-2.0	3272	162568	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - Kanal 5
854	jd21e	How often do you watch: BBC or CNN	discrete	numeric-2.0	0	165840	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - BBC or CNN
855	jd31a	How often do you watch: News programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	12125	153715	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - News programs
856	jd31b	How often do you watch: Programs concerning community issues/politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	12125	153715	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Programs concerning community issues/politics
857	jd31c	How often do you watch: Sports programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	151940	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Sports programs
858	jd31d	How often do you watch: Cultural programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	151940	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Cultural programs
859	jd31e	How often do you watch: Light entertainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	10546	155294	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Light entertainment
860	jd31f	How often do you watch: Feature films	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	151940	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Feature films
861	jd31g	How often do you watch: Drama/series	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	151940	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Drama/series
862	jd31h	How often do you watch: Reality shows	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	151940	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Reality shows
863	jd31i	How often do you watch: Talk shows	discrete	numeric-2.0	5528	160312	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Talk shows
864	jd31j	How often do you watch: Game shows	discrete	numeric-2.0	5528	160312	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Game shows
865	jd31k	How often do you watch: Food program	discrete	numeric-2.0	5528	160312	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Food program
866	jd31l	How often do you watch: Animal and nature programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	13900	151940	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Animal and nature programs
867	je10a1	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	160368	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make phone calls
868	je10a2	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	160368	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive phone calls
869	je10a3	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/receive phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	1707	164133	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
870	je10b1	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	160368	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send texts
871	je10b2	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	160368	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive texts
872	je10b3	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	1707	164133	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts
873	je10c	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive e-mails	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	164065	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails
874	je10d	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send pictures with MMS technology	discrete	numeric-2.0	7179	158661	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send pictures with MMS technology
875	je10e	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos	discrete	numeric-2.0	3482	162358	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos
876	je10f	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf/use WAP	discrete	numeric-2.0	3566	162274	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf/use WAP
877	je10g	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Mobile information services	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	163981	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Mobile information services
878	je10h	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use news services	discrete	numeric-2.0	3482	162358	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use news services
879	je10i	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games	discrete	numeric-2.0	3634	162206	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Play games
880	je10j	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	1707	164133	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio
881	je20a	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/receive phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	18453	147387	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls
882	je20b	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	18453	147387	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts
883	je20c	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive e-mails	discrete	numeric-2.0	18453	147387	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails
884	je20d1	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send photos/pictures	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	164071	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send photos/pictures
885	je20d2	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive MMS/photos	discrete	numeric-2.0	11592	154248	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive MMS/photos
886	je20e	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	164071	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
887	je20f	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	11708	154132	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf the internet
888	je20g	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Fact-finding/information searches	discrete	numeric-2.0	11679	154161	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Fact-finding/information searches
889	je20h	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Hear/read the latest news	discrete	numeric-2.0	16796	149044	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Hear/read the latest news
890	je20i1	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	164071	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV
891	je20i2	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/ moving pictures	discrete	numeric-2.0	3340	162500	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/moving pictures
892	je20i3	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/ videos	discrete	numeric-2.0	10026	155814	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/videos
893	je20j1	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Chat using MSN, etc.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1661	164179	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Chat using MSN, etc.
894	je20j2	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use social media	discrete	numeric-2.0	11679	154161	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)
895	je20k	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	8506	157334	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio
896	je90a1	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	160368	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make phone calls
897	je90a2	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	160368	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive phone calls
898	je90a3	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/ receive phone calls	discrete	numeric-2.0	18418	147422	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls
899	je90b1	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	160368	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send texts
900	je90b2	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	5472	160368	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive texts
901	je90b3	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive texts	discrete	numeric-2.0	18418	147422	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts
902	je90c	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive e-mails	discrete	numeric-2.0	18486	147354	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails
903	je90d1	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send photos/pictures	discrete	numeric-2.0	8948	156892	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send photos/pictures
904	je90d2	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/ receive MMS/photos	discrete	numeric-2.0	11592	154248	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive MMS/photos

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
905	je90e	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos	discrete	numeric-2.0	5251	160589	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos
906	je90f	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	15274	150566	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf the internet
907	je90g	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Hear/read the latest news	discrete	numeric-2.0	18536	147304	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Hear/read the latest news
908	je90h	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games	discrete	numeric-2.0	3634	162206	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Play games
909	je90i1	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	164071	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV
910	je90i2	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/ moving pictures	discrete	numeric-2.0	3340	162500	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/moving pictures
911	je90i3	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/ videos	discrete	numeric-2.0	8284	157556	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/videos
912	je90j1	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Chat using MSN, etc.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1661	164179	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Chat using MSN, etc.
913	je90j2	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use social media	discrete	numeric-2.0	9937	155903	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)
914	je90k	How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	8471	157369	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio
915	jf10a	Past 12 months: Read a book	discrete	numeric-2.0	152601	13239	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Read a book
916	jh10a	How often listen to/view: Local news on P4 radio station	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Local news on P4 radio station
917	jh10b	How often listen to/view: "Ekonyheterna" on radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - "Ekonyheterna" on radio
918	jh10c	How often listen to/view: Aktuellt	discrete	numeric-2.0	45575	120265	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Aktuellt
919	jh10d	How often listen to/view: Rapport	discrete	numeric-2.0	45575	120265	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Rapport
920	jh10e	How often listen to/view: Aktuellt/Rapport on SVT	discrete	numeric-2.0	120265	45575	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Aktuellt/Rapport on SVT
921	jh10f	How often listen to/view: Regional news on SVT	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Regional news on SVT

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
922	jh10g	How often listen to/view: TV4 "Nyheterna" [news on TV4]	discrete	numeric-2.0	157742	8098	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - TV4 "Nyheterna" [news on TV4]
923	jh10h	How often listen to/view: Update/news on TV3	discrete	numeric-2.0	44135	121705	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Update/news on TV3
924	jh10i	How often listen to/view: TV4 local news	discrete	numeric-2.0	76978	88862	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - TV4 local news
925	jh10j	How often listen to/view: "Nyhetsmorgon" on TV4 [morning news on TV4]	discrete	numeric-2.0	76978	88862	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - "Nyhetsmorgon" on TV4 [morning news on TV4]
926	jh10k	How often listen to/view: Morning news on SVT	discrete	numeric-2.0	75089	90751	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Morning news on SVT
927	jh10l	How often listen to/view: News on private local stations	discrete	numeric-2.0	48606	117234	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on private local stations
928	jh10m	How often listen to/view: News on teletext	discrete	numeric-2.0	18455	147385	How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television? - News on teletext
929	jh10n	How often listen to/view: News on commercial radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	17612	148228	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on commercial radio
930	jh10p	How often listen to/view: News on p3 radio station	discrete	numeric-2.0	72315	93525	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on P3 radio station.
931	jj10a	Access to equipment in household: Tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	31539	134301	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv
932	jj10b	Access to equipment in household: Extra tv set	discrete	numeric-2.0	4938	160902	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Extra tv set
933	jj10c	Access to equipment in household: Large screen TV/ home theatre	discrete	numeric-2.0	3482	162358	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Large screen TV/home theatre
934	jj10d	Access to equipment in household: Telephone (stationary)	discrete	numeric-2.0	40850	124990	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)
935	jj10e	Access to equipment in household: Telephone answering machine	discrete	numeric-2.0	12432	153408	Which type of equipment do you at the moment have at your disposal in your home? - Telephone answering machine
936	jj10f	Access to equipment in household: Mobile telephone	discrete	numeric-2.0	40850	124990	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
937	jj10g	Access to equipment in household: Mobile phone with WAP	discrete	numeric-2.0	7184	158656	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile phone with WAP
938	jj10h	Access to equipment in household: IP telephony	discrete	numeric-2.0	1707	164133	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
939	jj10i	Access to equipment in household: Teletext	discrete	numeric-2.0	50781	115059	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Teletext
940	jj10j	Access to equipment in household: Video	discrete	numeric-2.0	50781	115059	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Video
941	jj10k	Access to equipment in household: Cable tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	34679	131161	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Cable tv
942	jj10l	Access to equipment in household: Digital tv/Set Top Box	discrete	numeric-2.0	28643	137197	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Digital tv/Set Top Box
943	jj10m	Access to equipment in household: Central antenna	discrete	numeric-2.0	4938	160902	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Central antenna
944	jj10n	Access to equipment in household: Household satellite dish	discrete	numeric-2.0	26581	139259	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Household satellite dish
945	jj10o	Access to equipment in household: Personal computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	49074	116766	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer
946	jj10p	Access to equipment in household: Desktop computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	3336	162504	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Desktop computer
947	jj10q	Access to equipment in household: Portable computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	3336	162504	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Portable computer
948	jj10r	Access to equipment in household: Fax machine	discrete	numeric-2.0	25008	140832	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Fax machine
949	jj10s	Access to equipment in household: Handheld computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	9122	156718	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Handheld computer
950	jj10t	Access to equipment in household: Printer	discrete	numeric-2.0	10896	154944	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Printer
951	jj10u	Access to equipment in household: Broadband	discrete	numeric-2.0	6974	158866	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband
952	jj10v	Access to equipment in household: Cd player	discrete	numeric-2.0	52410	113430	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Cd player
953	jj10x	Access to equipment in household: Freestyle/ Walkman	discrete	numeric-2.0	3314	162526	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Freestyle/Walkman
954	jj10y	Access to equipment in household: Video camera	discrete	numeric-2.0	3462	162378	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Video camera

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
955	jj10z	Access to equipment in household: DAB radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	10687	155153	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - DAB radio
956	jj10aa	Access to equipment in household: Dvd player	discrete	numeric-2.0	28418	137422	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Dvd player
957	jj10ab	Access to equipment in household: Mp3 player	discrete	numeric-2.0	17757	148083	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player
958	jj20a	Access to equipment in household: Modem	discrete	numeric-2.0	5310	160530	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Modem
959	jj20b	Access to equipment in household: Internet connection	discrete	numeric-2.0	15920	149920	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Internet connection
960	jj20c	Access to equipment in household: CD-ROM unit	discrete	numeric-2.0	5310	160530	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - CD-ROM unit
961	jj20d	Access to equipment in household: Personal e-mail address	discrete	numeric-2.0	15920	149920	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Personal e-mail address
962	jj20e	Access to equipment in household: Personal homepage	discrete	numeric-2.0	12364	153476	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Personal homepage
963	jj21	Access to equipment in household: There is no personal computer in the household	discrete	numeric-2.0	14143	151697	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - There is no personal computer in the household
964	jj30a	Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at home	discrete	numeric-2.0	18016	147824	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at home
965	jj30b	Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at work/in school	discrete	numeric-2.0	18016	147824	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at work/in school
966	jj30c	Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at another place	discrete	numeric-2.0	18016	147824	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at another place
967	jj30d	Access to the Internet in household: No	discrete	numeric-2.0	18016	147824	Do you have access to the Internet? - No
968	jj40a	Access to media technology in household: Telephone (stationary)	discrete	numeric-2.0	13370	152470	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)
969	jj40b	Access to media technology in household: Mobile telephone	discrete	numeric-2.0	13370	152470	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone
970	jj40c	Access to media technology in household: IP telephony	discrete	numeric-2.0	5117	160723	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
971	jj40d	Access to media technology in household: Mp3 player	discrete	numeric-2.0	6783	159057	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player
972	jj40e	Access to media technology in household: Cd player	discrete	numeric-2.0	5096	160744	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Cd player

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
973	jj40f	Access to media technology in household: Video	discrete	numeric-2.0	3430	162410	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video
974	jj40g	Access to media technology in household: Dvd player	discrete	numeric-2.0	5096	160744	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Dvd player
975	jj40h	Access to media technology in household: Large screen TV (37" or larger)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	164071	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Large screen TV (37" or larger)
976	jj40i	Access to media technology in household: Home cinema system	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	164071	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Home cinema system
977	jj40j	Access to media technology in household: Desktop computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	3435	162405	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Desktop computer
978	jj40k	Access to media technology in household: Portable computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	3435	162405	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Portable computer
979	jj40l	Access to media technology in household: Personal computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	14817	151023	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer
980	jj40m	Access to media technology in household: Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	18252	147588	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Internet
981	jj40n	Access to media technology in household: Broadband	discrete	numeric-2.0	13318	152522	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband
982	jj40o	Access to media technology in household: GPS	discrete	numeric-2.0	8229	157611	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - GPS
983	jj40p	Access to media technology in household: Blue-ray player	discrete	numeric-2.0	8229	157611	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Blue-ray player
984	jj40q	Access to media technology in household: Video game console	discrete	numeric-2.0	6568	159272	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video game console
985	jj40r	Access to media technology in household: Tablet	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	159253	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tablet
986	jj40s	Access to media technology in household: Tv set	discrete	numeric-2.0	3337	162503	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv set
987	jj40t	Access to media technology in household: Radio set	discrete	numeric-2.0	3337	162503	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Radio set
988	jj100a	Access to media technology in household: Teletext	discrete	numeric-2.0	50781	115059	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Teletext
989	jj100b	Access to media technology in household: Personal computer	discrete	numeric-2.0	91350	74490	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
990	jj100c	Access to media technology in household: Video	discrete	numeric-2.0	54211	111629	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video
991	jj100d	Access to media technology in household: Mobile telephone	discrete	numeric-2.0	54220	111620	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone
992	jj100e	Access to media technology in household: Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	72876	92964	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Internet
993	jj100f	Access to media technology in household: Broadband	discrete	numeric-2.0	32963	132877	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband
994	jj100g	Access to media technology in household: Mp3 player	discrete	numeric-2.0	24540	141300	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player
995	jj100h	Access to media technology in household: IP telephony	discrete	numeric-2.0	6824	159016	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
996	jj100i	Access to media technology in household: GPS	discrete	numeric-2.0	8229	157611	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - GPS
997	jj100j	Access to media technology in household: Blue-ray player	discrete	numeric-2.0	8229	157611	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Blue-ray player
998	jj100k	Access to media technology in household: Video game console	discrete	numeric-2.0	6568	159272	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video game console
999	jj100l	Access to media technology in household: Tablet	discrete	numeric-2.0	27275	138565	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tablet
1000	jj100m	Access to media technology in household: Telephone (stationary)	discrete	numeric-2.0	54220	111620	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)
1001	jj100n	Access to media technology in household: Tv set	discrete	numeric-2.0	34876	130964	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv set
1002	ka10a	Importance of content in newspapers: Sports	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Sport
1003	ka10b	Importance of content in newspapers: Family news	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Family news
1004	ka10c	Importance of content in newspapers: Letters to the editor	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Letters to the editor
1005	ka10d	Importance of content in newspapers: National news	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - National news
1006	ka10e	Importance of content in newspapers: Economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Economy

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1007	ka10f	Importance of content in newspapers: Parliament and government	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Parliament and government
1008	ka10g	Importance of content in newspapers: Union issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Union issues
1009	ka10h	Importance of content in newspapers: Accidents and crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Accidents and crime
1010	ka10i	Importance of content in newspapers: Editorials and comments	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Editorials and comments
1011	ka10j	Importance of content in newspapers: International news	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - International news
1012	ka10k	Importance of content in newspapers: Culture	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Culture
1013	ka10l	Importance of content in newspapers: Op-ed	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Op-ed
1014	ka10m	Importance of content in newspapers: Environmental issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Environmental issues
1015	ka10n	Importance of content in newspapers: Local news	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	164071	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Local news
1016	ka10o	Importance of content in newspapers: Local labour market	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local labour market
1017	ka10p	Importance of content in newspapers: Organisations and associations	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Organisations and associations
1018	ka10q	Importance of content in newspapers: Famous locals	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Famous locals
1019	ka10r	Importance of content in newspapers: Local politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local politics
1020	ka10s	Importance of content in newspapers: Local workplaces	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local workplaces
1021	ka10t	Importance of content in newspapers: School issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - School issues
1022	ka10u	Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment and local events	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Entertainment and local events
1023	ka10v	Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	164071	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Entertainment
1024	ka10w	Importance of content in newspapers: Cartoons	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Cartoons
1025	ka10x	Importance of content in newspapers: Short stories, serials	discrete	numeric-2.0	6821	159019	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Short stories, serials
1026	ka10y	Importance of content in newspapers: Music and theater	discrete	numeric-2.0	5021	160819	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Music and theater
1027	ka10z	Importance of content in newspapers: Music	discrete	numeric-2.0	5436	160404	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Music
1028	ka10aa	Importance of content in newspapers: Relational issues	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Relational issues
1029	ka10ab	Importance of content in newspapers: About radio and tv programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - About radio and tv programs
1030	ka10ac	Importance of content in newspapers: Interior design	discrete	numeric-2.0	6821	159019	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Interior design
1031	ka10ad	Importance of content in newspapers: Cooking	discrete	numeric-2.0	6821	159019	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Cooking
1032	ka10ae	Importance of content in newspapers: Fashion	discrete	numeric-2.0	6821	159019	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Fashion
1033	ka10af	Importance of content in newspapers: Consumer information	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Consumer information
1034	ka10ag	Importance of content in newspapers: Crossword puzzles and competitions	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Crossword puzzles and competitions
1035	ka10ah	Importance of content in newspapers: Popular science	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Popular science
1036	ka10ai	Importance of content in newspapers: Computers/IT	discrete	numeric-2.0	3659	162181	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Computers/IT
1037	ka10aj	Importance of content in newspapers: Local business advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	10449	155391	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Local business advertisments
1038	ka10ak	Importance of content in newspapers: Weekly advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	5021	160819	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Weekly advertisments
1039	ka10al	Importance of content in newspapers: Advertisements by chain/department stores	discrete	numeric-2.0	6821	159019	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Advertisements by chain stores/department stores
1040	ka10am	Importance of content in newspapers: National and local government advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	5021	160819	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - National and local government advertisments
1041	ka10an	Importance of content in newspapers: Job advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Job advertisments
1042	ka10ao	Importance of content in newspapers: Real estate advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	5021	160819	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Real estate advertisments
1043	ka10ap	Importance of content in newspapers: Housing advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	5428	160412	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Housing advertisments
1044	ka10aq	Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	5428	160412	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Entertainment advertisments
1045	ka10ar	Importance of content in newspapers: Car and motor advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	1859	163981	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							particularly contain it. - Car and motor advertisements
1046	ka10as	Importance of content in newspapers: Classified advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	8680	157160	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Classified advertisments
1047	ka10at	Importance of content in newspapers: Private small advertisements	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	164071	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Private small advertisements
1048	ka100	Have you considered cancelling newspaper subscription?	discrete	numeric-2.0	28447	137393	Have you considered cancelling one or several of your daily newspaper subscriptions, or has this been discussed within the family during the last 6 months?
1049	ka101a	Cancelling subscription: Everything has become so expensive that it´s not affordable	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	140999	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Everything has become so expensive that it is not affordable
1050	ka101b	Cancelling subscription: Increase in the cost of the subscription	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	140999	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Increase in the cost of the subscription
1051	ka101c	Cancelling subscription: I get local information through radio and tv	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	140999	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I get local information through radio and tv
1052	ka101d	Cancelling subscription: Badly organized newspaper distribution	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	140999	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Badly organized newspaper distribution
1053	ka101e	Cancelling subscription: I do not have the time to read it	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	140999	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I do not have the time to read it
1054	ka101f	Cancelling subscription: I have access to the newspaper at work/school	discrete	numeric-2.0	23298	142542	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I have access to the newspaper at work/school
1055	ka101g	Cancelling subscription: I can borrow it from a friend/neighbour	discrete	numeric-2.0	16233	149607	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can borrow it from a friend/neighbour
1056	ka101h	Cancelling subscription: I do not like the politics of the newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	18092	147748	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I do not like the politics of the newspaper
1057	ka101i	Cancelling subscription: Poor content in the newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	24841	140999	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Poor content in the newspaper
1058	ka101j	Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has too few advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	14334	151506	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has too few advertisments
1059	ka101k	Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has too many advertisments	discrete	numeric-2.0	10895	154945	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has too many advertisments

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1060	ka101l	Cancelling subscription: I moved to another community	discrete	numeric-2.0	14334	151506	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I moved to another community
1061	ka101m	Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has changed drastically	discrete	numeric-2.0	16233	149607	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has changed drastically
1062	ka101n	Cancelling subscription: Poor coverage of what is happening in my municipality	discrete	numeric-2.0	16233	149607	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Poor coverage of what is happening in my municipality
1063	ka101o	Cancelling subscription: I can read the newspaper on the Internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	17724	148116	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can read the newspaper on the Internet
1064	ka101p	Cancelling subscription: I can read the newpaper when travelling by PT	discrete	numeric-2.0	5463	160377	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can read the newpaper when travelling by public transport (bus, train etc.)
1065	ka101q	Cancelling subscription: I get the news I need from a free paper	discrete	numeric-2.0	12349	153491	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I get the news I need from a free paper
1066	ka101r	Cancelling subscription: The paper has adopted a format that doesn´t suit me	discrete	numeric-2.0	3545	162295	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The paper has adopted a format that doesn´t suit me
1067	ka101v	Cancelling subscription: Other reason	discrete	numeric-2.0	5310	160530	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Other reason
1068	ka200a	General impression of TV channels: SVT1	discrete	numeric-2.0	41940	123900	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT1
1069	ka200b	General impression of TV channels: SVT2	discrete	numeric-2.0	41940	123900	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT2
1070	ka200c	General impression of TV channels: TV3	discrete	numeric-2.0	41940	123900	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV3
1071	ka200d	General impression of TV channels: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	41940	123900	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV4
1072	ka200e	General impression of TV channels: Kanal 5	discrete	numeric-2.0	41940	123900	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kanal 5
1073	ka200f	General impression of TV channels: ZTV	discrete	numeric-2.0	16093	149747	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - ZTV
1074	ka200g	General impression of TV channels: TV6	discrete	numeric-2.0	40109	125731	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV6
1075	ka200h	General impression of TV channels: TV21	discrete	numeric-2.0	7014	158826	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV21
1076	ka200i	General impression of TV channels: TV8	discrete	numeric-2.0	7372	158468	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV8
1077	ka200j	General impression of TV channels: Viasat Nature/ Action	discrete	numeric-2.0	7303	158537	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Viasat Nature/ Action
1078	ka200k	General impression of TV channels: SVT B Barnkanalen	discrete	numeric-2.0	25893	139947	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT B Barnkanalen

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1079	ka200l	General impression of TV channels: SVT24	discrete	numeric-2.0	18883	146957	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT24
1080	ka200m	General impression of TV channels: TV4 Plus	discrete	numeric-2.0	15546	150294	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV4 Plus
1081	ka200n	General impression of TV channels: Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	discrete	numeric-2.0	25695	140145	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)
1082	ka200o	General impression of TV channels: Kanal 9	discrete	numeric-2.0	11704	154136	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kanal 9
1083	ka250a	TV channel with the best programs: Children´s programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	127004	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Children's programs
1084	ka250b	TV channel with the best programs: News	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	127004	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - News
1085	ka250c	TV channel with the best programs: Sports	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	127004	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Sports
1086	ka250d	TV channel with the best programs: Light entertainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	33902	131938	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Light entertainment
1087	ka250e	TV channel with the best programs: Entertainment programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	4934	160906	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Entertainment programs
1088	ka250f	TV channel with the best programs: Nature programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	127004	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Nature programs
1089	ka250g	TV channel with the best programs: Public affairs	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	127004	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Public affairs
1090	ka250h	TV channel with the best programs: Theatre, opera, concert	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	127004	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Theatre, opera, concert
1091	ka250i	TV channel with the best programs: TV series	discrete	numeric-2.0	12581	153259	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - TV series
1092	ka250j	TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series	discrete	numeric-2.0	5541	160299	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Swedish TV series
1093	ka250k	TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series/drama/comedy	discrete	numeric-2.0	20714	145126	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Swedish TV series/drama/comedy
1094	ka250l	TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series	discrete	numeric-2.0	5541	160299	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Foreign TV series
1095	ka250m	TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series/ drama/comedy	discrete	numeric-2.0	20714	145126	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Foreign TV series/drama/comedy
1096	ka250n	TV channel with the best programs: Feature films	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	127004	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Feature films
1097	ka250o	TV channel with the best programs: Popular music	discrete	numeric-2.0	38836	127004	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Popular music

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1098	ka250p	TV channel with the best programs: Youth/teen programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	36947	128893	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Youth/teen programs
1099	ka250q	TV channel with the best programs: Arts and culture programs	discrete	numeric-2.0	36947	128893	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Arts and culture programs
1100	ka250r	TV channel with the best programs: Documentaries	discrete	numeric-2.0	31609	134231	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Documentaries
1101	ka300	Is the television licence you pay for Sveriges television worth the price?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1889	163951	In your opinion, is the television licence fee you pay for Sveriges television worth the price or not?
1102	ka301	Is the television licence you pay for Sveriges television worth the price?	discrete	numeric-2.0	47198	118642	In your opinion, is the television licence fee you pay for Sveriges television worth the price or not?
1103	ka500a	Trust in media: The local morning press	discrete	numeric-2.0	5260	160580	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The local morning press
1104	ka500b	Trust in media: The morning press in Stockholm	discrete	numeric-2.0	5260	160580	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The morning press in Stockholm
1105	ka500c	Trust in media: The evening press	discrete	numeric-2.0	5260	160580	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The evening press
1106	ka500d	Trust in media: Swedish Televison (SVT1, SVT2)	discrete	numeric-2.0	5260	160580	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - Swedish Televison (SVT1, SVT2)
1107	ka500e	Trust in media: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	3678	162162	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - TV4
1108	ka500f	Trust in media: National Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	3439	162401	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - National Radio
1109	ka500g	Trust in media: Radio channel P4 (former local radio)	discrete	numeric-2.0	3439	162401	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - Radio channel P4 (former local radio)
1110	ka501a	Trust in media content: Swedish Television	discrete	numeric-2.0	16212	149628	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Swedish Television
1111	ka501b	Trust in media content: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	16212	149628	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV4
1112	ka501c	Trust in media content: Other private tv channels	discrete	numeric-2.0	16212	149628	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Other private tv channels
1113	ka501d	Trust in media content: Radio Sweden´s national channels (P1, P2, P3)	discrete	numeric-2.0	17755	148085	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden´s national channels (P1, P2, P3)
1114	ka501e	Trust in media content: Radio Sweden´s local channels (P4)	discrete	numeric-2.0	17755	148085	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden´s local channels (P4)
1115	ka501f	Trust in media content: Private local radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	17755	148085	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Private local radio

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1116	ka501g	Trust in media content: The local morning paper in your area	discrete	numeric-2.0	17755	148085	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - The local morning paper in your area
1117	ka501h	Trust in media content: Stockholm´s daily papers	discrete	numeric-2.0	14381	151459	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Stockholm´s daily papers (Dagens Nyheter, Svenska Dagbladet)
1118	ka501i	Trust in media content: Dagens Nyheter	discrete	numeric-2.0	1831	164009	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Dagens Nyheter
1119	ka501j	Trust in media content: Svenska Dagbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	1831	164009	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Svenska Dagbladet
1120	ka501k	Trust in media content: Tabloids (Aftonbladet, Expressen, GT, Kvällsposten)	discrete	numeric-2.0	16212	149628	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Tabloids (Aftonbladet, Expressen, GT, Kvällsposten)
1121	ka501l	Trust in media content: News services on the internet	discrete	numeric-2.0	16212	149628	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - News services on the internet
1122	ka501m	Trust in media content: The daily free newspapers in large cities	discrete	numeric-2.0	8840	157000	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - The daily free newspapers in large cities (Metro, Stockholm City)
1123	ka502a	Trust in media content: Swedish Television (SVT)	discrete	numeric-2.0	20516	145324	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Swedish Television (SVT)
1124	ka502b	Trust in media content: TV3	discrete	numeric-2.0	18774	147066	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV3
1125	ka502c	Trust in media content: TV4	discrete	numeric-2.0	20516	145324	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV4
1126	ka502d	Trust in media content: Kanal 5	discrete	numeric-2.0	18774	147066	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Kanal 5
1127	ka502e	Trust in media content: Radio Sweden (SR)	discrete	numeric-2.0	18774	147066	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden (SR)
1128	ka502f	Trust in media content: Mix Megapol [private local radio]	discrete	numeric-2.0	18774	147066	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Mix Megapol [private local radio]
1129	ka502g	Trust in media content: Rix FM [private local radio]	discrete	numeric-2.0	18774	147066	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Rix FM [private local radio]
1130	ka502h	Trust in media content: Aftonbladet	discrete	numeric-2.0	20516	145324	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Aftonbladet
1131	ka502i	Trust in media content: Expressen	discrete	numeric-2.0	20516	145324	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Expressen
1132	ka502j	Trust in media content: Dagens Nyheter	discrete	numeric-2.0	20516	145324	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Dagens Nyheter

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1133	ka502k	Trust in media content: Metro	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	159253	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Metro
1134	ka502l	Trust in media content: aftonbladet.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	159253	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - aftonbladet.se
1135	ka502m	Trust in media content: expressen.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	159253	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - expressen.se
1136	ka502n	Trust in media content: svt.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	159253	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - svt.se
1137	ka502o	Trust in media content: dn.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	159253	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - dn.se
1138	ka502p	Trust in media content: sverigesradio.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	159253	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - sverigesradio.se
1139	ka502q	Trust in media content: tv4.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	6587	159253	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - tv4.se
1140	ka502r	Trust in media content: newsmill.se	discrete	numeric-2.0	1653	164187	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - newsmill.se
1141	ka502t	Trust in media content: Local morningpaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	13929	151911	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Local morningpaper
1142	ka901a	Proposal: Prohibit all forms of pornography	discrete	numeric-2.0	6517	159323	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Prohibit all forms of pornography
1143	kb10	Are you positive or negative towards commercials on tv?	discrete	numeric-2.0	42041	123799	Are you positive or negative towards commercials on tv?
1144	la10	Past 12 months: Gone to the cinema	discrete	numeric-2.0	112375	53465	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the cinema
1145	lb10a	Past 12 months: Gone to the theatre	discrete	numeric-2.0	101700	64140	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the theatre
1146	lb10b	Past 12 months: Gone to a rock/pop concert	discrete	numeric-2.0	60895	104945	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a rock/pop concert
1147	lb10c	Past 12 months: Gone to a musical	discrete	numeric-1.0	14940	150900	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a musical
1148	lb10d	Past 12 months: Gone to the ballet, dance performance	discrete	numeric-1.0	25480	140360	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the ballet, dance performance
1149	lb10e	Past 12 months: Gone to a classical concert, opera	discrete	numeric-1.0	43176	122664	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a classical concert, opera
1150	lb1010a	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Classical theatre	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	164133	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical theatre

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1151	lb1010b	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Modern theatre	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	164133	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Modern theatre
1152	lb1010c	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Society orientated / political theatre	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	164133	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Society orientated / political theatre
1153	lb1010d	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Comedy	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	164133	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Comedy
1154	lb1010e	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Farce	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	164133	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Farce
1155	lb1010f	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Musical	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	164133	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Musical
1156	lb1010g	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Opera	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	164133	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Opera
1157	lb1010h	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Classical music	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	164133	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical music
1158	lb1010i	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Classical ballet	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	164133	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical ballet
1159	lb1010j	Enjoy watching theatre/ performing arts: Other dance	discrete	numeric-11.0	1707	164133	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Other dance
1160	lb1011a	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical theatre	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	159051	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical theatre
1161	lb1011b	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Modern theatre	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	159051	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Modern theatre
1162	lb1011c	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Society orientated/polit theatre	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	159051	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Society orientated / political theatre
1163	lb1011d	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Comedy	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	159051	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Comedy
1164	lb1011e	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Farce	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	159051	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Farce
1165	lb1011f	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Musical	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	159051	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Musical
1166	lb1011g	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Opera	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	159051	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Opera
1167	lb1011h	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical music	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	159051	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical music

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1168	lb1011i	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical ballet	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	159051	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical ballet
1169	lb1011j	Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Other dance	discrete	numeric-1.0	6789	159051	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Other dance
1170	lc10a	Past 12 months: Gone to see football/ice hockey	discrete	numeric-2.0	26277	139563	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to see football/ice hockey
1171	lc20a	Senaste 12 mån: Besökt idrottsevenemang	discrete	numeric-2.0	27190	138650	-
1172	ld10a	Past 12 months: Gone to a museum	discrete	numeric-1.0	28705	137135	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a museum
1173	ld10b	Past 12 months: Gone to an art exhibition	discrete	numeric-1.0	28699	137141	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to an art exhibition
1174	ld10c	Past 12 months: Visited historical monument/building	discrete	numeric-2.0	20410	145430	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited historical monument/building
1175	ld10d	Past 12 months: Visited ancient monument	discrete	numeric-2.0	20410	145430	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited ancient monument
1176	ld10e	Past 12 months: Visited nature reserve/national park	discrete	numeric-2.0	20411	145429	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited nature reserve/national park
1177	le10a	Past 12 months: Sang in a choir	discrete	numeric-11.0	16794	149046	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Sang in a choir
1178	le10b	Past 12 months: Played a musical instrument	discrete	numeric-1.0	16537	149303	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played a musical instrument
1179	le10c	Past 12 months: Drawn, painted	discrete	numeric-2.0	44563	121277	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Drawn, painted
1180	le10d	Past 12 months: Written in diary/written poetry	discrete	numeric-2.0	44563	121277	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Written in diary/written poetry
1181	le10e	Past 12 months: Played theater, participated in LARP etc.	discrete	numeric-1.0	27077	138763	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played theater, participated in LARP etc.
1182	le10f	Past 12 months: Sung in (a) choir(s) or played (a) musical instrument(s)	discrete	numeric-2.0	49921	115919	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? Sung in (a) choir(s) or played (a) musical instrument(s)
1183	ma10a	Past 12 months: Socialized with friends	discrete	numeric-2.0	148942	16898	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Socialized with friends
1184	ma10b	Past 12 months: Socialized with neighbors	discrete	numeric-2.0	66338	99502	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Socialized with neighbors
1185	ma10c	Past 12 months: Helped/ recieved help from neighbor	discrete	numeric-2.0	16372	149468	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Helped/ recieved help from neighbor

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1186	ma20a	Past 12 months: Done garden work	discrete	numeric-2.0	31744	134096	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Done garden work
1187	ma20b	Past 12 months: Gardening/ balcony farming	discrete	numeric-2.0	8156	157684	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gardening/balcony farming
1188	ma20c	Past 12 months: Done repair work on car/motorcycle/ moped	discrete	numeric-2.0	45003	120837	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped
1189	ma20d	Past 12 months: Baked/ pickled/preserved/made fruit-syrup	discrete	numeric-2.0	23702	142138	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Baked/ pickled/preserved/made fruit-syrup
1190	ma30a	Past 12 months: Done individual exercises or practised athletics	discrete	numeric-2.0	13603	152237	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Done individual exercises or practised athletics
1191	ma30b	Past 12 months: Exercised or practised athletics	discrete	numeric-2.0	3533	162307	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Exercised or practised athletics
1192	ma30c	Past 12 months: Practised sports/athletics	discrete	numeric-2.0	51655	114185	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Practised sports/athletics
1193	ma30d	Past 12 months: Exercised/ engaged in outdoor activities	discrete	numeric-2.0	29626	136214	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/engaged in outdoor activities
1194	ma30e	Past 12 months: Worked-out (strength training, aerobics, etc.)	discrete	numeric-2.0	25113	140727	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Worked-out (strength training, aerobics, etc.)
1195	ma30f	Past 12 months: Exercised (jogging, swimming, Nordic walking, skiing, etc.)	discrete	numeric-2.0	11703	154137	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised (jogging, swimming, Nordic walking, skiing, etc.)
1196	ma30g1	Past 12 months: Engaged in outdoor activities (forest, sea or lake)	discrete	numeric-2.0	17136	148704	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Engaged in outdoor activities (forest, sea or lake)
1197	ma30g	Past 12 months: Engaged in outdoor activities	discrete	numeric-2.0	88967	76873	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Engaged in outdoor activities
1198	ma30h	Past 12 months: Exercised/ practised athletics/physical training	discrete	numeric-2.0	4890	160950	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/practised athletics/physical training
1199	ma30i	Past 12 months: Gone out for a long walk	discrete	numeric-2.0	8170	157670	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone out for a long walk
1200	ma30j	Past 12 months: Gone hunting	discrete	numeric-2.0	16179	149661	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone hunting
1201	ma30k	Past 12 months: Gone fishing	discrete	numeric-2.0	9707	156133	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone fishing
1202	ma30l	Past 12 months: Exercised/ worked out	discrete	numeric-2.0	92043	73797	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/worked out

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1203	ma40a	Past 12 months: Smoked/ used snuff	discrete	numeric-2.0	35904	129936	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Smoked/ used snuff
1204	ma40b	Past 12 months: Smoked cigarettes/cigar/pipe	discrete	numeric-2.0	39600	126240	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Smoked cigarettes/cigar/pipe
1205	ma40c	Past 12 months: Consumed spirits/wine/strong beer	discrete	numeric-2.0	120555	45285	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed spirits/wine/strong beer
1206	ma40d	Past 12 months: Eaten fast food	discrete	numeric-2.0	29817	136023	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten fast food
1207	ma40e	Past 12 months: Eaten vegan food	discrete	numeric-2.0	17697	148143	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten vegan food
1208	ma40f	Past 12 months: Eaten meat	discrete	numeric-2.0	38415	127425	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten meat
1209	ma40g	Past 12 months: Consumed spirits	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	156072	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed spirits
1210	ma40h	Past 12 months: Used Swedish snuff (snus)	discrete	numeric-2.0	51733	114107	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used Swedish snuff (snus)
1211	ma40i	Past 12 months: Consumed wine	discrete	numeric-2.0	6513	159327	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed wine
1212	ma40j	Past 12 months: Consumed strong beer	discrete	numeric-2.0	6513	159327	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed strong beer
1213	ma40k	Past 12 months: Intoxicated yourself	discrete	numeric-2.0	20263	145577	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Intoxicated yourself
1214	ma40l	Past 12 months: Consumed strong beer/wine/spirits	discrete	numeric-2.0	6499	159341	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed strong beer/wine/spirits
1215	ma50a	Past 12 months: Eaten breakfast outside your home	discrete	numeric-2.0	30732	135108	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten breakfast outside your home
1216	ma50b	Past 12 months: Gone to a restaurant at night	discrete	numeric-2.0	1643	164197	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a restaurant at night
1217	ma50c	Past 12 months: Gone to a restaurant/pub/bar at night	discrete	numeric-2.0	144094	21746	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a restaurant/pub/bar at night
1218	ma50d	Past 12 months: Danced	discrete	numeric-2.0	26899	138941	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Danced
1219	ma60a	Past 12 months: Played cards/tabletop games	discrete	numeric-2.0	16306	149534	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played cards/tabletop games
1220	ma60b	Past 12 months: Solved crosswords/sudoku	discrete	numeric-2.0	29535	136305	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Solved crosswords/sudoku

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1221	ma60c	Past 12 months: Handcrafted	discrete	numeric-2.0	42027	123813	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Handcrafted
1222	ma60d	Past 12 months: Participated in study circles/courses	discrete	numeric-2.0	53352	112488	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Participated in study circles/courses
1223	ma60f	Past 12 months: Photographed/filmed	discrete	numeric-2.0	28527	137313	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Photographed/filmed
1224	ma60g	Past 12 months: Gone to a meeting/been active in an association/organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	11470	154370	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a meeting/been active in an association/ organization
1225	ma70a	Past 12 months: Travelled abroad	discrete	numeric-2.0	44823	121017	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled abroad
1226	ma70b	Past 12 months: Driven a car	discrete	numeric-2.0	116423	49417	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Driven a car
1227	ma70c	Past 12 months: Used public transportation (buses, trains, etc.)	discrete	numeric-2.0	28350	137490	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used public transportation (buses, trains, etc.)
1228	ma70d	Past 12 months: Used public transportation	discrete	numeric-2.0	82841	82999	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used public transportation
1229	ma70e	Past 12 months: Travelled by plane	discrete	numeric-2.0	6363	159477	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled by plane
1230	ma70f	Past 12 months: Travelled by train	discrete	numeric-2.0	6363	159477	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled by train
1231	ma80a	Past 12 months: Worked overtime	discrete	numeric-2.0	50196	115644	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Worked overtime
1232	ma80b	Past 12 months: Travelling on official business	discrete	numeric-2.0	25993	139847	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelling on official business
1233	ma190a	Past 12 months: Donated money to or helped an aid organization in any other way	discrete	numeric-2.0	19673	146167	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Donated money to or helped an aid organization in any other way
1234	ma190b	Past 12 months: Donated money to an aid organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	85265	80575	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Donated money to an aid organization
1235	ma190c	Past 12 months: Been busy w. activities/errands outside your home in the evening	discrete	numeric-2.0	10609	155231	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Been busy with activities/errands outside the home in the evening
1236	mb40a	Membership/active in: Sports or outdoor organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	6516	159324	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Sports or outdoor organisation
1237	mb40b	Membership/active in: Labor union	discrete	numeric-2.0	4938	160902	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Labor union
1238	mb40c	Membership/active in: HSB or any other homeowners´ association [condos only]	discrete	numeric-2.0	3295	162545	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - HSB or any other homeowners' association [condominiums only]
1239	mb40d	Membership/active in: Tenants´ or house-owners´ association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3295	162545	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Tenants' or house-owners' association
1240	mb40e	Membership/active in: Trade/business or farmers´ association	discrete	numeric-2.0	4938	160902	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Trade/business or farmers' association
1241	mb40f	Membership/active in: Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)	discrete	numeric-2.0	6516	159324	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)
1242	mb40g	Membership/active in: Environmental organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	3221	162619	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Environmental organisation
1243	mb50a	Membership/active in: Sports or outdoor organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	50927	114913	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Sports or outdoor organisation
1244	mb50b	Membership/active in: Environmental organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	50927	114913	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Environmental organisation
1245	mb50e	Membership/active in: Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)	discrete	numeric-2.0	49148	116692	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)
1246	mb50f	Membership/active in: Labor union/organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	40545	125295	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Labor union/ organization
1247	mb50g	Membership/active in: Tenants´ association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3533	162307	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Tenants' association
1248	mb50h	Membership/active in: Tenants´ association, home or house-owners´ association	discrete	numeric-2.0	25144	140696	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Residents'

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							association, housing cooperative or house-owners' association
1249	mb50i	Membership/active in: Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)	discrete	numeric-2.0	36936	128904	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)
1250	mb50j	Membership/active in: Pensioners association	discrete	numeric-2.0	35449	130391	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Pensioners association
1251	mb50k	Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	26402	139438	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Humanitarian aid organization
1252	mb50l	Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/ org	discrete	numeric-2.0	16147	149693	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization
1253	mb50p	Membership/active in: Consumer cooperative	discrete	numeric-2.0	3533	162307	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Consumer cooperative
1254	mb50s	Membership/active in: Temperance organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	13642	152198	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Temperance organization
1255	mb50t	Membership/active in: Immigrant organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	11868	153972	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Immigrant organization
1256	mb50v	Membership/active in: Disability organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	8369	157471	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Disability organization
1257	mb50w	Membership/active in: Hunting organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	1707	164133	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Hunting organization
1258	mb50x	Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association	discrete	numeric-2.0	5033	160807	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Local heritage/ history association
1259	mb50z	Membership/active in: Community association (byalag)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1598	164242	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Community association (byalag)

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1260	mb60a	Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	91359	74481	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Sports/outdoor organisation
1261	mb60b	Membership/active in: Environmental organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	91359	74481	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Environmental organisation
1262	mb60c	Membership/active in: Political party/organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	91359	74481	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Political party/organisation
1263	mb60e	Membership/active in: Labor union/organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	91359	74481	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Labor union/organization
1264	mb60f	Membership/active in: Cultural society	discrete	numeric-2.0	91359	74481	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Cultural society
1265	mb60g	Membership/active in: Community association (byalag)	discrete	numeric-2.0	49243	116597	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Community association (byalag)
1266	mb60h	Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	91359	74481	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Humanitarian aid organization
1267	mb60i	Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/org	discrete	numeric-2.0	45517	120323	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization
1268	mb60j	Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association	discrete	numeric-2.0	3234	162606	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association
1269	mb60k	Membership/active in: Immigrant organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	27658	138182	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Immigrant organization
1270	mb60l	Membership/active in: Temperance organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	3359	162481	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Temperance organization
1271	mb60m	Membership/active in: Organization for patients and caregivers	discrete	numeric-2.0	11553	154287	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Organization for patients and caregivers
1272	mb60n	Membership/active in: Disability organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	9925	155915	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Disability organization
1273	mb60o	Membership/active in: Hunting organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	3234	162606	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Hunting organization
1274	mb60p	Membership/active in: Pensioners association	discrete	numeric-2.0	78174	87666	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Pensioners association
1275	mb99a	Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	147224	18616	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Sports/outdoor organisation
1276	mb99b	Membership/active in: Environmental organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	143929	21911	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Environmental organisation

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1277	mb99c	Membership/active in: Political party/organisation	discrete	numeric-2.0	145445	20395	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Political party/organisation
1278	mb99d	Membership/active in: Cultural society	discrete	numeric-2.0	128295	37545	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Cultural society
1279	mb99e	Membership/active in: Labor union/organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	136842	28998	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Labor union/ organization
1280	mb99f	Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association	discrete	numeric-2.0	50841	114999	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association
1281	mb99g	Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	117761	48079	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Humanitarian aid organization
1282	mb99h	Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/ org	discrete	numeric-2.0	21148	144692	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization
1283	mb99i	Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association	discrete	numeric-2.0	8267	157573	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association
1284	mb99j	Membership/active in: Immigrant organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	39526	126314	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Immigrant organization
1285	mb99k	Membership/active in: Temperance organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	17001	148839	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Temperance organization
1286	mb99l	Membership/active in: Disability organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	18294	147546	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Disability organization
1287	mb99m	Membership/active in: Hunting organization	discrete	numeric-2.0	4941	160899	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Hunting organization
1288	mb99n	Membership/active in: Pensioners association	discrete	numeric-2.0	113623	52217	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Pensioners association
1289	mb99o	Membership/active in: Residents´/house-owners ´ association, housing cooperative	discrete	numeric-2.0	25144	140696	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Residents' association, housing cooperative or house-owners' association
1290	mc10a	Past 12 months: Bought or sold stocks/fund shares	discrete	numeric-2.0	1643	164197	In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities? - Bought or sold stocks/fund shares
1291	mc10c	Past 12 months: Gambled on the horses/lottery etc.	discrete	numeric-2.0	148271	17569	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gambled on the horses/lottery etc.
1292	mc10f	Past 12 months: Traded securities/stocks/funds	discrete	numeric-2.0	7094	158746	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Traded securities/stocks/funds
1293	mc10k	Past 12 months: Traded with shares/stocks	discrete	numeric-2.0	71544	94296	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Traded with shares/stocks

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1294	mc100a	Priceworthy: A paperback	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	164065	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A paperback
1295	mc100b	Priceworthy: A weekly magazine	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	164065	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A weekly magazine
1296	mc100c	Priceworthy: A cd	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	164065	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A cd
1297	mc100d	Priceworthy: A theater ticket	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	164065	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A theater ticket
1298	mc100e	Priceworthy: A cinema ticket	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	164065	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A cinema ticket
1299	mc100f	Priceworthy: A ticket to a fotball/hockey game	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	164065	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A ticket to a fotball/hockey game
1300	mc100g	Priceworthy: A restaurant visit	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	164065	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A restaurant visit
1301	mc100h	Priceworthy: A ticket to a music concert	discrete	numeric-2.0	1775	164065	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A ticket to a music concert
1302	mc101a	Priceworthy: Paperback	discrete	numeric-2.0	11600	154240	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Paperback
1303	mc101b	Priceworthy: Cd	discrete	numeric-2.0	8381	157459	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Cd
1304	mc101c	Priceworthy: Cinema visit	discrete	numeric-2.0	9972	155868	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Cinema visit
1305	mc101d	Priceworthy: Theatre	discrete	numeric-2.0	9972	155868	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Theatre
1306	mc101e	Priceworthy: Subscription to the daily paper	discrete	numeric-2.0	9972	155868	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Subscription to the daily paper
1307	mc101f	Priceworthy: Broadband subscriptions	discrete	numeric-2.0	8381	157459	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Broadband subscriptions
1308	mc101o	Priceworthy: Pay-TV channels	discrete	numeric-2.0	6612	159228	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Pay-TV channels
1309	mc101p	Priceworthy: Designer clothes	discrete	numeric-2.0	9831	156009	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Designer clothes
1310	mc101q	Priceworthy: KRAV-certified food [produced in an env friendly/ethical manner]	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - KRAV-certified food [food produced in an environmental friendly and ethical manner]
1311	mc101t	Priceworthy: Design furniture	discrete	numeric-2.0	8170	157670	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Design furniture

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1312	mc101u	Priceworthy: Body care treatment	discrete	numeric-2.0	8170	157670	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Body care treatment
1313	mc101v	Priceworthy: Fairtade labelled products	discrete	numeric-2.0	1702	164138	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Fairtade labelled products
1314	md10	As a whole, how satsified are you with the life you are living?	discrete	numeric-2.0	142421	23419	As a whole, how satsified are you with the life you are living?
1315	md11	As a whole, how satsified are you with the life you are living?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1769	164071	As a whole, how satsified are you with the life you are living?
1316	md12	Overall, how satisfied are you with your life?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1597	164243	Overall, how satisfied are you with your life?
1317	md30	Overall, how happy would you say you are?	discrete	numeric-2.0	6971	158869	Overall, how happy would you say you are?
1318	md60a	Important for well-being: Good health	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good health
1319	md60b	Important for well-being: Good private economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good private economy
1320	md60c	Important for well-being: Good friends	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good friends
1321	md60d	Important for well-being: Family	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Family
1322	md60e	Important for well-being: An enriched leisure time	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - An enriched leisure time
1323	md60f	Important for well-being: Nature experiences	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Nature experiences
1324	md60g	Important for well-being: Excitement in life	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Excitement in life
1325	md60h	Important for well-being: A meaningful job	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A meaningful job
1326	md60i	Important for well-being: General knowledge	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - General knowledge
1327	md60j	Important for well-being: Political involvement	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Political involvement
1328	md60k	Important for well-being: Exercise/training	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Exercise/ training
1329	md60l	Important for well-being: A safe living environment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A safe living environment
1330	md60m	Important for well-being: A loving relationship	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A loving relationship

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1331	md60n	Important for well-being: Good housing	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good housing
1332	md60o	Important for well-being: To be well dressed	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To be well dressed
1333	md60p	Important for well-being: To look good	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To look good
1334	md60q	Important for well-being: A religious faith	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A religious faith
1335	md60r	Important for well-being: Social reputation	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Social reputation
1336	md60s	Important for well-being: Inner harmony	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Inner harmony
1337	md60t	Important for well-being: To help others	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To help others
1338	md60u	Important for well-being: Success in work/studies	discrete	numeric-2.0	5020	160820	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Success in work/studies
1339	mf10	State of health (personal assessment)	discrete	numeric-2.0	126297	39543	How do you rate your general health state?
1340	mf30	State of health (personal assessment)	discrete	numeric-2.0	10805	155035	How do you rate your general health state?
1341	mf50a	Recurring health issues: Headache or dizziness	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	156072	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Headache or dizziness
1342	mf50b	Recurring health issues: Ache or pain in muscles/joints/connective tissues	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	156072	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Ache or pain in muscles/joints/connective tissues
1343	mf50c	Recurring health issues: Heart problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	156072	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Heart problems
1344	mf50d	Recurring health issues: Stomach problems	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	156072	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Stomach problems
1345	mf50e	Recurring health issues: Sleep difficulties	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	156072	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Sleep difficulties
1346	mf50f	Recurring health issues: Anxiety/depression	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	156072	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Anxiety/depression
1347	mf50g	Recurring health issues: Reduced physical mobility	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	156072	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Reduced physical mobility

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1348	mf50h	Recurring health issues: Allergies	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	156072	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Allergies
1349	mf50i	Recurring health issues: Other type of health problem	discrete	numeric-2.0	9768	156072	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Other type of health problem
1350	mf100a	Height and weight: Length (in cm)	continuous	numeric-2.0	26258	139582	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Length (in cm)
1351	mf100b	Height and weight: Weight (in kg)	continuous	numeric-2.0	26258	139582	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Weight (in kg)
1352	mf100c	Length and weight: Do not want to answer	discrete	numeric-2.0	24489	141351	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Do not want to answer
1353	mg900a	Proposal: Lower the alcohol tax	discrete	numeric-2.0	30479	135361	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Lower the alcohol tax
1354	mg900b	Proposal: Raise the alcohol tax	discrete	numeric-2.0	26805	139035	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Raise the alcohol tax
1355	mg901a	Proposal: Allow the sale of beer, wine and liquor in grocery stores	discrete	numeric-2.0	37580	128260	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Allow the sale of beer, wine and liquor in grocery stores
1356	ng10	Which weekday was it yesterday?	discrete	numeric-2.0	10701	155139	Which weekday was it yesterday?
1357	lmsit1986	Labour market situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	19799	146041	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
1358	lmsit1998	Labour market situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	136673	29167	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
1359	lmsit	Labour market situation	discrete	numeric-2.0	156472	9368	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
1360	working	Gainfully employed	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
1361	unemployed	Unemployed/in labour market program/training	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
1362	occgr	Current or previous occupational group	discrete	numeric-8.0	165840	0	Which of these occupational groups do you/did you belong to?
1363	occupati ..	Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)	discrete	numeric-2.0	49069	116771	What is/was your occupation?
1364	ssyk	Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)	discrete	numeric-8.0	120265	45575	What is/was your main occupation? (If you are not currently working, please state your latest occupation)
1365	euroesec	Occupation (Esec)	discrete	numeric-8.0	96025	69815	What is/was your main occupation? (If you are not currently working, please state your latest occupation)
1366	sector1986	Employment sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	20431	145409	Are/were you employed by the state, local government or a private employer?
1367	sector1998	Employment sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	38673	127167	Are/were you employed by the state (central government), local government,

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							regional government or by a private employer?
1368	sector2009	Employment sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	106736	59104	Are/were you employed by the state (central government), local government, regional government or by a private employer?
1369	sector	Employment sector	discrete	numeric-2.0	164227	1613	Do/did you work in the public or private sector?
1370	empfp1986	Do/did you work full time or part time	discrete	numeric-2.0	17854	147986	Do/did you work full time or part time?
1371	empfp1998	Do/did you work full time or part time	discrete	numeric-2.0	30798	135042	Do/did you work full time or part time?
1372	empfp2011	Do/did you work full time or part time?	discrete	numeric-2.0	27986	137854	Do/did you work full time or part time?
1373	workhour	Average weekly working hours	discrete	numeric-2.0	63162	102678	What are/were your normal weekly working hours?
1374	unionm1986	Union membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	23992	141848	Are you a union member?
1375	unionm1999	Union membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	140653	25187	Are you a union member?
1376	unionm2012	Union membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	92083	73757	Are you a union member?
1377	unionm	Union membership	discrete	numeric-2.0	165840	0	Are you a union member?
1378	unionm_o ..	Union membership (open question)	discrete	numeric-2.0	92083	73757	Are you a union member?
1379	edu1986	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	1624	164216	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1380	edu1988	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	8265	157575	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1381	edu1993	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	5338	160502	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1382	edu1996	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	21390	144450	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1383	edu2003	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	3675	162165	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1384	edu2004	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	101327	64513	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1385	edu2020	Educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	22550	143290	What is your highest attained level of education?
1386	edu3	Educational attainment (3 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	159393	6447	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1387	edu2	Educational attainment (2 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	159393	6447	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
1388	pinc2009	Monthly personal income	discrete	numeric-2.0	3389	162451	What is your your monthly net personal income?
1389	pinc2011	Monthly personal income	discrete	numeric-2.0	43635	122205	What is normally your your monthly net personal income?
1390	hinc1986	Household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	6516	159324	Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax.
1391	hinc1990	Household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	5044	160796	Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax.
1392	hinc1993	Household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	12432	153408	Please place a cross in the box which is equivalent to the apporoximate yearly income, before tax, for all members of your household (including pension and study allowance).
1393	hinc1999	Household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	31853	133987	Please place a cross in the box which is equivalent to the apporoximate yearly income, before tax, for all members of your household (including pension and study allowance).
1394	hinc2008	Gross household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	11490	154350	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
1395	hinc2011	Gross household income	discrete	numeric-2.0	96698	69142	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
1396	hinc3rel	Gross household income (3 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	151364	14476	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
1397	hinc5rel	Gross household income (5 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	151364	14476	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
1398	oinc4rel	Monthly personal income (4 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	11423	154417	-
1399	oinc5rel	Monthly personal income (5 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	11423	154417	-
1400	cityrur1 ..	Present residential area	discrete	numeric-2.0	20102	145738	How would you characterize the area where you live?
1401	cityrur1 ..	Present residential area	discrete	numeric-2.0	47378	118462	In what type of area do you live?
1402	cityrur2 ..	Present residential area	discrete	numeric-2.0	96803	69037	In what type of area do you live?
1403	cityrur	Present residential area	discrete	numeric-2.0	161786	4054	In what type of area do you live?
1404	natgeo	Region in Sweden Data from national population register	discrete	numeric-2.0	165381	459	-
1405	subclh1986	Subjective family class: at present	discrete	numeric-2.0	52638	113202	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood,

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home
1406	subclh2008	Subjective family class: at present	discrete	numeric-2.0	99668	66172	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home
1407	subclg1990	Subjective family class: during childhood	discrete	numeric-2.0	47424	118416	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood
1408	subclg2008	Subjective family class: during childhood	discrete	numeric-2.0	99918	65922	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood
1409	subclh	Subjective family class: at present	discrete	numeric-2.0	152306	13534	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home
1410	subclg	Subjective family class: during childhood	discrete	numeric-2.0	147342	18498	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood
1411	growupp	Area/country of upbringing: Yourself	discrete	numeric-2.0	145024	20816	Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Yourself
1412	growupf	Area/country of upbringing: Your father	discrete	numeric-2.0	139997	25843	Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Your father
1413	growupm	Area/country of upbringing: Your mother	discrete	numeric-2.0	140458	25382	Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Your mother
1414	citizen1 ..	Swedish citizen	discrete	numeric-2.0	26726	139114	Are you a citizen of Sweden?
1415	citizen2 ..	Citizenship	discrete	numeric-2.0	127541	38299	Are you…:
1416	citizen	Swedish citizen	discrete	numeric-2.0	154267	11573	Are you a citizen of Sweden?
1417	hhtypela	Type of household: Living by myself	discrete	numeric-2.0	164147	1693	What does your household look like?
1418	hhtypeg	Type of household: Household shared with an adult	discrete	numeric-2.0	136240	29600	What does your household look like?
1419	hhtypegg	Type of household: Household shared with several adults	discrete	numeric-2.0	136240	29600	What does your household look like?
1420	hhtypec	Type of household: Household shared with one or several children	discrete	numeric-2.0	164169	1671	What does your household look like?
1421	childha03	Number and age of children in household: 0-3 years	discrete	numeric-2.0	154280	11560	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?
1422	childha46	Number and age of children in household: 4-6 years	discrete	numeric-2.0	154280	11560	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?

File SICD v2022.1

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1423	childha715	Number and age of children in household: 7-15 years	discrete	numeric-2.0	154280	11560	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?
1424	childhao16	Number and age of children in household: 16 years or older	discrete	numeric-2.0	127552	38288	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?
1425	mstatus	Marital status	discrete	numeric-8.2	164169	1671	Are you?
1426	mstatus2	Marital status 2-cat	discrete	numeric-2.0	9889	155951	Are you single or cohabitated/married?
1427	mstatus3	Marital status 3-cat	discrete	numeric-2.0	30403	135437	Are you single, cohabitated ormarried?
1428	mstatus4	Marital status 4-cat	discrete	numeric-2.0	91259	74581	What is your marital status?
1429	mstatus5	Marital status 5-cat	discrete	numeric-2.0	32618	133222	Are you?
1430	sex	Gender (response, supplemented with data from the population register)	discrete	numeric-8.0	165718	122	Are you a woman or a man?
1431	yearofbi ..	Year of birth (response, supplemented with data from the population register)	continuous	numeric-2.0	165716	124	What year were you born?
1432	yearofbi ..	Year of birth (data from the population register)	continuous	numeric-2.0	122010	43830	-
1433	age	Age (based on yearofbirth, supplemented with data from the population register)	continuous	numeric-2.0	165717	123	What year were you born?
1434	agereg	Age (data from the population register)	continuous	numeric-2.0	122010	43830	-
1435	age1675	Age (only those between 16 and 75)	discrete	numeric-2.0	151572	14268	What year were you born?
1436	age1680	Age (only those between 16 and 80)	discrete	numeric-2.0	160203	5637	What year were you born?
1437	age4a	Age category: (4 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	164923	917	What year were you born?
1438	age4b	Age category: (4 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	164923	917	What year were you born?
1439	age8a	Age category: (8 point scale, ten year intervals)	discrete	numeric-2.0	164923	917	What year were you born?
1440	age8b	Age category: (8 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	164923	917	What year were you born?
1441	age9	Age category: (9 point scale)	discrete	numeric-2.0	164923	917	What year were you born?
1442	gen10t	Generation: 10-year cohorts	discrete	numeric-2.0	165468	372	What year were you born?
1443	genzukin	Cohort grouping (after Zukin et al 2006)	discrete	numeric-2.0	161260	4580	What year were you born?
1444	cc11aw	Like/dislike: Märta Stenevi	discrete	numeric-2.0	11423	154417	-
1445	ab200f	To what extent involved in corruption: Aid to developing countries	discrete	numeric-8.0	0	165840	-
1446	ab200g	To what extent involved in corruption: The arms industry	discrete	numeric-8.0	0	165840	-
1447	ce900c	-	discrete	numeric-8.0	0	165840	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 1447 variable(s)

File : SICD v2022.1

idnr: ID number

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-32000000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=882860.062 /-] [StdDev=3719903.677 /-]
Definition	The original identification code given to each respondent. However, this code is not unique 1986-1998, see also yearid for a unique id number.
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

year: Year of survey

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1986-2013] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2010.004 /-] [StdDev=9.43 /-]
Definition	Anger undersökningsåret (1986-).
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

yearid: YEARxID. Unique identification number

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 198600070003-201300990030] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=201001322440.1 /-] [StdDev=942918421.54 /-]
Definition	A unique id number; the sum of (year*100000000)+idnr. Up until 1998, the id number was unique within each sample, but not in relation to other samples.
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

formular: Questionnaire edition

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	Gives the edition in which the question was asked. 1986-1997 there was a single edition for National SOM (0 "National"). In 1998 the survey was split into two separate editions (1 "National-1" and 2 "National-2"). In 2009 a third edtion was added (3 "National-3"). Note that some questions are not asked in all editions.
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	National	0	
1	National 1	60700	36.6%
2	National 2	42005	25.3%
3	National 3	21957	13.2%
4	National 4	16892	10.2%
5		12224	7.4%
6		10408	6.3%
7		1654	1.0%
10	West	0	
11	West Gothenburg	0	
12	West Västra Götaland	0	
20	South	0	
30	Värmland	0	
100	Student	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

indatum: Date of questionnaire delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 99-20120213] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=143757 /-] [Invalid=22083 /-] [Mean=20087907.61 /-] [StdDev=688652.33 /-]

File : SICD v2022.1

indatum: Date of questionnaire delivery

Definition	Gives the date when the questionnaire arrived (YYYYMMDD).
Notes	1987, 1989, 1991-1994, 1996- (National); 1996- (West)

lan: County code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	Gives the county (län) where the respondent is registered. This is an older subdivision where the counties of Kristianstad, Älvsborg and Skaraborg are included. Based on population registers.
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stockholm County	33170	20.0%
3	Uppsala County	5941	3.6%
4	Södermanland County	4885	2.9%
5	Östergötland County	7833	4.7%
6	Jönköping County	6182	3.7%
7	Kronoberg County	3378	2.0%
8	Kalmar County	4369	2.6%
9	Gotland County	1089	0.7%
10	Blekinge County	2847	1.7%
11	Kristianstad County	621	0.4%
12	Skåne County	20249	12.2%
13	Halland County	5501	3.3%
14	Västra Götaland County	26773	16.1%
15	Älvsborg County	1044	0.6%
16	Skaraborg County	658	0.4%
17	Värmland County	4942	3.0%
18	Örebro County	5071	3.1%
19	Västmanland County	4493	2.7%
20	Dalarna County	5100	3.1%
21	Gävleborg County	4824	2.9%
22	Västernorrland County	4411	2.7%
23	Jämtland County	2509	1.5%
24	Västerbotten County	4939	3.0%
25	Norrbotten County	4546	2.7%
99	Information missing	465	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lanskod2010: County code in acc. with 2010 classification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165808 /-] [Invalid=32 /-]
Definition	County (län) subdivision in accordance with the classification of 2010 (does not include the counties of Kristianstad, Älvsborg and Skaraborg). Based on population registers.
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		9	0.0%

File : SICD v2022.1

lanskod2010: County code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stockholm County	33170	20.0%
3	Uppsala County	6024	3.6%
4	Södermanland County	4885	2.9%
5	Östergötland County	7833	4.7%
6	Jönköping County	6224	3.8%
7	Kronoberg County	3378	2.0%
8	Kalmar County	4369	2.6%
9	Gotland County	1089	0.7%
10	Blekinge County	2847	1.7%
12	Skåne County	20870	12.6%
13	Halland County	5501	3.3%
14	Västra Götaland County	28433	17.1%
17	Värmland County	4942	3.0%
18	Örebro County	5071	3.1%
19	Västmanland County	4410	2.7%
20	Dalarna County	5100	3.1%
21	Gävleborg County	4824	2.9%
22	Västernorrland County	4411	2.7%
23	Jämtland County	2509	1.5%
24	Västerbotten County	4939	3.0%
25	Norrbotten County	4546	2.7%
99	Information missing	424	0.3%
Sysmiss		32	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

kommun: Municipality code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 99-2584] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	Gives the municipality (kommun) where the respondent is registered. This is an older municipality subdivision. Based on population registers.
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Information missing	475	0.3%
114	Upplands-Väsby	635	0.4%
115	Vallentuna	458	0.3%
117	Österåker	690	0.4%
120	Värmdö	625	0.4%
123	Järfälla	1120	0.7%
125	Ekerö	433	0.3%
126	Huddinge	1415	0.9%
127	Botkyrka	1139	0.7%
128	Salem	254	0.2%
136	Haninge	1206	0.7%
138	Tyresö	747	0.5%

File : SICD v2022.1

kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
139	Upplands-Bro	370	0.2%
140	Nykvarn	117	0.1%
160	Täby	1122	0.7%
162	Danderyd	552	0.3%
163	Sollentuna	1046	0.6%
180	Stockholm	13778	8.3%
181	Södertälje	1238	0.7%
182	Nacka	1433	0.9%
183	Sundbyberg	632	0.4%
184	Solna	1154	0.7%
186	Lidingö	726	0.4%
187	Vaxholm	179	0.1%
188	Norrtälje	1032	0.6%
191	Sigtuna	636	0.4%
192	Nynäshamn	433	0.3%
305	Håbo	343	0.2%
319	Älvkarleby	164	0.1%
360	Tierp	356	0.2%
380	Uppsala (inkl. Knivsta (e 2002: 330))	3811	2.3%
381	Enköping	708	0.4%
382	Östhammar	397	0.2%
428	Vingåker	162	0.1%
461	Gnesta	183	0.1%
480	Nyköping	960	0.6%
481	Oxelösund	216	0.1%
482	Flen	320	0.2%
483	Katrineholm	628	0.4%
484	Eskilstuna	1644	1.0%
486	Strängnäs	586	0.4%
488	Trosa	186	0.1%
509	Ödeshög	85	0.1%
512	Ydre	71	0.0%
513	Kinda	199	0.1%
560	Boxholm	108	0.1%
561	Åtvidaberg	221	0.1%
562	Finspång	418	0.3%
563	Valdemarsvik	148	0.1%
580	Linköping	2690	1.6%
581	Norrköping	2215	1.3%
582	Söderköping	269	0.2%
583	Motala	749	0.5%
584	Vadstena	131	0.1%
586	Mjölby	527	0.3%

File : SICD v2022.1

kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
604	Aneby	113	0.1%
617	Gnosjö	137	0.1%
642	Mullsjö	104	0.1%
643	Habo	161	0.1%
662	Gislaved	507	0.3%
665	Vaggeryd	245	0.1%
680	Jönköping	2327	1.4%
682	Nässjö	589	0.4%
683	Värnamo	586	0.4%
684	Sävsjö	228	0.1%
685	Vetlanda	495	0.3%
686	Eksjö	332	0.2%
687	Tranås	357	0.2%
760	Uppvidinge	165	0.1%
761	Lessebo	156	0.1%
763	Tingsryd	232	0.1%
764	Alvesta	357	0.2%
765	Älmhult	301	0.2%
767	Markaryd	171	0.1%
780	Växjö	1503	0.9%
781	Ljungby	492	0.3%
821	Högsby	110	0.1%
834	Torsås	130	0.1%
840	Mörbylånga	281	0.2%
860	Hultsfred	282	0.2%
861	Mönsterås	232	0.1%
862	Emmaboda	173	0.1%
880	Kalmar	1166	0.7%
881	Nybro	366	0.2%
882	Oskarshamn	469	0.3%
883	Västervik	642	0.4%
884	Vimmerby	289	0.2%
885	Borgholm	228	0.1%
980	Gotland	1089	0.7%
1060	Olofström	215	0.1%
1080	Karlskrona	1224	0.7%
1081	Ronneby	566	0.3%
1082	Karlshamn	538	0.3%
1083	Sölvesborg	304	0.2%
1121	Östra Göinge (e 1996: 1256)	227	0.1%
1137	Örkelljunga (e 1996: 1257)	149	0.1%
1160	Tomelilla (e 1996: 1270)	254	0.2%
1162	Bromölla (e 1996: 1272)	214	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1163	Osby (e 1996: 1273)	229	0.1%
1165	Perstorp (e 1996: 1275)	18	0.0%
1166	Klippan (e 1996: 1276)	278	0.2%
1167	Åstorp (e 1996: 1276)	228	0.1%
1168	Båstad (e 1996: 1278)	252	0.2%
1180	Kristianstad (e 1996: 1290)	1375	0.8%
1181	Simrishamn (e 1996: 1291)	364	0.2%
1182	Ängelholm (e 1996: 1292)	723	0.4%
1183	Hässleholm (e 1996: 1293)	874	0.5%
1214	Svalöv	244	0.1%
1230	Staffanstorp	398	0.2%
1231	Burlöv	259	0.2%
1233	Vellinge	605	0.4%
1256	Östra Göinge	0	
1257	Örkelljunga	0	
1260	Bjuv	213	0.1%
1261	Kävlinge	479	0.3%
1262	Lomma	403	0.2%
1263	Svedala	339	0.2%
1264	Skurup	254	0.2%
1265	Sjöbo	315	0.2%
1266	Hörby	269	0.2%
1267	Höör	256	0.2%
1270	Tomelilla	0	
1272	Bromölla	0	
1273	Osby	0	
1275	Perstorp	92	0.1%
1276	Klippan	0	
1277	Åstorp	0	
1278	Båstad	0	
1280	Malmö	4499	2.7%
1281	Lund	2076	1.3%
1282	Landskrona	649	0.4%
1283	Helsingborg	2155	1.3%
1284	Höganäs	481	0.3%
1285	Eslöv	500	0.3%
1286	Ystad	486	0.3%
1287	Trelleborg	713	0.4%
1290	Kristianstad	0	
1291	Simrishamn	0	
1292	Ängelholm	0	
1293	Hässleholm	0	
1315	Hylte	182	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1380	Halmstad	1729	1.0%
1381	Laholm	419	0.3%
1382	Falkenberg	791	0.5%
1383	Varberg	1108	0.7%
1384	Kungsbacka	1272	0.8%
1401	Härryda	588	0.4%
1402	Partille	565	0.3%
1407	Öckerö	227	0.1%
1415	Stenungsund	422	0.3%
1419	Tjörn	275	0.2%
1421	Orust	286	0.2%
1427	Sotenäs	181	0.1%
1430	Munkedal	193	0.1%
1435	Tanum	201	0.1%
1438	Dals-Ed	0	
1439	Färgelanda	0	
1440	Ale	0	
1441	Lerum	0	
1442	Vårgårda	0	
1443	Bollebygd	0	
1444	Grästorp	0	
1445	Essunga	0	
1446	Karlsborg	0	
1447	Gullspång	0	
1452	Tranemo	0	
1460	Bengtsfors	0	
1461	Mellerud	0	
1462	Lilla Edet	0	
1463	Mark	0	
1465	Svenljunga	0	
1466	Herrljunga	153	0.1%
1470	Vara	0	
1471	Götene	0	
1472	Tibro	0	
1473	Töreboda	0	
1480	Göteborg	8993	5.4%
1481	Mölndal	1153	0.7%
1482	Kungälv	766	0.5%
1484	Lysekil	265	0.2%
1485	Uddevalla	964	0.6%
1486	Strömstad	182	0.1%
1487	Vänersborg	0	
1488	Trollhättan	0	

File : SICD v2022.1

kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1489	Alingsås	0	
1490	Borås	0	
1491	Ulricehamn	0	
1492	Åmål	0	
1493	Mariestad	0	
1494	Lidköping	0	
1495	Skara	0	
1496	Skövde	0	
1497	Hjo	0	
1498	Tidaholm	0	
1499	Falköping	0	
1504	Dals-Ed (f 1998: 1438)	85	0.1%
1507	Färgelanda (e 1997: 1439)	118	0.1%
1521	Ale (e 1997: 1440)	493	0.3%
1524	Lerum (e 1997: 1441)	676	0.4%
1527	Vårgårda (e 1997: 1442)	209	0.1%
1535	Bollebygd (e 1997: 1443)	144	0.1%
1552	Tranemo (e 1997: 1452)	228	0.1%
1560	Bengtsfors (e 1997: 1460)	154	0.1%
1561	Mellerud (e 1997: 1461)	176	0.1%
1562	Lilla Edet (e 1997: 1462)	207	0.1%
1563	Mark (e 1997: 1463)	600	0.4%
1565	Svenljunga (e 1997: 1465)	203	0.1%
1566	Herrljunga (e 1997: 1466)	28	0.0%
1580	Vänersborg (e 1997: 1487)	728	0.4%
1581	Trollhättan (e 1997: 1488)	956	0.6%
1582	Alingsås (e 1997: 1489)	722	0.4%
1583	Borås (e 1997: 1490)	1874	1.1%
1584	Ulricehamn (e 1997: 1491)	420	0.3%
1585	Åmål (e 1997: 1492)	207	0.1%
1602	Grästorp (e 1997: 1444)	104	0.1%
1603	Essunga (e 1997: 1445)	93	0.1%
1622	Mullsjö (e 1997: 642 (länsbyte))	20	0.0%
1623	Habo (e 1997: 643 (länsbyte))	22	0.0%
1637	Karlsborg (e 1997: 1446)	126	0.1%
1643	Gullspång (e 1997: 1447)	96	0.1%
1660	Vara (e 1997: 1470)	284	0.2%
1661	Götene (e 1997: 1471)	297	0.2%
1662	Tibro (e 1997: 1472)	194	0.1%
1663	Töreboda (e 1997: 1473)	168	0.1%
1680	Mariestad (e 1997: 1493)	446	0.3%
1681	Lidköping (e 1997: 1494)	706	0.4%
1682	Skara (e 1997: 1495)	337	0.2%

File : SICD v2022.1

kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1683	Skövde (e 1997: 1496)	977	0.6%
1684	Hjo (e 1997: 1497)	176	0.1%
1685	Tidaholm (e 1997: 1498)	205	0.1%
1686	Falköping (e 1997: 1499)	582	0.4%
1715	Kil	235	0.1%
1730	Eda	134	0.1%
1737	Torsby	223	0.1%
1760	Storfors	73	0.0%
1761	Hammarö	253	0.2%
1762	Munkfors	68	0.0%
1763	Forshaga	224	0.1%
1764	Grums	169	0.1%
1765	Årjäng	151	0.1%
1766	Sunne	236	0.1%
1780	Karlstad	1588	1.0%
1781	Kristinehamn	462	0.3%
1782	Filipstad	168	0.1%
1783	Hagfors	219	0.1%
1784	Arvika	458	0.3%
1785	Säffle	281	0.2%
1814	Lekeberg	114	0.1%
1860	Laxå	108	0.1%
1861	Hallsberg	298	0.2%
1862	Degerfors	166	0.1%
1863	Hällefors	146	0.1%
1864	Ljusnarsberg	78	0.0%
1880	Örebro	2428	1.5%
1881	Kumla	345	0.2%
1882	Askersund	208	0.1%
1883	Karlskoga	549	0.3%
1884	Nora	199	0.1%
1885	Lindesberg	428	0.3%
1904	Skinnskatteberg	74	0.0%
1907	Surahammar	172	0.1%
1917	Heby (e 2006: 331)	245	0.1%
1960	Kungsör	137	0.1%
1961	Hallstahammar	278	0.2%
1962	Norberg	99	0.1%
1980	Västerås	2374	1.4%
1981	Sala	387	0.2%
1982	Fagersta	210	0.1%
1983	Köping	439	0.3%
1984	Arboga	240	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2021	Vansbro	128	0.1%
2023	Malung	177	0.1%
2026	Gagnef	184	0.1%
2029	Leksand	298	0.2%
2031	Rättvik	183	0.1%
2034	Orsa	134	0.1%
2039	Älvdalen	137	0.1%
2061	Smedjebacken	196	0.1%
2062	Mora	385	0.2%
2080	Falun	1106	0.7%
2081	Borlänge	840	0.5%
2082	Säter	206	0.1%
2083	Hedemora	277	0.2%
2084	Avesta	414	0.2%
2085	Ludvika	435	0.3%
2101	Ockelbo	117	0.1%
2104	Hofors	158	0.1%
2121	Ovanåker	216	0.1%
2132	Nordanstig	179	0.1%
2161	Ljusdal	325	0.2%
2180	Gävle	1626	1.0%
2181	Sandviken	678	0.4%
2182	Söderhamn	433	0.3%
2183	Bollnäs	452	0.3%
2184	Hudiksvall	640	0.4%
2260	Ånge	182	0.1%
2262	Timrå	304	0.2%
2280	Härnösand	467	0.3%
2281	Sundsvall	1732	1.0%
2282	Kramfors	369	0.2%
2283	Sollefteå	349	0.2%
2284	Örnsköldsvik	1007	0.6%
2303	Ragunda	105	0.1%
2305	Bräcke	144	0.1%
2309	Krokom	303	0.2%
2313	Strömsund	260	0.2%
2321	Åre	171	0.1%
2326	Berg	150	0.1%
2361	Härjedalen	190	0.1%
2380	Östersund	1186	0.7%
2401	Nordmaling	127	0.1%
2403	Bjurholm	50	0.0%
2404	Vindeln	90	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

kommun: Municipality code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2409	Robertsfors	122	0.1%
2417	Norsjö	81	0.0%
2418	Malå	65	0.0%
2421	Storuman	121	0.1%
2422	Sorsele	42	0.0%
2425	Dorotea	42	0.0%
2460	Vännäs	187	0.1%
2462	Vilhelmina	121	0.1%
2463	Åsele	72	0.0%
2480	Umeå	2208	1.3%
2481	Lycksele	246	0.1%
2482	Skellefteå	1365	0.8%
2505	Arvidsjaur	124	0.1%
2506	Arjeplog	50	0.0%
2510	Jokkmokk	83	0.1%
2513	Överkalix	71	0.0%
2514	Kalix	310	0.2%
2518	Övertorneå	101	0.1%
2521	Pajala	128	0.1%
2523	Gällivare	331	0.2%
2560	Älvsbyn	155	0.1%
2580	Luleå	1333	0.8%
2581	Piteå	749	0.5%
2582	Boden	548	0.3%
2583	Haparanda	139	0.1%
2584	Kiruna	424	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 99-2584] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165808 /-] [Invalid=32 /-]
Definition	Municipality (kommun) subdivision in accordance with the classification of 2010. Based on population registers.
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Information missing	443	0.3%
114	Upplands-Väsby	635	0.4%
115	Vallentuna	458	0.3%
117	Österåker	690	0.4%
120	Värmdö	625	0.4%
123	Järfälla	1120	0.7%
125	Ekerö	433	0.3%
126	Huddinge	1415	0.9%
127	Botkyrka	1139	0.7%

File : SICD v2022.1

komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
128	Salem	254	0.2%
136	Haninge	1206	0.7%
138	Tyresö	747	0.5%
139	Upplands-Bro	370	0.2%
140	Knivsta (före 1999: del av Södertälje)	117	0.1%
160	Täby	1122	0.7%
162	Danderyd	552	0.3%
163	Sollentuna	1046	0.6%
180	Stockholm	13778	8.3%
181	Södertälje	1238	0.7%
182	Nacka	1433	0.9%
183	Sundbyberg	632	0.4%
184	Solna	1154	0.7%
186	Lidingö	726	0.4%
187	Vaxholm	179	0.1%
188	Norrtälje	1032	0.6%
191	Sigtuna	636	0.4%
192	Nynäshamn	433	0.3%
305	Håbo	343	0.2%
319	Älvkarleby	164	0.1%
330	Knivsta (före 2003: del av Uppsala)	192	0.1%
331	Heby (före 2007: 1917 (länsbyte))	245	0.1%
360	Tierp	356	0.2%
380	Uppsala	3619	2.2%
381	Enköping	708	0.4%
382	Östhammar	397	0.2%
428	Vingåker	162	0.1%
461	Gnesta (före 1992: del av Nyköping)	183	0.1%
480	Nyköping	960	0.6%
481	Oxelösund	216	0.1%
482	Flen	320	0.2%
483	Katrineholm	628	0.4%
484	Eskilstuna	1644	1.0%
486	Strängnäs	586	0.4%
488	Trosa (före 1992: del av Nyköping)	186	0.1%
509	Ödeshög	85	0.1%
512	Ydre	71	0.0%
513	Kinda	199	0.1%
560	Boxholm	108	0.1%
561	Åtvidaberg	221	0.1%
562	Finspång	418	0.3%
563	Valdemarsvik	148	0.1%
580	Linköping	2690	1.6%

File : SICD v2022.1

komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
581	Norrköping	2215	1.3%
582	Söderköping	269	0.2%
583	Motala	749	0.5%
584	Vadstena	131	0.1%
586	Mjölby	527	0.3%
604	Aneby	113	0.1%
617	Gnosjö	137	0.1%
642	Mullsjö (före 1998: 1622 (länsbyte))	124	0.1%
643	Habo (före 1998: 1623 (länsbyte))	183	0.1%
662	Gislaved	507	0.3%
665	Vaggeryd	245	0.1%
680	Jönköping	2327	1.4%
682	Nässjö	589	0.4%
683	Värnamo	586	0.4%
684	Sävsjö	228	0.1%
685	Vetlanda	495	0.3%
686	Eksjö	332	0.2%
687	Tranås	357	0.2%
760	Uppvidinge	165	0.1%
761	Lessebo	156	0.1%
763	Tingsryd	232	0.1%
764	Alvesta	357	0.2%
765	Älmhult	301	0.2%
767	Markaryd	171	0.1%
780	Växjö	1503	0.9%
781	Ljungby	492	0.3%
821	Högsby	110	0.1%
834	Torsås	130	0.1%
840	Mörbylånga	281	0.2%
860	Hultsfred	282	0.2%
861	Mönsterås	232	0.1%
862	Emmaboda	173	0.1%
880	Kalmar	1166	0.7%
881	Nybro	366	0.2%
882	Oskarshamn	469	0.3%
883	Västervik	642	0.4%
884	Vimmerby	289	0.2%
885	Borgholm	228	0.1%
980	Gotland	1089	0.7%
1060	Olofström	215	0.1%
1080	Karlskrona	1224	0.7%
1081	Ronneby	566	0.3%
1082	Karlshamn	538	0.3%

File : SICD v2022.1

komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1083	Sölvesborg	304	0.2%
1214	Svalöv	244	0.1%
1230	Staffanstorp	398	0.2%
1231	Burlöv	259	0.2%
1233	Vellinge	605	0.4%
1256	Östra Göinge (före 1997: 1121)	227	0.1%
1257	Örkelljunga (före 1997: 1137)	149	0.1%
1260	Bjuv	213	0.1%
1261	Kävlinge	479	0.3%
1262	Lomma	403	0.2%
1263	Svedala	339	0.2%
1264	Skurup	254	0.2%
1265	Sjöbo	315	0.2%
1266	Hörby	269	0.2%
1267	Höör	256	0.2%
1270	Tomelilla (före 1997: 1160)	254	0.2%
1272	Bromölla (före 1997: 1162)	214	0.1%
1273	Osby (före 1997: 1163)	229	0.1%
1275	Perstorp (före 1997: 1165)	110	0.1%
1276	Klippan (före 1997: 1166)	278	0.2%
1277	Åstorp (före 1997: 1167)	228	0.1%
1278	Båstad (före 1997: 1168)	252	0.2%
1280	Malmö	4499	2.7%
1281	Lund	2076	1.3%
1282	Landskrona	649	0.4%
1283	Helsingborg	2155	1.3%
1284	Höganäs	481	0.3%
1285	Eslöv	500	0.3%
1286	Ystad	486	0.3%
1287	Trelleborg	713	0.4%
1290	Kristianstad (före 1997: 1180)	1375	0.8%
1291	Simrishamn (före 1997: 1181)	364	0.2%
1292	Ängelholm (före 1997: 1182)	723	0.4%
1293	Hässleholm (före 1997: 1183)	874	0.5%
1315	Hylte	182	0.1%
1380	Halmstad	1729	1.0%
1381	Laholm	419	0.3%
1382	Falkenberg	791	0.5%
1383	Varberg	1108	0.7%
1384	Kungsbacka	1272	0.8%
1401	Härryda	588	0.4%
1402	Partille	565	0.3%
1407	Öckerö	227	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1415	Stenungsund	422	0.3%
1419	Tjörn	275	0.2%
1421	Orust	286	0.2%
1427	Sotenäs	181	0.1%
1430	Munkedal	193	0.1%
1435	Tanum	201	0.1%
1438	Dals-Ed (f 1998: 1504)	85	0.1%
1439	Färgelanda (f 1998: 1507)	118	0.1%
1440	Ale (f 1998: 1521)	493	0.3%
1441	Lerum (f 1998: 1524)	676	0.4%
1442	Vårgårda (f 1998: 1527)	209	0.1%
1443	Bollebygd (f 1998: 1535)	144	0.1%
1444	Grästorp (f 1998: 1602)	104	0.1%
1445	Essunga (f 1998: 1603)	93	0.1%
1446	Karlsborg (f 1998: 1637)	126	0.1%
1447	Gullspång (f 1998: 1643)	96	0.1%
1452	Tranemo (f 1998: 1552)	228	0.1%
1460	Bengtsfors (f 1998: 1560)	154	0.1%
1461	Mellerud (f 1998: 1561)	176	0.1%
1462	Lilla Edet (f 1998: 1562)	207	0.1%
1463	Mark (f 1998: 1563)	600	0.4%
1465	Svenljunga (f 1998: 1565)	203	0.1%
1466	Herrljunga (f 1998: 1566)	181	0.1%
1470	Vara (f 1998: 1660)	284	0.2%
1471	Götene (f 1998: 1661)	297	0.2%
1472	Tibro (f 1998: 1662)	194	0.1%
1473	Töreboda (f 1998: 1663)	168	0.1%
1480	Göteborg	8993	5.4%
1481	Mölndal	1153	0.7%
1482	Kungälv	766	0.5%
1484	Lysekil	265	0.2%
1485	Uddevalla	964	0.6%
1486	Strömstad	182	0.1%
1487	Vänersborg (f 1998: 1580)	728	0.4%
1488	Trollhättan (f 1998: 1581)	956	0.6%
1489	Alingsås (f 1998: 1582)	722	0.4%
1490	Borås (f 1998: 1583)	1874	1.1%
1491	Ulricehamn (f 1998: 1584)	420	0.3%
1492	Åmål (f 1998: 1585)	207	0.1%
1493	Mariestad (f 1998: 1680)	446	0.3%
1494	Lidköping (f 1998: 1681)	706	0.4%
1495	Skara (f 1998: 1682)	337	0.2%
1496	Skövde (f 1998: 1683)	977	0.6%

File : SICD v2022.1

komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1497	Hjo (f 1998: 1684)	176	0.1%
1498	Tidaholm (f 1998: 1685)	205	0.1%
1499	Falköping (f 1998: 1686)	582	0.4%
1535	Bollebygd (före 1995: del av Borås)	0	
1715	Kil	235	0.1%
1730	Eda	134	0.1%
1737	Torsby	223	0.1%
1760	Storfors	73	0.0%
1761	Hammarö	253	0.2%
1762	Munkfors	68	0.0%
1763	Forshaga	224	0.1%
1764	Grums	169	0.1%
1765	Årjäng	151	0.1%
1766	Sunne	236	0.1%
1780	Karlstad	1588	1.0%
1781	Kristinehamn	462	0.3%
1782	Filipstad	168	0.1%
1783	Hagfors	219	0.1%
1784	Arvika	458	0.3%
1785	Säffle	281	0.2%
1814	Lekeberg (före 1995: del av Örebro)	114	0.1%
1860	Laxå	108	0.1%
1861	Hallsberg	298	0.2%
1862	Degerfors	166	0.1%
1863	Hällefors	146	0.1%
1864	Ljusnarsberg	78	0.0%
1880	Örebro	2428	1.5%
1881	Kumla	345	0.2%
1882	Askersund	208	0.1%
1883	Karlskoga	549	0.3%
1884	Nora	199	0.1%
1885	Lindesberg	428	0.3%
1904	Skinnskatteberg	74	0.0%
1907	Surahammar	172	0.1%
1960	Kungsör	137	0.1%
1961	Hallstahammar	278	0.2%
1962	Norberg	99	0.1%
1980	Västerås	2374	1.4%
1981	Sala	387	0.2%
1982	Fagersta	210	0.1%
1983	Köping	439	0.3%
1984	Arboga	240	0.1%
2021	Vansbro	128	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2023	Malung-Sälen (före 2008: Malung)	177	0.1%
2026	Gagnef	184	0.1%
2029	Leksand	298	0.2%
2031	Rättvik	183	0.1%
2034	Orsa	134	0.1%
2039	Älvdalen	137	0.1%
2061	Smedjebacken	196	0.1%
2062	Mora	385	0.2%
2080	Falun	1106	0.7%
2081	Borlänge	840	0.5%
2082	Säter	206	0.1%
2083	Hedemora	277	0.2%
2084	Avesta	414	0.2%
2085	Ludvika	435	0.3%
2101	Ockelbo	117	0.1%
2104	Hofors	158	0.1%
2121	Ovanåker	216	0.1%
2132	Nordanstig	179	0.1%
2161	Ljusdal	325	0.2%
2180	Gävle	1626	1.0%
2181	Sandviken	678	0.4%
2182	Söderhamn	433	0.3%
2183	Bollnäs	452	0.3%
2184	Hudiksvall	640	0.4%
2260	Ånge	182	0.1%
2262	Timrå	304	0.2%
2280	Härnösand	467	0.3%
2281	Sundsvall	1732	1.0%
2282	Kramfors	369	0.2%
2283	Sollefteå	349	0.2%
2284	Örnsköldsvik	1007	0.6%
2303	Ragunda	105	0.1%
2305	Bräcke	144	0.1%
2309	Krokom	303	0.2%
2313	Strömsund	260	0.2%
2321	Åre	171	0.1%
2326	Berg	150	0.1%
2361	Härjedalen	190	0.1%
2380	Östersund	1186	0.7%
2401	Nordmaling	127	0.1%
2403	Bjurholm	50	0.0%
2404	Vindeln	90	0.1%
2409	Robertsfors	122	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

komkod2010: Municipality code in acc. with 2010 classification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2417	Norsjö	81	0.0%
2418	Malå	65	0.0%
2421	Storuman	121	0.1%
2422	Sorsele	42	0.0%
2425	Dorotea	42	0.0%
2460	Vännäs	187	0.1%
2462	Vilhelmina	121	0.1%
2463	Åsele	72	0.0%
2480	Umeå	2208	1.3%
2481	Lycksele	246	0.1%
2482	Skellefteå	1365	0.8%
2505	Arvidsjaur	124	0.1%
2506	Arjeplog	50	0.0%
2510	Jokkmokk	83	0.1%
2513	Överkalix	71	0.0%
2514	Kalix	310	0.2%
2518	Övertorneå	101	0.1%
2521	Pajala	128	0.1%
2523	Gällivare	331	0.2%
2560	Älvsbyn	155	0.1%
2580	Luleå	1333	0.8%
2581	Piteå	749	0.5%
2582	Boden	548	0.3%
2583	Haparanda	139	0.1%
2584	Kiruna	424	0.3%
Sysmiss		32	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

webpap: Web/paper questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=92083 /-] [Invalid=73757 /-]
Definition	Denotes whether the respondent has answered the paper or web edition. A web edition has been available since 2012.
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Ifylld på papper	76719	83.3%
1	Paper questionnaire	15364	16.7%
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

map1: Map variable 1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11387 /-] [Invalid=154453 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		46	0.4%

File : SICD v2022.1

map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		39	0.3%
3		39	0.3%
4		48	0.4%
5		84	0.7%
6		44	0.4%
7		111	1.0%
8		75	0.7%
9		19	0.2%
10		108	0.9%
11		49	0.4%
12		30	0.3%
13		14	0.1%
14		79	0.7%
15		32	0.3%
16		69	0.6%
17		1067	9.4%
18		81	0.7%
19		108	0.9%
20		50	0.4%
21		73	0.6%
22		56	0.5%
23		8	0.1%
24		80	0.7%
25		45	0.4%
26		34	0.3%
27		28	0.2%
28		8	0.1%
29		17	0.1%
30		15	0.1%
31		21	0.2%
32		285	2.5%
33		67	0.6%
34		26	0.2%
35		7	0.1%
36		17	0.1%
37		68	0.6%
38		11	0.1%
39		17	0.1%
40		39	0.3%
41		123	1.1%
42		42	0.4%
43		21	0.2%
44		2	0.0%

File : SICD v2022.1

map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45		7	0.1%
46		8	0.1%
48		12	0.1%
49		26	0.2%
50		7	0.1%
51		178	1.6%
52		172	1.5%
53		19	0.2%
54		55	0.5%
55		15	0.1%
56		31	0.3%
57		7	0.1%
58		15	0.1%
59		2	0.0%
60		12	0.1%
61		32	0.3%
62		16	0.1%
63		176	1.5%
64		38	0.3%
65		41	0.4%
66		16	0.1%
67		34	0.3%
68		19	0.2%
69		14	0.1%
70		6	0.1%
71		11	0.1%
72		9	0.1%
73		16	0.1%
74		28	0.2%
75		5	0.0%
76		122	1.1%
77		30	0.3%
78		2	0.0%
79		8	0.1%
80		30	0.3%
81		15	0.1%
82		10	0.1%
83		12	0.1%
84		78	0.7%
85		25	0.2%
86		20	0.2%
87		38	0.3%
88		28	0.2%

File : SICD v2022.1

map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89		13	0.1%
90		78	0.7%
91		9	0.1%
92		73	0.6%
93		27	0.2%
94		38	0.3%
95		10	0.1%
96		12	0.1%
97		24	0.2%
98		23	0.2%
99		40	0.4%
100		10	0.1%
101		11	0.1%
102		8	0.1%
103		34	0.3%
104		28	0.2%
105		16	0.1%
106		19	0.2%
107		11	0.1%
108		18	0.2%
109		19	0.2%
110		21	0.2%
111		17	0.1%
112		20	0.2%
113		6	0.1%
114		18	0.2%
115		12	0.1%
116		17	0.1%
117		330	2.9%
118		152	1.3%
119		26	0.2%
120		173	1.5%
121		29	0.3%
122		47	0.4%
123		36	0.3%
124		39	0.3%
125		87	0.8%
126		25	0.2%
127		31	0.3%
128		51	0.4%
129		10	0.1%
130		130	1.1%
131		27	0.2%

File : SICD v2022.1

map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
132		58	0.5%
133		79	0.7%
134		99	0.9%
135		32	0.3%
136		43	0.4%
137		19	0.2%
138		30	0.3%
139		20	0.2%
140		22	0.2%
141		9	0.1%
142		9	0.1%
143		12	0.1%
144		5	0.0%
145		7	0.1%
146		42	0.4%
147		60	0.5%
148		12	0.1%
149		14	0.1%
150		9	0.1%
151		4	0.0%
152		8	0.1%
153		5	0.0%
154		20	0.2%
155		9	0.1%
156		10	0.1%
157		8	0.1%
158		45	0.4%
159		11	0.1%
160		10	0.1%
161		16	0.1%
162		23	0.2%
163		8	0.1%
164		5	0.0%
165		652	5.7%
166		86	0.8%
167		56	0.5%
168		18	0.2%
169		61	0.5%
170		3	0.0%
171		64	0.6%
172		53	0.5%
173		45	0.4%
174		117	1.0%

File : SICD v2022.1

map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
175		25	0.2%
176		11	0.1%
177		25	0.2%
178		39	0.3%
179		26	0.2%
180		72	0.6%
181		17	0.1%
182		7	0.1%
183		30	0.3%
184		12	0.1%
185		7	0.1%
186		14	0.1%
187		3	0.0%
188		18	0.2%
189		5	0.0%
190		19	0.2%
192		11	0.1%
193		18	0.2%
194		96	0.8%
195		41	0.4%
196		7	0.1%
197		11	0.1%
198		19	0.2%
199		20	0.2%
200		5	0.0%
201		10	0.1%
202		20	0.2%
203		10	0.1%
204		11	0.1%
206		170	1.5%
207		20	0.2%
208		17	0.1%
209		34	0.3%
210		18	0.2%
211		25	0.2%
212		8	0.1%
213		10	0.1%
214		12	0.1%
215		13	0.1%
216		5	0.0%
217		173	1.5%
218		27	0.2%
219		9	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
220		34	0.3%
221		13	0.1%
222		11	0.1%
223		10	0.1%
224		13	0.1%
225		23	0.2%
226		11	0.1%
227		5	0.0%
228		6	0.1%
229		12	0.1%
230		27	0.2%
231		73	0.6%
232		44	0.4%
233		15	0.1%
234		14	0.1%
235		25	0.2%
236		37	0.3%
237		3	0.0%
238		7	0.1%
239		5	0.0%
240		14	0.1%
241		21	0.2%
242		116	1.0%
243		38	0.3%
244		26	0.2%
245		30	0.3%
246		39	0.3%
247		10	0.1%
248		18	0.2%
249		35	0.3%
250		113	1.0%
251		15	0.1%
252		19	0.2%
253		57	0.5%
254		5	0.0%
255		10	0.1%
256		17	0.1%
257		28	0.2%
258		12	0.1%
259		7	0.1%
260		13	0.1%
261		86	0.8%
262		10	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

map1: Map variable 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
263		3	0.0%
265		7	0.1%
266		2	0.0%
267		2	0.0%
268		4	0.0%
269		2	0.0%
270		1	0.0%
271		17	0.1%
272		9	0.1%
273		5	0.0%
274		156	1.4%
275		14	0.1%
276		90	0.8%
277		7	0.1%
278		2	0.0%
279		5	0.0%
280		3	0.0%
281		19	0.2%
282		3	0.0%
283		9	0.1%
284		20	0.2%
285		8	0.1%
286		94	0.8%
287		54	0.5%
288		33	0.3%
289		16	0.1%
290		35	0.3%
999	Information missing (municipality code)	0	
Sysmiss		154453	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

map2: Map variable 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11387 /-] [Invalid=154453 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		46	0.4%
2		39	0.3%
3		39	0.3%
4		48	0.4%
5		84	0.7%
6		44	0.4%
7		111	1.0%
8		75	0.7%
9		19	0.2%

File : SICD v2022.1

map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		108	0.9%
11		49	0.4%
12		30	0.3%
13		14	0.1%
14		79	0.7%
15		32	0.3%
16		69	0.6%
17		1067	9.4%
18		81	0.7%
19		108	0.9%
20		50	0.4%
21		73	0.6%
22		56	0.5%
23		8	0.1%
24		80	0.7%
25		45	0.4%
26		34	0.3%
27		28	0.2%
28		8	0.1%
29		17	0.1%
30		21	0.2%
31		285	2.5%
32		67	0.6%
33		26	0.2%
34		7	0.1%
35		17	0.1%
36		68	0.6%
37		11	0.1%
38		17	0.1%
39		39	0.3%
40		123	1.1%
41		42	0.4%
42		21	0.2%
43		2	0.0%
44		7	0.1%
45		8	0.1%
47		12	0.1%
48		26	0.2%
49		7	0.1%
50		178	1.6%
51		172	1.5%
52		19	0.2%
53		55	0.5%

File : SICD v2022.1

map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
54		15	0.1%
55		31	0.3%
56		7	0.1%
57		15	0.1%
58		2	0.0%
59		12	0.1%
60		32	0.3%
61		16	0.1%
62		176	1.5%
63		38	0.3%
64		41	0.4%
65		16	0.1%
66		34	0.3%
67		19	0.2%
68		14	0.1%
69		6	0.1%
70		11	0.1%
71		9	0.1%
72		16	0.1%
73		28	0.2%
74		5	0.0%
75		122	1.1%
76		30	0.3%
77		2	0.0%
78		8	0.1%
79		30	0.3%
80		15	0.1%
81		10	0.1%
82		12	0.1%
83		78	0.7%
84		25	0.2%
85		20	0.2%
86		38	0.3%
87		28	0.2%
88		13	0.1%
89		78	0.7%
90		9	0.1%
91		73	0.6%
92		27	0.2%
93		38	0.3%
94		10	0.1%
95		12	0.1%
96		24	0.2%

File : SICD v2022.1

map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97		23	0.2%
98		40	0.4%
99		10	0.1%
100		11	0.1%
101		8	0.1%
102		34	0.3%
103		28	0.2%
104		16	0.1%
105		19	0.2%
106		11	0.1%
107		18	0.2%
108		19	0.2%
109		21	0.2%
110		17	0.1%
111		20	0.2%
112		6	0.1%
113		18	0.2%
114		12	0.1%
115		17	0.1%
116		330	2.9%
117		152	1.3%
118		26	0.2%
119		173	1.5%
120		29	0.3%
121		47	0.4%
122		36	0.3%
123		39	0.3%
124		87	0.8%
125		25	0.2%
126		31	0.3%
127		51	0.4%
128		10	0.1%
129		130	1.1%
130		27	0.2%
131		58	0.5%
132		79	0.7%
133		99	0.9%
134		32	0.3%
135		43	0.4%
136		19	0.2%
137		30	0.3%
138		20	0.2%
139		22	0.2%

File : SICD v2022.1

map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
140		9	0.1%
141		9	0.1%
142		12	0.1%
143		5	0.0%
144		7	0.1%
145		42	0.4%
146		60	0.5%
147		12	0.1%
148		14	0.1%
149		9	0.1%
150		4	0.0%
151		8	0.1%
152		5	0.0%
153		20	0.2%
154		9	0.1%
155		10	0.1%
156		8	0.1%
157		45	0.4%
158		11	0.1%
159		10	0.1%
160		16	0.1%
161		23	0.2%
162		8	0.1%
163		5	0.0%
164		652	5.7%
165		86	0.8%
166		56	0.5%
167		18	0.2%
168		61	0.5%
169		3	0.0%
170		64	0.6%
171		53	0.5%
172		45	0.4%
173		117	1.0%
174		25	0.2%
175		11	0.1%
176		25	0.2%
177		39	0.3%
178		26	0.2%
179		72	0.6%
180		17	0.1%
181		7	0.1%
182		30	0.3%

File : SICD v2022.1

map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
183		12	0.1%
184		7	0.1%
185		14	0.1%
186		3	0.0%
187		18	0.2%
188		5	0.0%
189		19	0.2%
191		11	0.1%
192		18	0.2%
193		96	0.8%
194		41	0.4%
195		7	0.1%
196		11	0.1%
197		19	0.2%
198		20	0.2%
199		5	0.0%
200		10	0.1%
201		20	0.2%
202		10	0.1%
203		11	0.1%
205		170	1.5%
206		20	0.2%
207		17	0.1%
208		34	0.3%
209		18	0.2%
210		25	0.2%
211		8	0.1%
212		10	0.1%
213		15	0.1%
214		12	0.1%
215		13	0.1%
216		5	0.0%
217		173	1.5%
218		27	0.2%
219		9	0.1%
220		34	0.3%
221		13	0.1%
222		11	0.1%
223		10	0.1%
224		13	0.1%
225		23	0.2%
226		11	0.1%
227		5	0.0%

File : SICD v2022.1

map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
228		6	0.1%
229		12	0.1%
230		27	0.2%
231		73	0.6%
232		44	0.4%
233		15	0.1%
234		14	0.1%
235		25	0.2%
236		37	0.3%
237		3	0.0%
238		7	0.1%
239		5	0.0%
240		14	0.1%
241		21	0.2%
242		116	1.0%
243		38	0.3%
244		26	0.2%
245		30	0.3%
246		39	0.3%
247		10	0.1%
248		18	0.2%
249		35	0.3%
250		113	1.0%
251		15	0.1%
252		19	0.2%
253		57	0.5%
254		5	0.0%
255		10	0.1%
256		17	0.1%
257		28	0.2%
258		12	0.1%
259		7	0.1%
260		13	0.1%
261		86	0.8%
262		10	0.1%
263		3	0.0%
265		7	0.1%
266		2	0.0%
267		2	0.0%
268		4	0.0%
269		2	0.0%
270		1	0.0%
271		17	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

map2: Map variable 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
272		9	0.1%
273		5	0.0%
274		156	1.4%
275		14	0.1%
276		90	0.8%
277		7	0.1%
278		2	0.0%
279		5	0.0%
280		3	0.0%
281		19	0.2%
282		3	0.0%
283		9	0.1%
284		20	0.2%
285		8	0.1%
286		94	0.8%
287		54	0.5%
288		33	0.3%
289		16	0.1%
290		35	0.3%
999	Information missing (municipality code)	0	
Sysmiss		154453	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

map3: Map variable 3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11387 /-] [Invalid=154453 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		28	0.2%
2		8	0.1%
3		17	0.1%
4		15	0.1%
5		21	0.2%
6		285	2.5%
7		67	0.6%
8		26	0.2%
9		7	0.1%
10		17	0.1%
11		68	0.6%
12		11	0.1%
13		17	0.1%
14		39	0.3%
15		123	1.1%
16		42	0.4%
17		21	0.2%

File : SICD v2022.1

map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18		2	0.0%
19		7	0.1%
20		8	0.1%
22		12	0.1%
23		26	0.2%
24		7	0.1%
25		178	1.6%
26		172	1.5%
27		19	0.2%
28		55	0.5%
29		15	0.1%
30		31	0.3%
31		7	0.1%
32		15	0.1%
33		2	0.0%
34		12	0.1%
35		32	0.3%
36		16	0.1%
37		176	1.5%
38		38	0.3%
39		41	0.4%
40		16	0.1%
41		34	0.3%
42		19	0.2%
43		14	0.1%
44		6	0.1%
45		11	0.1%
46		9	0.1%
47		16	0.1%
48		28	0.2%
49		5	0.0%
50		122	1.1%
51		30	0.3%
52		2	0.0%
53		8	0.1%
54		30	0.3%
55		15	0.1%
56		10	0.1%
57		12	0.1%
58		78	0.7%
59		25	0.2%
60		20	0.2%
61		38	0.3%

File : SICD v2022.1

map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
62		28	0.2%
63		13	0.1%
64		78	0.7%
65		9	0.1%
66		73	0.6%
67		27	0.2%
68		38	0.3%
69		10	0.1%
70		12	0.1%
71		10	0.1%
72		11	0.1%
73		8	0.1%
74		11	0.1%
75		18	0.2%
76		21	0.2%
77		17	0.1%
78		20	0.2%
79		6	0.1%
80		18	0.2%
81		12	0.1%
82		17	0.1%
83		26	0.2%
84		173	1.5%
85		29	0.3%
86		36	0.3%
87		87	0.8%
88		25	0.2%
89		31	0.3%
90		51	0.4%
91		10	0.1%
92		130	1.1%
93		27	0.2%
94		58	0.5%
95		79	0.7%
96		22	0.2%
97		9	0.1%
98		9	0.1%
99		12	0.1%
100		5	0.0%
101		7	0.1%
102		12	0.1%
103		14	0.1%
104		9	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
105		4	0.0%
106		8	0.1%
107		5	0.0%
108		20	0.2%
109		9	0.1%
110		10	0.1%
111		45	0.4%
112		11	0.1%
113		10	0.1%
114		16	0.1%
115		23	0.2%
116		8	0.1%
117		5	0.0%
118		18	0.2%
119		61	0.5%
120		3	0.0%
121		64	0.6%
122		53	0.5%
123		117	1.0%
124		25	0.2%
125		11	0.1%
126		25	0.2%
127		39	0.3%
128		26	0.2%
129		72	0.6%
130		17	0.1%
131		7	0.1%
132		30	0.3%
133		12	0.1%
134		7	0.1%
135		14	0.1%
136		3	0.0%
137		18	0.2%
138		5	0.0%
139		19	0.2%
141		11	0.1%
142		18	0.2%
143		96	0.8%
144		41	0.4%
145		7	0.1%
146		11	0.1%
147		19	0.2%
148		20	0.2%

File : SICD v2022.1

map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
149		5	0.0%
150		10	0.1%
151		20	0.2%
152		10	0.1%
153		11	0.1%
155		170	1.5%
156		20	0.2%
157		17	0.1%
158		34	0.3%
159		18	0.2%
160		25	0.2%
161		8	0.1%
162		10	0.1%
163		12	0.1%
164		13	0.1%
165		5	0.0%
166		173	1.5%
167		27	0.2%
168		9	0.1%
169		34	0.3%
170		13	0.1%
171		11	0.1%
172		10	0.1%
173		13	0.1%
174		23	0.2%
175		11	0.1%
176		5	0.0%
177		6	0.1%
178		12	0.1%
179		27	0.2%
180		73	0.6%
181		44	0.4%
182		15	0.1%
183		14	0.1%
184		25	0.2%
185		37	0.3%
186		3	0.0%
187		7	0.1%
188		5	0.0%
189		14	0.1%
190		21	0.2%
191		116	1.0%
192		38	0.3%

File : SICD v2022.1

map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
193		26	0.2%
194		30	0.3%
195		39	0.3%
196		10	0.1%
197		18	0.2%
198		35	0.3%
199		113	1.0%
200		15	0.1%
201		19	0.2%
202		57	0.5%
203		5	0.0%
204		10	0.1%
205		17	0.1%
206		28	0.2%
207		12	0.1%
208		7	0.1%
209		13	0.1%
210		86	0.8%
211		10	0.1%
212		3	0.0%
214		7	0.1%
215		2	0.0%
216		2	0.0%
217		4	0.0%
218		2	0.0%
219		1	0.0%
220		17	0.1%
221		9	0.1%
222		5	0.0%
223		156	1.4%
224		14	0.1%
225		90	0.8%
226		7	0.1%
227		2	0.0%
228		5	0.0%
229		3	0.0%
230		19	0.2%
231		3	0.0%
232		9	0.1%
233		20	0.2%
234		8	0.1%
235		94	0.8%
236		54	0.5%

File : SICD v2022.1

map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
237		33	0.3%
238		16	0.1%
239		35	0.3%
240		46	0.4%
241		108	0.9%
242		39	0.3%
243		34	0.3%
244		39	0.3%
245		48	0.4%
246		84	0.7%
247		44	0.4%
248		111	1.0%
249		75	0.7%
250		80	0.7%
251		19	0.2%
252		49	0.4%
253		30	0.3%
254		14	0.1%
255		45	0.4%
256		79	0.7%
257		32	0.3%
258		69	0.6%
259		1067	9.4%
260		81	0.7%
261		108	0.9%
262		50	0.4%
263		73	0.6%
264		56	0.5%
265		8	0.1%
266		99	0.9%
267		32	0.3%
268		43	0.4%
269		19	0.2%
270		30	0.3%
271		20	0.2%
272		42	0.4%
273		60	0.5%
274		8	0.1%
275		652	5.7%
276		86	0.8%
277		56	0.5%
278		45	0.4%
279		24	0.2%

File : SICD v2022.1

map3: Map variable 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
280		23	0.2%
281		40	0.4%
282		19	0.2%
283		34	0.3%
284		39	0.3%
285		28	0.2%
286		16	0.1%
287		19	0.2%
288		330	2.9%
289		152	1.3%
290		47	0.4%
999	Information missing (municipality code)	0	
Sysmiss		154453	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10a: Trust in: The government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=115711 /-] [Invalid=50129 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The government
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	6681	5.8%
2	Quite high trust	33021	28.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	38969	33.7%
4	Quite low trust	21487	18.6%
5	Very low trust	11849	10.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1459	1.3%
98	Several responses given	51	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2194	1.9%
96	Question not asked	48458	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10b: Trust in: The Police force

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=115711 /-] [Invalid=50129 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Police force
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	11920	10.3%
2	Quite high trust	54309	46.9%

File : SICD v2022.1

aa10b: Trust in: The Police force

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither high nor low trust	29840	25.8%
4	Quite low trust	12148	10.5%
5	Very low trust	3958	3.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1294	1.1%
98	Several responses given	48	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2194	1.9%
96	Question not asked	48458	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10c: Trust in: Medical services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=115711 /-] [Invalid=50129 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Medical services
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	19507	16.9%
2	Quite high trust	56174	48.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	23058	19.9%
4	Quite low trust	10439	9.0%
5	Very low trust	3071	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1147	1.0%
98	Several responses given	121	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2194	1.9%
96	Question not asked	48458	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10d: Trust in: The armed forces

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=95275 /-] [Invalid=70565 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The armed forces
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	5420	5.7%
2	Quite high trust	21119	22.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	40531	42.5%
4	Quite low trust	15996	16.8%
5	Very low trust	7868	8.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2370	2.5%
98	Several responses given	55	0.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

aa10d: Trust in: The armed forces

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	1916	2.0%
96	Question not asked	68894	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10e: Trust in: The National Parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=95275 /-] [Invalid=70565 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The National Parliament
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	4041	4.2%
2	Quite high trust	25840	27.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	38498	40.4%
4	Quite low trust	15790	16.6%
5	Very low trust	7123	7.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1967	2.1%
98	Several responses given	100	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1916	2.0%
96	Question not asked	68894	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10f: Trust in: The Banks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=114054 /-] [Invalid=51786 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Banks
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	6455	5.7%
2	Quite high trust	31840	27.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	39172	34.3%
4	Quite low trust	22723	19.9%
5	Very low trust	9714	8.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1795	1.6%
98	Several responses given	178	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2177	1.9%
96	Question not asked	50115	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : SICD v2022.1

aa10g: Trust in: The daily press

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=114068 /-] [Invalid=51772 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The daily press
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2888	2.5%
2	Quite high trust	29269	25.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	48817	42.8%
4	Quite low trust	20573	18.0%
5	Very low trust	7247	6.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2975	2.6%
98	Several responses given	146	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2153	1.9%
96	Question not asked	48458	
Sysmiss		3314	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10h: Trust in: Trade unions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=103618 /-] [Invalid=62222 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Trade unions
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2898	2.8%
2	Quite high trust	19846	19.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	39556	38.2%
4	Quite low trust	23595	22.8%
5	Very low trust	12616	12.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2989	2.9%
98	Several responses given	70	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2048	2.0%
96	Question not asked	60551	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10i: Trust in: Radio and televison

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=114068 /-] [Invalid=51772 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Radio and televison
Notes	1986- (National)

File : SICD v2022.1

aa10i: Trust in: Radio and televison

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	7848	6.9%
2	Quite high trust	49924	43.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	40908	35.9%
4	Quite low trust	8603	7.5%
5	Very low trust	2431	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2071	1.8%
98	Several responses given	154	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2129	1.9%
96	Question not asked	48458	
Sysmiss		3314	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10j: Trust in: Primary school

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=93618 /-] [Invalid=72222 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Primary school
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	5831	6.2%
2	Quite high trust	34733	37.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	32838	35.1%
4	Quite low trust	12411	13.3%
5	Very low trust	3201	3.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2578	2.8%
98	Several responses given	127	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1899	2.0%
96	Question not asked	70551	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10k: Trust in: Major companies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=95275 /-] [Invalid=70565 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Major companies
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2207	2.3%
2	Quite high trust	20248	21.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	42418	44.5%
4	Quite low trust	18354	19.3%
5	Very low trust	7237	7.6%

File : SICD v2022.1

aa10k: Trust in: Major companies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	2825	3.0%
98	Several responses given	70	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1916	2.0%
96	Question not asked	68894	
Sysmiss		1671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10l: Trust in: The Church of Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=85272 /-] [Invalid=80568 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Church of Sweden
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	4567	5.4%
2	Quite high trust	19859	23.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	34705	40.7%
4	Quite low trust	12366	14.5%
5	Very low trust	9898	11.6%
97	No response - part of the question	2064	2.4%
98	Several responses given	33	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1780	2.1%
96	Question not asked	69008	
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10m: Trust in: Courts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=85072 /-] [Invalid=80768 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Courts
Notes	1994- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	7760	9.1%
2	Quite high trust	31248	36.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	27648	32.5%
4	Quite low trust	10272	12.1%
5	Very low trust	4318	5.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1980	2.3%
98	Several responses given	62	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1784	2.1%
96	Question not asked	67351	
Sysmiss		13417	

File : SICD v2022.1

aa10m: Trust in: Courts

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10n: Trust in: The Royal family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=97354 /-] [Invalid=68486 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Royal family
Notes	1995- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	13050	13.4%
2	Quite high trust	26444	27.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	33361	34.3%
4	Quite low trust	9917	10.2%
5	Very low trust	10469	10.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2088	2.1%
98	Several responses given	118	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1907	2.0%
96	Question not asked	53365	
Sysmiss		15121	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10o: Trust in: Municipal boards

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=81559 /-] [Invalid=84281 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Municipal boards
Notes	1996- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1521	1.9%
2	Quite high trust	15019	18.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	37126	45.5%
4	Quite low trust	17425	21.4%
5	Very low trust	6493	8.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2145	2.6%
98	Several responses given	69	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1761	2.2%
96	Question not asked	67383	
Sysmiss		16898	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10p: Trust in: Universities/university colleges

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=90248 /-] [Invalid=75592 /-]
Definition	-

File : SICD v2022.1

aa10p: Trust in: Universities/university colleges

	Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - Universities/university colleges
	Notes	1997- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	8136	9.0%
2	Quite high trust	39110	43.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	32090	35.6%
4	Quite low trust	3927	4.4%
5	Very low trust	1521	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3566	4.0%
98	Several responses given	59	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1839	2.0%
96	Question not asked	56915	
Sysmiss		18677	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10q: Trust in: The political parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=98705 /-] [Invalid=67135 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The political parties
Notes	1997- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1164	1.2%
2	Quite high trust	15057	15.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	43050	43.6%
4	Quite low trust	24211	24.5%
5	Very low trust	10902	11.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2248	2.3%
98	Several responses given	120	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1953	2.0%
96	Question not asked	48458	
Sysmiss		18677	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10r: Trust in: The EU Commission

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=73045 /-] [Invalid=92795 /-]
Definition	In 1998 the item was included in separate question battery, but since 1999 it has been included in main Trust battery.
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The EU Commission
Notes	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1169	1.6%
2	Quite high trust	9437	12.9%

File : SICD v2022.1

aa10r: Trust in: The EU Commission

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither high nor low trust	29931	41.0%
4	Quite low trust	16921	23.2%
5	Very low trust	12107	16.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1827	2.5%
98	Several responses given	42	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1611	2.2%
96	Question not asked	72364	
Sysmiss		20431	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10s: Trust in: The European Parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=74702 /-] [Invalid=91138 /-]
Definition	In 1998 the item was included in separate question battery, but since 1999 it has been included in main Trust battery.
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The European Parliament
Notes	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1283	1.7%
2	Quite high trust	9491	12.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	30627	41.0%
4	Quite low trust	16922	22.7%
5	Very low trust	12799	17.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1934	2.6%
98	Several responses given	18	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1628	2.2%
96	Question not asked	70707	
Sysmiss		20431	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10t: Trust in: United Nations (UN)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67802 /-] [Invalid=98038 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - United Nations (UN)
Notes	2000- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	5292	7.8%
2	Quite high trust	22916	33.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	24338	35.9%
4	Quite low trust	7943	11.7%
5	Very low trust	4161	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1631	2.4%
98	Several responses given	22	0.0%

File : SICD v2022.1

aa10t: Trust in: United Nations (UN)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	1499	2.2%
96	Question not asked	70543	
Sysmiss		27495	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa10u: Trust in: The Swedish Central Bank

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=42961 /-] [Invalid=122879 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and groups do their job? - The Swedish Central Bank
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	4406	10.3%
2	Quite high trust	16145	37.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	16224	37.8%
4	Quite low trust	2839	6.6%
5	Very low trust	1199	2.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1242	2.9%
98	Several responses given	44	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	862	2.0%
96	Question not asked	68926	
Sysmiss		53953	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa20a: Trust in: The Swedish National Labour Market Board (AMS)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17253 /-] [Invalid=148587 /-]
Definition	NATIONAL: Asked in connection with aa10. The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 1997 (National): "Using the same scale [as in aa10] för följande grupper och företeelser var skulle du placera:"; 1998 (National): "Hur stort förtroende har du för följande instutitioner och grupper?"; 1999-2000 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner:"; 2001 (National): "Och om du använder samma svarsalternativ [as in aa10] för att bedöma följande:"; 2002 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande:"; 2003, 2005-2007 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner eller grupper:".
Literal question	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish National Labour Market Board
Notes	1997-2003, 2005-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	218	1.3%
2	Quite high trust	1736	10.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	8085	46.9%
4	Quite low trust	4092	23.7%
5	Very low trust	2253	13.1%
97	No response - part of the question	408	2.4%

File : SICD v2022.1

aa20a: Trust in: The Swedish National Labour Market Board (AMS)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	452	2.6%
96	Question not asked	16303	
Sysmiss		132284	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa20b: Trust in: The Swedish Social Insurance Agency

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10037 /-] [Invalid=155803 /-]
Definition	NATIONAL: Asked in connection with aa10. The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 1999 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner:"; 2002 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande:"; 2005, 2008 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner eller grupper:"; 2009-2010 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande institutioner:".
Literal question	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Social Insurance Agency
Notes	1999, 2002, 2005, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	314	3.1%
2	Quite high trust	1986	19.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3940	39.3%
4	Quite low trust	2127	21.2%
5	Very low trust	1352	13.5%
97	No response - part of the question	99	1.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	219	2.2%
96	Question not asked	13766	
Sysmiss		142037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa20c: Trust in: The Swedish Public Employment Service

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6731 /-] [Invalid=159109 /-]
Definition	NATIONAL: Asked in connection with aa10. The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 1999 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner:"; 2002 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande:"; 2008 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner eller grupper:"; 2009-2010 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande institutioner:".
Literal question	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Public Employment Service
Notes	1999, 2002, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	88	1.3%
2	Quite high trust	681	10.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2547	37.8%
4	Quite low trust	1889	28.1%

File : SICD v2022.1

aa20c: Trust in: The Swedish Public Employment Service

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very low trust	1243	18.5%
97	No response - part of the question	109	1.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	167	2.5%
96	Question not asked	8647	
Sysmiss		150462	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

aa20d: Trust in: The Swedish Security Service (SÄPO)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13252 /-] [Invalid=152588 /-]
Definition	NATIONAL: Asked in connection with aa10. The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 2002 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande:"; 2003-2008 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande samhällsinstitutioner eller grupper:"; 2009-2010 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande institutioner:"; 2011 (National): "Ange också hur stort förtroende du har för följande institutioner/organisationer".
Literal question	Also state how much confidence you have in the following institutions and groups: - The Swedish Security Service (SÄPO)
Notes	2002-2003, 2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	908	6.9%
2	Quite high trust	3786	28.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5679	42.9%
4	Quite low trust	1434	10.8%
5	Very low trust	882	6.7%
97	No response - part of the question	200	1.5%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	357	2.7%
96	Question not asked	15371	
Sysmiss		137217	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab12: Trust in: Swedish politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=50754 /-] [Invalid=115086 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians? - Swedish politicians
Notes	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	813	1.6%
2	Quite high trust	17558	34.6%
3	Quite low trust	24449	48.2%
4	Very low trust	6684	13.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	48	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1202	2.4%

File : SICD v2022.1

ab12: Trust in: Swedish politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	94655	
Sysmiss		20431	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13a: Trust in: Parliamentarians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20142 /-] [Invalid=145698 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Parliamentarians
Notes	2002-2008, 2010- (National); 1998- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	352	1.7%
2	Quite high trust	4518	22.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	7524	37.4%
4	Quite low trust	3735	18.5%
5	Very low trust	1886	9.4%
6	No opinion	1195	5.9%
97	No response - part of the question	284	1.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	637	3.2%
96	Question not asked	23120	
Sysmiss		122578	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13b: Trust in: Health care staff

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11985 /-] [Invalid=153855 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Health care staff
Notes	2002-2008 (National); 1998- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2919	24.4%
2	Quite high trust	6471	54.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1338	11.2%
4	Quite low trust	410	3.4%
5	Very low trust	146	1.2%
6	No opinion	197	1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	55	0.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	442	3.7%
96	Question not asked	5137	
Sysmiss		148718	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13c: Trust in: Police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

File : SICD v2022.1

ab13c: Trust in: Police

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20142 /-] [Invalid=145698 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Police
Notes	2002-2008, 2010- (National); 2000- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2277	11.3%
2	Quite high trust	9742	48.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4442	22.1%
4	Quite low trust	1677	8.3%
5	Very low trust	767	3.8%
6	No opinion	440	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	150	0.7%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	637	3.2%
96	Question not asked	23120	
Sysmiss		122578	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13d: Trust in: Primary teachers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16820 /-] [Invalid=149020 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Primary teachers
Notes	2002-2008, 2010- (National); 2001- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1542	9.2%
2	Quite high trust	7314	43.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4332	25.8%
4	Quite low trust	1084	6.4%
5	Very low trust	313	1.9%
6	No opinion	1452	8.6%
97	No response - part of the question	192	1.1%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	580	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16318	
Sysmiss		132702	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13e: Trust in: Researchers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20142 /-] [Invalid=145698 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Researchers
Notes	2002-2008, 2011- (National); 2004-2005 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2773	13.8%
2	Quite high trust	8259	41.0%

File : SICD v2022.1

ab13e: Trust in: Researchers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither high nor low trust	4732	23.5%
4	Quite low trust	464	2.3%
5	Very low trust	174	0.9%
6	No opinion	2798	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	276	1.4%
98	Several responses given	29	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	637	3.2%
96	Question not asked	26475	
Sysmiss		119223	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13f: Trust in: Daily press journalists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6947 /-] [Invalid=158893 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Daily press journalists
Notes	2002-2003, 2005-2006 (National); 1998-2003 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	107	1.5%
2	Quite high trust	1109	16.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2446	35.2%
4	Quite low trust	1654	23.8%
5	Very low trust	923	13.3%
6	No opinion	352	5.1%
97	No response - part of the question	80	1.2%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	272	3.9%
96	Question not asked	1707	
Sysmiss		157186	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13g: Trust in: Radio/TV journalists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6947 /-] [Invalid=158893 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Radio/TV journalists
Notes	2002-2003, 2005-2006 (National); 2000-2003 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	156	2.2%
2	Quite high trust	1703	24.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2704	38.9%
4	Quite low trust	1201	17.3%
5	Very low trust	504	7.3%
6	No opinion	322	4.6%
97	No response - part of the question	82	1.2%

File : SICD v2022.1

ab13g: Trust in: Radio/TV journalists

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	272	3.9%
96	Question not asked	1707	
Sysmiss		157186	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13h: Trust in: Regional politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3552 /-] [Invalid=162288 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Regional politicians
Notes	2002, 2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	36	1.0%
2	Quite high trust	425	12.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1296	36.5%
4	Quite low trust	834	23.5%
5	Very low trust	547	15.4%
6	No opinion	204	5.7%
97	No response - part of the question	53	1.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	157	4.4%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		162288	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13i: Trust in: Communications officers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3444 /-] [Invalid=162396 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Communications officers
Notes	2002, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	42	1.2%
2	Quite high trust	380	11.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1491	43.3%
4	Quite low trust	488	14.2%
5	Very low trust	253	7.3%
6	No opinion	598	17.4%
97	No response - part of the question	64	1.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	128	3.7%
96	Question not asked	1769	
Sysmiss		160627	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : SICD v2022.1

ab13j: Trust in: Politicians in your municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=164062 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Politicians in your municipality
Notes	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	33	1.9%
2	Quite high trust	378	21.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	659	37.1%
4	Quite low trust	346	19.5%
5	Very low trust	168	9.4%
6	No opinion	86	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	27	1.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	81	4.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		164062	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13k: Trust in: Elderly care staff

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=164062 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Elderly care staff
Notes	2002 (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	289	16.3%
2	Quite high trust	762	42.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	385	21.7%
4	Quite low trust	137	7.7%
5	Very low trust	50	2.8%
6	No opinion	58	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	14	0.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	81	4.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		164062	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13l: Trust in: PR consultants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=164062 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - PR consultants		
Notes	2002 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	12	0.7%
2	Quite high trust	88	4.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	488	27.4%
4	Quite low trust	398	22.4%
5	Very low trust	340	19.1%
6	No opinion	336	18.9%
97	No response - part of the question	34	1.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	81	4.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		164062	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13m: Trust in: Advertising professionals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=164062 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Advertising professionals		
Notes	2002 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	15	0.8%
2	Quite high trust	75	4.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	447	25.1%
4	Quite low trust	428	24.1%
5	Very low trust	418	23.5%
6	No opinion	284	16.0%
97	No response - part of the question	29	1.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	81	4.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		164062	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13n: Trust in: Business leaders in the private sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5169 /-] [Invalid=160671 /-]		
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Business leaders in the private sector		
Notes	2003, 2005-2006 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	84	1.6%
2	Quite high trust	979	18.9%

ab13n: Trust in: Business leaders in the private sector

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither high nor low trust	1875	36.3%
4	Quite low trust	880	17.0%
5	Very low trust	460	8.9%
6	No opinion	632	12.2%
97	No response - part of the question	66	1.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	191	3.7%
96	Question not asked	1707	
Sysmiss		158964	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13o: Trust in: Journalists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13195 /-] [Invalid=152645 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Journalists
Notes	2004, 2007-2008, 2010- (National); 2004- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	332	2.5%
2	Quite high trust	2700	20.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5025	38.1%
4	Quite low trust	2564	19.4%
5	Very low trust	1304	9.9%
6	No opinion	747	5.7%
97	No response - part of the question	149	1.1%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	365	2.8%
96	Question not asked	21413	
Sysmiss		131232	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13p: Trust in: Local politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1774 /-] [Invalid=164066 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Local politicians
Notes	2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	17	1.0%
2	Quite high trust	286	16.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	634	35.7%
4	Quite low trust	419	23.6%
5	Very low trust	248	14.0%
6	No opinion	75	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	19	1.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	76	4.3%

ab13p: Trust in: Local politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		164066	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13q: Trust in: Judges

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8195 /-] [Invalid=157645 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Judges
Notes	2005-2006, 2008, 2010- (National); 2000-2001, 2003, 2010- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	727	8.9%
2	Quite high trust	2771	33.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2256	27.5%
4	Quite low trust	611	7.5%
5	Very low trust	286	3.5%
6	No opinion	1185	14.5%
97	No response - part of the question	104	1.3%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	245	3.0%
96	Question not asked	6496	
Sysmiss		151149	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13r: Trust in: Priests of the Church of Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=162487 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Priests of the Church of Sweden
Notes	2005-2006 (National); 2000-2001, 2004-2005, 2009- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	172	5.1%
2	Quite high trust	780	23.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1116	33.3%
4	Quite low trust	313	9.3%
5	Very low trust	257	7.7%
6	No opinion	558	16.6%
97	No response - part of the question	40	1.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	116	3.5%
96	Question not asked	1707	
Sysmiss		160780	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13s: Trust in: Lobbyists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3264 /-] [Invalid=162576 /-]

ab13s: Trust in: Lobbyists

| | Literal question | In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Lobbyists |
| | Notes | 2007-2008 (National) |

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	21	0.6%
2	Quite high trust	138	4.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1206	36.9%
4	Quite low trust	494	15.1%
5	Very low trust	477	14.6%
6	No opinion	767	23.5%
97	No response - part of the question	66	2.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	94	2.9%
96	Question not asked	3430	
Sysmiss		159146	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13t: Trust in: Corporate leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3250 /-] [Invalid=162590 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Corporate leaders
Notes	2008, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	80	2.5%
2	Quite high trust	890	27.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1257	38.7%
4	Quite low trust	454	14.0%
5	Very low trust	179	5.5%
6	No opinion	266	8.2%
97	No response - part of the question	31	1.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	91	2.8%
96	Question not asked	5016	
Sysmiss		157574	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13bb: Trust in: Economists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3244 /-] [Invalid=162596 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Economists
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	71	2.2%
2	Quite high trust	746	23.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1195	36.8%
4	Quite low trust	420	12.9%
5	Very low trust	164	5.1%

ab13bb: Trust in: Economists

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	No opinion	520	16.0%
97	No response - part of the question	45	1.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	82	2.5%
96	Question not asked	6483	
Sysmiss		156113	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13bc: Trust in: Engineers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3244 /-] [Invalid=162596 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Engineers
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	218	6.7%
2	Quite high trust	1087	33.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	935	28.8%
4	Quite low trust	87	2.7%
5	Very low trust	40	1.2%
6	No opinion	739	22.8%
97	No response - part of the question	56	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	82	2.5%
96	Question not asked	6483	
Sysmiss		156113	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab13bd: Trust in: Doctors

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6463 /-] [Invalid=159377 /-]
Literal question	In general, how much confidence do you have in the way the following groups do their job? - Doctors
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	987	15.3%
2	Quite high trust	3612	55.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1072	16.6%
4	Quite low trust	342	5.3%
5	Very low trust	88	1.4%
6	No opinion	154	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	28	0.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	180	2.8%
96	Question not asked	16156	
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab100a: To what extent involved in corruption: Politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6614 /-] [Invalid=159226 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Politicians
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	278	4.2%
2	(2)	908	13.7%
3	(3)	866	13.1%
4	(4)	823	12.4%
5	(5)	902	13.6%
6	(6)	487	7.4%
7	To a very large extent (7)	434	6.6%
8	No opinion	1667	25.2%
97	No response - part of the question	21	0.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	225	3.4%
96	Question not asked	22501	
Sysmiss		136725	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab100b: To what extent involved in corruption: Public officials

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6614 /-] [Invalid=159226 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Public officials
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	229	3.5%
2	(2)	813	12.3%
3	(3)	828	12.5%
4	(4)	937	14.2%
5	(5)	946	14.3%
6	(6)	532	8.0%
7	To a very large extent (7)	394	6.0%
8	No opinion	1654	25.0%
97	No response - part of the question	51	0.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	225	3.4%
96	Question not asked	22501	
Sysmiss		136725	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab100c: To what extent involved in corruption: Self-employed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4783 /-] [Invalid=161057 /-]

ab100c: To what extent involved in corruption: Self-employed

	Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Self-employed
	Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	112	2.3%
2	(2)	393	8.2%
3	(3)	570	11.9%
4	(4)	714	14.9%
5	(5)	749	15.7%
6	(6)	452	9.5%
7	To a very large extent (7)	300	6.3%
8	No opinion	1276	26.7%
97	No response - part of the question	34	0.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	181	3.8%
96	Question not asked	13205	
Sysmiss		147852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab100d: To what extent involved in corruption: Journalists

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3131 /-] [Invalid=162709 /-]
	Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? - Journalists
	Notes	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	215	6.9%
2	(2)	507	16.2%
3	(3)	373	11.9%
4	(4)	350	11.2%
5	(5)	228	7.3%
6	(6)	126	4.0%
7	To a very large extent (7)	113	3.6%
8	No opinion	1074	34.3%
97	No response - part of the question	26	0.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	117	3.7%
96	Question not asked	9850	
Sysmiss		152859	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab200a: To what extent involved in corruption: Health care

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6607 /-] [Invalid=159233 /-]
	Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Health care
	Notes	2012- (National)

ab200a: To what extent involved in corruption: Health care

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	885	13.4%
2	(2)	1201	18.2%
3	(3)	697	10.5%
4	(4)	608	9.2%
5	(5)	456	6.9%
6	(6)	211	3.2%
7	To a very large extent (7)	173	2.6%
8	No opinion	2111	32.0%
97	No response - part of the question	46	0.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	211	3.2%
96	Question not asked	26454	
Sysmiss		132779	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab200b: To what extent involved in corruption: The construction sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4876 /-] [Invalid=160964 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Construction sector
Notes	2002-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	60	1.2%
2	(2)	104	2.1%
3	(3)	298	6.1%
4	(4)	575	11.8%
5	(5)	856	17.6%
6	(6)	820	16.8%
7	To a very large extent (7)	732	15.0%
8	No opinion	1251	25.7%
97	No response - part of the question	25	0.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	152	3.1%
96	Question not asked	21309	
Sysmiss		139655	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab200c: To what extent involved in corruption: The restaurant industry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4876 /-] [Invalid=160964 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? The restaurant industry
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	65	1.3%

ab200c: To what extent involved in corruption: The restaurant industry

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	(2)	116	2.4%
3	(3)	278	5.7%
4	(4)	530	10.9%
5	(5)	810	16.6%
6	(6)	782	16.0%
7	To a very large extent (7)	750	15.4%
8	No opinion	1358	27.9%
97	No response - part of the question	34	0.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	152	3.1%
96	Question not asked	21309	
Sysmiss		139655	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab200d: To what extent involved in corruption: Entertainment industry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1543 /-] [Invalid=164297 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Entertainment industry
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	22	1.4%
2	(2)	48	3.1%
3	(3)	109	7.1%
4	(4)	173	11.2%
5	(5)	213	13.8%
6	(6)	155	10.0%
7	To a very large extent (7)	139	9.0%
8	No opinion	611	39.6%
97	No response - part of the question	10	0.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	63	4.1%
96	Question not asked	4746	
Sysmiss		159551	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab200e: To what extent involved in corruption: School

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3333 /-] [Invalid=162507 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? School
Notes	2016-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	509	15.3%
2	(2)	656	19.7%
3	(3)	360	10.8%

ab200e: To what extent involved in corruption: School

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	(4)	289	8.7%
5	(5)	230	6.9%
6	(6)	85	2.6%
7	To a very large extent (7)	82	2.5%
8	No opinion	996	29.9%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.0%
98	Several responses given	5	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	89	2.7%
96	Question not asked	16563	
Sysmiss		145944	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab200h: To what extent involved in corruption: Political parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1731 /-] [Invalid=164109 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Political parties
Notes	2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	90	5.2%
2	(2)	195	11.3%
3	(3)	154	8.9%
4	(4)	198	11.4%
5	(5)	239	13.8%
6	(6)	97	5.6%
7	To a very large extent (7)	101	5.8%
8	No opinion	594	34.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2	0.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	59	3.4%
96	Question not asked	5145	
Sysmiss		158964	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab200i: To what extent involved in corruption: Club/association activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1731 /-] [Invalid=164109 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Club/ association activities
Notes	2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	81	4.7%
2	(2)	185	10.7%
3	(3)	192	11.1%
4	(4)	212	12.2%
5	(5)	171	9.9%

ab200i: To what extent involved in corruption: Club/association activities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	(6)	94	5.4%
7	To a very large extent (7)	57	3.3%
8	No opinion	664	38.4%
97	No response - part of the question	14	0.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	59	3.4%
96	Question not asked	5145	
Sysmiss		158964	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab200j: To what extent involved in corruption: Mass media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1731 /-] [Invalid=164109 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent are the following occupational groups in Sweden involved in some kind of corruption? Club/association activities
Notes	2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	72	4.2%
2	(2)	173	10.0%
3	(3)	157	9.1%
4	(4)	214	12.4%
5	(5)	203	11.7%
6	(6)	103	6.0%
7	To a very large extent (7)	95	5.5%
8	No opinion	635	36.7%
97	No response - part of the question	16	0.9%
98	Several responses given	4	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	59	3.4%
96	Question not asked	5145	
Sysmiss		158964	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ac10a: In your opinion, to what extent is it generally possible to trust people?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=148942 /-] [Invalid=16898 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1996-2010 (National): "In your opinion, to what extent is it generally possible to trust people? Respond using the scale below:". Sedan 2011 har den formulerats som anges ovan. West: The question wording has not changed over time (questions are given in Swedish). 1995- (West): "Enligt din mening, i vilken utsträckning går det att lita på människor i allmänhet? Svara med hjälp av nedanstående skala:".
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted, in general?
Notes	1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	People cannot generally be trusted (0)	3133	2.1%
1	(1)	1805	1.2%

ac10a: In your opinion, to what extent is it generally possible to trust people?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	(2)	4217	2.8%
3	(3)	7585	5.1%
4	(4)	7563	5.1%
5	(5)	21463	14.4%
6	(6)	14457	9.7%
7	(7)	28907	19.4%
8	(8)	33743	22.7%
9	(9)	11784	7.9%
10	People can generally be trusted (10)	9382	6.3%
97	No response - part of the question	311	0.2%
98	Several responses given	147	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	4445	3.0%
Sysmiss		16898	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ac10b: To what extent do you believe you can trust people in the area you live?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60373 /-] [Invalid=105467 /-]
Definition	Asked in connection with ac10a. National: The question wording has changed. 2007-2010 (National): "Using the same scale [as in ac10a], to what extent do you believe you can trust people in the area you live?"; 2011- (National) "In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted in the area where you live?" West: The question wording has changed. 2003-2004, 2006-2010 (West): "Using the same scale [as in ac10a], to what extent do you believe you can trust people in the area you live?"; 2011- (West): "In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted in the area where you live?"
Literal question	In your opinion, to what extent can people be trusted in the area where you live?
Notes	2007- (National); 2003-2004, 2006- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	People cannot generally be trusted (0)	766	1.3%
1	(1)	668	1.1%
2	(2)	1192	2.0%
3	(3)	1946	3.2%
4	(4)	2210	3.7%
5	(5)	5873	9.7%
6	(6)	4194	6.9%
7	(7)	8902	14.7%
8	(8)	15014	24.9%
9	(9)	10816	17.9%
10	People can generally be trusted (10)	6782	11.2%
97	No response - part of the question	359	0.6%
98	Several responses given	26	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1625	2.7%
96		53057	
Sysmiss		52410	

ac10b: To what extent do you believe you can trust people in the area you live?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ba10: Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has not changed over time (questions are given in Swedish). West: The question wording has changed. 1999-2009 (West): "The concept of a political left-right scale is often used to describe a person's political opinions. Where would you place yourself on such a left-right scale?"; 2010- (West): "The concept of a political left-right scale is often used to describe a person's political opinions. Where would you place yourself on such a scale?"
Literal question	The concept of a political left-right scale is often used to describe a person's political opinions. Where would you place yourself on such a scale?
Notes	1986- (National); 1999- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Clearly to the left	16693	10.1%
2	Somewhat to the left	36173	21.8%
3	Neither left nor right	49535	29.9%
4	Somewhat to the right	41002	24.7%
5	Clearly to the right	16861	10.2%
98	Several responses given	165	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	5411	3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ba900a: Proposal: Strive towards an env. friendly society, even without economic growth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=52141 /-] [Invalid=113699 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed (some questions are given in Swedish). 1990, 1995, 1997 (National): "Nedanstående lista innefattar ett antal förslag som förekommit i den svenska miljödebatten. Vilken är din åsikt om var och ett av dem?"; 1991-1994 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?" 1998-2009 formulerades frågan som angivet ovan.
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards an environmentally friendly society, even if it means low or no economic growth
Notes	1991-1995, 1997-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	7940	15.2%
2	Rather good proposal	15867	30.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	15608	29.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	7619	14.6%
5	Very bad proposal	2456	4.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1255	2.4%
98	Several responses given	38	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1358	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		111883	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ba900b: Proposal: Strive towards an environmentally friendly society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ba900b: Proposal: Strive towards an environmentally friendly society

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=51692 /-] [Invalid=114148 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards an environmentally friendly society
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	21738	42.1%
2	Rather good proposal	18763	36.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	7206	13.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	1220	2.4%
5	Very bad proposal	502	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	947	1.8%
98	Several responses given	86	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1230	2.4%
96		50118	
Sysmiss		64030	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ba900c: Proposal: Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=90642 /-] [Invalid=75198 /-]
Definition	The item has changed over time. 1993 (National): "Strive towards a society with greater equality between the sexes"; 1994-2005 (National): "Strive towards a society with greater equality between men and women"; 2006- (National): "Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men" The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards a society with greater equality between women and men
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	44042	48.6%
2	Rather good proposal	26966	29.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	13195	14.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	1680	1.9%
5	Very bad proposal	876	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1376	1.5%
98	Several responses given	100	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2407	2.7%
96		63638	
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10a: How important to you: A clean world

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=138191 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A clean world

bb10a: How important to you: A clean world

	Notes	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	17659	63.9%
2	Rather important	8282	30.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	680	2.5%
4	Not particularly important	77	0.3%
5	Not at all important	38	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	354	1.3%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		124739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10b: How important to you: Technological development

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=138191 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Technological development
Notes	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	6571	23.8%
2	Rather important	13636	49.3%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	4559	16.5%
4	Not particularly important	1377	5.0%
5	Not at all important	231	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	716	2.6%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		124739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10c: How important to you: A comfortable life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A comfortable life
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	17638	56.6%
2	Rather important	10026	32.2%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	1810	5.8%

bb10c: How important to you: A comfortable life

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not particularly important	395	1.3%
5	Not at all important	82	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	576	1.8%
98	Several responses given	22	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10d: How important to you: An exciting life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - An exciting life
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	7485	24.0%
2	Rather important	11744	37.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	7652	24.6%
4	Not particularly important	2347	7.5%
5	Not at all important	558	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	760	2.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10e: How important to you: A sense of accomplishment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A sense of accomplishment
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	8660	27.8%
2	Rather important	13361	42.9%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	5938	19.1%
4	Not particularly important	1148	3.7%
5	Not at all important	300	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1141	3.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%

bb10e: How important to you: A sense of accomplishment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10f: How important to you: A peaceful world

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A peaceful world
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	25798	82.9%
2	Rather important	3840	12.3%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	552	1.8%
4	Not particularly important	95	0.3%
5	Not at all important	41	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	219	0.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10g: How important to you: A beutiful world

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A beutiful world
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	15446	49.6%
2	Rather important	10731	34.5%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	3223	10.4%
4	Not particularly important	356	1.1%
5	Not at all important	92	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	698	2.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10h: How important to you: Equality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Equality
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	15641	50.2%
2	Rather important	10594	34.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	3053	9.8%
4	Not particularly important	523	1.7%
5	Not at all important	166	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	569	1.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10i: How important to you: Family security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Family security
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	24421	78.4%
2	Rather important	5087	16.3%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	545	1.8%
4	Not particularly important	93	0.3%
5	Not at all important	45	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	353	1.1%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10j: How important to you: Freedom

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"

bb10j: How important to you: Freedom

Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Freedom
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	25162	80.8%
2	Rather important	4471	14.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	422	1.4%
4	Not particularly important	49	0.2%
5	Not at all important	32	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	407	1.3%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10k: How important to you: Happiness

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Happiness
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	20457	65.7%
2	Rather important	8181	26.3%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	1268	4.1%
4	Not particularly important	103	0.3%
5	Not at all important	33	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	504	1.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10l: How important to you: Inner harmony

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29512 /-] [Invalid=136328 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Inner harmony
Notes	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	21241	72.0%
2	Rather important	6414	21.7%

bb10l: How important to you: Inner harmony

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither important nor unimportant	652	2.2%
4	Not particularly important	63	0.2%
5	Not at all important	42	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	510	1.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	2.0%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		113612	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10m: How important to you: Love

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Love
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	22747	73.1%
2	Rather important	6400	20.6%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	776	2.5%
4	Not particularly important	107	0.3%
5	Not at all important	56	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	459	1.5%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10n: How important to you: National security

| Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - National security
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	20534	65.9%
2	Rather important	7659	24.6%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	1508	4.8%
4	Not particularly important	257	0.8%
5	Not at all important	103	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	473	1.5%

bb10n: How important to you: National security

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	15	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	27938	
Sysmiss		106766	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10o: How important to you: A life full of pleasure

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29512 /-] [Invalid=136328 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A life full of pleasure
Notes	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	8135	27.6%
2	Rather important	10022	34.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	6783	23.0%
4	Not particularly important	2480	8.4%
5	Not at all important	779	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	715	2.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	2.0%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		113612	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10p: How important to you: Salvation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Salvation
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2423	7.8%
2	Rather important	2845	9.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	8714	28.0%
4	Not particularly important	5556	17.8%
5	Not at all important	9989	32.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1008	3.2%
98	Several responses given	14	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

bb10p: How important to you: Salvation

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10q: How important to you: Self-respect

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Self-respect
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	12556	40.3%
2	Rather important	11692	37.6%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	4279	13.7%
4	Not particularly important	596	1.9%
5	Not at all important	311	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1097	3.5%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10r: How important to you: Social reputation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31136 /-] [Invalid=134704 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Social reputation
Notes	1986, 1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	5374	17.3%
2	Rather important	11948	38.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	8916	28.6%
4	Not particularly important	2492	8.0%
5	Not at all important	831	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	982	3.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		111988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10s: How important to you: True friendship

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29493 /-] [Invalid=136347 /-]

bb10s: How important to you: True friendship

Definition		The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How important are the following values to you?"; 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"	
Literal question		How important do you consider the following to be for you? - True friendship	
Notes		1986, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	21012	71.2%
2	Rather important	6536	22.2%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	748	2.5%
4	Not particularly important	69	0.2%
5	Not at all important	60	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	494	1.7%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	569	1.9%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		113631	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10t: How important to you: Wisdom

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=29512 /-] [Invalid=136328 /-]
Definition		The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question		How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Wisdom
Notes		1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	10106	34.2%
2	Rather important	12817	43.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	4420	15.0%
4	Not particularly important	541	1.8%
5	Not at all important	153	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	884	3.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	587	2.0%
96	Question not asked	22716	
Sysmiss		113612	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10u: How important to you: Justice

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=138191 /-]		
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Justice		
Notes	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	20808	75.3%
2	Rather important	5167	18.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	533	1.9%
4	Not particularly important	71	0.3%
5	Not at all important	42	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	413	1.5%
98	Several responses given	60	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		124739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10v: How important to you: Power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=138191 /-]		
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Power		
Notes	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1608	5.8%
2	Rather important	4536	16.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	10763	38.9%
4	Not particularly important	6038	21.8%
5	Not at all important	3221	11.6%
97	No response - part of the question	922	3.3%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		124739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10w: How important to you: Health

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=138191 /-]		
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"		
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Health		
Notes	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)		

bb10w: How important to you: Health

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	23591	85.3%
2	Rather important	2800	10.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	245	0.9%
4	Not particularly important	46	0.2%
5	Not at all important	36	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	331	1.2%
98	Several responses given	45	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		124739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10x: How important to you: Wealth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27649 /-] [Invalid=138191 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Wealth
Notes	1988, 1990-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2341	8.5%
2	Rather important	8788	31.8%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	10144	36.7%
4	Not particularly important	3820	13.8%
5	Not at all important	1292	4.7%
97	No response - part of the question	706	2.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		124739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb10y: How important to you: Honesty

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22851 /-] [Invalid=142989 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1990-1993 (National): "How important do you think the following things are to you?"; 1994- (National): "How important do you consider the following to be for you?"
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Honesty
Notes	1991-1996, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	18806	82.3%
2	Rather important	3036	13.3%
3	Neither important nor unimportant	260	1.1%
4	Not particularly important	34	0.1%
5	Not at all important	32	0.1%

bb10y: How important to you: Honesty

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	186	0.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	493	2.2%
96	Question not asked	13452	
Sysmiss		129537	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100a: World view: Benefit fraud is common in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6953 /-] [Invalid=158887 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1998: "Här finns ett antal påståenden som förekommit i den svenska debatten om arbetsmarknad och arbetslöshet. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av dem?" 2000, 2002: "Här finns ett antal påståenden som har förekommit i den svenska debatten om arbetsmarknad och arbetslöshet. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av dem?"
Literal question	Below is a number of statments that have appeared in the Swedish debate on the labor market and unemployment. What is your opinion on each of them? - Benefit fraud is common in Sweden
Notes	1998, 2000, 2002 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	160	2.3%
1	(1)	190	2.7%
2	(2)	431	6.2%
3	(3)	481	6.9%
4	(4)	393	5.7%
5	(5)	1028	14.8%
6	(6)	591	8.5%
7	(7)	809	11.6%
8	(8)	871	12.5%
9	(9)	416	6.0%
10	Completely true statement (10)	1175	16.9%
97	No response - part of the question	105	1.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	298	4.3%
96	Question not asked	10639	
Sysmiss		148248	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100b: World view: Women are often discriminated against on the Swedish labor market

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8447 /-] [Invalid=157393 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1998: "Här finns ett antal påståenden som förekommit i den svenska debatten om arbetsmarknad och arbetslöshet. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av dem?" 2000, 2002: "Här finns ett antal påståenden som har förekommit i den svenska debatten om arbetsmarknad och arbetslöshet. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av dem?" 2013: "Hur väl anser du att följande påståenden stämmer för Sverige?"
Literal question	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Women are often discriminated against on the Swedish labor market
Notes	1998, 2000, 2002, 2013 (Riks)

bb100b: World view: Women are often discriminated against on the Swedish labor market

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	369	4.4%
1	(1)	229	2.7%
2	(2)	554	6.6%
3	(3)	571	6.8%
4	(4)	466	5.5%
5	(5)	1413	16.7%
6	(6)	869	10.3%
7	(7)	1124	13.3%
8	(8)	1014	12.0%
9	(9)	411	4.9%
10	Completely true statement (10)	979	11.6%
97	No response - part of the question	81	1.0%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	364	4.3%
96	Question not asked	17133	
Sysmiss		140260	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100c: World view: You do not need a newspaper subscription if you have the Internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12599 /-] [Invalid=153241 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1999-2002: "Vad är din åsikt om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om Internet? Ange för vart och ett av påståendena om det enligt din uppfattning är riktigt eller felaktigt." 2005, 2012: "Vilken är din åsikt om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om internet? Ange för vart och ett av påståendena om det enligt din uppfattning är riktigt eller felaktigt."
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the Internet? - You do not need a newspaper subscription if you have the Internet
Notes	1998-2002, 2005, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	3799	30.2%
1	(1)	734	5.8%
2	(2)	1214	9.6%
3	(3)	807	6.4%
4	(4)	446	3.5%
5	(5)	1365	10.8%
6	(6)	318	2.5%
7	(7)	455	3.6%
8	(8)	533	4.2%
9	(9)	286	2.3%
10	Completely true statement (10)	1043	8.3%
97	No response - part of the question	408	3.2%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1182	9.4%
96	Question not asked	15046	
Sysmiss		138195	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100d: World view: The Swedish society gives people opportunity to live as they please

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3246 /-] [Invalid=162594 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1999: "Om du använder samma skala, vad anser du om följande påståenden?" 2012: "Vad är din bedömning av följande påståenden?"
Literal question	What is your opion about the following statements? - The Swedish society gives people opportunity to live as they please
Notes	1999, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	212	6.5%
1	(1)	74	2.3%
2	(2)	198	6.1%
3	(3)	287	8.8%
4	(4)	210	6.5%
5	(5)	521	16.1%
6	(6)	328	10.1%
7	(7)	494	15.2%
8	(8)	441	13.6%
9	(9)	145	4.5%
10	Completely true statement (10)	199	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	13	0.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	121	3.7%
96	Question not asked	6546	
Sysmiss		156048	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100e: World view: Immigrants´ culture and traditions enrich the society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13652 /-] [Invalid=152188 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1999, 2000: "Här finns ett antal påståenden som förekommit i den allmänna debatten om invandrare i Sverige. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av dem?" 2013: "Hur väl anser du att följande påståenden stämmer för Sverige?"
Literal question	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Immigrants' culture and traditions enrich the society
Notes	1999, 2000, 2013 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	406	3.0%
1	(1)	153	1.1%
2	(2)	326	2.4%
3	(3)	278	2.0%
4	(4)	277	2.0%
5	(5)	811	5.9%
6	(6)	405	3.0%
7	(7)	536	3.9%
8	(8)	574	4.2%
9	(9)	301	2.2%
10	Completely true statement (10)	689	5.0%
96	Question not asked	8620	63.1%

bb100e: World view: Immigrants´ culture and traditions enrich the society

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	53	0.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	1.6%
Sysmiss		152188	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100f: World view: Swedish political parties usually keep their election promises

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3247 /-] [Invalid=162593 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2000: "Nedan följer två påståenden om svenska politiska partier. Vad är din uppfattning om vart och ett av dem?" 2012: "Vad är din bedömning av följande påståenden?"
Literal question	What is your opion about the following statements? - Swedish political parties usually keep their election promises
Notes	2000, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	426	13.1%
1	(1)	228	7.0%
2	(2)	451	13.9%
3	(3)	552	17.0%
4	(4)	343	10.6%
5	(5)	565	17.4%
6	(6)	216	6.7%
7	(7)	165	5.1%
8	(8)	98	3.0%
9	(9)	19	0.6%
10	Completely true statement (10)	26	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	27	0.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	131	4.0%
96	Question not asked	6588	
Sysmiss		156005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100g: World view: The divergence between old and young in Sweden is increasing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3730 /-] [Invalid=162110 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2001, 2002: "Nedan återfinns ett antal påståenden som förekommit i debatten om äldre människor i Sverige - med äldre människor menar vi ålderspensionärer över 65 år. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av påståendena?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about the elders in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - The divergence between old and young in Sweden is increasing
Notes	2001, 2002 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	188	5.0%
1	(1)	116	3.1%
2	(2)	208	5.6%

bb100g: World view: The divergence between old and young in Sweden is increasing

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	(3)	205	5.5%
4	(4)	135	3.6%
5	(5)	878	23.5%
6	(6)	291	7.8%
7	(7)	400	10.7%
8	(8)	404	10.8%
9	(9)	198	5.3%
10	Completely true statement (10)	441	11.8%
97	No response - part of the question	60	1.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	206	5.5%
96	Question not asked	3517	
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100h: World view: Internet news can only be complementary to newspapers, radio and tv

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5305 /-] [Invalid=160535 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2001: "Vad är din åsikt om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om Internet?" 2005, 2012: "Vilken är din åsikt om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om internet? Ange för vart och ett av påståendena om det enligt din uppfattning är riktigt eller felaktigt."
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the Internet? - Internet news can only be complementary to newspapers, radio and tv
Notes	2001, 2005, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	415	7.8%
1	(1)	115	2.2%
2	(2)	256	4.8%
3	(3)	236	4.4%
4	(4)	171	3.2%
5	(5)	618	11.6%
6	(6)	185	3.5%
7	(7)	382	7.2%
8	(8)	545	10.3%
9	(9)	272	5.1%
10	Completely true statement (10)	1595	30.1%
97	No response - part of the question	202	3.8%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	305	5.7%
96	Question not asked	8121	
Sysmiss		152414	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100i: World view: Sweden has a good business-friendly climate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7064 /-] [Invalid=158776 /-]

bb100i: World view: Sweden has a good business-friendly climate

	Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2001-2002: Vad är din uppfattning om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om svensk industri? Ange för vart ett av påståendena om det enligt din uppfattning är felaktigt eller riktigt.
		2003: "Vilken är din uppfattning om följande påståenden som man ibland hör om svensk industri?"
	Literal question	What is your opinion about the following statements that you sometimes hear about the industrial sector in Sweden? - Sweden has a good business-friendly climate
	Notes	2001, 2002, 2003 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	239	3.4%
1	(1)	150	2.1%
2	(2)	392	5.5%
3	(3)	484	6.9%
4	(4)	605	8.6%
5	(5)	1828	25.9%
6	(6)	655	9.3%
7	(7)	769	10.9%
8	(8)	729	10.3%
9	(9)	265	3.8%
10	Completely true statement (10)	417	5.9%
97	No response - part of the question	117	1.7%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	408	5.8%
96	Question not asked	10734	
Sysmiss		148042	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100j: World view: We do not make use of the knowledge that older people have to offer

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5355 /-] [Invalid=160485 /-]
	Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2001-2002: "Nedan återfinns ett antal påståenden som förekommit i debatten om äldre människor i Sverige - med äldre människor menar vi ålderspensionärer över 65 år. Vilken är din bedömning av vart och ett av påståendena?"
		2013: "Hur väl anser du att följande påståenden stämmer för Sverige?"
	Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about the elders in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - We do not make use of the knowledge that older people have to offer
	Notes	2001, 2002, 2013 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	121	2.3%
1	(1)	63	1.2%
2	(2)	177	3.3%
3	(3)	216	4.0%
4	(4)	224	4.2%
5	(5)	627	11.7%
6	(6)	446	8.3%
7	(7)	748	14.0%
8	(8)	887	16.6%
9	(9)	410	7.7%
10	Completely true statement (10)	1112	20.8%

bb100j: World view: We do not make use of the knowledge that older people have to offer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	59	1.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	264	4.9%
96	Question not asked	8495	
Sysmiss		151990	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100k: World view: Internet is needed to keep onself informed about the news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3406 /-] [Invalid=162434 /-]
Literal question	How well do you think the following statements describe Sweden? - Internet is needed to keep onself informed about the news
Notes	2005, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	846	24.8%
1	(1)	218	6.4%
2	(2)	256	7.5%
3	(3)	167	4.9%
4	(4)	144	4.2%
5	(5)	461	13.5%
6	(6)	137	4.0%
7	(7)	157	4.6%
8	(8)	221	6.5%
9	(9)	131	3.8%
10	Completely true statement (10)	367	10.8%
97	No response - part of the question	179	5.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	122	3.6%
96	Question not asked	6382	
Sysmiss		156052	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb100l: World view: Alcohol is one of our most serious societal problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1629 /-] [Invalid=164211 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate about alcohol. What is your opinion on each of them? - Alcohol is one of our most serious societal problems
Notes	2006

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Completely false statement (0)	53	3.3%
1	(1)	25	1.5%
2	(2)	98	6.0%
3	(3)	147	9.0%
4	(4)	88	5.4%
5	(5)	231	14.2%
6	(6)	147	9.0%

bb100l: World view: Alcohol is one of our most serious societal problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	(7)	210	12.9%
8	(8)	195	12.0%
9	(9)	76	4.7%
10	Completely true statement (10)	305	18.7%
97	No response - part of the question	5	0.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	48	2.9%
96	Question not asked	1707	
Sysmiss		162504	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb101a: Perception of reality: Benefit fraud is common in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1636 /-] [Invalid=164204 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion on the following statements? Benefit fraud is common in Sweden
Notes	2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely false statement (1)	60	3.7%
2	(2)	257	15.7%
3	(3)	502	30.7%
4	(4)	397	24.3%
5	Completely true statement(5)	341	20.8%
97	No response - part of the question	29	1.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.9%
96	Question not asked	8192	
Sysmiss		156012	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb101b: Perception of reality: Women are often discriminated against by the Swedish labor market

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1636 /-] [Invalid=164204 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion on the following statements? Women are often discriminated against by the Swedish labor market
Notes	2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely false statement (1)	135	8.3%
2	(2)	302	18.5%
3	(3)	504	30.8%
4	(4)	397	24.3%
5	Completely true statement(5)	237	14.5%
97	No response - part of the question	12	0.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.9%
96	Question not asked	8192	
Sysmiss		156012	

bb101b: Perception of reality: Women are often discriminated against by the Swedish labor market

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb101d: Perception of reality: Swedish society gives people the opportunity to live the lives they want for themselves

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1580 /-] [Invalid=164260 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion on the following statements? Swedish society gives people the opportunity to live the lives they want for themselves
Notes	2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely false statement (1)	92	5.8%
2	(2)	198	12.5%
3	(3)	497	31.5%
4	(4)	561	35.5%
5	Completely true statement(5)	173	10.9%
97	No response - part of the question	16	1.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	42	2.7%
96	Question not asked	8248	
Sysmiss		156012	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb101f: Perception of reality: Swedish political parties usually keep their campaign promises

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1580 /-] [Invalid=164260 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion on the following statements? Swedish political parties usually keep their campaign promises
Notes	2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely false statement (1)	358	22.7%
2	(2)	567	35.9%
3	(3)	469	29.7%
4	(4)	98	6.2%
5	Completely true statement(5)	23	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	20	1.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	42	2.7%
96	Question not asked	8248	
Sysmiss		156012	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb101g: Perception of reality: There are growing differences between older and younger people in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1692 /-] [Invalid=164148 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion on the following statements? There are growing differences between older and younger people in Sweden
Notes	2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely false statement (1)	150	8.9%

bb101g: Perception of reality: There are growing differences between older and younger people in Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	(2)	233	13.8%
3	(3)	690	40.8%
4	(4)	310	18.3%
5	Completely true statement(5)	213	12.6%
97	No response - part of the question	36	2.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	58	3.4%
96	Question not asked	8136	
Sysmiss		156012	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb900a: Proposal: Allow active euthanasia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1777 /-] [Invalid=164063 /-]
Definition	See also the same proposal question bb901c (including no opinion alternative).
Literal question	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Allow active euthanasia
Notes	1995 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	284	16.0%
2	Rather good proposal	497	28.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	549	30.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	163	9.2%
5	Very bad proposal	236	13.3%
97	No response - part of the question	24	1.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	24	1.4%
Sysmiss		164063	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb900b: Proposal: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3545 /-] [Invalid=162295 /-]
Definition	See also the same proposal question bb901b (including no opinion alternative).
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow homosexual couples to adopt children
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	223	6.3%
2	Rather good proposal	302	8.5%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	710	20.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	577	16.3%
5	Very bad proposal	1578	44.5%
97	No response - part of the question	54	1.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%

bb900b: Proposal: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	100	2.8%
Sysmiss		162295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb900c: Proposal: Limit the right to abortion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5445 /-] [Invalid=160395 /-]
Definition	See also the same proposal question bb901d (including no opinion alternative).
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Limit the right to abortion
Notes	2000-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	223	4.1%
2	Rather good proposal	346	6.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	831	15.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	1005	18.5%
5	Very bad proposal	2725	50.0%
97	No response - part of the question	136	2.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1739	
Sysmiss		158656	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb900f: Proposal: Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1597 /-] [Invalid=164243 /-]
Definition	See also the same proposal question bb901j (including no opinion alternative).
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	315	19.7%
2	Rather good proposal	363	22.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	585	36.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	119	7.5%
5	Very bad proposal	136	8.5%
97	No response - part of the question	29	1.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	50	3.1%
96	Question not asked	3123	
Sysmiss		161120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb901a: Proposal: Improve the rights of animals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

bb901a: Proposal: Improve the rights of animals

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20565 /-] [Invalid=145275 /-]		
Literal question	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Improve the rights of animals		
Notes	2001-2004, 2006-2008, 2010 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	5666	27.6%
2	Rather good proposal	6036	29.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	5092	24.8%
4	Rather bad proposal	998	4.9%
5	Very bad proposal	642	3.1%
6	No opinion	1251	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	237	1.2%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	630	3.1%
96	Question not asked	31999	
Sysmiss		113276	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb901b: Proposal: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8711 /-] [Invalid=157129 /-]
Definition	See also the same proposal question bb900b (excluding no opinion alternative).
Literal question	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow homosexual couples to adopt children
Notes	2001-2002, 2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	857	9.8%
2	Rather good proposal	1158	13.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1578	18.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	1276	14.6%
5	Very bad proposal	2838	32.6%
6	No opinion	598	6.9%
97	No response - part of the question	77	0.9%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	325	3.7%
Sysmiss		157129	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb901c: Proposal: Allow active euthanasia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18433 /-] [Invalid=147407 /-]
Definition	See also the same proposal question bb900a (excluding no opinion alternative).
Literal question	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow active euthanasia
Notes	2001-2002, 2006, 2008-2009, 2011- (National)

bb901c: Proposal: Allow active euthanasia

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2546	13.8%
2	Rather good proposal	5538	30.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	4545	24.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	1382	7.5%
5	Very bad proposal	1777	9.6%
6	No opinion	1882	10.2%
97	No response - part of the question	230	1.2%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	516	2.8%
96	Question not asked	40231	
Sysmiss		107176	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb901d: Proposal: Limit the right to abortion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23713 /-] [Invalid=142127 /-]
Definition	See also the same proposal question bb900c (excluding no opinion alternative).
Literal question	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Limit the right to abortion
Notes	2001-2002, 2008, 2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	923	3.9%
2	Rather good proposal	1257	5.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2355	9.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	3168	13.4%
5	Very bad proposal	13879	58.5%
6	No opinion	1322	5.6%
97	No response - part of the question	223	0.9%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	571	2.4%
96	Question not asked	83596	
Sysmiss		58531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb901f: Proposal: Prohibit research that uses fertilized eggs (embryonic stem cells)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11700 /-] [Invalid=154140 /-]
Literal question	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Prohibit research that uses fertilized eggs (embryonic stem cells)
Notes	2001, 2004, 2006-2008, 2010-2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1463	12.5%
2	Rather good proposal	1296	11.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2765	23.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	1586	13.6%

bb901f: Proposal: Prohibit research that uses fertilized eggs (embryonic stem cells)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very bad proposal	1859	15.9%
6	No opinion	2244	19.2%
97	No response - part of the question	130	1.1%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	347	3.0%
96	Question not asked	15307	
Sysmiss		138833	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb901g: Proposal: Introduce death penalty for murder

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16711 /-] [Invalid=149129 /-]
Literal question	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Introduce death penalty for murder
Notes	2004, 2007-2008, 2011, 2013-2015, 2017 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1244	7.4%
2	Rather good proposal	1550	9.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2104	12.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	2120	12.7%
5	Very bad proposal	8136	48.7%
6	No opinion	975	5.8%
97	No response - part of the question	172	1.0%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	403	2.4%
96	Question not asked	52358	
Sysmiss		96771	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb901i: Proposal: Ban face-covering veils in public places

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15096 /-] [Invalid=150744 /-]
Literal question	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Ban face-covering veils in public places
Notes	2010-2013, 2015, 2017- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	4968	32.9%
2	Rather good proposal	2810	18.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2307	15.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	1567	10.4%
5	Very bad proposal	2053	13.6%
6	No opinion	886	5.9%
97	No response - part of the question	144	1.0%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	348	2.3%
96	Question not asked	58883	

bb901i: Proposal: Ban face-covering veils in public places

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		91861	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bb901j: Proposal: Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11925 /-] [Invalid=153915 /-]
Definition	See also the same proposal question bb900f (excluding no opinion alternative).
Literal question	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strengthen the position of homo-, bi- and transsexuals in society
Notes	2011, 2013-2017 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	3157	26.5%
2	Rather good proposal	2673	22.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3266	27.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	658	5.5%
5	Very bad proposal	857	7.2%
6	No opinion	923	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	151	1.3%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	227	1.9%
96	Question not asked	46302	
Sysmiss		107613	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bc10: Past 12 months: Visited church service or religious meeting

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=80721 /-] [Invalid=85119 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" bc10 is part of the so called life style battery (the main part is the variables ma10-190). This question was also asked in 1987, but with a 6 point scale. West: The question wording has changed. 1992-2003 (West): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited church service or religious meeting
Notes	1988- (National); 1993-1997, 1999-2002, 2004-2007, 2009- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	46522	57.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	14708	18.2%
3	About once every half year	5222	6.5%
4	About once every quarter	4103	5.1%
5	About once every month	3466	4.3%
6	About once a week	2434	3.0%
7	Several times a week	1118	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1560	1.9%

bc10: Past 12 months: Visited church service or religious meeting

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	91	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1497	1.9%
96	Question not asked	81824	
Sysmiss		3295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bc20: Past 12 months: Prayed to God

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=138413 /-] [Invalid=27427 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1995, 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" bc20 is part of the so called life style battery (the main part is the variables ma10-190). West: The question wording has changed. 1995-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Prayed to God
Notes	1988, 1995, 1998- (National); 1995, 1997- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	82242	59.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	12967	9.4%
3	About once every half year	4097	3.0%
4	About once every quarter	3949	2.9%
5	About once every month	6511	4.7%
6	About once a week	6128	4.4%
7	Several times a week	14920	10.8%
97	No response - part of the question	4630	3.3%
98	Several responses given	256	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2713	2.0%
96	Question not asked	10416	
Sysmiss		17011	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bc30: Believe in: God

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30341 /-] [Invalid=135499 /-]
Literal question	Do you believe in God?
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11103	36.6%
2	No	16785	55.3%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	176	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	2277	7.5%
96	Question not asked	64866	

bc30: Believe in: God

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss			70633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bc100a: Opinion of world religions: Atheism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6852 /-] [Invalid=158988 /-]
Literal question	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Atheism
Notes	2005, 2011

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative (0)	284	4.1%
1	(1)	91	1.3%
2	(2)	146	2.1%
3	(3)	173	2.5%
4	(4)	157	2.3%
5	(5)	2723	39.7%
6	(6)	368	5.4%
7	(7)	568	8.3%
8	(8)	733	10.7%
9	(9)	326	4.8%
10	Very positive (10)	945	13.8%
97	No response - part of the question	102	1.5%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	226	3.3%
96	Question not asked	20424	
Sysmiss		138564	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bc100b: Opinion of world religions: Buddhism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6852 /-] [Invalid=158988 /-]
Literal question	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Buddhism
Notes	2005, 2011

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative (0)	1725	25.2%
1	(1)	412	6.0%
2	(2)	541	7.9%
3	(3)	412	6.0%
4	(4)	298	4.3%
5	(5)	2466	36.0%
6	(6)	150	2.2%
7	(7)	116	1.7%
8	(8)	99	1.4%
9	(9)	37	0.5%
10	Very positive (10)	144	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	215	3.1%

bc100b: Opinion of world religions: Buddhism

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	11	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	226	3.3%
96	Question not asked	20424	
Sysmiss		138564	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bc100c: Opinion of world religions: Hinduism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6852 /-] [Invalid=158988 /-]
Literal question	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Hinduism
Notes	2005, 2011

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative (0)	706	10.3%
1	(1)	153	2.2%
2	(2)	209	3.1%
3	(3)	195	2.8%
4	(4)	152	2.2%
5	(5)	3248	47.4%
6	(6)	387	5.6%
7	(7)	434	6.3%
8	(8)	424	6.2%
9	(9)	195	2.8%
10	Very positive (10)	263	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	248	3.6%
98	Several responses given	12	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	226	3.3%
96	Question not asked	20424	
Sysmiss		138564	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bc100d: Opinion of world religions: Islam

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6852 /-] [Invalid=158988 /-]
Literal question	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Islam
Notes	2005, 2011

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative (0)	809	11.8%
1	(1)	193	2.8%
2	(2)	263	3.8%
3	(3)	231	3.4%
4	(4)	210	3.1%
5	(5)	3691	53.9%
6	(6)	288	4.2%
7	(7)	264	3.9%
8	(8)	205	3.0%

bc100d: Opinion of world religions: Islam

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	(9)	73	1.1%
10	Very positive (10)	125	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	266	3.9%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	226	3.3%
96	Question not asked	20424	
Sysmiss		138564	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bc100e: Opinion of world religions: Judaism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6852 /-] [Invalid=158988 /-]
Literal question	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Judaism
Notes	2005, 2011

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative (0)	793	11.6%
1	(1)	203	3.0%
2	(2)	287	4.2%
3	(3)	273	4.0%
4	(4)	286	4.2%
5	(5)	3563	52.0%
6	(6)	247	3.6%
7	(7)	276	4.0%
8	(8)	212	3.1%
9	(9)	100	1.5%
10	Very positive (10)	120	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	258	3.8%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	226	3.3%
96	Question not asked	20424	
Sysmiss		138564	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bc100f: Opinion of world religions: Christianity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5128 /-] [Invalid=160712 /-]
Literal question	In general, what is your attitude towards the following world religions/beliefs? Christianity
Notes	2005, 2011

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative (0)	689	13.4%
1	(1)	130	2.5%
2	(2)	134	2.6%
3	(3)	84	1.6%
4	(4)	76	1.5%
5	(5)	2602	50.7%

bc100f: Opinion of world religions: Christianity

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	(6)	126	2.5%
7	(7)	182	3.5%
8	(8)	228	4.4%
9	(9)	127	2.5%
10	Very positive (10)	409	8.0%
97	No response - part of the question	162	3.2%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	175	3.4%
96	Question not asked	18649	
Sysmiss		142063	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

bd900a: Proposal: Reduce income differences in society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30215 /-] [Invalid=135625 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce income differences in society
Notes	1986-1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	10002	33.1%
2	Rather good proposal	8810	29.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6176	20.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	2825	9.3%
5	Very bad proposal	1077	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	655	2.2%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	659	2.2%
96	Question not asked	64650	
Sysmiss		70975	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca10: Generally speaking, how interested are you in politics?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	Generally speaking, how interested are you in politics?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	22493	13.6%
2	Rather interested	72353	43.6%
3	Not particularly interested	55965	33.7%
4	Not at all interested	12060	7.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	

ca10: Generally speaking, how interested are you in politics?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	79	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2890	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca50: During the past 12 months, have you had any contact w a Member of Parliament?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23582 /-] [Invalid=142258 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, have you had any kind of contact with any Member of Parliament?
Notes	1998, 2001- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1864	7.9%
2	No	21187	89.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	529	2.2%
96	Question not asked	36174	
Sysmiss		106084	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca100a: Satisfaction with democracy in: EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=74160 /-] [Invalid=91680 /-]
Literal question	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - EU
Notes	1999- (National); 1998- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1735	2.3%
2	Rather satisfied	29051	39.2%
3	Not particularly satisfied	29852	40.3%
4	Not at all satisfied	9763	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1603	2.2%
98	Several responses given	21	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2135	2.9%
96		65729	
Sysmiss		25951	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca100b: Satisfaction with democracy in: Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=81314 /-] [Invalid=84526 /-]
Definition	National: Asked as a single question 1996-1997. Since 1998 asked in a question battery with ca100a, c-d. The question wording has changed. 1996-1998 (National): "On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Sweden?"; 1999- (National): "On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in:". West: Asked as a single question 1996-1997. Since 1998 asked in a question battery with ca100a, d-e. The question wording has changed. 1996-1997 (West): "On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Sweden?"; 1998- (West): On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in:".

ca100b: Satisfaction with democracy in: Sweden

Literal question	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - Sweden
Notes	1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	9674	11.9%
2	Rather satisfied	47157	58.0%
3	Not particularly satisfied	16929	20.8%
4	Not at all satisfied	4376	5.4%
97	No response - part of the question	872	1.1%
98	Several responses given	33	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2273	2.8%
96		65729	
Sysmiss		18797	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca100c: Satisfaction with democracy in: The region where you live

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=63601 /-] [Invalid=102239 /-]
Literal question	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - The region where you live
Notes	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	4013	6.3%
2	Rather satisfied	33325	52.4%
3	Not particularly satisfied	18607	29.3%
4	Not at all satisfied	4656	7.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1154	1.8%
98	Several responses given	20	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1826	2.9%
96	Question not asked	67560	
Sysmiss		34679	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca100d: Satisfaction with democracy in: The municipality where you live

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=70589 /-] [Invalid=95251 /-]
Literal question	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in: - The municipality where you live
Notes	1999- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	5768	8.2%
2	Rather satisfied	39739	56.3%
3	Not particularly satisfied	17316	24.5%
4	Not at all satisfied	4729	6.7%
97	No response - part of the question	956	1.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2070	2.9%
96	Question not asked	67560	
Sysmiss		27691	

ca100d: Satisfaction with democracy in: The municipality where you live

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca110: Satisfaction with democracy in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60640 /-] [Invalid=105200 /-]
Literal question	On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Sweden?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	6790	11.2%
2	Rather satisfied	33758	55.7%
3	Not particularly satisfied	14255	23.5%
4	Not at all satisfied	4536	7.5%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	54	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1247	2.1%
96	Question not asked	25154	
Sysmiss		80046	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca200a: How well do you think the government is doing its job?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67697 /-] [Invalid=98143 /-]
Literal question	How well do you think the government is doing its job?
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	3004	4.4%
2	Rather good	20360	30.1%
3	Neither good nor bad	22794	33.7%
4	Rather bad	13598	20.1%
5	Very bad	6279	9.3%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	27	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1635	2.4%
96	Question not asked	90781	
Sysmiss		7362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca200b: How well do you think the government in your municipality is doing its job?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18936 /-] [Invalid=146904 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "On the whole, how do you think the municipal government where you live is doing its job?"; 1999- (National): "How do you think the municipal government where you live is doing its job?"
Literal question	How do you think the municipal government where you live is doing its job?
Notes	1998-1999, 2001-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	528	2.8%
2	Rather good	5149	27.2%
3	Neither good nor bad	6365	33.6%
4	Rather bad	2780	14.7%
5	Very bad	1044	5.5%

ca200b: How well do you think the government in your municipality is doing its job?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	No opinion	2412	12.7%
97	No response - part of the question	44	0.2%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	604	3.2%
96	Question not asked	16191	
Sysmiss		130713	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca300a: Past 12 months: Discussed politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=162545 /-] [Invalid=3295 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" ca300a is part of the so called life style battery (the main part is the variables ma10-190). West: The question wording has changed. 1993-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Discussed politics
Notes	1988- (National); 1993- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	29368	18.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	16255	10.0%
3	About once every half year	10674	6.6%
4	About once every quarter	14970	9.2%
5	About once every month	34497	21.2%
6	About once a week	32787	20.2%
7	Several times a week	17577	10.8%
97	No response - part of the question	3147	1.9%
98	Several responses given	154	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3116	1.9%
Sysmiss		3295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca300b: Past 12 months: Participated in a demonstration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67343 /-] [Invalid=98497 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1999-2001 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2007- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has not changed over time. 1999-2001 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Participated in a demonstration
Notes	1999-2001, 2007- (National); 1999-2001 (West)

ca300b: Past 12 months: Participated in a demonstration

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	60762	90.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2472	3.7%
3	About once every half year	635	0.9%
4	About once every quarter	524	0.8%
5	About once every month	179	0.3%
6	About once a week	74	0.1%
7	Several times a week	118	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1164	1.7%
98	Several responses given	68	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1347	2.0%
96	Question not asked	56774	
Sysmiss		41723	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca400a: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: SVT1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - SVT1
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	527	15.5%
2	Very satisfied	499	14.6%
3	Rather satisfied	1917	56.3%
4	Not particularly satisfied	180	5.3%
5	Not at all satisfied	44	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	45	1.3%
98	Several responses given	41	1.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		158791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca400b: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: SVT2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - SVT2
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	486	14.3%
2	Very satisfied	515	15.1%
3	Rather satisfied	1961	57.6%
4	Not particularly satisfied	172	5.0%
5	Not at all satisfied	41	1.2%

ca400b: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: SVT2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	64	1.9%
98	Several responses given	14	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		158791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca400c: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: TV4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - TV4
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	483	14.2%
2	Very satisfied	466	13.7%
3	Rather satisfied	1881	55.2%
4	Not particularly satisfied	291	8.5%
5	Not at all satisfied	41	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	74	2.2%
98	Several responses given	17	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		158791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca400d: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Radio Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Radio Sweden
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	908	26.7%
2	Very satisfied	481	14.1%
3	Rather satisfied	1533	45.0%
4	Not particularly satisfied	159	4.7%
5	Not at all satisfied	33	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	127	3.7%
98	Several responses given	12	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		158791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca400e: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Private local radio

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
	Literal question	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Private local radio
	Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	1796	52.7%
2	Very satisfied	132	3.9%
3	Rather satisfied	604	17.7%
4	Not particularly satisfied	349	10.2%
5	Not at all satisfied	180	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	182	5.3%
98	Several responses given	10	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	1800	
Sysmiss		160633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca400f: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Dagens Nyheter

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
	Literal question	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Dagens Nyheter
	Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	2164	63.5%
2	Very satisfied	267	7.8%
3	Rather satisfied	524	15.4%
4	Not particularly satisfied	76	2.2%
5	Not at all satisfied	35	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	168	4.9%
98	Several responses given	19	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	1800	
Sysmiss		160633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca400g: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Svenska Dagbladet

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
	Literal question	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Svenska Dagbladet
	Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	2424	71.1%
2	Very satisfied	177	5.2%

ca400g: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Svenska Dagbladet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Rather satisfied	333	9.8%
4	Not particularly satisfied	76	2.2%
5	Not at all satisfied	45	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	177	5.2%
98	Several responses given	21	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	1800	
Sysmiss		160633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca400h: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: Göteborgs-Posten

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - Göteborgs-Posten
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	2529	74.2%
2	Very satisfied	100	2.9%
3	Rather satisfied	313	9.2%
4	Not particularly satisfied	64	1.9%
5	Not at all satisfied	47	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	189	5.5%
98	Several responses given	11	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		158791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca400i: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: The local morning paper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - The local morning paper
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	1001	29.4%
2	Very satisfied	388	11.4%
3	Rather satisfied	1319	38.7%
4	Not particularly satisfied	357	10.5%
5	Not at all satisfied	63	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	114	3.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		158791	

ca400i: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: The local morning paper

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ca400j: Satisfaction w information about national politics in: The evening tabloids

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the information on Swedish national politics that you get from the following media sources? - The evening tabloids
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have not read/watched/listened to	1344	39.4%
2	Very satisfied	149	4.4%
3	Rather satisfied	907	26.6%
4	Not particularly satisfied	513	15.1%
5	Not at all satisfied	203	6.0%
97	No response - part of the question	128	3.8%
98	Several responses given	9	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	4.5%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		158791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb10: Which party do you like best today?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165735 /-] [Invalid=105 /-]
Definition	National: Additional responses have been picked up from the open question regarding best party (cb15; applies to SD, FI and PP). Sweden Democrats has been available as a response alternative since 2008, FI: 2009-2010, PP: 2009-2010. West: Additional responses have been picked up from the open question regarding best party (cb15; applies to SD, FI and PP). Sweden Democrats has been available as a response alternative since 2008, FI: 2009-2010, PP: 2009-2010.
Literal question	Which party do you like best today?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992-1995, 1998-1999, 2001-2002, 2004- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left Party	11893	7.2%
2	Social Democrats	48010	29.0%
3	Centre Party	11164	6.7%
4	Liberal Party	10328	6.2%
5	Moderate Party	33924	20.5%
6	Christian Democrats	7778	4.7%
7	Green Party	9894	6.0%
10	Sweden Democrats	11776	7.1%
11	Feminist Initiative	1002	0.6%
12	Pirate Party	413	0.2%
13	New Democracy	418	0.3%
14	Sjukvårdspartiet	0	
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	3450	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	3687	2.2%

cb10: Which party do you like best today?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	3685	2.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	8313	5.0%
Sysmiss		105	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb11: Which party do you like best today?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=133222 /-] [Invalid=32618 /-]
Definition	Recoding of cb10 where the codes 10-15 have been recoded to 30 (Other party).
Literal question	Which party do you like best today?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992-1995, 1998-1999, 2001-2002, 2004- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left Party	8985	6.7%
2	Social Democrats	39679	29.8%
3	Centre Party	8415	6.3%
4	Liberal Party	9229	6.9%
5	Moderate Party	28126	21.1%
6	Christian Democrats	6082	4.6%
7	Green Party	8418	6.3%
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	11894	8.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2801	2.1%
98	Several responses given	2824	2.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	6769	5.1%
Sysmiss		32618	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-999] [Missing=*/996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=132898 /-] [Invalid=32942 /-]
Literal question	Which party do you like best today?
Notes	2001- (National); 1992-1994, 1998, 2001-2002, 2004- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Vägvalet	6	0.0%
101	Sjukvårdspartiet	18	0.0%
102	OKS	1	0.0%
103	Svenljunga nya kommunparti	0	
104	Folkfronten (fd. NSF)	12	0.0%
105	Rättvisepartiet socialisterna	11	0.0%
106	Folkets vilja, folkviljan	1	0.0%
107	Sverigedemokraterna	262	0.2%
108	Nydemokraterna	0	
109	Pensionärspartiet	19	0.0%
110	Vår framtid	0	
111	Norges kommunistiska parti (NKP)	0	
112	Aledemokraterna	1	0.0%

cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
113	KPML(r) (se kod 193)	16	0.0%
114	Sveriges pensionärers intresseparti (SPI)	65	0.0%
115	Socialistiska partiet	10	0.0%
116	Det nya partiet	0	
117	Kalle Anka-partiet	53	0.0%
118	Musse Pigg-partiet	0	
119	Långben-partiet	0	
120	Enhet	40	0.0%
121	Markbygdspartiet	0	
122	Ny Demokrati	3	0.0%
123	Samlingsregering	1	0.0%
124	Norrbottenpartiet	6	0.0%
125	Europeiska Arbetarpartiet (EAP)	1	0.0%
126	Syndikalisterna (SAC)	2	0.0%
127	Libertarianer	0	
128	Kvinnopartiet	0	
129	Internetpartiet	5	0.0%
130	Fria folkets parti	1	0.0%
131	Skånepartiet	8	0.0%
132	Hörbypartiet	0	
133	Ny framtid	2	0.0%
134	Kinnapartiet	1	0.0%
135	Stockholmspartiet	1	0.0%
136	SAFE (Nässjö)	1	0.0%
137	Sjöbopartiet	3	0.0%
138	Soffliggarpartiet	2	0.0%
139	Finsk center	1	0.0%
140	Junilistan	89	0.1%
141	Sveriges kommunistiska parti (SKP)	11	0.0%
142	Tomas DiLeva parti	1	0.0%
143	Feministiskt initiativ	161	0.1%
144	Framtidspartiet	2	0.0%
145	Vägval Vänster	1	0.0%
146	Vevpartiet	2	0.0%
147	Piratpartiet	242	0.2%
148	Roslagspartiet Österåker	2	0.0%
149	Vrinnevilistan (VL)	1	0.0%
150	Kommunal Samverkan med Folket i Fokus (KSFF)	0	
151	Nackapartiet	0	
152	Bålstadpartiet	1	0.0%
153	Sollentunapartiet	0	
154	Folkets Väl	0	
155	Laholmspartiet	0	
156	Ronnebypartiet	0	
157	Alvesta alternativet	0	

cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
158	Liberala partiet	24	0.0%
159	Göingepartiet	0	
160	Barapartiet	0	
161	Falupartiet	1	0.0%
162	Österlenpartiet	0	
163	Knivsta.nu	0	
164	Mariefredspartiet	1	0.0%
165	Sjukvårdspartiet i Värmland	0	
166	Mullsjö framtid	0	
167	Huddingepartiet	1	0.0%
168	Jongleringspartiet	1	0.0%
169	Välfärdspartiet	1	0.0%
170	Dalarnas sjukvårdsparti	0	
171	Nils Dacke partiet	0	
172	Skolpartiet	0	
174	Nackalistan	2	0.0%
175	Skåne läns sjukvårdsparti	0	
176	Norrbottens sjukvårdsparti	2	0.0%
177	Fria Norrland	0	
178	Sverigepartiet	1	0.0%
179	Kirunapartiet	2	0.0%
180	Kristianstadbyggdens framtid	2	0.0%
181	Skånes självständighetsparti	0	
182	Strömstads parti	0	
183	Föryngringspartiet	0	
184	Åhuspartiet	0	
185	Kommunalt alternativ	0	
186	Burlövs väl	0	
187	Bjäre partiet	1	0.0%
188	Osynliga partiet	0	
189	Demokratisk vänster	0	
190	Kungsbackaborna	0	
191	Skånes väl	0	
192	Staffanstorpspartiet	0	
193	Kommunistiska partiet (KP)	37	0.0%
194	Kommunpartiet , Härryda	0	
195	Kristianstadpartiet	0	
196	Bergås bästa	0	
197	Kommunens väl, Ystad	1	0.0%
198	Kommunal samling, Göinge	0	
199	Helsingborgspartiet	0	
200	Nordiska rikspartiet	0	
201	Barsebäcksöppnarna	0	
202	Kommunpartiet vår framtid	0	
203	Rätt väg i Gullspång	0	

cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
204	Uddevallapartiet	1	0.0%
205	Sotenäspartiet	0	
206	Lysekilspartiet	0	
207	Mariestadspartiet	0	
208	Solidariskt Uddevalla	0	
209	Ö-partiet	0	
210	Aktiv demokrati	0	
211	Barnpartiet	1	0.0%
212	Storforsbygdens väl	1	0.0%
213	Folkfronten (socialister, Lkpg)	0	
214	Nationaldemokraterna	1	0.0%
215	Guds rikes UFO-parti	6	0.0%
216	Lidingöpartiet	0	
218	Omsorg för alla	0	
219	Bollnäspartiet	0	
220	Vingåkers Lokalparti	0	
221	Kommunlistan (Storuman)	0	
222	Sjukvårdspartiet VG region	0	
223	Marks oberoende demokrater	0	
224	PRI	0	
225	Lokala partiet i Heby	0	
226	Vox Humana	1	0.0%
227	A2000	0	
228	Ny ordning	0	
229	Vårdpartiet	0	
230	Söderslättspartiet	0	
231	Sigtunapartiet/Samling för nya Sigtuna	0	
232	Strängnäspartiet	0	
233	Norrländska Samlingspartiet	3	0.0%
234	Liberaldemokraterna	4	0.0%
236	Folkhemmet	1	0.0%
237	Bergspartiet	0	
238	Filipstadspartiet	0	
239	Svenskarnas Parti	6	0.0%
240	Nya listan i Vellinge	0	
241	Engelholmspartiet	0	
242	Arbetarpartiet	1	0.0%
245		1	0.0%
801	Vänsterpartiet	1	0.0%
802	Socialdemokraterna	0	
803	Centerpartiet	0	
804	Folkpartiet	2	0.0%
805	Moderaterna	1	0.0%
806	Kristdemokraterna	1	0.0%
807	Miljöpartiet	2	0.0%

cb15: Which party do you like best today? Name of other party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
808	Sverigedemokraterna	0	
809	Perstorps Framtid	0	
810	Naturdemokraterna	1	0.0%
812		22	0.0%
813		36	0.0%
823		1	0.0%
827		24	0.0%
830		25	0.0%
835		1	0.0%
854		2	0.0%
856		2	0.0%
858		1	0.0%
862		4	0.0%
867		1	0.0%
873		1	0.0%
878		9	0.0%
879		2	0.0%
881		1	0.0%
883		1	0.0%
885		4	0.0%
886		4	0.0%
887		4	0.0%
888		99	0.1%
889		9	0.0%
890		1	0.0%
891		58	0.0%
898		1	0.0%
905		2	0.0%
914		7	0.0%
915		3	0.0%
916		1	0.0%
993	Inget parti	1354	1.0%
994	Utländskt parti	23	0.0%
995	Response corresponds to close-ended response option	28	0.0%
997	No response - part of the question	124029	93.3%
998	Not applicable	688	0.5%
999	No response - entire question battery	5272	4.0%
996	Question not asked	1899	
Sysmiss		31043	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb20: Do you consider yourself to be a convinced supporter of this party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	Asked in connection with cb11.

cb20: Do you consider yourself to be a convinced supporter of this party?

Literal question	Do you consider yourself to be a convinced supporter of this party?
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes, very convinced	25856		15.6%
2	Yes, somewhat convinced	63880		38.5%
3	No	63037		38.0%
97	No response - part of the question	4635		2.8%
98	Several responses given	43		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	8389		5.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb30: Which party do you like second best?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37176 /-] [Invalid=128664 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	Which party do you like second best?
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2002, 2005, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Left Party	4376		11.8%
2	Social Democrats	5339		14.4%
3	Centre Party	3600		9.7%
4	Liberal Party	4493		12.1%
5	Moderate Party	4259		11.5%
6	Christian Democrats	3088		8.3%
7	Green Party	3930		10.6%
10	Sweden Democrats	1288		3.5%
11	Feminist Initiative	229		0.6%
12	Pirate Party	0		
13	New Democracy	215		0.6%
14	Sjukvårdspartiet	0		
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	807		2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1841		5.0%
98	Several responses given	275		0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	3436		9.2%
96	Question not asked	35822		
Sysmiss		92842		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50a: Like/dislike: Centre Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60700 /-] [Invalid=105140 /-]
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Centre Party
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	3942		6.5%

cb50a: Like/dislike: Centre Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-4	(-4)	1929	3.2%
-3	(-3)	3398	5.6%
-2	(-2)	3434	5.7%
-1	(-1)	3398	5.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	21401	35.3%
1	(+1)	5733	9.4%
2	(+2)	5618	9.3%
3	(+3)	4628	7.6%
4	(+4)	1871	3.1%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1015	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1580	2.6%
98	Several responses given	63	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2690	4.4%
96	Question not asked	94092	
Sysmiss		11048	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50b: Like/dislike: Moderate Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60700 /-] [Invalid=105140 /-]
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Moderate Party
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	9228	15.2%
-4	(-4)	3382	5.6%
-3	(-3)	3819	6.3%
-2	(-2)	2998	4.9%
-1	(-1)	2630	4.3%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	10225	16.8%
1	(+1)	3999	6.6%
2	(+2)	5057	8.3%
3	(+3)	6585	10.8%
4	(+4)	5206	8.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	3339	5.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1417	2.3%
98	Several responses given	126	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2689	4.4%
96	Question not asked	94092	
Sysmiss		11048	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50c: Like/dislike: Left Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60700 /-] [Invalid=105140 /-]

cb50c: Like/dislike: Left Party

	Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Left Party
	Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	11507	19.0%
-4	(-4)	4122	6.8%
-3	(-3)	4287	7.1%
-2	(-2)	3405	5.6%
-1	(-1)	3298	5.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	13110	21.6%
1	(+1)	4308	7.1%
2	(+2)	4050	6.7%
3	(+3)	3918	6.5%
4	(+4)	2458	4.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1600	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1729	2.8%
98	Several responses given	219	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	2689	4.4%
96	Question not asked	97778	
Sysmiss		7362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50d: Like/dislike: Liberal Party

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60700 /-] [Invalid=105140 /-]
	Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Liberal Party
	Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	4142	6.8%
-4	(-4)	2541	4.2%
-3	(-3)	3560	5.9%
-2	(-2)	3583	5.9%
-1	(-1)	3994	6.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	17729	29.2%
1	(+1)	6039	9.9%
2	(+2)	5794	9.5%
3	(+3)	4937	8.1%
4	(+4)	2578	4.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	950	1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	2021	3.3%
98	Several responses given	143	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2689	4.4%
96	Question not asked	97778	
Sysmiss		7362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50e: Like/dislike: Social Democrats

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60700 /-] [Invalid=105140 /-]
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Social Democrats
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	4472	7.4%
-4	(-4)	2693	4.4%
-3	(-3)	3609	5.9%
-2	(-2)	3291	5.4%
-1	(-1)	3596	5.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	10847	17.9%
1	(+1)	5229	8.6%
2	(+2)	5723	9.4%
3	(+3)	7016	11.6%
4	(+4)	5335	8.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	4840	8.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1260	2.1%
98	Several responses given	100	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2689	4.4%
96	Question not asked	97778	
Sysmiss		7362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50f: Like/dislike: Green Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60700 /-] [Invalid=105140 /-]
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Green Party
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	7264	12.0%
-4	(-4)	3115	5.1%
-3	(-3)	3476	5.7%
-2	(-2)	2963	4.9%
-1	(-1)	2966	4.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	14391	23.7%
1	(+1)	6391	10.5%
2	(+2)	5874	9.7%
3	(+3)	5090	8.4%
4	(+4)	2874	4.7%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1774	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1747	2.9%
98	Several responses given	86	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2689	4.4%
96	Question not asked	97778	

cb50f: Like/dislike: Green Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		7362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50g: Like/dislike: Christian Democrats

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60700 /-] [Invalid=105140 /-]
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Christian Democrats
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	8469	14.0%
-4	(-4)	3660	6.0%
-3	(-3)	4068	6.7%
-2	(-2)	3365	5.5%
-1	(-1)	3472	5.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	17051	28.1%
1	(+1)	4687	7.7%
2	(+2)	4335	7.1%
3	(+3)	3769	6.2%
4	(+4)	2197	3.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1158	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1719	2.8%
98	Several responses given	61	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2689	4.4%
96	Question not asked	97778	
Sysmiss		7362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50h: Like/dislike: New Democracy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7023 /-] [Invalid=158817 /-]
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - New Democracy
Notes	1991-1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	2416	34.4%
-4	(-4)	433	6.2%
-3	(-3)	392	5.6%
-2	(-2)	296	4.2%
-1	(-1)	253	3.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1278	18.2%
1	(+1)	457	6.5%
2	(+2)	380	5.4%
3	(+3)	333	4.7%
4	(+4)	182	2.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	209	3.0%

cb50h: Like/dislike: New Democracy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	194	2.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	200	2.8%
Sysmiss		158817	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50i: Like/dislike: Feminist Initiative

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13260 /-] [Invalid=152580 /-]
Definition	See also cb51i (including "unknown" alternative).
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Feminist Initiative
Notes	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	3480	26.2%
-4	(-4)	943	7.1%
-3	(-3)	825	6.2%
-2	(-2)	522	3.9%
-1	(-1)	485	3.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3706	27.9%
1	(+1)	653	4.9%
2	(+2)	508	3.8%
3	(+3)	519	3.9%
4	(+4)	314	2.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	275	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	338	2.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	5.2%
96		43150	
Sysmiss		109430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50m: Like/dislike: Sweden Democrats

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24662 /-] [Invalid=141178 /-]
Definition	See also cb51m (excluding the "I am not familiar with the party" response alternative).
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sweden Democrats
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	11712	47.5%
-4	(-4)	1469	6.0%
-3	(-3)	995	4.0%
-2	(-2)	667	2.7%
-1	(-1)	518	2.1%

cb50m: Like/dislike: Sweden Democrats

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3064	12.4%
1	(+1)	897	3.6%
2	(+2)	875	3.5%
3	(+3)	943	3.8%
4	(+4)	764	3.1%
5	Strongly like (+5)	994	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	491	2.0%
98	Several responses given	46	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1227	5.0%
96	Question not asked	88768	
Sysmiss		52410	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb50n: Like/dislike: Pirate Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7933 /-] [Invalid=157907 /-]
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Pirate Party
Notes	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	2267	28.6%
-4	(-4)	534	6.7%
-3	(-3)	442	5.6%
-2	(-2)	266	3.4%
-1	(-1)	232	2.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2855	36.0%
1	(+1)	223	2.8%
2	(+2)	189	2.4%
3	(+3)	140	1.8%
4	(+4)	81	1.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	145	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	264	3.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	294	3.7%
96	Question not asked	19612	
Sysmiss		138295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb51i: Like/dislike: Feminist Initiative (including "unknown" alternative)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=162487 /-]
Definition	See also cb50i (without "unknown" alternative).
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Feminist Initiative
Notes	2005-2006 (National)

cb51i: Like/dislike: Feminist Initiative (including "unknown" alternative)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1090	32.5%
-4	(-4)	254	7.6%
-3	(-3)	226	6.7%
-2	(-2)	99	3.0%
-1	(-1)	101	3.0%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	660	19.7%
1	(+1)	125	3.7%
2	(+2)	82	2.4%
3	(+3)	67	2.0%
4	(+4)	32	1.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	30	0.9%
9	I am not familiar with the party	399	11.9%
97	No response - part of the question	67	2.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	119	3.5%
Sysmiss		162487	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb51j: Like/dislike: June List (EU sceptical new party)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=162487 /-]
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Junilistan
Notes	2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	370	11.0%
-4	(-4)	181	5.4%
-3	(-3)	193	5.8%
-2	(-2)	144	4.3%
-1	(-1)	113	3.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	970	28.9%
1	(+1)	177	5.3%
2	(+2)	151	4.5%
3	(+3)	109	3.3%
4	(+4)	50	1.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	31	0.9%
9	I am not familiar with the party	660	19.7%
97	No response - part of the question	77	2.3%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	119	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3482	
Sysmiss		159005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb51k: Like/dislike: Sjukvårdspartiet (local party focusing on health care)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]

cb51k: Like/dislike: Sjukvårdspartiet (local party focusing on health care)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=162487 /-]
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sjukvårdspartiet
Notes	2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	196	5.8%
-4	(-4)	71	2.1%
-3	(-3)	101	3.0%
-2	(-2)	64	1.9%
-1	(-1)	60	1.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	903	26.9%
1	(+1)	156	4.7%
2	(+2)	138	4.1%
3	(+3)	83	2.5%
4	(+4)	43	1.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	39	1.2%
9	I am not familiar with the party	1285	38.3%
97	No response - part of the question	87	2.6%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	119	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3482	
Sysmiss		159005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb51l: Like/dislike: Swedish pensioneer´s party (SPI)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=162487 /-]
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Swedish pensioneer´s party (SPI)
Notes	2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	185	5.5%
-4	(-4)	68	2.0%
-3	(-3)	83	2.5%
-2	(-2)	61	1.8%
-1	(-1)	62	1.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	981	29.3%
1	(+1)	181	5.4%
2	(+2)	144	4.3%
3	(+3)	110	3.3%
4	(+4)	46	1.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	40	1.2%
9	I am not familiar with the party	1192	35.6%
97	No response - part of the question	76	2.3%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	119	3.5%

cb51l: Like/dislike: Swedish pensioneer´s party (SPI)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	3482	
Sysmiss		159005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb51m: Like/dislike: Sweden Democrats (including "unknown" alternative)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=162487 /-]
Definition	See also cb50m (including the "unknown" response alternative).
Literal question	This question applies to how you in general like or dislike the political parties. Where would you personally place the different parties on the scale below? - Sweden Democrats
Notes	2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1823	54.4%
-4	(-4)	156	4.7%
-3	(-3)	108	3.2%
-2	(-2)	50	1.5%
-1	(-1)	40	1.2%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	375	11.2%
1	(+1)	72	2.1%
2	(+2)	55	1.6%
3	(+3)	61	1.8%
4	(+4)	45	1.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	52	1.6%
9	I am not familiar with the party	323	9.6%
97	No response - part of the question	71	2.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	119	3.5%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		162487	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb100a: Election turnout: The Riksdag [Parliament of Sweden]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21163 /-] [Invalid=144677 /-]
Definition	Variable based on cb200a. A 0 is coded if the respondent states that he/she did not vote, a 1 is coded if a party is chosen or if the respondent states that he/she voted blank. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election?"; 1988 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1988 parliamentary election?"; 1991 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1991 parliamentary election??"; 1994 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1994 parliamentary election?"; 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?" Note that the responses from 1986 applies to the parliamentary election of 1985 and the responses from 2000 applies to the elections of 1998.
Literal question	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
Notes	1986, 1988, 1991, 1994, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)

cb100a: Election turnout: The Riksdag [Parliament of Sweden]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	1415	6.7%
1	Voted	19599	92.6%
51	Was not eligible to vote	0	
52	Do not remember	0	
97	No response - part of the question	149	0.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		144677	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb100b: Election turnout: Regional/county council

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14260 /-] [Invalid=151580 /-]
Definition	Variable based on cb200b. A 0 is coded if the respondent states that he/she did not vote, a 1 is coded if a party is chosen or if the respondent states that he/she voted blank. The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"
Literal question	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
Notes	1998, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	1031	7.2%
1	Voted	12512	87.7%
51	Was not eligible to vote	0	
52	Do not remember	0	
97	No response - part of the question	717	5.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		151580	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb100c: Election turnout: Municipal council

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17208 /-] [Invalid=148632 /-]
Definition	Variable based on cb200c. A 0 is coded if the respondent states that he/she did not vote, a 1 is coded if a party is chosen or if the respondent states that he/she voted blank. The question wording has changed. 1994 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1994 parliamentary election?"; 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?" Note that the responses from 2000 applies to the municipal election of 1998.
Literal question	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
Notes	1994, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	1243	7.2%
1	Voted	15354	89.2%

cb100c: Election turnout: Municipal council

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
51	Was not eligible to vote	0	
52	Do not remember	0	
97	No response - part of the question	611	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		148632	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb100d: Election turnout: European Parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12480 /-] [Invalid=153360 /-]
Definition	Variable based on cb200d. A 0 is coded if the respondent states that he/she did not vote, a 1 is coded if a party is chosen or if the respondent states that he/she voted blank. The question wording has changed. 1995 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the European Parliament election this year?"; 1999 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 1999?"; 2004 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 2004?"; 2009 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 2009?".
Literal question	Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 1999?
Notes	1995, 1999, 2004, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Did not vote	5598	44.9%
1	Voted	6720	53.8%
51	Was not eligible to vote	0	
52	Do not remember	0	
97	No response - part of the question	162	1.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		153360	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb200a: Party choice: The Riksdag [Parliament of Sweden]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=41433 /-] [Invalid=124407 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election?"; 1988 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1988 parliamentary election?"; 1991 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1991 parliamentary election??"; 1994 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1994 parliamentary election?"; 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?" Note that the responses from 1986 applies to the parliamentary election of 1985 and the responses from 2000 applies to the elections of 1998.
Literal question	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
Notes	1986, 1988, 1991, 1994, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left Party	2484	6.0%
2	Social Democrats	11405	27.5%
3	Centre Party	2603	6.3%

cb200a: Party choice: The Riksdag [Parliament of Sweden]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Liberal Party	2738	6.6%
5	Moderate Party	7427	17.9%
6	Christian Democrats	2198	5.3%
7	Green Party	1930	4.7%
10	Sweden Democrats	1828	4.4%
11	Feminist Initiative	255	0.6%
12	Pirate Party	39	0.1%
13	New Democracy	84	0.2%
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	422	1.0%
31	Voted blank	703	1.7%
50	Did not vote	2067	5.0%
51	Was not eligible to vote	2027	4.9%
52	Do not remember	63	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	506	1.2%
98	Several responses given	806	1.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	1848	4.5%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		122565	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb200b: Party choice: Regional/county council

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=33185 /-] [Invalid=132655 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"
Literal question	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
Notes	1998, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left Party	2039	6.1%
2	Social Democrats	8438	25.4%
3	Centre Party	2102	6.3%
4	Liberal Party	2229	6.7%
5	Moderate Party	5536	16.7%
6	Christian Democrats	1817	5.5%
7	Green Party	1533	4.6%
10	Sweden Democrats	1353	4.1%
11	Feminist Initiative	133	0.4%
12	Pirate Party	25	0.1%
13	New Democracy	0	
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	876	2.6%
31	Voted blank	749	2.3%
50	Did not vote	1683	5.1%
51	Was not eligible to vote	1145	3.5%

cb200b: Party choice: Regional/county council

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	1472	4.4%
98	Several responses given	647	1.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	1408	4.2%
Sysmiss		132655	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb200c: Party choice: Municipal council

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36593 /-] [Invalid=129247 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1994 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1994 parliamentary election?"; 1998 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1998 elections?"; 2000 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 1985 parliamentary election and in the municipal election in the municipality where you live?"; 2002 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the 2002 elections?"; 2006 (National): "Did you vote in the 2006 elections and if so which party did you vote for?"; 2010 (National): "Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?" Note that the responses from 2000 applies to the municipal election of 1998.
Literal question	Did you vote in the 2010 elections and if so which party did you vote for?
Notes	1994, 1998, 2000, 2002, 2006, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left Party	2176	5.9%
2	Social Democrats	9252	25.3%
3	Centre Party	2786	7.6%
4	Liberal Party	2494	6.8%
5	Moderate Party	6186	16.9%
6	Christian Democrats	1642	4.5%
7	Green Party	1798	4.9%
10	Sweden Democrats	1353	3.7%
11	Feminist Initiative	187	0.5%
12	Pirate Party	22	0.1%
13	New Democracy	11	0.0%
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	1156	3.2%
31	Voted blank	693	1.9%
50	Did not vote	1898	5.2%
51	Was not eligible to vote	1276	3.5%
52	Do not remember	106	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1289	3.5%
98	Several responses given	660	1.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	1608	4.4%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		127405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cb200d: Party choice: European Parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23985 /-] [Invalid=141855 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1995 (National): "Which party did you vote for in the European Parliament election this year?"; 1999 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 1999?/If you voted for European Parliament, which party would you vote for?"; 2004 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in

cb200d: Party choice: European Parliament

	June 2004?/If you voted for European Parliament, which party would you vote for?"; 2009 (National): "Did you vote in the election to the European Parliament in June 2009?/If you voted for European Parliament, which party would you vote for?".		
Literal question	If you voted for European Parliament, which party would you vote for?		
Notes	1995, 1999, 2004, 2009 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left Party	985	4.1%
2	Social Democrats	3456	14.4%
3	Centre Party	836	3.5%
4	Liberal Party	1102	4.6%
5	Moderate Party	2694	11.2%
6	Christian Democrats	727	3.0%
7	Green Party	1403	5.8%
10	Sweden Democrats	635	2.6%
11	Feminist Initiative	249	1.0%
12	Pirate Party	184	0.8%
13	New Democracy	0	
15	The June List	295	1.2%
30	Other party (various fixed response alternatives)	88	0.4%
31	Voted blank	486	2.0%
50	Did not vote	5827	24.3%
51	Was not eligible to vote	1749	7.3%
52	Do not remember	305	1.3%
95		4	0.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1956	8.2%
98	Several responses given	263	1.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	741	3.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	6777	
Sysmiss		135078	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10a: Like/dislike: Carl Bildt

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22171 /-] [Invalid=143669 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986-1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:".
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Carl Bildt
Notes	1986-1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	4333	19.5%
-4	(-4)	1116	5.0%
-3	(-3)	1140	5.1%
-2	(-2)	953	4.3%
-1	(-1)	870	3.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3240	14.6%
1	(+1)	1561	7.0%

cc10a: Like/dislike: Carl Bildt

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	(+2)	1777	8.0%
3	(+3)	1994	9.0%
4	(+4)	1864	8.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1858	8.4%
97	No response - part of the question	559	2.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	903	4.1%
Sysmiss		143669	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10b: Like/dislike: Ingvar Carlsson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16898 /-] [Invalid=148942 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986-1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1995 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:".
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Ingvar Carlsson
Notes	1986-1995 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1146	6.8%
-4	(-4)	586	3.5%
-3	(-3)	705	4.2%
-2	(-2)	773	4.6%
-1	(-1)	813	4.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3070	18.2%
1	(+1)	1678	9.9%
2	(+2)	1745	10.3%
3	(+3)	1912	11.3%
4	(+4)	1656	9.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1816	10.7%
97	No response - part of the question	332	2.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	662	3.9%
Sysmiss		148942	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10c: Like/dislike: Bengt Westerberg

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15121 /-] [Invalid=150719 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986-1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:".
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Bengt Westerberg
Notes	1986-1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1162	7.7%

cc10c: Like/dislike: Bengt Westerberg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-4	(-4)	527	3.5%
-3	(-3)	732	4.8%
-2	(-2)	728	4.8%
-1	(-1)	871	5.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3215	21.3%
1	(+1)	1847	12.2%
2	(+2)	1845	12.2%
3	(+3)	1580	10.4%
4	(+4)	990	6.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	541	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	491	3.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	591	3.9%
Sysmiss		150719	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10d: Like/dislike: Lars Werner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11560 /-] [Invalid=154280 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Lars Werner
Notes	1986-1992 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1165	10.1%
-4	(-4)	455	3.9%
-3	(-3)	630	5.4%
-2	(-2)	610	5.3%
-1	(-1)	660	5.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2637	22.8%
1	(+1)	1393	12.1%
2	(+2)	1126	9.7%
3	(+3)	922	8.0%
4	(+4)	624	5.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	464	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	377	3.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	486	4.2%
Sysmiss		154280	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10e: Like/dislike: Karin Söder

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1624 /-] [Invalid=164216 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Karin Söder
Notes	1986 (National)

cc10e: Like/dislike: Karin Söder

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	152	9.4%
-4	(-4)	58	3.6%
-3	(-3)	92	5.7%
-2	(-2)	68	4.2%
-1	(-1)	93	5.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	530	32.6%
1	(+1)	138	8.5%
2	(+2)	142	8.7%
3	(+3)	121	7.5%
4	(+4)	56	3.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	49	3.0%
97	No response - part of the question	48	3.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	77	4.7%
Sysmiss		164216	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10f: Like/dislike: Olof Johansson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18807 /-] [Invalid=147033 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987-1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:".
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Olof Johansson
Notes	1987-1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1321	7.0%
-4	(-4)	754	4.0%
-3	(-3)	1261	6.7%
-2	(-2)	1204	6.4%
-1	(-1)	1232	6.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	5893	31.3%
1	(+1)	2062	11.0%
2	(+2)	1742	9.3%
3	(+3)	1216	6.5%
4	(+4)	474	2.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	313	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	541	2.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	793	4.2%
Sysmiss		147033	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10g: Like/dislike: Birger Schlaug

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13957 /-] [Invalid=151883 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988, 1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:".
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Birger Schlaug
Notes	1988, 1993-1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1496	10.7%
-4	(-4)	708	5.1%
-3	(-3)	849	6.1%
-2	(-2)	784	5.6%
-1	(-1)	854	6.1%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	4135	29.6%
1	(+1)	1376	9.9%
2	(+2)	1118	8.0%
3	(+3)	826	5.9%
4	(+4)	475	3.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	257	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	498	3.6%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	575	4.1%
Sysmiss		151883	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10h: Like/dislike: Alf Svensson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22283 /-] [Invalid=143557 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988-1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000- (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Alf Svensson
Notes	1988-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	2428	10.9%
-4	(-4)	1035	4.6%
-3	(-3)	1156	5.2%
-2	(-2)	1097	4.9%
-1	(-1)	1186	5.3%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	5790	26.0%
1	(+1)	2192	9.8%
2	(+2)	2015	9.0%
3	(+3)	1773	8.0%
4	(+4)	1197	5.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	865	3.9%

cc10h: Like/dislike: Alf Svensson

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	642	2.9%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	901	4.0%
Sysmiss		143557	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11h: Like/dislike: Alf Svensson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Alf Svensson
Notes	2001-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	423	7.9%
-4	(-4)	230	4.3%
-3	(-3)	252	4.7%
-2	(-2)	228	4.3%
-1	(-1)	235	4.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	950	17.8%
1	(+1)	583	10.9%
2	(+2)	606	11.4%
3	(+3)	592	11.1%
4	(+4)	458	8.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	263	4.9%
9	I am not familiar with the person	115	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	152	2.9%
98	Several responses given	18	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	228	4.3%
Sysmiss		160507	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10i: Like/dislike: Ian Wachtmeister

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5319 /-] [Invalid=160521 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place: - Ian Wachtmeister
Notes	1991-1993 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1302	24.5%
-4	(-4)	327	6.1%
-3	(-3)	302	5.7%
-2	(-2)	227	4.3%
-1	(-1)	238	4.5%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	893	16.8%
1	(+1)	481	9.0%
2	(+2)	412	7.7%

cc10i: Like/dislike: Ian Wachtmeister

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	(+3)	380	7.1%
4	(+4)	238	4.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	218	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	143	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	158	3.0%
Sysmiss		160521	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10j: Like/dislike: Gudrun Schyman

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14018 /-] [Invalid=151822 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1993 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders, where would you place:", 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000 (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:". Gudrun Schyman has represented two different parties as party leader: Left Party (1993-2003) och Feminist Initiative (2004-2011).
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gudrun Schyman
Notes	1993-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1561	11.1%
-4	(-4)	692	4.9%
-3	(-3)	795	5.7%
-2	(-2)	721	5.1%
-1	(-1)	684	4.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2740	19.5%
1	(+1)	1434	10.2%
2	(+2)	1369	9.8%
3	(+3)	1418	10.1%
4	(+4)	904	6.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	746	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	392	2.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	558	4.0%
Sysmiss		151822	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11j: Like/dislike: Gudrun Schyman

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18406 /-] [Invalid=147434 /-]
Definition	Gudrun Schyman has represented two different parties as party leader: Left Party (1993-2003) och Feminist Initiative (2004-2011).
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gudrun Schyman
Notes	2001-2002, 2006-2010 (National)

cc11j: Like/dislike: Gudrun Schyman

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	3112	16.9%
-4	(-4)	1166	6.3%
-3	(-3)	1118	6.1%
-2	(-2)	912	5.0%
-1	(-1)	799	4.3%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3882	21.1%
1	(+1)	1452	7.9%
2	(+2)	1267	6.9%
3	(+3)	1225	6.7%
4	(+4)	790	4.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	766	4.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	495	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	464	2.5%
98	Several responses given	73	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	885	4.8%
96		43150	
Sysmiss		104284	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10k: Like/dislike: Mona Sahlin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6938 /-] [Invalid=158902 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Mona Sahlin
Notes	1994-1995, 1997, 1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	898	12.9%
-4	(-4)	339	4.9%
-3	(-3)	339	4.9%
-2	(-2)	298	4.3%
-1	(-1)	342	4.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1195	17.2%
1	(+1)	631	9.1%
2	(+2)	653	9.4%
3	(+3)	648	9.3%
4	(+4)	549	7.9%
5	Strongly like (+5)	588	8.5%
97	No response - part of the question	150	2.2%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	299	4.3%
Sysmiss		158902	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11k: Like/dislike: Mona Sahlin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]

cc11k: Like/dislike: Mona Sahlin

Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Mona Sahlin
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	825	12.7%
-4	(-4)	413	6.4%
-3	(-3)	470	7.2%
-2	(-2)	385	5.9%
-1	(-1)	416	6.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1228	18.9%
1	(+1)	534	8.2%
2	(+2)	516	7.9%
3	(+3)	566	8.7%
4	(+4)	355	5.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	336	5.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	84	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	127	2.0%
98	Several responses given	29	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
Sysmiss		159342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10l: Like/dislike: Vivianne Franzén

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=164136 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Vivianne Franzén
Notes	1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	652	38.3%
-4	(-4)	148	8.7%
-3	(-3)	106	6.2%
-2	(-2)	96	5.6%
-1	(-1)	82	4.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	333	19.5%
1	(+1)	57	3.3%
2	(+2)	34	2.0%
3	(+3)	29	1.7%
4	(+4)	17	1.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	16	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	72	4.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	62	3.6%
Sysmiss		164136	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10m: Like/dislike: Ann Wibble

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]

cc10m: Like/dislike: Ann Wibble

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=164136 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Ann Wibble
Notes	1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	292	17.1%
-4	(-4)	109	6.4%
-3	(-3)	118	6.9%
-2	(-2)	106	6.2%
-1	(-1)	101	5.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	396	23.2%
1	(+1)	142	8.3%
2	(+2)	132	7.7%
3	(+3)	118	6.9%
4	(+4)	47	2.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	35	2.1%
97	No response - part of the question	46	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	62	3.6%
Sysmiss		164136	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10n: Like/dislike: Maria Leissner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3556 /-] [Invalid=162284 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Maria Leissner
Notes	1995-1996 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	409	11.5%
-4	(-4)	254	7.1%
-3	(-3)	252	7.1%
-2	(-2)	248	7.0%
-1	(-1)	276	7.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1072	30.1%
1	(+1)	307	8.6%
2	(+2)	241	6.8%
3	(+3)	143	4.0%
4	(+4)	56	1.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	20	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	99	2.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	179	5.0%
Sysmiss		162284	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10o: Like/dislike: Göran Persson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]

cc10o: Like/dislike: Göran Persson

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1994-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000 (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Persson
Notes	1996-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1309	15.1%
-4	(-4)	565	6.5%
-3	(-3)	563	6.5%
-2	(-2)	541	6.2%
-1	(-1)	583	6.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1501	17.3%
1	(+1)	762	8.8%
2	(+2)	743	8.6%
3	(+3)	742	8.5%
4	(+4)	467	5.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	290	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	223	2.6%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	382	4.4%
Sysmiss		157160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11o: Like/dislike: Göran Persson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10460 /-] [Invalid=155380 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Persson
Notes	2001-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1024	9.8%
-4	(-4)	547	5.2%
-3	(-3)	610	5.8%
-2	(-2)	531	5.1%
-1	(-1)	561	5.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1680	16.1%
1	(+1)	986	9.4%
2	(+2)	1042	10.0%
3	(+3)	1131	10.8%
4	(+4)	876	8.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	619	5.9%
9	I am not familiar with the person	131	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	254	2.4%
98	Several responses given	26	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	442	4.2%

cc11o: Like/dislike: Göran Persson

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		155380	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10p: Like/dislike: Lars Leijonborg

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6901 /-] [Invalid=158939 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1997-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000- (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Leijonborg
Notes	1997-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	663	9.6%
-4	(-4)	396	5.7%
-3	(-3)	499	7.2%
-2	(-2)	451	6.5%
-1	(-1)	485	7.0%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2397	34.7%
1	(+1)	564	8.2%
2	(+2)	443	6.4%
3	(+3)	289	4.2%
4	(+4)	127	1.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	45	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	266	3.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	274	4.0%
Sysmiss		158939	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11p: Like/dislike: Lars Leijonborg

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10460 /-] [Invalid=155380 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Leijonborg
Notes	2001-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	859	8.2%
-4	(-4)	538	5.1%
-3	(-3)	664	6.3%
-2	(-2)	702	6.7%
-1	(-1)	718	6.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2509	24.0%
1	(+1)	1078	10.3%
2	(+2)	973	9.3%
3	(+3)	785	7.5%

cc11p: Like/dislike: Lars Leijonborg

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	(+4)	393	3.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	129	1.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	271	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	365	3.5%
98	Several responses given	34	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	442	4.2%
Sysmiss		155380	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10q: Like/dislike: Lennart Daléus

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1998-1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000 (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lennart Daléus
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	438	8.5%
-4	(-4)	248	4.8%
-3	(-3)	362	7.0%
-2	(-2)	363	7.1%
-1	(-1)	329	6.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2164	42.0%
1	(+1)	395	7.7%
2	(+2)	251	4.9%
3	(+3)	160	3.1%
4	(+4)	65	1.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	31	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	166	3.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	174	3.4%
Sysmiss		160693	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10r: Like/dislike: Marianne Samuelsson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1740 /-] [Invalid=164100 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place: - Marianne Samuelsson
Notes	1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	158	9.1%
-4	(-4)	86	4.9%
-3	(-3)	75	4.3%

cc10r: Like/dislike: Marianne Samuelsson

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-2	(-2)	73	4.2%
-1	(-1)	68	3.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	925	53.2%
1	(+1)	96	5.5%
2	(+2)	74	4.3%
3	(+3)	43	2.5%
4	(+4)	22	1.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	10	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	77	4.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	33	1.9%
Sysmiss		164100	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10s: Like/dislike: Bo Lundgren

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000 (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Bo Lundgren
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	382	11.2%
-4	(-4)	173	5.1%
-3	(-3)	188	5.5%
-2	(-2)	187	5.5%
-1	(-1)	182	5.3%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1013	29.7%
1	(+1)	259	7.6%
2	(+2)	263	7.7%
3	(+3)	249	7.3%
4	(+4)	153	4.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	90	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	121	3.6%
98	Several responses given	6	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	141	4.1%
Sysmiss		162433	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11s: Like/dislike: Bo Lundgren

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Bo Lundgren
Notes	2001-2003 (National)

cc11s: Like/dislike: Bo Lundgren

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	769	14.4%
-4	(-4)	341	6.4%
-3	(-3)	392	7.4%
-2	(-2)	328	6.2%
-1	(-1)	339	6.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1044	19.6%
1	(+1)	459	8.6%
2	(+2)	372	7.0%
3	(+3)	325	6.1%
4	(+4)	221	4.1%
5	Strongly like (+5)	92	1.7%
9	I am not familiar with the person	233	4.4%
97	No response - part of the question	174	3.3%
98	Several responses given	16	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	228	4.3%
Sysmiss		160507	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10t: Like/dislike: Lotta Nilsson-Hedström

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1999 (National): "Using the same scale for the party leaders and a few other leading politicians, where would you place:"; 2000- (National): "Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians:".
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lotta Nilsson-Hedström
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	231	6.8%
-4	(-4)	122	3.6%
-3	(-3)	151	4.4%
-2	(-2)	139	4.1%
-1	(-1)	131	3.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1913	56.1%
1	(+1)	146	4.3%
2	(+2)	104	3.1%
3	(+3)	42	1.2%
4	(+4)	33	1.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	14	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	234	6.9%
98	Several responses given	6	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	141	4.1%
Sysmiss		162433	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11t: Like/dislike: Lotta Nilsson-Hedström

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1739 /-] [Invalid=164101 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lotta Nilsson-Hedström
Notes	2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	111	6.4%
-4	(-4)	83	4.8%
-3	(-3)	73	4.2%
-2	(-2)	62	3.6%
-1	(-1)	59	3.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	326	18.7%
1	(+1)	58	3.3%
2	(+2)	41	2.4%
3	(+3)	22	1.3%
4	(+4)	4	0.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	4	0.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	752	43.2%
97	No response - part of the question	60	3.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	79	4.5%
Sysmiss		164101	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc10u: Like/dislike: Matz Hammarström

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=164136 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Matz Hammarström
Notes	2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	138	8.1%
-4	(-4)	71	4.2%
-3	(-3)	99	5.8%
-2	(-2)	69	4.0%
-1	(-1)	62	3.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	944	55.4%
1	(+1)	55	3.2%
2	(+2)	39	2.3%
3	(+3)	13	0.8%
4	(+4)	4	0.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	6	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	128	7.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	76	4.5%
Sysmiss		164136	

cc10u: Like/dislike: Matz Hammarström

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11u: Like/dislike: Matz Hammarström

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1739 /-] [Invalid=164101 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Matz Hammarström
Notes	2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	91	5.2%
-4	(-4)	54	3.1%
-3	(-3)	64	3.7%
-2	(-2)	44	2.5%
-1	(-1)	46	2.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	317	18.2%
1	(+1)	43	2.5%
2	(+2)	35	2.0%
3	(+3)	11	0.6%
4	(+4)	5	0.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	5	0.3%
9	I am not familiar with the person	866	49.8%
97	No response - part of the question	73	4.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	79	4.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		164101	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11v: Like/dislike: Maud Olofsson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18489 /-] [Invalid=147351 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Maud Olofsson
Notes	2001-2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1733	9.4%
-4	(-4)	723	3.9%
-3	(-3)	1032	5.6%
-2	(-2)	912	4.9%
-1	(-1)	848	4.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	4499	24.3%
1	(+1)	1738	9.4%
2	(+2)	1807	9.8%
3	(+3)	1674	9.1%
4	(+4)	907	4.9%
5	Strongly like (+5)	499	2.7%
9	I am not familiar with the person	847	4.6%

cc11v: Like/dislike: Maud Olofsson

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	514	2.8%
98	Several responses given	55	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	701	3.8%
96	Question not asked	22328	
Sysmiss		125023	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11w: Like/dislike: Maria Wetterstrand

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15219 /-] [Invalid=150621 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Maria Wetterstrand
Notes	2002-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1308	8.6%
-4	(-4)	731	4.8%
-3	(-3)	764	5.0%
-2	(-2)	688	4.5%
-1	(-1)	692	4.5%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3540	23.3%
1	(+1)	1437	9.4%
2	(+2)	1218	8.0%
3	(+3)	1036	6.8%
4	(+4)	696	4.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	461	3.0%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1538	10.1%
97	No response - part of the question	468	3.1%
98	Several responses given	65	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	577	3.8%
96	Question not asked	19139	
Sysmiss		131482	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11x: Like/dislike: Peter Eriksson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15219 /-] [Invalid=150621 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Peter Eriksson
Notes	2002-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1300	8.5%
-4	(-4)	807	5.3%
-3	(-3)	870	5.7%
-2	(-2)	740	4.9%
-1	(-1)	789	5.2%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3918	25.7%

cc11x: Like/dislike: Peter Eriksson

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	(+1)	1275	8.4%
2	(+2)	987	6.5%
3	(+3)	787	5.2%
4	(+4)	322	2.1%
5	Strongly like (+5)	164	1.1%
9	I am not familiar with the person	2104	13.8%
97	No response - part of the question	527	3.5%
98	Several responses given	52	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	577	3.8%
96	Question not asked	19139	
Sysmiss		131482	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11y: Like/dislike: Ulla Hoffman

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1816 /-] [Invalid=164024 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Ulla Hoffman
Notes	2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	205	11.3%
-4	(-4)	120	6.6%
-3	(-3)	100	5.5%
-2	(-2)	90	5.0%
-1	(-1)	100	5.5%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	420	23.1%
1	(+1)	77	4.2%
2	(+2)	66	3.6%
3	(+3)	52	2.9%
4	(+4)	8	0.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	10	0.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	434	23.9%
97	No response - part of the question	53	2.9%
98	Several responses given	13	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	68	3.7%
96	Question not asked	1859	
Sysmiss		162165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11z: Like/dislike: Fredrik Reinfeldt

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19849 /-] [Invalid=145991 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Fredrik Reinfeldt
Notes	2003- (National)

cc11z: Like/dislike: Fredrik Reinfeldt

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1658	8.4%
-4	(-4)	813	4.1%
-3	(-3)	926	4.7%
-2	(-2)	681	3.4%
-1	(-1)	665	3.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3436	17.3%
1	(+1)	1411	7.1%
2	(+2)	1577	7.9%
3	(+3)	2053	10.3%
4	(+4)	2193	11.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	2216	11.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	947	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	408	2.1%
98	Several responses given	98	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	767	3.9%
96	Question not asked	35388	
Sysmiss		110603	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11aa: Like/dislike: Göran Hägglund

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18033 /-] [Invalid=147807 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Göran Hägglund
Notes	2004- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1214	6.7%
-4	(-4)	839	4.7%
-3	(-3)	918	5.1%
-2	(-2)	892	4.9%
-1	(-1)	914	5.1%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	5295	29.4%
1	(+1)	1455	8.1%
2	(+2)	1324	7.3%
3	(+3)	1093	6.1%
4	(+4)	667	3.7%
5	Strongly like (+5)	286	1.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1781	9.9%
97	No response - part of the question	588	3.3%
98	Several responses given	68	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	699	3.9%
96	Question not asked	33529	
Sysmiss		114278	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ab: Like/dislike: Lars Ohly

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13156 /-] [Invalid=152684 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Lars Ohly
Notes	2004-2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	2237	17.0%
-4	(-4)	868	6.6%
-3	(-3)	902	6.9%
-2	(-2)	779	5.9%
-1	(-1)	747	5.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3233	24.6%
1	(+1)	895	6.8%
2	(+2)	772	5.9%
3	(+3)	574	4.4%
4	(+4)	287	2.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	212	1.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	737	5.6%
97	No response - part of the question	381	2.9%
98	Several responses given	59	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	473	3.6%
96	Question not asked	18638	
Sysmiss		134046	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ac: Like/dislike: Nils Lundgren

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3295 /-] [Invalid=162545 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Nils Lundgren
Notes	2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	403	12.2%
-4	(-4)	115	3.5%
-3	(-3)	126	3.8%
-2	(-2)	110	3.3%
-1	(-1)	98	3.0%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	961	29.2%
1	(+1)	92	2.8%
2	(+2)	51	1.5%
3	(+3)	35	1.1%
4	(+4)	25	0.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	19	0.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1044	31.7%
97	No response - part of the question	97	2.9%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	111	3.4%

cc11ac: Like/dislike: Nils Lundgren

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	3476	
Sysmiss		159069	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ad: Like/dislike: Jan Björklund

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19579 /-] [Invalid=146261 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jan Björklund
Notes	2008-2018 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1301	6.6%
-4	(-4)	858	4.4%
-3	(-3)	1075	5.5%
-2	(-2)	1043	5.3%
-1	(-1)	1094	5.6%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	5453	27.9%
1	(+1)	1711	8.7%
2	(+2)	1625	8.3%
3	(+3)	1384	7.1%
4	(+4)	834	4.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	457	2.3%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1175	6.0%
97	No response - part of the question	573	2.9%
98	Several responses given	106	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	890	4.5%
96	Question not asked	59464	
Sysmiss		86797	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ae: Like/dislike: Jimmie Åkesson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24662 /-] [Invalid=141178 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jimmie Åkesson
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	8252	33.5%
-4	(-4)	1395	5.7%
-3	(-3)	1033	4.2%
-2	(-2)	744	3.0%
-1	(-1)	602	2.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3826	15.5%
1	(+1)	857	3.5%
2	(+2)	895	3.6%
3	(+3)	847	3.4%

cc11ae: Like/dislike: Jimmie Åkesson

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	(+4)	695	2.8%
5	Strongly like (+5)	1044	4.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	2610	10.6%
97	No response - part of the question	522	2.1%
98	Several responses given	128	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	1212	4.9%
96	Question not asked	86999	
Sysmiss		54179	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11af: Like/dislike: Rick Falkvinge

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3234 /-] [Invalid=162606 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Rick Falkvinge
Notes	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	307	9.5%
-4	(-4)	86	2.7%
-3	(-3)	80	2.5%
-2	(-2)	58	1.8%
-1	(-1)	56	1.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	669	20.7%
1	(+1)	27	0.8%
2	(+2)	18	0.6%
3	(+3)	24	0.7%
4	(+4)	9	0.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	14	0.4%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1665	51.5%
97	No response - part of the question	102	3.2%
98	Several responses given	15	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	104	3.2%
96	Question not asked	6699	
Sysmiss		155907	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ag: Like/dislike: Håkan Juholt

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1531 /-] [Invalid=164309 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Håkan Juholt
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	152	9.9%
-4	(-4)	83	5.4%
-3	(-3)	102	6.7%

cc11ag: Like/dislike: Håkan Juholt

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-2	(-2)	84	5.5%
-1	(-1)	93	6.1%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	426	27.8%
1	(+1)	122	8.0%
2	(+2)	90	5.9%
3	(+3)	134	8.8%
4	(+4)	65	4.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	46	3.0%
9	I am not familiar with the person	48	3.1%
97	No response - part of the question	35	2.3%
98	Several responses given	6	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	45	2.9%
96	Question not asked	3189	
Sysmiss		161120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ah: Like/dislike: Gustav Fridolin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13081 /-] [Invalid=152759 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Gustav Fridolin
Notes	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1296	9.9%
-4	(-4)	623	4.8%
-3	(-3)	671	5.1%
-2	(-2)	640	4.9%
-1	(-1)	636	4.9%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3293	25.2%
1	(+1)	1114	8.5%
2	(+2)	1117	8.5%
3	(+3)	882	6.7%
4	(+4)	511	3.9%
5	Strongly like (+5)	315	2.4%
9	I am not familiar with the person	920	7.0%
97	No response - part of the question	334	2.6%
98	Several responses given	53	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	676	5.2%
96	Question not asked	51104	
Sysmiss		101655	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ai: Like/dislike: Åsa Romson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7983 /-] [Invalid=157857 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Åsa Romson

cc11ai: Like/dislike: Åsa Romson

Notes	2011- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	646	8.1%
-4	(-4)	288	3.6%
-3	(-3)	321	4.0%
-2	(-2)	306	3.8%
-1	(-1)	336	4.2%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2300	28.8%
1	(+1)	494	6.2%
2	(+2)	426	5.3%
3	(+3)	370	4.6%
4	(+4)	174	2.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	102	1.3%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1574	19.7%
97	No response - part of the question	232	2.9%
98	Several responses given	40	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	374	4.7%
96	Question not asked	24766	
Sysmiss		133091	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11aj: Like/dislike: Anna Troberg

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4699 /-] [Invalid=161141 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Anna Troberg
Notes	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	161	3.4%
-4	(-4)	58	1.2%
-3	(-3)	72	1.5%
-2	(-2)	53	1.1%
-1	(-1)	67	1.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1148	24.4%
1	(+1)	36	0.8%
2	(+2)	34	0.7%
3	(+3)	19	0.4%
4	(+4)	10	0.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	9	0.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	2675	56.9%
97	No response - part of the question	142	3.0%
98	Several responses given	29	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	186	4.0%
96	Question not asked	12913	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ak: Like/dislike: Annie Lööf

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18164 /-] [Invalid=147676 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Annie Lööf
Notes	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1977	10.9%
-4	(-4)	729	4.0%
-3	(-3)	1019	5.6%
-2	(-2)	852	4.7%
-1	(-1)	824	4.5%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	4325	23.8%
1	(+1)	1384	7.6%
2	(+2)	1558	8.6%
3	(+3)	1505	8.3%
4	(+4)	932	5.1%
5	Strongly like (+5)	655	3.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	948	5.2%
97	No response - part of the question	386	2.1%
98	Several responses given	72	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	998	5.5%
96	Question not asked	78639	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11al: Like/dislike: Jonas Sjöstedt

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16546 /-] [Invalid=149294 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Jonas Sjöstedt
Notes	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1742	10.5%
-4	(-4)	776	4.7%
-3	(-3)	877	5.3%
-2	(-2)	748	4.5%
-1	(-1)	630	3.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3911	23.6%
1	(+1)	1222	7.4%
2	(+2)	1078	6.5%
3	(+3)	994	6.0%
4	(+4)	712	4.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	757	4.6%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1748	10.6%
97	No response - part of the question	392	2.4%
98	Several responses given	98	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	861	5.2%

cc11al: Like/dislike: Jonas Sjöstedt

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	68834	
Sysmiss		80460	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11am: Like/dislike: Stefan Löfven

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16633 /-] [Invalid=149207 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Stefan Löfven
Notes	2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1484	8.9%
-4	(-4)	692	4.2%
-3	(-3)	889	5.3%
-2	(-2)	846	5.1%
-1	(-1)	832	5.0%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	3426	20.6%
1	(+1)	1488	8.9%
2	(+2)	1565	9.4%
3	(+3)	1584	9.5%
4	(+4)	1227	7.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	997	6.0%
9	I am not familiar with the person	326	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	244	1.5%
98	Several responses given	80	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	953	5.7%
96	Question not asked	75450	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11an: Like/dislike: Anna Kinberg Batra

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4910 /-] [Invalid=160930 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: - Anna Kinberg Batra
Notes	2015-2017 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	428	8.7%
-4	(-4)	262	5.3%
-3	(-3)	319	6.5%
-2	(-2)	296	6.0%
-1	(-1)	229	4.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1181	24.1%
1	(+1)	382	7.8%
2	(+2)	414	8.4%
3	(+3)	402	8.2%

cc11an: Like/dislike: Anna Kinberg Batra

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	(+4)	255	5.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	106	2.2%
9	I am not familiar with the person	163	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	126	2.6%
98	Several responses given	20	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	327	6.7%
96	Question not asked	23991	
Sysmiss		136939	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ao: Like/dislike: Ebba Busch Thor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11756 /-] [Invalid=154084 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Ebba Busch Thor
Notes	2016- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1522	12.9%
-4	(-4)	805	6.8%
-3	(-3)	722	6.1%
-2	(-2)	657	5.6%
-1	(-1)	560	4.8%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2542	21.6%
1	(+1)	796	6.8%
2	(+2)	865	7.4%
3	(+3)	744	6.3%
4	(+4)	543	4.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	331	2.8%
9	I am not familiar with the person	613	5.2%
97	No response - part of the question	282	2.4%
98	Several responses given	47	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	727	6.2%
96	Question not asked	60559	
Sysmiss		93525	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ap: Like/dislike: Isabella Lövin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8563 /-] [Invalid=157277 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Isabella Lövin
Notes	2016-2018 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	1150	13.4%
-4	(-4)	497	5.8%
-3	(-3)	486	5.7%

cc11ap: Like/dislike: Isabella Lövin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-2	(-2)	402	4.7%
-1	(-1)	444	5.2%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	2383	27.8%
1	(+1)	478	5.6%
2	(+2)	405	4.7%
3	(+3)	317	3.7%
4	(+4)	124	1.4%
5	Strongly like (+5)	73	0.9%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1070	12.5%
97	No response - part of the question	205	2.4%
98	Several responses given	42	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	487	5.7%
96	Question not asked	44068	
Sysmiss		113209	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11aq: Like/dislike: Victoria Kawesa

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1755 /-] [Invalid=164085 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Victoria Kawesa
Notes	2017 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	188	10.7%
-4	(-4)	48	2.7%
-3	(-3)	42	2.4%
-2	(-2)	33	1.9%
-1	(-1)	36	2.1%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	345	19.7%
1	(+1)	18	1.0%
2	(+2)	16	0.9%
3	(+3)	7	0.4%
4	(+4)	4	0.2%
5	Strongly like (+5)	7	0.4%
9	I am not familiar with the person	794	45.2%
97	No response - part of the question	48	2.7%
98	Several responses given	10	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	159	9.1%
96	Question not asked	9057	
Sysmiss		155028	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ar: Like/dislike: Magdalena Andersson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3373 /-] [Invalid=162467 /-]

cc11ar: Like/dislike: Magdalena Andersson

Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Magdalena Andersson		
Notes	2017 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	254	7.5%
-4	(-4)	107	3.2%
-3	(-3)	114	3.4%
-2	(-2)	96	2.8%
-1	(-1)	115	3.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	718	21.3%
1	(+1)	200	5.9%
2	(+2)	232	6.9%
3	(+3)	259	7.7%
4	(+4)	237	7.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	198	5.9%
9	I am not familiar with the person	455	13.5%
97	No response - part of the question	81	2.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	296	8.8%
96	Question not asked	18862	
Sysmiss		143605	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11as: Like/dislike: Ulf Kristersson

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8601 /-] [Invalid=157239 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Ulf Kristersson
Notes	2017- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	770	9.0%
-4	(-4)	423	4.9%
-3	(-3)	489	5.7%
-2	(-2)	433	5.0%
-1	(-1)	380	4.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1894	22.0%
1	(+1)	588	6.8%
2	(+2)	720	8.4%
3	(+3)	749	8.7%
4	(+4)	539	6.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	316	3.7%
9	I am not familiar with the person	554	6.4%
97	No response - part of the question	166	1.9%
98	Several responses given	21	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	559	6.5%
96	Question not asked	45625	

cc11as: Like/dislike: Ulf Kristersson

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		111614	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11at: Like/dislike: Gita Nabavi

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1763 /-] [Invalid=164077 /-]
Literal question	Using the same scale [as in cb50a], where would you place the following party leaders and leading politicians: Gita Nabavi
Notes	2018 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	146	8.3%
-4	(-4)	36	2.0%
-3	(-3)	36	2.0%
-2	(-2)	20	1.1%
-1	(-1)	30	1.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	311	17.6%
1	(+1)	25	1.4%
2	(+2)	21	1.2%
3	(+3)	18	1.0%
4	(+4)	10	0.6%
5	Strongly like (+5)	8	0.5%
9	I am not familiar with the person	977	55.4%
97	No response - part of the question	45	2.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	78	4.4%
96	Question not asked	9033	
Sysmiss		155044	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11au: Like/dislike: Nyamko Sabuni

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5083 /-] [Invalid=160757 /-]
Notes	2019- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	441	8.7%
-4	(-4)	254	5.0%
-3	(-3)	341	6.7%
-2	(-2)	335	6.6%
-1	(-1)	290	5.7%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1669	32.8%
1	(+1)	340	6.7%
2	(+2)	234	4.6%
3	(+3)	155	3.0%
4	(+4)	50	1.0%
5	Strongly like (+5)	39	0.8%
9	I am not familiar with the person	464	9.1%

cc11au: Like/dislike: Nyamko Sabuni

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	130	2.6%
98	Several responses given	19	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	322	6.3%
96	Question not asked	27535	
Sysmiss		133222	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11av: Like/dislike: Per Bolund

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5083 /-] [Invalid=160757 /-]
Notes	2019- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	597	11.7%
-4	(-4)	193	3.8%
-3	(-3)	242	4.8%
-2	(-2)	180	3.5%
-1	(-1)	202	4.0%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	1429	28.1%
1	(+1)	253	5.0%
2	(+2)	203	4.0%
3	(+3)	159	3.1%
4	(+4)	68	1.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	44	0.9%
9	I am not familiar with the person	1031	20.3%
97	No response - part of the question	143	2.8%
98	Several responses given	17	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	322	6.3%
96	Question not asked	27535	
Sysmiss		133222	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11ax: Like/dislike: Nooshi Dadgostar

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*]

	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11423 /-] [Invalid=154417 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	130	1.1%
-4	(-4)	77	0.7%
-3	(-3)	81	0.7%
-2	(-2)	80	0.7%
-1	(-1)	58	0.5%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	366	3.2%
1	(+1)	113	1.0%
2	(+2)	111	1.0%
3	(+3)	105	0.9%
4	(+4)	58	0.5%
5	Strongly like (+5)	93	0.8%
9	I am not familiar with the person	149	1.3%
96	Question not asked	9805	85.8%
97	No response - part of the question	55	0.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	137	1.2%
Sysmiss		154417	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100a: Importance of political issues: The taxes

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=158916 /-]

	Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The taxes

	Notes	1999-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	3415	49.3%
2	Rather important issue	2465	35.6%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	556	8.0%
4	Rather unimportant issue	98	1.4%
5	Completely unimportant issue	19	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	79	1.1%
98	Several responses given	70	1.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		151544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100b: Importance of political issues: The environment

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=158916 /-]

	Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The environment

	Notes	1999-2002 (National)

cd100b: Importance of political issues: The environment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	3289	47.5%
2	Rather important issue	2647	38.2%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	554	8.0%
4	Rather unimportant issue	89	1.3%
5	Completely unimportant issue	28	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	94	1.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		151544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100c: Importance of political issues: Employment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=158916 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Employment
Notes	1999-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	4444	64.2%
2	Rather important issue	1809	26.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	293	4.2%
4	Rather unimportant issue	33	0.5%
5	Completely unimportant issue	24	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	95	1.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5473	
Sysmiss		153443	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100d: Importance of political issues: Law and order

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=158916 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Law and order
Notes	1999-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	4077	58.9%
2	Rather important issue	2010	29.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	447	6.5%
4	Rather unimportant issue	53	0.8%
5	Completely unimportant issue	21	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	91	1.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	

cd100d: Importance of political issues: Law and order

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		151544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100e: Importance of political issues: Refugees/Immigration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=158916 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Refugees/Immigration
Notes	1999-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	1983	28.6%
2	Rather important issue	2700	39.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	1413	20.4%
4	Rather unimportant issue	318	4.6%
5	Completely unimportant issue	172	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	111	1.6%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		151544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100f: Importance of political issues: EU/EMU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5146 /-] [Invalid=160694 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - EU\EMU
Notes	1999-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	1355	26.3%
2	Rather important issue	1724	33.5%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	1177	22.9%
4	Rather unimportant issue	348	6.8%
5	Completely unimportant issue	254	4.9%
97	No response - part of the question	133	2.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	3.0%
96	Question not asked	5541	
Sysmiss		155153	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100g: Importance of political issues: EMU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=164062 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - EMU
Notes	2002 (National)

cd100g: Importance of political issues: EMU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	405	22.8%
2	Rather important issue	577	32.5%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	460	25.9%
4	Rather unimportant issue	129	7.3%
5	Completely unimportant issue	92	5.2%
97	No response - part of the question	45	2.5%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	68	3.8%
96	Question not asked	1831	
Sysmiss		162231	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100h: Importance of political issues: School/education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=158916 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - School/education
Notes	1999-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	5184	74.9%
2	Rather important issue	1255	18.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	161	2.3%
4	Rather unimportant issue	12	0.2%
5	Completely unimportant issue	15	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	72	1.0%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		151544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100i: Importance of political issues: The child care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=158916 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The child care
Notes	1999-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	3844	55.5%
2	Rather important issue	2085	30.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	582	8.4%
4	Rather unimportant issue	77	1.1%
5	Completely unimportant issue	33	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	78	1.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	

cd100i: Importance of political issues: The child care

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		151544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100j: Importance of political issues: Medical services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Medical services
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	2716	79.7%
2	Rather important issue	503	14.8%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	58	1.7%
4	Rather unimportant issue	6	0.2%
5	Completely unimportant issue	6	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	18	0.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	99	2.9%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		158791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100k: Importance of political issues: The healthcare sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The healthcare sector
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	2741	77.9%
2	Rather important issue	551	15.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	62	1.8%
4	Rather unimportant issue	4	0.1%
5	Completely unimportant issue	5	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	27	0.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100l: Importance of political issues: The elderly care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=158916 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The elderly care
Notes	1999-2002 (National)

cd100l: Importance of political issues: The elderly care

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	4818	69.6%
2	Rather important issue	1524	22.0%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	256	3.7%
4	Rather unimportant issue	34	0.5%
5	Completely unimportant issue	18	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	52	0.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		151544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100m: Importance of political issues: The nuclear power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=162433 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The nuclear power
Notes	1999-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	1133	33.3%
2	Rather important issue	1251	36.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	666	19.5%
4	Rather unimportant issue	151	4.4%
5	Completely unimportant issue	40	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	66	1.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	99	2.9%
96	Question not asked	3642	
Sysmiss		158791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100n: Importance of political issues: Energy/nuclear power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Energy/nuclear power
Notes	2000-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	1249	35.5%
2	Rather important issue	1526	43.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	480	13.6%
4	Rather unimportant issue	52	1.5%
5	Completely unimportant issue	14	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	73	2.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	

cd100n: Importance of political issues: Energy/nuclear power

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100o: Importance of political issues: The government issue

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6924 /-] [Invalid=158916 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The government issue
Notes	1999-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	1787	25.8%
2	Rather important issue	2383	34.4%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	1895	27.4%
4	Rather unimportant issue	328	4.7%
5	Completely unimportant issue	121	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	185	2.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	7372	
Sysmiss		151544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100p: Importance of political issues: Mass media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=164136 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Mass media
Notes	2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	169	9.9%
2	Rather important issue	503	29.5%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	683	40.1%
4	Rather unimportant issue	185	10.9%
5	Completely unimportant issue	58	3.4%
97	No response - part of the question	48	2.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	58	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		162294	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100q: Importance of political issues: IT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=164136 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - IT
Notes	2000 (National)

cd100q: Importance of political issues: IT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	231	13.6%
2	Rather important issue	564	33.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	589	34.6%
4	Rather unimportant issue	151	8.9%
5	Completely unimportant issue	65	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	46	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	58	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		162294	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100r: Importance of political issues: Conditions for businesses/companies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Conditions for businesses/companies
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	922	26.2%
2	Rather important issue	1568	44.6%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	704	20.0%
4	Rather unimportant issue	90	2.6%
5	Completely unimportant issue	26	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	80	2.3%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100s: Importance of political issues: The Swedish economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The Swedish economy
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	2330	66.2%
2	Rather important issue	846	24.1%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	153	4.4%
4	Rather unimportant issue	11	0.3%
5	Completely unimportant issue	9	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	45	1.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	

cd100s: Importance of political issues: The Swedish economy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100t: Importance of political issues: The access to housing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - The access to housing
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	1387	39.4%
2	Rather important issue	1342	38.2%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	498	14.2%
4	Rather unimportant issue	83	2.4%
5	Completely unimportant issue	24	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	57	1.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100u: Importance of political issues: Gender equality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Gender equality
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	1316	37.4%
2	Rather important issue	1260	35.8%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	543	15.4%
4	Rather unimportant issue	171	4.9%
5	Completely unimportant issue	51	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	50	1.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	123	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd100v: Importance of political issues: Foreign and security issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=164062 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following political issues to be? - Foreign and security issues
Notes	2002 (National)

cd100v: Importance of political issues: Foreign and security issues

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important issue	481	27.1%
2	Rather important issue	723	40.7%
3	Neither important nor unimportant issue	365	20.5%
4	Rather unimportant issue	67	3.8%
5	Completely unimportant issue	23	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	51	2.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	68	3.8%
96	Question not asked	1831	
Sysmiss		162231	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110a: Opinion about policy area: Unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Unemployment
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	81	1.6%
2	Rather good policy	940	18.3%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1674	32.5%
4	Rather bad policy	1653	32.1%
5	Very bad policy	609	11.8%
97	No response - part of the question	63	1.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110b: Opinion about policy area: Gender equality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Gender equality
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	139	2.7%
2	Rather good policy	1416	27.5%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	2084	40.5%
4	Rather bad policy	1032	20.1%
5	Very bad policy	282	5.5%
97	No response - part of the question	64	1.2%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	

cd110b: Opinion about policy area: Gender equality

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110c: Opinion about policy area: The environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The environment
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	145	2.8%
2	Rather good policy	1653	32.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1942	37.7%
4	Rather bad policy	956	18.6%
5	Very bad policy	230	4.5%
97	No response - part of the question	88	1.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110d: Opinion about policy area: The elderly care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The elderly care
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	81	1.6%
2	Rather good policy	563	10.9%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1234	24.0%
4	Rather bad policy	2049	39.8%
5	Very bad policy	1033	20.1%
97	No response - part of the question	61	1.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110e: Opinion about policy area: Schools and education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Schools and education
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

cd110e: Opinion about policy area: Schools and education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	91	1.8%
2	Rather good policy	775	15.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1405	27.3%
4	Rather bad policy	1832	35.6%
5	Very bad policy	830	16.1%
97	No response - part of the question	85	1.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110f: Opinion about policy area: Conditions for businesses/companies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/ companies
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	96	1.9%
2	Rather good policy	899	17.5%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	2389	46.4%
4	Rather bad policy	1105	21.5%
5	Very bad policy	395	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	137	2.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110g: Opinion about policy area: Refugees/Immigration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Refugees/Immigration
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	63	1.2%
2	Rather good policy	622	12.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	2008	39.0%
4	Rather bad policy	1498	29.1%
5	Very bad policy	730	14.2%
97	No response - part of the question	99	1.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%

cd110g: Opinion about policy area: Refugees/Immigration

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110h: Opinion about policy area: Energy/nuclear power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Energy/nuclear power
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	87	1.7%
2	Rather good policy	873	17.0%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	2027	39.4%
4	Rather bad policy	1288	25.0%
5	Very bad policy	636	12.4%
97	No response - part of the question	111	2.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110i: Opinion about policy area: Law and order

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Law and order
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	86	1.7%
2	Rather good policy	1103	21.4%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1761	34.2%
4	Rather bad policy	1366	26.5%
5	Very bad policy	622	12.1%
97	No response - part of the question	83	1.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110j: Opinion about policy area: The child care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The child care
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

cd110j: Opinion about policy area: The child care

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	138	2.7%
2	Rather good policy	1192	23.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1868	36.3%
4	Rather bad policy	1249	24.3%
5	Very bad policy	467	9.1%
97	No response - part of the question	106	2.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110k: Opinion about policy area: Healthcare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Healthcare
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	110	2.1%
2	Rather good policy	879	17.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1247	24.2%
4	Rather bad policy	1820	35.4%
5	Very bad policy	913	17.7%
97	No response - part of the question	49	1.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110l: Opinion about policy area: The Swedish economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The Swedish economy
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	203	3.9%
2	Rather good policy	1421	27.6%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1787	34.7%
4	Rather bad policy	1039	20.2%
5	Very bad policy	480	9.3%
97	No response - part of the question	91	1.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	125	2.4%
96	Question not asked	5463	

cd110l: Opinion about policy area: The Swedish economy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110m: Opinion about policy area: Mass media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=164136 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Mass media
Notes	2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	24	1.4%
2	Rather good policy	372	21.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	957	56.2%
4	Rather bad policy	187	11.0%
5	Very bad policy	61	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	49	2.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	54	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		162294	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd110n: Opinion about policy area: IT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=164136 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - IT
Notes	2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	109	6.4%
2	Rather good policy	562	33.0%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	742	43.5%
4	Rather bad policy	133	7.8%
5	Very bad policy	43	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	61	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	54	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1842	
Sysmiss		162294	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111a: Opinion about policy area: The unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The unemployment
Notes	2001-2003 (National)

cd111a: Opinion about policy area: The unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	41	0.8%
2	Rather good policy	757	14.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1669	31.3%
4	Rather bad policy	1457	27.3%
5	Very bad policy	807	15.1%
6	No opinion	308	5.8%
97	No response - part of the question	98	1.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		154918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111b: Opinion about policy area: Gender equality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Gender equality
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	70	2.0%
2	Rather good policy	780	22.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1145	32.6%
4	Rather bad policy	793	22.5%
5	Very bad policy	393	11.2%
6	No opinion	167	4.7%
97	No response - part of the question	35	1.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	132	3.8%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111c: Opinion about policy area: The environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The environment
Notes	2001-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	118	2.2%
2	Rather good policy	1444	27.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1958	36.7%
4	Rather bad policy	936	17.6%
5	Very bad policy	361	6.8%
6	No opinion	225	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	86	1.6%

cd111c: Opinion about policy area: The environment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	12	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		154918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111d: Opinion about policy area: The elderly care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The elderly care
Notes	2001-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	80	1.5%
2	Rather good policy	805	15.1%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1551	29.1%
4	Rather bad policy	1388	26.0%
5	Very bad policy	895	16.8%
6	No opinion	327	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	92	1.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		154918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111e: Opinion about policy area: Schools and education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Schools and education
Notes	2001-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	101	1.9%
2	Rather good policy	791	14.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1378	25.8%
4	Rather bad policy	1596	29.9%
5	Very bad policy	969	18.2%
6	No opinion	218	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	84	1.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		154918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111f: Opinion about policy area: Conditions for businesses/companies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

cd111f: Opinion about policy area: Conditions for businesses/companies

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]		
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies		
Notes	2001-2003 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	65	1.2%
2	Rather good policy	738	13.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1861	34.9%
4	Rather bad policy	972	18.2%
5	Very bad policy	564	10.6%
6	No opinion	813	15.2%
97	No response - part of the question	118	2.2%
98	Several responses given	9	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		154918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111g: Opinion about policy area: Refugees/Immigration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]		
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Refugees/Immigration		
Notes	2001-2003 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	42	0.8%
2	Rather good policy	437	8.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1345	25.2%
4	Rather bad policy	1429	26.8%
5	Very bad policy	1362	25.5%
6	No opinion	430	8.1%
97	No response - part of the question	92	1.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		154918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111h: Opinion about policy area: Energy/nuclear power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]		
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Energy/nuclear power		
Notes	2001-2003 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	89	1.7%
2	Rather good policy	819	15.4%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1683	31.6%

cd111h: Opinion about policy area: Energy/nuclear power

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather bad policy	1153	21.6%
5	Very bad policy	732	13.7%
6	No opinion	547	10.3%
97	No response - part of the question	111	2.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		154918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111i: Opinion about policy area: Law and order

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Law and order
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	70	2.0%
2	Rather good policy	825	23.5%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1096	31.2%
4	Rather bad policy	728	20.7%
5	Very bad policy	403	11.5%
6	No opinion	186	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	73	2.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	132	3.8%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111j: Opinion about policy area: The child care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The child care
Notes	2001-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	133	2.5%
2	Rather good policy	1268	23.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1566	29.4%
4	Rather bad policy	1037	19.4%
5	Very bad policy	515	9.7%
6	No opinion	522	9.8%
97	No response - part of the question	94	1.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	

cd111j: Opinion about policy area: The child care

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		154918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111k: Opinion about policy area: Healthcare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Healthcare
Notes	2001-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	127	2.4%
2	Rather good policy	906	17.0%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1220	22.9%
4	Rather bad policy	1559	29.2%
5	Very bad policy	1115	20.9%
6	No opinion	144	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	63	1.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
96	Question not asked	5589	
Sysmiss		154918	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111l: Opinion about policy area: The Swedish economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The Swedish economy
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	92	2.6%
2	Rather good policy	767	21.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1160	33.0%
4	Rather bad policy	677	19.2%
5	Very bad policy	363	10.3%
6	No opinion	251	7.1%
97	No response - part of the question	74	2.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	132	3.8%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111m: Opinion about policy area: The taxes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The taxes

cd111m: Opinion about policy area: The taxes

Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	63	1.8%
2	Rather good policy	548	15.6%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	887	25.2%
4	Rather bad policy	887	25.2%
5	Very bad policy	774	22.0%
6	No opinion	163	4.6%
97	No response - part of the question	58	1.6%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	132	3.8%
Sysmiss		162323	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111n: Opinion about policy area: EU policies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5333 /-] [Invalid=160507 /-]	
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - EU policies	
Notes	2001-2003 (National)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	67	1.3%
2	Rather good policy	653	12.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1641	30.8%
4	Rather bad policy	1071	20.1%
5	Very bad policy	994	18.6%
6	No opinion	596	11.2%
97	No response - part of the question	116	2.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	193	3.6%
Sysmiss		160507	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111o: Opinion about policy area: The access to housing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]	
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - The access to housing	
Notes	2001-2002 (National)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	31	0.9%
2	Rather good policy	339	9.6%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	902	25.6%
4	Rather bad policy	857	24.4%
5	Very bad policy	849	24.1%
6	No opinion	339	9.6%
97	No response - part of the question	63	1.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	132	3.8%
Sysmiss		162323	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111p: Opinion about policy area: EMU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3594 /-] [Invalid=162246 /-]	
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - EMU	
Notes	2002-2003 (National)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	43	1.2%
2	Rather good policy	353	9.8%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1097	30.5%
4	Rather bad policy	682	19.0%

cd111p: Opinion about policy area: EMU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very bad policy	727	20.2%
6	No opinion	477	13.3%
97	No response - part of the question	83	2.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	131	3.6%
Sysmiss		162246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd111q: Opinion about policy area: Foreign and security issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3594 /-] [Invalid=162246 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the current direction of Swedish politics in the following areas? - Foreign and security issues
Notes	2002-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good policy	103	2.9%
2	Rather good policy	834	23.2%
3	Neither good nor bad policy	1279	35.6%
4	Rather bad policy	417	11.6%
5	Very bad policy	183	5.1%
6	No opinion	542	15.1%
97	No response - part of the question	101	2.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	131	3.6%
Sysmiss		162246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd200: Sweden developing: In the right or wrong direction?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22043 /-] [Invalid=143797 /-]
Literal question	Generally, do you find that Sweden is developing in the right direction or wrong direction?
Notes	2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	In the right direction	5076	23.0%
2	In the wrong direction	11345	51.5%
3	No opinion	4997	22.7%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	26	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	599	2.7%
96	Question not asked	70040	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd240a: Proposal: Make Sweden a republic with an elected president

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28590 /-] [Invalid=137250 /-]
Definition	-

cd240a: Proposal: Make Sweden a republic with an elected president

	Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Make Sweden a republic with an elected president
	Notes	1995, 1999-2001, 2003, 2005-2015 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2038	7.1%
2	Rather good proposal	2017	7.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	5735	20.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	4974	17.4%
5	Very bad proposal	12539	43.9%
97	No response - part of the question	516	1.8%
98	Several responses given	14	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	757	2.6%
96	Question not asked	54556	
Sysmiss		82694	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd240b: Proposal: Make Sweden a republic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10221 /-] [Invalid=155619 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Make Sweden a republic
Notes	2003, 2005, 2010-2013 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	956	9.4%
2	Rather good proposal	753	7.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2543	24.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	1898	18.6%
5	Very bad proposal	3483	34.1%
97	No response - part of the question	289	2.8%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	288	2.8%
96	Question not asked	19572	
Sysmiss		136047	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd245: Do you think Sweden should keep or abolish monarchy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23804 /-] [Invalid=142036 /-]
Literal question	Do you think Sweden should keep or abolish monarchy?
Notes	2003, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep the monarchy	12984	54.5%
2	Abolish the monarchy	4923	20.7%
3	No opinion	5398	22.7%
97	No response - part of the question	0	

cd245: Do you think Sweden should keep or abolish monarchy?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	491	2.1%
96	Question not asked	86607	
Sysmiss		55429	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd900a: Proposal: Hold more municipal referendums

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10092 /-] [Invalid=155748 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold more municipal referendums
Notes	1996, 1998, 2000, 2006, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1125	11.1%
2	Rather good proposal	2929	29.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3412	33.8%
4	Rather bad proposal	1422	14.1%
5	Very bad proposal	637	6.3%
97	No response - part of the question	275	2.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	290	2.9%
96	Question not asked	13117	
Sysmiss		142631	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd900b: Proposal: Lower the voting age to 16 in all elections

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8355 /-] [Invalid=157485 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1998, 2000 (National): " Lower the voting age to 16"; 2001, 2006, 2012 (Riks): "Lower the voting age to 16 in all elections".
Literal question	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Lower the voting age to 16 in all elections
Notes	1998, 2000-2001, 2006, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	230	2.8%
2	Rather good proposal	562	6.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1114	13.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	2681	32.1%
5	Very bad proposal	3434	41.1%
97	No response - part of the question	61	0.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	271	3.2%
96	Question not asked	12015	
Sysmiss		145470	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd900c: Proposal: Delegate decisions in important issues to experts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10180 /-] [Invalid=155660 /-]
Literal question	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Delegate decisions in important issues to experts
Notes	1998, 2000-2001, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1309	12.9%
2	Rather good proposal	2714	26.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2819	27.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	1750	17.2%
5	Very bad proposal	1068	10.5%
97	No response - part of the question	221	2.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96	Question not asked	27809	
Sysmiss		127851	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd900d: Proposal: Lower the election threshold to the parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4912 /-] [Invalid=160928 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Lower the election threshold to the parliament
Notes	1998, 2006, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	126	2.6%
2	Rather good proposal	330	6.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1303	26.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	1354	27.6%
5	Very bad proposal	1560	31.8%
97	No response - part of the question	109	2.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	129	2.6%
96	Question not asked	8274	
Sysmiss		152654	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd900e: Proposal: Hold more national referendums

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8403 /-] [Invalid=157437 /-]
Literal question	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold more national referendums
Notes	1998, 2000-2001, 2006 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	799	9.5%

cd900e: Proposal: Hold more national referendums

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rather good proposal	2077	24.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2872	34.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	1400	16.7%
5	Very bad proposal	750	8.9%
97	No response - part of the question	233	2.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	271	3.2%
96	Question not asked	15506	
Sysmiss		141931	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cd900f: Proposal: Hold municipal and parliamentary elections at different times

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11755 /-] [Invalid=154085 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Hold municipal and parliamentary elections at different times
Notes	1998-2001, 2006, 2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	943	8.0%
2	Rather good proposal	1875	16.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3961	33.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	2270	19.3%
5	Very bad proposal	2102	17.9%
97	No response - part of the question	257	2.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	344	2.9%
96	Question not asked	22186	
Sysmiss		131899	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ce900a: Proposal: Increase government funding of rural areas in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23247 /-] [Invalid=142593 /-]
Literal question	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Increase government funding of rural areas in Sweden
Notes	2011-2011, 2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	5954	25.6%
2	Rather good proposal	8815	37.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6014	25.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	1075	4.6%
5	Very bad proposal	352	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	449	1.9%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	571	2.5%

ce900a: Proposal: Increase government funding of rural areas in Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	66953	
Sysmiss		75640	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ce900b: Proposal: Increase the number of wolves in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8215 /-] [Invalid=157625 /-]
Literal question	Various proposals have been presented in the debate on democracy in Sweden. What is your opinion about the following proposals? - Increase the number of wolves in Sweden
Notes	2011-2011, 2015-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	659	8.0%
2	Rather good proposal	1082	13.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3404	41.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	1222	14.9%
5	Very bad proposal	1495	18.2%
97	No response - part of the question	196	2.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	1.9%
96	Question not asked	31695	
Sysmiss		125930	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a coding scheme. Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Skatter/arbetsgivaravgifter	1147	1.7%
200	Lägre skatter	170	0.3%
300	Högre skatter för de rika	7	0.0%
400	Marginalskattesänkningar	9	0.0%
500	Skattereformen (1991-93)	1	0.0%
600	Momsen på livsmedel (ej matpriser allmänt, se kod 144)	5	0.0%
700	Bekämpa skattefiffel/ekonomisk brottslighet	28	0.0%
800	Man ska kunna leva på sin lön (1988-97)	9	0.0%
900	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter (ej moms på livsmedel)	33	0.0%
1000	Kommunalskattefrågor	6	0.0%
1100	Skatteavdragsfrågor	0	
1200	Värnskatt	0	
1300	Skatteväxling	6	0.0%

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1400	Förmögenhetsskatt	4	0.0%
1500	Fastighetsskatt	60	0.1%
1600	Jobbskatteavdraget (inkl orättvis pensionärsskatt)	8	0.0%
1700	Rot-avdraget	0	
1800	Rut-avdraget	0	
2000	Sveriges ekonomi - allmänt	3129	4.6%
2100	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer, utlandslån	193	0.3%
2200	Lönenivå, lönepolitik	109	0.2%
2300	Handelspolitik, frihandel, fri konkurrens, handelsbalans	11	0.0%
2400	Spara på offentliga utgifter. Vi måste spara för att minska	8	0.0%
2500	Prisstegringar, inflation (ej matpriser allmänt)	34	0.1%
2600	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	45	0.1%
2700	Finanskrisen	98	0.1%
2800	Lågkonjunkturen	24	0.0%
2900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden	149	0.2%
3000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig/ kommunal service	113	0.2%
3100	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	209	0.3%
3500	Privatiseringar	59	0.1%
4000	Socialpolitik, trygghetsfrågor	481	0.7%
4100	Sociala reformer	25	0.0%
4200	Socialbidrag	55	0.1%
4300	Omsorg, ospec.	42	0.1%
4400	Välfärdssamhället, tryggheten	407	0.6%
4500	Fattigdom	2	0.0%
4600	Sociala klyftor	23	0.0%
4700	Fördelningsfrågor	284	0.4%
4800	Hemlöshet	36	0.1%
4900	Segregation, utanförskap - utan invandrarperspektiv	102	0.2%
4910	Bidragsfusk	2	0.0%
5000	Pensioner, pensionärsfrågor	477	0.7%
5100	ATP-fonder	6	0.0%
5200	Privata pensioner	0	
5300	Det nya pensionssystemet	6	0.0%
5400	Pensionsfonder	0	
6000	Äldrevård/äldreomsorg	2315	3.4%
6300	Eget rum för långtidssjuka	2	0.0%
6800	Hemtjänst	0	
6900	Färdtjänst	0	
7000	Hälso- och sjukvård/ sjukvårdsförsäkring	7532	11.2%
7010	Tandvård/tandvårdsredormen	4	0.0%
7100	Handikappfrågor	42	0.1%
7200	Husläkare	0	
7300	Sjukersättningen/karensdagar	39	0.1%
7400	Privat sjukvård/privatisera sjukvården	19	0.0%
7500	Vårdcentralerna	2	0.0%

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7510	Sjukförsäkring, Utförsäkring	61	0.1%
7600	Köer inom sjukvården	49	0.1%
7700	AIDS-problemet	62	0.1%
7710	Specifika sjukdomar	2	0.0%
7800	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	0	
7900	Psykvård	135	0.2%
8000	Alkohol/narkotika	647	1.0%
8100	Tobak, rökning (1987-90)	2	0.0%
8200	Alkohol	6	0.0%
8300	Narkotika	24	0.0%
8500	Familjepolitik/barnomsorg	1178	1.7%
8600	Vårdnadsbidrag	14	0.0%
8700	Privat barnomsorg	3	0.0%
8800	Taxa-tak för daghemsavgifter	5	0.0%
8900	Föräldraförsäkring	14	0.0%
9100	Pappaledighet	1	0.0%
9200	Barndaghem	17	0.0%
9500	Barnfamiljernas ekonomi	19	0.0%
9600	Sextimmars arbetsdag	11	0.0%
9700	Barnbidrag	2	0.0%
10000	Utbildningspolitik, forskning	1024	1.5%
10100	Mer disciplin och ordning i skolan	25	0.0%
10200	Betygen i skolan	5	0.0%
10300	Grundskolan, skolan allmänt	3707	5.5%
10400	Gymnasieskolan	4	0.0%
10500	Privata/fristående skolor	7	0.0%
10600	Skolpeng	3	0.0%
10700	Högskola/forskning	4	0.0%
10800	Studentekonomi	15	0.0%
10900	Lärarbrist	20	0.0%
11000	Fritid/idrott	15	0.0%
11100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	566	0.8%
11200	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
11500	Kulturfrågor	25	0.0%
12000	Bostadspolitik, allmänt	174	0.3%
12100	Villaägare, ekonomi	2	0.0%
12200	Bostadsrätt	4	0.0%
12300	Hyreskostnadsfrågor, bostadsbidrag	26	0.0%
12400	Bostadsbrist	119	0.2%
12600	Boendemiljö	1	0.0%
13000	Decentraliseringar, lokaliseringspolitik, regionalpolitik, u	114	0.2%
13100	Småskalighet, lokalsamhälle	10	0.0%
13200	Glesbygdsfrågor	7	0.0%
14000	Jordbrukspolitik	70	0.1%
14100	Djurhållning	26	0.0%

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14300	Livsmedelssubventioner	0	
14400	Matpriser	3	0.0%
14500	Fiskerinäring	1	0.0%
14600	Livsmedelsproduktion	0	
14700	Jaktfrågor	0	
15000	Byråkrati - för mycket byråkrati, blankettkrångel, korporati	30	0.0%
15100	Pampvälde, etablissemanget, makteliten	28	0.0%
15300	Personlig integritet, personnummer	27	0.0%
15400	Förbudspolitik	0	
16000	Miljö, miljövård, naturvård	5543	8.2%
16100	Kemiska bekämpningsmedel i skogs- och jordbruk	3	0.0%
16200	Trafik, bilism, avgasföroreningar och blyfri bensin	11	0.0%
16300	Försurning, skogsdöd	13	0.0%
16400	Mot gifter i jobbet, bättre arbetsmiljö	4	0.0%
16500	Havs- och vattenföroreningar/Östersjön	10	0.0%
16600	Ozonskiktet	4	0.0%
16700	Luftföroreningar	26	0.0%
16800	Växthuseffekten	91	0.1%
16810	Klimatfrågor, klimatförändringar, klimatet	144	0.2%
16900	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatt	4	0.0%
16910	Resursfördelning, Utnyttjandet av jordens resurser	4	0.0%
17000	Kommunikationer, trafik	117	0.2%
17100	Scan-link	0	
17200	Öresundsbron	2	0.0%
17300	Inlandsbanan	0	
17400	Vägtullar	0	
17500	Pris på kollektivtrafik	2	0.0%
17600	Bensinpriset	65	0.1%
17700	Trängselavgifter	5	0.0%
18000	Kriminalvård, polisväsende, brottslighet	1886	2.8%
18100	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	297	0.4%
18200	Våld på gator och torg, våld allmänt	1013	1.5%
18300	Lag och ordning	62	0.1%
18400	Kravaller	1	0.0%
18500	Organiserad brottslighet, ligor- och gängproblematik	18	0.0%
18600	Våld mot kvinnor/kvinnofrid	18	0.0%
18700	Hårdare straff	4	0.0%
19000	Religion, moral och etik, respekt	197	0.3%
19100	Porr och prostitution	5	0.0%
19200	Abort	8	0.0%
19300	Genmanipulation	2	0.0%
19400	Hederlighet	21	0.0%
19500	Kyrka-stat	4	0.0%
19600	Religiösa motsättningar	1	0.0%
20000	Massmedia	32	0.0%

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20100	Reklam-TV	2	0.0%
20200	Videovåld/TV-våld	1	0.0%
20400	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna etc.	7	0.0%
20500	Internet	0	
20600	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	10	0.0%
21000	Fredsfrågan, internationell nedrustning	67	0.1%
22000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	59	0.1%
22200	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	213	0.3%
22300	Vapenexport	1	0.0%
22400	U-hjälp	14	0.0%
22600	Neutralitet	5	0.0%
22700	Nato	2	0.0%
22800	Sveriges militära närvaro i Afghanistan	2	0.0%
23000	Internationella frågor allmänt	49	0.1%
23010	Globalisering	0	
23100	Rasåtskillnad, apartheid, Sydafrika	2	0.0%
23200	Baltikum	0	
23300	Ryssland/tidigare Sovjetunionen	0	
23400	Balkan	0	
23500	Mellanöstern	3	0.0%
23510	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	1	0.0%
23600	USA	5	0.0%
23700	Asien	0	
23710	Iran	0	
23720	Afghanistan	2	0.0%
23730	Nordkorea	0	
23800	Afrika	0	
23900	Terrorism	26	0.0%
23910	USAs krig mot terrorismen	0	
24000	Sysselsättningen/arbetslösheten	11417	16.9%
24100	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	446	0.7%
24200	Robotisering/datorisering i arbetslivet	1	0.0%
24400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	64	0.1%
24500	Semester	0	
24700	A-kassa	42	0.1%
24800	Sjukskrivning, förtidspension, företagshälsovård, långtidsju	155	0.2%
24900	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	63	0.1%
25000	Näringspolitik	165	0.2%
25100	Företagens villkor	139	0.2%
26000	Energipolitik	262	0.4%
26100	Kärnkraft	147	0.2%
26200	Kärnkraftens avvecklingsstart	7	0.0%
26300	Vindkraft	1	0.0%
26400	Kärnkraftens avfallsfråga	3	0.0%
26500	Energipriser	15	0.0%

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27000	Statligt inflytande över privata företag	19	0.0%
27100	Marknadsekonomi	16	0.0%
28000	Medbestämmande, företagsdemokrati	5	0.0%
28100	Arbetsrätten	10	0.0%
28200	Konsumentinflytande	1	0.0%
29000	Löntagarfonder	7	0.0%
29300	Aktiesparfonder	0	
29400	Diskriminering, Människors lika värde, Likabehandling	6	0.0%
29500	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	302	0.4%
29600	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i arbetslivet	36	0.1%
29700	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: politiken	0	
29800	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i hemmet	3	0.0%
29900	HBTQ-frågor	14	0.0%
30000	Rättssamhället, rättssäkerhet	151	0.2%
30100	Affärer	5	0.0%
30200	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	22	0.0%
30300	FRA, Ipred, Acta, Telekompaketet	23	0.0%
30400	Korruption	3	0.0%
31000	Invandrarpolitik, invandrare	2714	4.0%
31100	Flyktingfrågor (se även kod 315)	274	0.4%
31200	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	347	0.5%
31300	Språktest för medb.skap	1	0.0%
31400	Arbetskraftsinvandring	2	0.0%
31500	Segregation/integration invandrare/svenskar	328	0.5%
32000	Övriga sakfrågor	121	0.2%
32500	Framtidsfrågor	21	0.0%
33000	Ideologibegrepp	22	0.0%
33100	Socialism	3	0.0%
33200	Kommunism, klasskamp, revolution	5	0.0%
33300	Liberalism	2	0.0%
33400	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	3	0.0%
33500	Jämlikhet, utjämning, solidaritet	134	0.2%
33600	Individualism vs kollektivism	30	0.0%
33700	Nyliberalism	3	0.0%
33800	Demokrati	158	0.2%
33900	Vänsterpolitik	1	0.0%
34000	Högerpolitik	7	0.0%
34100	Radikalism	1	0.0%
34200	Systemskifte	1	0.0%
34300	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	6	0.0%
34400	Rättvisa	72	0.1%
34500	Icke-grönt, materialism	3	0.0%
34600	Valfrihet, frihet	14	0.0%
34700	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	37	0.1%
34800	Feminism	8	0.0%

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34900	Nazism	53	0.1%
35000	Partipolitik (allmänna omdömen om partier)	60	0.1%
35100	Partipolitiskt samarbete	2	0.0%
35200	Sverigedemokraterna	31	0.0%
36000	Förtroende för partier och politiker	225	0.3%
36100	Folkpartiets dataintrångsaffär, explicit	0	
37000	Partiledare	5	0.0%
37100	Personvalskandidater	0	
37200	Övriga personfrågor	8	0.0%
38000	Klassamhället (grupppreferenser)	191	0.3%
39000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen (se även kod 500)	130	0.2%
39100	Röd-grönt regeringsalternativ	0	
39200	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	3	0.0%
39300	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	1	0.0%
39400	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	2	0.0%
50000	Lokala och kommunala frågor	47	0.1%
50100	Stadsplanering	0	
62100		10	0.0%
80000	EU - allmänt (se även grupp 400 och kod 229)	640	0.9%
80100	EU - skattefrågor	2	0.0%
80200	EU - ekonomin	1	0.0%
80300	EU – EMU	228	0.3%
80400	EU - näringspolitik	0	
80500	EU - sysselsättningsfrågor	1	0.0%
80600	EU - sociala frågor	0	
80700	EU - pensionsfrågor	0	
80800	EU - sjuk- och äldrevård	0	
80900	EU - alkohol / narkotika	0	
81000	EU - familjepolitik/barnomsorg	0	
81100	EU - utbildningsfrågor	0	
81200	EU - fritid/idrott	0	
81300	EU - kulturfrågor	0	
81400	EU - bostadsfrågor	0	
81500	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
81600	EU - jordbruksfrågor	2	0.0%
81700	EU - matkvaliteten	0	
81800	EU - byråkrati	0	
81900	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
82000	EU - energifrågor	0	
82100	EU - kommunikationsfrågor	0	
82200	EU - rättsväsende	0	
82300	EU - etik, religion, moral	0	
82400	EU - massmediefrågor	0	
82500	EU - fred och nedrustning	0	
82600	EU - utrikesfrågor	1	0.0%

samprob1_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
82700	EU - försvars och säkerhetspolitik	0	
82800	EU - internationella frågor	0	
82900	EU - jämställdhetsfrågor	0	
83000	EU - invandrarfrågor	2	0.0%
83100	EU - demokrati	4	0.0%
83200	EU - möjlighet att påverka	4	0.0%
83300	EU - offentlighetsprincipen	0	
83400	EU - allemansrätten	0	
83500	EU - nationell självständighet	2	0.0%
83600	EU - utvidgning	1	0.0%
83700	EU – medlemsavgiften	2	0.0%
83800	EU – risk att Sverige isoleras	0	
83900	EU – utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	44	0.1%
84100	Öppna gränser, gränskontroll, tull	1	0.0%
84900	EU – andra sakfrågor	11	0.0%
88889	Ej tillämpligt	80	0.1%
99991	"Alla frågor"	23	0.0%
99992	Övrigt	229	0.3%
99995	Det finns inte något viktigt samhällsproblem	44	0.1%
99997	Ej ytterligare svar	0	
99998	Vet inte, vill ej svara	285	0.4%
99999	Ej svar – hela frågan	11191	16.6%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

samprob2_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a coding scheme. Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Skatter/arbetsgivaravgifter	1176	1.7%
200	Lägre skatter	133	0.2%
300	Högre skatter för de rika	13	0.0%
400	Marginalskattesänkningar	8	0.0%
500	Skattereformen (1991-93)	0	
600	Momsen på livsmedel (ej matpriser allmänt, se kod 144)	8	0.0%
700	Bekämpa skattefiffel/ekonomisk brottslighet	50	0.1%
800	Man ska kunna leva på sin lön (1988-97)	16	0.0%
900	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter (ej moms på livsmedel)	34	0.1%
1000	Kommunalskattefrågor	10	0.0%
1100	Skatteavdragsfrågor	6	0.0%

samprob2_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1200	Värnskatt	1	0.0%
1300	Skatteväxling	6	0.0%
1400	Förmögenhetsskatt	11	0.0%
1500	Fastighetsskatt	51	0.1%
1600	Jobbskatteavdraget (inkl orättvis pensionärsskatt)	5	0.0%
1700	Rot-avdraget	0	
1800	Rut-avdraget	0	
2000	Sveriges ekonomi - allmänt	2083	3.1%
2100	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer, utlandslån	202	0.3%
2200	Lönenivå, lönepolitik	156	0.2%
2300	Handelspolitik, frihandel, fri konkurrens, handelsbalans	16	0.0%
2400	Spara på offentliga utgifter. Vi måste spara för att minska	11	0.0%
2500	Prisstegringar, inflation (ej matpriser allmänt)	61	0.1%
2600	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	41	0.1%
2700	Finanskrisen	66	0.1%
2800	Lågkonjunkturen	24	0.0%
2900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden	167	0.2%
3000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig/ kommunal service	129	0.2%
3100	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	198	0.3%
3500	Privatiseringar	57	0.1%
4000	Socialpolitik, trygghetsfrågor	599	0.9%
4100	Sociala reformer	44	0.1%
4200	Socialbidrag	90	0.1%
4300	Omsorg, ospec.	188	0.3%
4400	Välfärdssamhället, tryggheten	506	0.8%
4500	Fattigdom	5	0.0%
4600	Sociala klyftor	30	0.0%
4700	Fördelningsfrågor	239	0.4%
4800	Hemlöshet	36	0.1%
4900	Segregation, utanförskap - utan invandrarperspektiv	101	0.1%
4910	Bidragsfusk	1	0.0%
5000	Pensioner, pensionärsfrågor	549	0.8%
5100	ATP-fonder	1	0.0%
5200	Privata pensioner	0	
5300	Det nya pensionssystemet	8	0.0%
5400	Pensionsfonder	1	0.0%
6000	Äldrevård/äldreomsorg	4136	6.1%
6300	Eget rum för långtidssjuka	1	0.0%
6800	Hemtjänst	7	0.0%
6900	Färdtjänst	1	0.0%
7000	Hälso- och sjukvård/ sjukvårdsförsäkring	7376	10.9%
7010	Tandvård/tandvårdsredormen	17	0.0%
7100	Handikappfrågor	51	0.1%
7200	Husläkare	0	
7300	Sjukersättningen/karensdagar	46	0.1%

samprob2_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7400	Privat sjukvård/privatisera sjukvården	10	0.0%
7500	Vårdcentralerna	5	0.0%
7510	Sjukförsäkring, Utförsäkring	56	0.1%
7600	Köer inom sjukvården	68	0.1%
7700	AIDS-problemet	72	0.1%
7710	Specifika sjukdomar	2	0.0%
7800	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	0	
7900	Psykvård	126	0.2%
8000	Alkohol/narkotika	917	1.4%
8100	Tobak, rökning (1987-90)	1	0.0%
8200	Alkohol	16	0.0%
8300	Narkotika	34	0.1%
8500	Familjepolitik/barnomsorg	1412	2.1%
8600	Vårdnadsbidrag	19	0.0%
8700	Privat barnomsorg	0	
8800	Taxa-tak för daghemsavgifter	4	0.0%
8900	Föräldraförsäkring	16	0.0%
9100	Pappaledighet	1	0.0%
9200	Barndaghem	23	0.0%
9500	Barnfamiljernas ekonomi	17	0.0%
9600	Sextimmars arbetsdag	12	0.0%
9700	Barnbidrag	8	0.0%
10000	Utbildningspolitik, forskning	1540	2.3%
10100	Mer disciplin och ordning i skolan	32	0.0%
10200	Betygen i skolan	4	0.0%
10300	Grundskolan, skolan allmänt	4258	6.3%
10400	Gymnasieskolan	15	0.0%
10500	Privata/fristående skolor	5	0.0%
10600	Skolpeng	1	0.0%
10700	Högskola/forskning	11	0.0%
10800	Studentekonomi	17	0.0%
10900	Lärarbrist	34	0.1%
11000	Fritid/idrott	29	0.0%
11100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	522	0.8%
11200	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
11500	Kulturfrågor	54	0.1%
12000	Bostadspolitik, allmänt	349	0.5%
12100	Villaägare, ekonomi	2	0.0%
12200	Bostadsrätt	2	0.0%
12300	Hyreskostnadsfrågor, bostadsbidrag	72	0.1%
12400	Bostadsbrist	175	0.3%
12600	Boendemiljö	1	0.0%
13000	Decentraliseringar, lokaliseringspolitik, regionalpolitik, u	147	0.2%
13100	Småskalighet, lokalsamhälle	18	0.0%
13200	Glesbygdsfrågor	7	0.0%

samprob2_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14000	Jordbrukspolitik	86	0.1%
14100	Djurhållning	37	0.1%
14300	Livsmedelssubventioner	0	
14400	Matpriser	16	0.0%
14500	Fiskerinäring	2	0.0%
14600	Livsmedelsproduktion	5	0.0%
14700	Jaktfrågor	0	
15000	Byråkrati - för mycket byråkrati, blankettkrångel, korporati	51	0.1%
15100	Pampvälde, etablissemanget, makteliten	46	0.1%
15300	Personlig integritet, personnummer	15	0.0%
15400	Förbudspolitik	1	0.0%
16000	Miljö, miljövård, naturvård	2928	4.3%
16100	Kemiska bekämpningsmedel i skogs- och jordbruk	3	0.0%
16200	Trafik, bilism, avgasföroreningar och blyfri bensin	21	0.0%
16300	Försurning, skogsdöd	14	0.0%
16400	Mot gifter i jobbet, bättre arbetsmiljö	13	0.0%
16500	Havs- och vattenföroreningar/Östersjön	15	0.0%
16600	Ozonskiktet	0	
16700	Luftföroreningar	10	0.0%
16800	Växthuseffekten	36	0.1%
16810	Klimatfrågor, klimatförändringar, klimatet	62	0.1%
16900	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatt	3	0.0%
16910	Resursfördelning, Utnyttjandet av jordens resurser	3	0.0%
17000	Kommunikationer, trafik	258	0.4%
17100	Scan-link	1	0.0%
17200	Öresundsbron	10	0.0%
17300	Inlandsbanan	0	
17400	Vägtullar	1	0.0%
17500	Pris på kollektivtrafik	1	0.0%
17600	Bensinpriset	61	0.1%
17700	Trängselavgifter	3	0.0%
18000	Kriminalvård, polisväsende, brottslighet	2320	3.4%
18100	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	279	0.4%
18200	Våld på gator och torg, våld allmänt	844	1.3%
18300	Lag och ordning	82	0.1%
18400	Kravaller	0	
18500	Organiserad brottslighet, ligor- och gängproblematik	25	0.0%
18600	Våld mot kvinnor/kvinnofrid	23	0.0%
18700	Hårdare straff	6	0.0%
19000	Religion, moral och etik, respekt	190	0.3%
19100	Porr och prostitution	7	0.0%
19200	Abort	10	0.0%
19300	Genmanipulation	1	0.0%
19400	Hederlighet	35	0.1%
19500	Kyrka-stat	7	0.0%

samprob2_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19600	Religiösa motsättningar	0	
20000	Massmedia	45	0.1%
20100	Reklam-TV	4	0.0%
20200	Videovåld/TV-våld	1	0.0%
20400	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna etc.	5	0.0%
20500	Internet	4	0.0%
20600	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	10	0.0%
21000	Fredsfrågan, internationell nedrustning	66	0.1%
22000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	113	0.2%
22200	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	275	0.4%
22300	Vapenexport	2	0.0%
22400	U-hjälp	29	0.0%
22600	Neutralitet	5	0.0%
22700	Nato	6	0.0%
22800	Sveriges militära närvaro i Afghanistan	3	0.0%
23000	Internationella frågor allmänt	49	0.1%
23010	Globalisering	2	0.0%
23100	Rasåtskillnad, apartheid, Sydafrika	4	0.0%
23200	Baltikum	2	0.0%
23300	Ryssland/tidigare Sovjetunionen	0	
23400	Balkan	0	
23500	Mellanöstern	2	0.0%
23510	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	1	0.0%
23600	USA	7	0.0%
23700	Asien	0	
23710	Iran	0	
23720	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
23730	Nordkorea	0	
23800	Afrika	0	
23900	Terrorism	20	0.0%
23910	USAs krig mot terrorismen	1	0.0%
24000	Sysselsättningen/arbetslösheten	4889	7.3%
24100	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	197	0.3%
24200	Robotisering/datorisering i arbetslivet	1	0.0%
24400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	58	0.1%
24500	Semester	1	0.0%
24700	A-kassa	62	0.1%
24800	Sjukskrivning, förtidspension, företagshälsovård, långtidsju	204	0.3%
24900	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	70	0.1%
25000	Näringspolitik	155	0.2%
25100	Företagens villkor	154	0.2%
26000	Energipolitik	340	0.5%
26100	Kärnkraft	161	0.2%
26200	Kärnkraftens avvecklingsstart	6	0.0%
26300	Vindkraft	0	

samprob2_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26400	Kärnkraftens avfallsfråga	5	0.0%
26500	Energipriser	19	0.0%
27000	Statligt inflytande över privata företag	15	0.0%
27100	Marknadsekonomi	16	0.0%
28000	Medbestämmande, företagsdemokrati	12	0.0%
28100	Arbetsrätten	12	0.0%
28200	Konsumentinflytande	1	0.0%
29000	Löntagarfonder	9	0.0%
29300	Aktiesparfonder	0	
29400	Diskriminering, Människors lika värde, Likabehandling	13	0.0%
29500	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	332	0.5%
29600	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i arbetslivet	37	0.1%
29700	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: politiken	4	0.0%
29800	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i hemmet	2	0.0%
29900	HBTQ-frågor	11	0.0%
30000	Rättssamhället, rättssäkerhet	220	0.3%
30100	Affärer	11	0.0%
30200	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	45	0.1%
30300	FRA, Ipred, Acta, Telekompaketet	18	0.0%
30400	Korruption	7	0.0%
31000	Invandrarpolitik, invandrare	2066	3.1%
31100	Flyktingfrågor (se även kod 315)	283	0.4%
31200	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	423	0.6%
31300	Språktest för medb.skap	0	
31400	Arbetskraftsinvandring	5	0.0%
31500	Segregation/integration invandrare/svenskar	338	0.5%
32000	Övriga sakfrågor	137	0.2%
32500	Framtidsfrågor	17	0.0%
33000	Ideologibegrepp	25	0.0%
33100	Socialism	6	0.0%
33200	Kommunism, klasskamp, revolution	4	0.0%
33300	Liberalism	2	0.0%
33400	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	3	0.0%
33500	Jämlikhet, utjämning, solidaritet	132	0.2%
33600	Individualism vs kollektivism	32	0.0%
33700	Nyliberalism	2	0.0%
33800	Demokrati	102	0.2%
33900	Vänsterpolitik	0	
34000	Högerpolitik	10	0.0%
34100	Radikalism	0	
34200	Systemskifte	0	
34300	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	5	0.0%
34400	Rättvisa	71	0.1%
34500	Icke-grönt, materialism	6	0.0%
34600	Valfrihet, frihet	15	0.0%

samprob2_1987: Important societal issues or problems

samprob2_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34700	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	22	0.0%
34800	Feminism	4	0.0%
34900	Nazism	33	0.0%
35000	Partipolitik (allmänna omdömen om partier)	62	0.1%
35100	Partipolitiskt samarbete	1	0.0%
35200	Sverigedemokraterna	8	0.0%
36000	Förtroende för partier och politiker	215	0.3%
36100	Folkpartiets dataintrångsaffär, explicit	0	
37000	Partiledare	2	0.0%
37100	Personvalskandidater	1	0.0%
37200	Övriga personfrågor	3	0.0%
38000	Klassamhället (grupppreferenser)	125	0.2%
39000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen (se även kod 500)	64	0.1%
39100	Röd-grönt regeringsalternativ	0	
39200	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	0	
39300	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	0	
39400	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	2	0.0%
50000	Lokala och kommunala frågor	45	0.1%
50100	Stadsplanering	0	
62100		7	0.0%
80000	EU - allmänt (se även grupp 400 och kod 229)	549	0.8%
80100	EU - skattefrågor	0	
80200	EU - ekonomin	2	0.0%
80300	EU – EMU	132	0.2%
80400	EU - näringspolitik	2	0.0%
80500	EU - sysselsättningsfrågor	0	
80600	EU - sociala frågor	1	0.0%
80700	EU - pensionsfrågor	0	
80800	EU - sjuk- och äldrevård	0	
80900	EU - alkohol / narkotika	0	
81000	EU - familjepolitik/barnomsorg	0	
81100	EU - utbildningsfrågor	0	
81200	EU - fritid/idrott	0	
81300	EU - kulturfrågor	0	
81400	EU - bostadsfrågor	0	
81500	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
81600	EU - jordbruksfrågor	0	
81700	EU - matkvaliteten	0	
81800	EU - byråkrati	1	0.0%
81900	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
82000	EU - energifrågor	0	
82100	EU - kommunikationsfrågor	0	
82200	EU - rättsväsende	0	
82300	EU - etik, religion, moral	0	
82400	EU - massmediefrågor	0	

samprob2_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
82500	EU - fred och nedrustning	0	
82600	EU - utrikesfrågor	0	
82700	EU - försvars och säkerhetspolitik	3	0.0%
82800	EU - internationella frågor	0	
82900	EU - jämställdhetsfrågor	0	
83000	EU - invandrarfrågor	1	0.0%
83100	EU - demokrati	1	0.0%
83200	EU - möjlighet att påverka	2	0.0%
83300	EU - offentlighetsprincipen	0	
83400	EU - allemansrätten	0	
83500	EU - nationell självständighet	4	0.0%
83600	EU - utvidgning	0	
83700	EU – medlemsavgiften	2	0.0%
83800	EU – risk att Sverige isoleras	0	
83900	EU – utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	16	0.0%
84100	Öppna gränser, gränskontroll, tull	2	0.0%
84900	EU – andra sakfrågor	4	0.0%
88889	Ej tillämpligt	162	0.2%
99991	"All issues"	13	0.0%
99992	Other	256	0.4%
99995	There	18	0.0%
99997	Ej ytterligare svar	8129	12.1%
99998	Vet inte, vill ej svara	165	0.2%
99999	No response - entire question battery	9509	14.1%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a coding scheme. Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Skatter/arbetsgivaravgifter	868	1.3%
200	Lägre skatter	141	0.2%
300	Högre skatter för de rika	6	0.0%
400	Marginalskattesänkningar	4	0.0%
500	Skattereformen (1991-93)	1	0.0%
600	Momsen på livsmedel (ej matpriser allmänt, se kod 144)	8	0.0%
700	Bekämpa skattefiffel/ekonomisk brottslighet	56	0.1%
800	Man ska kunna leva på sin lön (1988-97)	12	0.0%
900	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter (ej moms på livsmedel)	34	0.1%

samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1000	Kommunalskattefrågor	1	0.0%
1100	Skatteavdragsfrågor	3	0.0%
1200	Värnskatt	0	
1300	Skatteväxling	3	0.0%
1400	Förmögenhetsskatt	4	0.0%
1500	Fastighetsskatt	51	0.1%
1600	Jobbskatteavdraget (inkl orättvis pensionärsskatt)	7	0.0%
1700	Rot-avdraget	0	
1800	Rut-avdraget	0	
2000	Sveriges ekonomi - allmänt	1316	2.0%
2100	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer, utlandslån	106	0.2%
2200	Lönenivå, lönepolitik	158	0.2%
2300	Handelspolitik, frihandel, fri konkurrens, handelsbalans	14	0.0%
2400	Spara på offentliga utgifter. Vi måste spara för att minska	10	0.0%
2500	Prisstegringar, inflation (ej matpriser allmänt)	53	0.1%
2600	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	47	0.1%
2700	Finanskrisen	34	0.1%
2800	Lågkonjunkturen	12	0.0%
2900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden	93	0.1%
3000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig/ kommunal service	118	0.2%
3100	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	160	0.2%
3500	Privatiseringar	60	0.1%
4000	Socialpolitik, trygghetsfrågor	510	0.8%
4100	Sociala reformer	40	0.1%
4200	Socialbidrag	55	0.1%
4300	Omsorg, ospec.	226	0.3%
4400	Välfärdssamhället, tryggheten	447	0.7%
4500	Fattigdom	3	0.0%
4600	Sociala klyftor	15	0.0%
4700	Fördelningsfrågor	200	0.3%
4800	Hemlöshet	25	0.0%
4900	Segregation, utanförskap - utan invandrarperspektiv	77	0.1%
4910	Bidragsfusk	2	0.0%
5000	Pensioner, pensionärsfrågor	525	0.8%
5100	ATP-fonder	6	0.0%
5200	Privata pensioner	2	0.0%
5300	Det nya pensionssystemet	2	0.0%
5400	Pensionsfonder	1	0.0%
6000	Äldrevård/äldreomsorg	3548	5.3%
6300	Eget rum för långtidssjuka	1	0.0%
6800	Hemtjänst	3	0.0%
6900	Färdtjänst	2	0.0%
7000	Hälso- och sjukvård/ sjukvårdsförsäkring	3962	5.9%
7010	Tandvård/tandvårdsredormen	17	0.0%
7100	Handikappfrågor	45	0.1%

samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7200	Husläkare	0	
7300	Sjukersättningen/karensdagar	36	0.1%
7400	Privat sjukvård/privatisera sjukvården	9	0.0%
7500	Vårdcentralerna	3	0.0%
7510	Sjukförsäkring, Utförsäkring	45	0.1%
7600	Köer inom sjukvården	49	0.1%
7700	AIDS-problemet	51	0.1%
7710	Specifika sjukdomar	2	0.0%
7800	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	1	0.0%
7900	Psykvård	94	0.1%
8000	Alkohol/narkotika	592	0.9%
8100	Tobak, rökning (1987-90)	0	
8200	Alkohol	6	0.0%
8300	Narkotika	20	0.0%
8500	Familjepolitik/barnomsorg	1129	1.7%
8600	Vårdnadsbidrag	11	0.0%
8700	Privat barnomsorg	4	0.0%
8800	Taxa-tak för daghemsavgifter	3	0.0%
8900	Föräldraförsäkring	9	0.0%
9100	Pappaledighet	0	
9200	Barndaghem	19	0.0%
9500	Barnfamiljernas ekonomi	17	0.0%
9600	Sextimmars arbetsdag	9	0.0%
9700	Barnbidrag	2	0.0%
10000	Utbildningspolitik, forskning	1315	2.0%
10100	Mer disciplin och ordning i skolan	18	0.0%
10200	Betygen i skolan	5	0.0%
10300	Grundskolan, skolan allmänt	2854	4.2%
10400	Gymnasieskolan	13	0.0%
10500	Privata/fristående skolor	8	0.0%
10600	Skolpeng	1	0.0%
10700	Högskola/forskning	17	0.0%
10800	Studentekonomi	12	0.0%
10900	Lärarbrist	12	0.0%
11000	Fritid/idrott	51	0.1%
11100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	460	0.7%
11200	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
11500	Kulturfrågor	82	0.1%
12000	Bostadspolitik, allmänt	315	0.5%
12100	Villaägare, ekonomi	3	0.0%
12200	Bostadsrätt	1	0.0%
12300	Hyreskostnadsfrågor, bostadsbidrag	58	0.1%
12400	Bostadsbrist	134	0.2%
12600	Boendemiljö	3	0.0%
13000	Decentraliseringar, lokaliseringspolitik, regionalpolitik, u	152	0.2%

samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13100	Småskalighet, lokalsamhälle	15	0.0%
13200	Glesbygdsfrågor	6	0.0%
14000	Jordbrukspolitik	68	0.1%
14100	Djurhållning	33	0.0%
14300	Livsmedelssubventioner	0	
14400	Matpriser	7	0.0%
14500	Fiskerinäring	3	0.0%
14600	Livsmedelsproduktion	0	
14700	Jaktfrågor	0	
15000	Byråkrati - för mycket byråkrati, blankettkrångel, korporati	56	0.1%
15100	Pampvälde, etablissemanget, makteliten	75	0.1%
15300	Personlig integritet, personnummer	11	0.0%
15400	Förbudspolitik	1	0.0%
16000	Miljö, miljövård, naturvård	2755	4.1%
16100	Kemiska bekämpningsmedel i skogs- och jordbruk	3	0.0%
16200	Trafik, bilism, avgasföroreningar och blyfri bensin	16	0.0%
16300	Försurning, skogsdöd	8	0.0%
16400	Mot gifter i jobbet, bättre arbetsmiljö	15	0.0%
16500	Havs- och vattenföroreningar/Östersjön	7	0.0%
16600	Ozonskiktet	0	
16700	Luftföroreningar	3	0.0%
16800	Växthuseffekten	33	0.0%
16810	Klimatfrågor, klimatförändringar, klimatet	36	0.1%
16900	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatt	2	0.0%
16910	Resursfördelning, Utnyttjandet av jordens resurser	0	
17000	Kommunikationer, trafik	324	0.5%
17100	Scan-link	1	0.0%
17200	Öresundsbron	4	0.0%
17300	Inlandsbanan	0	
17400	Vägtullar	4	0.0%
17500	Pris på kollektivtrafik	5	0.0%
17600	Bensinpriset	44	0.1%
17700	Trängselavgifter	3	0.0%
18000	Kriminalvård, polisväsende, brottslighet	2230	3.3%
18100	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	206	0.3%
18200	Våld på gator och torg, våld allmänt	603	0.9%
18300	Lag och ordning	102	0.2%
18400	Kravaller	3	0.0%
18500	Organiserad brottslighet, ligor- och gängproblematik	14	0.0%
18600	Våld mot kvinnor/kvinnofrid	16	0.0%
18700	Hårdare straff	5	0.0%
19000	Religion, moral och etik, respekt	219	0.3%
19100	Porr och prostitution	13	0.0%
19200	Abort	7	0.0%
19300	Genmanipulation	2	0.0%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage

samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19400	Hederlighet	25	0.0%
19500	Kyrka-stat	7	0.0%
19600	Religiösa motsättningar	1	0.0%
20000	Massmedia	43	0.1%
20100	Reklam-TV	2	0.0%
20200	Videovåld/TV-våld	2	0.0%
20400	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna etc.	2	0.0%
20500	Internet	3	0.0%
20600	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	4	0.0%
21000	Fredsfrågan, internationell nedrustning	83	0.1%
22000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	140	0.2%
22200	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	308	0.5%
22300	Vapenexport	3	0.0%
22400	U-hjälp	31	0.0%
22600	Neutralitet	7	0.0%
22700	Nato	4	0.0%
22800	Sveriges militära närvaro i Afghanistan	2	0.0%
23000	Internationella frågor allmänt	78	0.1%
23010	Globalisering	7	0.0%
23100	Rasåtskillnad, apartheid, Sydafrika	0	
23200	Baltikum	0	
23300	Ryssland/tidigare Sovjetunionen	3	0.0%
23400	Balkan	0	
23500	Mellanöstern	2	0.0%
23510	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	1	0.0%
23600	USA	3	0.0%
23700	Asien	1	0.0%
23710	Iran	0	
23720	Afghanistan	0	
23730	Nordkorea	0	
23800	Afrika	1	0.0%
23900	Terrorism	18	0.0%
23910	USAs krig mot terrorismen	0	
24000	Sysselsättningen/arbetslösheten	3086	4.6%
24100	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	141	0.2%
24200	Robotisering/datorisering i arbetslivet	2	0.0%
24400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	64	0.1%
24500	Semester	0	
24700	A-kassa	30	0.0%
24800	Sjukskrivning, förtidspension, företagshälsovård, långtidsju	107	0.2%
24900	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	61	0.1%
25000	Näringspolitik	136	0.2%
25100	Företagens villkor	122	0.2%
26000	Energipolitik	230	0.3%
26100	Kärnkraft	115	0.2%

samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26200	Kärnkraftens avvecklingsstart	8	0.0%
26300	Vindkraft	0	
26400	Kärnkraftens avfallsfråga	5	0.0%
26500	Energipriser	12	0.0%
27000	Statligt inflytande över privata företag	8	0.0%
27100	Marknadsekonomi	17	0.0%
28000	Medbestämmande, företagsdemokrati	9	0.0%
28100	Arbetsrätten	4	0.0%
28200	Konsumentinflytande	5	0.0%
29000	Löntagarfonder	2	0.0%
29300	Aktiesparfonder	0	
29400	Diskriminering, Människors lika värde, Likabehandling	11	0.0%
29500	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	248	0.4%
29600	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i arbetslivet	25	0.0%
29700	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: politiken	1	0.0%
29800	Jämställdhet män/kvinnor: i hemmet	0	
29900	HBTQ-frågor	6	0.0%
30000	Rättssamhället, rättssäkerhet	273	0.4%
30100	Affärer	7	0.0%
30200	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	55	0.1%
30300	FRA, Ipred, Acta, Telekompaketet	11	0.0%
30400	Korruption	10	0.0%
31000	Invandrarpolitik, invandrare	1760	2.6%
31100	Flyktingfrågor (se även kod 315)	238	0.4%
31200	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	325	0.5%
31300	Språktest för medb.skap	0	
31400	Arbetskraftsinvandring	8	0.0%
31500	Segregation/integration invandrare/svenskar	234	0.3%
32000	Övriga sakfrågor	162	0.2%
32500	Framtidsfrågor	22	0.0%
33000	Ideologibegrepp	23	0.0%
33100	Socialism	4	0.0%
33200	Kommunism, klasskamp, revolution	3	0.0%
33300	Liberalism	0	
33400	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	1	0.0%
33500	Jämlikhet, utjämning, solidaritet	125	0.2%
33600	Individualism vs kollektivism	30	0.0%
33700	Nyliberalism	3	0.0%
33800	Demokrati	129	0.2%
33900	Vänsterpolitik	2	0.0%
34000	Högerpolitik	5	0.0%
34100	Radikalism	0	
34200	Systemskifte	5	0.0%
34300	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	2	0.0%
34400	Rättvisa	86	0.1%

samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems

samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34500	Icke-grönt, materialism	4	0.0%
34600	Valfrihet, frihet	11	0.0%
34700	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	16	0.0%
34800	Feminism	6	0.0%
34900	Nazism	25	0.0%
35000	Partipolitik (allmänna omdömen om partier)	59	0.1%
35100	Partipolitiskt samarbete	4	0.0%
35200	Sverigedemokraterna	7	0.0%
36000	Förtroende för partier och politiker	267	0.4%
36100	Folkpartiets dataintrångsaffär, explicit	0	
37000	Partiledare	8	0.0%
37100	Personvalskandidater	0	
37200	Övriga personfrågor	3	0.0%
38000	Klassamhället (grupppreferenser)	136	0.2%
39000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen (se även kod 500)	66	0.1%
39100	Röd-grönt regeringsalternativ	0	
39200	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	1	0.0%
39300	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	0	
39400	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	1	0.0%
50000	Lokala och kommunala frågor	54	0.1%
50100	Stadsplanering	0	
62100		3	0.0%
80000	EU - allmänt (se även grupp 400 och kod 229)	528	0.8%
80100	EU - skattefrågor	0	
80200	EU - ekonomin	2	0.0%
80300	EU – EMU	85	0.1%
80400	EU - näringspolitik	0	
80500	EU - sysselsättningsfrågor	1	0.0%
80600	EU - sociala frågor	1	0.0%
80700	EU - pensionsfrågor	0	
80800	EU - sjuk- och äldrevård	0	
80900	EU - alkohol / narkotika	3	0.0%
81000	EU - familjepolitik/barnomsorg	0	
81100	EU - utbildningsfrågor	0	
81200	EU - fritid/idrott	0	
81300	EU - kulturfrågor	0	
81400	EU - bostadsfrågor	0	
81500	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
81600	EU - jordbruksfrågor	2	0.0%
81700	EU - matkvaliteten	1	0.0%
81800	EU - byråkrati	2	0.0%
81900	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
82000	EU - energifrågor	0	
82100	EU - kommunikationsfrågor	0	
82200	EU - rättsväsende	0	

samprob3_1987: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
82300	EU - etik, religion, moral	1	0.0%
82400	EU - massmediefrågor	0	
82500	EU - fred och nedrustning	0	
82600	EU - utrikesfrågor	1	0.0%
82700	EU - försvars och säkerhetspolitik	4	0.0%
82800	EU - internationella frågor	0	
82900	EU - jämställdhetsfrågor	0	
83000	EU - invandrarfrågor	0	
83100	EU - demokrati	4	0.0%
83200	EU - möjlighet att påverka	6	0.0%
83300	EU - offentlighetsprincipen	1	0.0%
83400	EU - allemansrätten	1	0.0%
83500	EU - nationell självständighet	4	0.0%
83600	EU - utvidgning	1	0.0%
83700	EU – medlemsavgiften	4	0.0%
83800	EU – risk att Sverige isoleras	0	
83900	EU – utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	20	0.0%
84100	Öppna gränser, gränskontroll, tull	1	0.0%
84900	EU – andra sakfrågor	4	0.0%
88889	Ej tillämpligt	405	0.6%
99991	"All issues"	12	0.0%
99992	Other	411	0.6%
99995	There	22	0.0%
99997	Ej ytterligare svar	17494	26.0%
99998	Vet inte, vill ej svara	165	0.2%
99999	No response - entire question battery	11120	16.5%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*/99996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=55279 /-] [Invalid=110561 /-]
Definition	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a revised coding scheme (revised in 2011). Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Omsorg	140	0.3%
200	Välfärd	486	0.9%
300	Trygghet	246	0.4%
400	Samhälle	49	0.1%
500	Framtid (ej barn och ungdomars 25100)	14	0.0%
600	Befolkningspyramid	22	0.0%
700	Samhällsplanering, Samhällsbyggnad	7	0.0%

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1000	Ekonomi	1357	2.5%
1100	Sveriges ekonomi	68	0.1%
1200	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer,	28	0.1%
1300	Finanskris / ekonomisk kris	13	0.0%
1400	Lågkonjunktur	6	0.0%
1500	Inflation (prisstegringar)	4	0.0%
1600	Lönenivå, lönepolitik (ej inkomstskillnader 18100, löner i v	26	0.0%
1700	Handelspolitik, frihandel, handelsbalans	7	0.0%
1800	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	14	0.0%
1900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden, utlandslån	14	0.0%
2000	Regionens/landstingets ekonomi	5	0.0%
2100	Kommunens ekonomi	14	0.0%
2200	Tillväxt	24	0.0%
2300	Banker	15	0.0%
3000	Skatter	376	0.7%
3100	Lägre skatter	36	0.1%
3200	Högre skatter	8	0.0%
3300	Kommunalskatt	2	0.0%
3400	Landstingsskatt	0	
3500	Moms	2	0.0%
3600	Marginalskattesänkningar	0	
3700	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter	4	0.0%
3800	Skatteavdragsfrågor	1	0.0%
3900	Värnskatt	0	
4000	Skatteväxling	1	0.0%
4100	Förmögenhetsskatt	3	0.0%
4200	Fastighetsskatt	1	0.0%
5000	Arbetsmarknad	1091	2.0%
5100	Sysselsättning/arbetslöshet	2984	5.4%
5200	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	564	1.0%
5300	Arbetsmarknadspolitiska åtgärder	27	0.0%
5400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	26	0.0%
5500	Semester	1	0.0%
5600	A-kassa	9	0.0%
5700	Arbetstidsförkortning	10	0.0%
5800	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	17	0.0%
5900	Arbetsgivaravgifter	4	0.0%
6000	Avtalspension/förtidspension	1	0.0%
6100	Arbetsrätten	18	0.0%
7000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig service	35	0.1%
7100	Kommunal service	3	0.0%
7200	Renhållning i tätort	1	0.0%
7300	Sophämtning	2	0.0%
7400	Regional service	0	
7500	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	47	0.1%

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7600	Privatiseringar	82	0.1%
7700	Krisberedskap/ Räddningstjänst	5	0.0%
7800		71	0.1%
9000	Sjukvård	4274	7.7%
9100	Vård	2074	3.8%
9200	Öppenvård/vårdcentraler	1	0.0%
9300	Sjukhusvård/specialistvård	17	0.0%
9400	Akutsjukvård inkl. 112	2	0.0%
9500	Vårdköer	42	0.1%
9600	Närheten till vård/tillgänglighet	9	0.0%
9700	Vårdavgifter	0	
9800	Privat sjukvård/privatisering av sjukvården	13	0.0%
9900	Sjukhuslokalisering	3	0.0%
10000	Specifika sjukhus	2	0.0%
10100	Omorganisering av sjukvård	7	0.0%
10200	Småskalighet, mindre enheter inom vården	0	
10300	Specifika sjukdomar	3	0.0%
10400	Löner inom sjukvården	31	0.1%
10500		37	0.1%
10600		2	0.0%
11000	Psykiatri	26	0.0%
11100	Psykisk ohälsa	61	0.1%
12000	Tandvård	4	0.0%
12100	Folktandvården	1	0.0%
12200	Privat tandvård	0	
12300	Tandvårdsavgifter	0	
13000	Äldreomsorg	708	1.3%
13100	Äldrevård	816	1.5%
13200	Vårdhem	0	
13300	Äldreboende	30	0.1%
13400	Hemtjänst	2	0.0%
13500	Färdtjänst	0	
13600	Platsbrist	1	0.0%
14000	Pensionärsfrågor (ej sjuk- 16300, avtals- förtidspension 600	134	0.2%
14100	Pensioner (ej politikers pensioner 39600)	454	0.8%
14200	Pensionsfonder	1	0.0%
14300	ATP-fonder/allmän pension	0	
14400	Privata pensioner	2	0.0%
14500	Pensionsskatt	98	0.2%
14600	Pensionärer, pensionärers situation	92	0.2%
15000	Handikappfrågor (ej diskriminering (40400)	37	0.1%
15100	Psykiskt funktionshindrades situation	2	0.0%
16000	Socialpolitik	55	0.1%
16100	Fördelningsfrågor	90	0.2%
16200	Försäkringskassan	24	0.0%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16300	Sjukförsäkring (Utförsäkring)	98	0.2%
16400	Sjukpension	5	0.0%
16500	Sociala reformer	2	0.0%
16600	Socialbidrag	4	0.0%
16700	Socialförsäkring	8	0.0%
17000	Sociala frågor	102	0.2%
17100	Fattigdom (inkl. barnfattigdom)	61	0.1%
17200	Hemlöshet	41	0.1%
17300	Social segregation/Utanförskap	164	0.3%
17400	Folkhälsa/hälsa	107	0.2%
18000	Sociala klyftor (inkl. klasskillnader)	336	0.6%
18100	Inkomstskillnader	98	0.2%
18200	Utjämning	15	0.0%
18300	Jämlikhet	149	0.3%
18400		73	0.1%
19000	Missbruksproblem	28	0.1%
19100	Alkohol	19	0.0%
19200	Narkotika/droger	85	0.2%
19300	Spel	1	0.0%
20000	Familjepolitik	51	0.1%
20100	Barnomsorg/daghem	260	0.5%
20200	Privat barnomsorg	0	
20300	Maxtaxa	0	
20400	Personalbrist i barnomsorg/för stora barngrupper	17	0.0%
20500	Barnbidrag	1	0.0%
20600	Föräldraförsäkring	2	0.0%
20700	Föräldraledighet	2	0.0%
20800	Pappaledighet	0	
20900	Vårdnadsbidrag	1	0.0%
21000	Barnfamiljers situation	6	0.0%
21100	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	8	0.0%
22000	Utbildning	614	1.1%
22100	Skolan (allmänt)	4774	8.6%
22200	Grundskola	48	0.1%
22300	Privat-/friskolor	17	0.0%
22400	Gymnasieskola	1	0.0%
22500	Disciplin/ordning i skolan	16	0.0%
22600	Betygen i skolan	10	0.0%
22700	Skolpeng	1	0.0%
22800	Personalbrist i skolan/för stora klasser	43	0.1%
22900	Statlig skola/förstatliga skolan	6	0.0%
23000	Kommunal skola	2	0.0%
23100	Lärare	25	0.0%
23200	Löner i skolan	18	0.0%
23300	Högskola/universitet/forskning	14	0.0%

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23400	Studenters situation (ej studentbostäder 26700)	4	0.0%
23500		3	0.0%
24000	Fritid/idrott	13	0.0%
24100	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
24200	Kulturfrågor	34	0.1%
24300	Kommunala musikskolan	0	
24400	Fritid/idrottsanläggningar	8	0.0%
25000	Ungdomsfrågor/Barn (ej brottslighet 37600, arbetslöshet 5200	202	0.4%
25100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	51	0.1%
26000	Bostäder	294	0.5%
26100	Bostadsmarknad	21	0.0%
26200	Hyresmarknad	11	0.0%
26300	Hyreskostnader	6	0.0%
26400	Bostadsbrist	155	0.3%
26500	Bostadsbyggande	18	0.0%
26600	Allmännyttan	0	
26700	Studentbostäder	11	0.0%
26800	Bostadsbidrag	0	
26900	Boendemiljö	1	0.0%
27000	Seniorbostäder	3	0.0%
27100	Bostäder för unga	35	0.1%
28000	Stadsbyggnad	1	0.0%
28100	Stadsplanering - centrumfrågor	1	0.0%
28200	Byggplaner - byggnation	3	0.0%
28300	Parker	0	
29000	Infrastruktur/kommunikationer	78	0.1%
29100	Kollektivtrafik	17	0.0%
29200	Järnväg/tågtrafik	20	0.0%
29300	Vägar	9	0.0%
29400	Vägunderhåll	1	0.0%
29500	Specifika vägar/förbindelser	5	0.0%
29600	Cykelvägar	1	0.0%
29700	Flygplatser, flyg	1	0.0%
29800	Båt- och färjetrafik, hamnar	1	0.0%
29900	Privatbilism	4	0.0%
30000	Parkering	4	0.0%
30100	Vägtullar	7	0.0%
30200	Transporter	7	0.0%
30300	Bensinpriset	47	0.1%
30400	Internetinfrastruktur	4	0.0%
30600	Trafik	19	0.0%
31000		9	0.0%
31100	(De)centralisering, lokaliseringspolitik	10	0.0%
31200	Urbanisering	14	0.0%
31300	Glesbygd- /landsbygdsfrågor	137	0.2%

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31400	Småskalighet/lokalsamhälle	0	
31500	Service på glesbygden	8	0.0%
31600	Avfolkning, utflyttning, inflyttning	24	0.0%
31700	Naturvård, naturskydd	16	0.0%
31800	Rovdjur/varg	26	0.0%
31900	Jaktfrågor	3	0.0%
32000	Jordbrukspolitik	21	0.0%
32100	Djurhållning	9	0.0%
32200	Matpriser	5	0.0%
32300	Fiskerinäring	0	
32400	Livsmedelsproduktion	20	0.0%
32500	Närproducerat	3	0.0%
32600	Djurskydd/djurrätt	20	0.0%
33000	Miljö	2879	5.2%
33100	Föroreningar/utsläpp	30	0.1%
33200	Nedskräpning	5	0.0%
33300	Klimatfrågan	1170	2.1%
33400	Utsläppsrätter	0	
33500	Havsmiljö, sjöar	7	0.0%
33600	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatter	2	0.0%
33700	Internationella miljöavtal	0	
33800	Hållbart samhälle (ej närproducerat 32600)	46	0.1%
33900	Väder/ Naturkatastrofer	1	0.0%
35000	Energi	127	0.2%
35100	Kärnkraft	28	0.1%
35200	Vindkraft	2	0.0%
35300	Förnyelsebara energikällor	6	0.0%
35400	Kärnavfall, slutförvaring	0	
35500	Energipriser	3	0.0%
37000	Lag och ordning	216	0.4%
37100	Våld	359	0.6%
37200	Brottslighet	1717	3.1%
37300	Polisväsende	256	0.5%
37400	Straff och påföljder	64	0.1%
37500	Kriminalvård	5	0.0%
37600	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	44	0.1%
37700	Kravaller/våldsamma demonstrationer	1	0.0%
37800	Organiserad brottslighet	370	0.7%
37900	Våld i hemmet, kvinnofrid	45	0.1%
38000	Ekonomisk brottslighet	4	0.0%
38100	Övervakningssamhället	6	0.0%
38200	Skadegörelse	9	0.0%
38300	Rättsväsende	119	0.2%
38400	Barnporr/pedofili	0	
38500		9	0.0%

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
39000		4	0.0%
39100	Korruption	29	0.1%
39200	Skattefiffel	5	0.0%
39300	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	3	0.0%
39400	Politiska affärer	1	0.0%
39500	Bidragsfusk	8	0.0%
39600	Politikers arvoden/pensioner	8	0.0%
39700	Maktmissbruk	7	0.0%
39800		2	0.0%
40000	Diskriminering/Likabehandling	54	0.1%
40100	HBTQ	6	0.0%
40200	Könsdiskriminering	20	0.0%
40300	Etnisk diskriminering	13	0.0%
40400	Funktionsnedsatta	14	0.0%
40500	Åldersdiskriminering	4	0.0%
40600	Religiös diskriminering	0	
41000	HBTQ-frågor (ej diskriminering 40100)	5	0.0%
42000	Demokrati	179	0.3%
42100	Rättssäkerhet	14	0.0%
42200	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	47	0.1%
42300	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	423	0.8%
42500	Grundlag	4	0.0%
42600	Personlig integritet (ej på internet 47000, ej i medierna 46	24	0.0%
43000	Integrationspolitik	2076	3.8%
43100	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	424	0.8%
43200	Segregation	131	0.2%
43300	Flykting-, asylfrågor	914	1.7%
43400	Invandring, Invandrare	5055	9.1%
43500	Migrationspolitik (Invandrarpolitik)	1018	1.8%
43600	Arbetskraftsinvandring	5	0.0%
43700	Språktest för medb.skap	0	
43800	Mångfald/ mångkultur	11	0.0%
45000	Religion	19	0.0%
45100	Religiösa motsättningar	2	0.0%
45200	Religiösa symboler	0	
45300	Högtider, religiösa/kulturella ritualer	0	
45400	Islam (inkl. islamofobi)	16	0.0%
45500	Fri religionsutövning	5	0.0%
45600	Kyrka-stat	2	0.0%
46000	Medier	40	0.1%
46100	Reklam	0	
46200	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna	4	0.0%
47000	Internet	18	0.0%
47100	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	6	0.0%
47200	Personlig integritet på internet	5	0.0%

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47300	Övervakning	10	0.0%
49000	Näringslivsfrågor	61	0.1%
49100	Näringspolitik	7	0.0%
49200	Företagens villkor	41	0.1%
49300	Statliga regleringar av företag	0	
49400	Omnämnande av enskilda företag	1	0.0%
49500	Turism	0	
50000	Konsumtion	4	0.0%
50100	Konsumentinflytande	0	
51000	Byråkrati	15	0.0%
51200	Förvaltningsorganisationen, tjänstemän	3	0.0%
52000	Politik (Partipolitik)	88	0.2%
52100	Partier (allmänna omdömen om partier)	12	0.0%
52200	Partiledare	4	0.0%
52300	Politiker (allmänna omdömen om politiker)	44	0.1%
52400	Partipolitiskt samarbete	11	0.0%
52500	Sverigedemokraterna	61	0.1%
52600	Förtroende för partier och politiker	14	0.0%
52700	Pampvälde, etablissemanget, makteliten	5	0.0%
52800	Riksdagen	10	0.0%
54000	Monarki/Republik	5	0.0%
55000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen	106	0.2%
55100	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	3	0.0%
55200	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	3	0.0%
55300	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	1	0.0%
56000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	61	0.1%
56100	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	218	0.4%
56200	Vapenexport	2	0.0%
56300	Bistånd	3	0.0%
56400	Neutralitet	2	0.0%
56500	Nato	22	0.0%
56600	Militära utlandsinsatser	1	0.0%
56700	Värnplikt/yrkesmilitär	4	0.0%
58000	Internationella frågor allmänt	18	0.0%
58100	Fredsfrågan/ internationell nedrustning	19	0.0%
58200	Ekonomi (internationell)	15	0.0%
58300	Terrorism	24	0.0%
58400	Globalisering	6	0.0%
58500	Ryssland	2	0.0%
58600	Mellanöstern	5	0.0%
58700	Nordafrika	0	
58800	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	2	0.0%
58900	USA	0	
59000	Asien	0	
59100	Kina	2	0.0%

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59200	Iran	0	
59300	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
59400	Nordkorea	0	
59500	Afrika	0	
59600	USA:s krig mot terrorismen	0	
59700	Europafrågor (ej specifikt EU 66000)	2	0.0%
59800	Överbefolkning	2	0.0%
61000	Regionala frågor	13	0.0%
61100	Regionalpolitik	3	0.0%
61200	Regional utveckling	1	0.0%
61300	Regional näringslivsutveckling	0	
61400	Kontakter med andra regioner	0	
61500	Samordning och samarbete inom regionen	1	0.0%
61600	Regionen för stor/onödig	3	0.0%
61700	Regionindelningen	1	0.0%
61800	Regionens organisation (allmänt)	0	
61900	Den politiska organisationen	0	
62000	Förvaltningsorganisationen	0	
62100	Fördelningen av resurser och inflytande mellan kommuner	4	0.0%
62200	Centralortsdominans	0	
63000	Kommunala frågor	14	0.0%
63100	Kommunpolitik	4	0.0%
63200	Kommunal utveckling	0	
63300	Näringslivsutveckling	0	
63400	Kontakter med andra kommuner	0	
63500	Samordning och samarbete mellan region och kommun	1	0.0%
63600	Stadsdelsfrågor	0	
63700	Kommunens organisation (allmänt)	0	
63800	Den politiska organisationen	0	
63900	Förvaltningsorganisationen	0	
64000	Småkommunernas inflytande/resurser	1	0.0%
64100	Centralortsdominans	0	
64200	Kommunindelningen	0	
65000	Övrig lokal fråga	3	0.0%
66000	EU – allmänt	35	0.1%
66100	EU - demokrati	0	
66200	EU - ekonomi	6	0.0%
66300	EU – eurosamarbetet	3	0.0%
66400	EU - regleringar	0	
66500	EU - arbetsmarknad	0	
66600	EU - sociala frågor	0	
66700	EU - alkohol/narkotika	0	
66800	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
66900	EU - jordbruksfrågor	0	
67000	EU - miljöfrågor	0	

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
67100	EU – utrikes- & säkerhetspolitik	1	0.0%
67200	EU – migrationsfrågor	11	0.0%
67300	EU - asylpolitik	1	0.0%
67400	EU - möjlighet att påverka	0	
67500	EU - nationell självständighet	1	0.0%
67600	EU - utvidgning	0	
67700	EU - medlemsavgiften	0	
67800	EU - utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	14	0.0%
67900	Schengen	0	
68000	EU – andra sakfrågor	2	0.0%
68200		2	0.0%
69000	Moral och etik	75	0.1%
69100	Egoism	14	0.0%
69200	Rättvisa	47	0.1%
69300	Hederlighet/ärlighet	3	0.0%
69400	Respekt	17	0.0%
69500	Porr	0	
69600	Prostitution	0	
69700	Abort	6	0.0%
69800	Genmanipulation	3	0.0%
69900	Videovåld	0	
70000	Dödshjälp	1	0.0%
70100	Girighet	6	0.0%
71000	Ideologibegrepp	40	0.1%
71100	Socialism	3	0.0%
71200	Kommunism, revolution	1	0.0%
71300	Klasskamp	2	0.0%
71400	Klassamhälle	9	0.0%
71500	Solidaritet	32	0.1%
71600	Liberalism	2	0.0%
71700	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	3	0.0%
71800	Individualism vs kollektivism	2	0.0%
71900	Nyliberalism	0	
72000	Vänsterpolitik	0	
72100	Högerpolitik	8	0.0%
72200	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	2	0.0%
72400	Icke-grönt, materialism	2	0.0%
72500	Valfrihet, frihet	14	0.0%
72600	Feminism	51	0.1%
72700	Nazism	22	0.0%
72800	Marknadsekonomi	6	0.0%
72900		13	0.0%
73000		29	0.1%
73100		3	0.0%
73200		1	0.0%

samprob1_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
73300		14	0.0%
80000	Övriga sakfrågor	104	0.2%
90000		188	0.3%
99300		7	0.0%
99993		40	0.1%
99994	Vet inte, vill ej svara	180	0.3%
99997	No further response	3	0.0%
99998	Do not know/refused to answer	182	0.3%
99999	No response - entire question battery	9040	16.4%
99996		9967	
Sysmiss		100594	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*/99996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=55279 /-] [Invalid=110561 /-]
Definition	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a revised coding scheme (revised in 2011). Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Omsorg	591	1.1%
200	Välfärd	412	0.7%
300	Trygghet	230	0.4%
400	Samhälle	42	0.1%
500	Framtid (ej barn och ungdomars 25100)	14	0.0%
600	Befolkningspyramid	18	0.0%
700	Samhällsplanering, Samhällsbyggnad	10	0.0%
1000	Ekonomi	1015	1.8%
1100	Sveriges ekonomi	32	0.1%
1200	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer,	52	0.1%
1300	Finanskris / ekonomisk kris	16	0.0%
1400	Lågkonjunktur	2	0.0%
1500	Inflation (prisstegringar)	2	0.0%
1600	Lönenivå, lönepolitik (ej inkomstskillnader 18100, löner i v	55	0.1%
1700	Handelspolitik, frihandel, handelsbalans	11	0.0%
1800	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	14	0.0%
1900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden, utlandslån	7	0.0%
2000	Regionens/landstingets ekonomi	5	0.0%
2100	Kommunens ekonomi	10	0.0%
2200	Tillväxt	17	0.0%
2300	Banker	15	0.0%
3000	Skatter	485	0.9%
3100	Lägre skatter	29	0.1%

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3200	Högre skatter	11	0.0%
3300	Kommunalskatt	5	0.0%
3400	Landstingsskatt	0	
3500	Moms	1	0.0%
3600	Marginalskattesänkningar	0	
3700	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter	4	0.0%
3800	Skatteavdragsfrågor	12	0.0%
3900	Värnskatt	2	0.0%
4000	Skatteväxling	0	
4100	Förmögenhetsskatt	3	0.0%
4200	Fastighetsskatt	2	0.0%
5000	Arbetsmarknad	815	1.5%
5100	Sysselsättning/arbetslöshet	1656	3.0%
5200	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	257	0.5%
5300	Arbetsmarknadspolitiska åtgärder	27	0.0%
5400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	36	0.1%
5500	Semester	1	0.0%
5600	A-kassa	16	0.0%
5700	Arbetstidsförkortning	6	0.0%
5800	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	22	0.0%
5900	Arbetsgivaravgifter	6	0.0%
6000	Avtalspension/förtidspension	2	0.0%
6100	Arbetsrätten	22	0.0%
7000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig service	55	0.1%
7100	Kommunal service	0	
7200	Renhållning i tätort	0	
7300	Sophämtning	3	0.0%
7400	Regional service	0	
7500	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	42	0.1%
7600	Privatiseringar	90	0.2%
7700	Krisberedskap/ Räddningstjänst	13	0.0%
7800		51	0.1%
9000	Sjukvård	4177	7.6%
9100	Vård	2149	3.9%
9200	Öppenvård/vårdcentraler	3	0.0%
9300	Sjukhusvård/specialistvård	25	0.0%
9400	Akutsjukvård inkl. 112	2	0.0%
9500	Vårdköer	56	0.1%
9600	Närheten till vård/tillgänglighet	21	0.0%
9700	Vårdavgifter	2	0.0%
9800	Privat sjukvård/privatisering av sjukvården	19	0.0%
9900	Sjukhuslokalisering	1	0.0%
10000	Specifika sjukhus	2	0.0%
10100	Omorganisering av sjukvård	5	0.0%
10200	Småskalighet, mindre enheter inom vården	1	0.0%

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10300	Specifika sjukdomar	5	0.0%
10400	Löner inom sjukvården	31	0.1%
10500		39	0.1%
10600		1	0.0%
11000	Psykiatri	37	0.1%
11100	Psykisk ohälsa	82	0.1%
12000	Tandvård	16	0.0%
12100	Folktandvården	3	0.0%
12200	Privat tandvård	2	0.0%
12300	Tandvårdsavgifter	5	0.0%
13000	Äldreomsorg	1222	2.2%
13100	Äldrevård	1210	2.2%
13200	Vårdhem	1	0.0%
13300	Äldreboende	56	0.1%
13400	Hemtjänst	10	0.0%
13500	Färdtjänst	1	0.0%
13600	Platsbrist	0	
14000	Pensionärsfrågor (ej sjuk- 16300, avtals- förtidspension 600	121	0.2%
14100	Pensioner (ej politikers pensioner 39600)	523	0.9%
14200	Pensionsfonder	2	0.0%
14300	ATP-fonder/allmän pension	1	0.0%
14400	Privata pensioner	0	
14500	Pensionsskatt	74	0.1%
14600	Pensionärer, pensionärers situation	108	0.2%
15000	Handikappfrågor (ej diskriminering (40400)	36	0.1%
15100	Psykiskt funktionshindrades situation	3	0.0%
16000	Socialpolitik	88	0.2%
16100	Fördelningsfrågor	81	0.1%
16200	Försäkringskassan	39	0.1%
16300	Sjukförsäkring (Utförsäkring)	103	0.2%
16400	Sjukpension	1	0.0%
16500	Sociala reformer	2	0.0%
16600	Socialbidrag	21	0.0%
16700	Socialförsäkring	26	0.0%
17000	Sociala frågor	111	0.2%
17100	Fattigdom (inkl. barnfattigdom)	70	0.1%
17200	Hemlöshet	38	0.1%
17300	Social segregation/Utanförskap	170	0.3%
17400	Folkhälsa/hälsa	147	0.3%
18000	Sociala klyftor (inkl. klasskillnader)	272	0.5%
18100	Inkomstskillnader	82	0.1%
18200	Utjämning	17	0.0%
18300	Jämlikhet	171	0.3%
18400		116	0.2%
19000	Missbruksproblem	39	0.1%

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19100	Alkohol	11	0.0%
19200	Narkotika/droger	107	0.2%
19300	Spel	3	0.0%
20000	Familjepolitik	31	0.1%
20100	Barnomsorg/daghem	352	0.6%
20200	Privat barnomsorg	0	
20300	Maxtaxa	0	
20400	Personalbrist i barnomsorg/för stora barngrupper	14	0.0%
20500	Barnbidrag	4	0.0%
20600	Föräldraförsäkring	5	0.0%
20700	Föräldraledighet	1	0.0%
20800	Pappaledighet	0	
20900	Vårdnadsbidrag	0	
21000	Barnfamiljers situation	6	0.0%
21100	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	15	0.0%
22000	Utbildning	736	1.3%
22100	Skolan (allmänt)	5329	9.6%
22200	Grundskola	36	0.1%
22300	Privat-/friskolor	22	0.0%
22400	Gymnasieskola	4	0.0%
22500	Disciplin/ordning i skolan	15	0.0%
22600	Betygen i skolan	14	0.0%
22700	Skolpeng	2	0.0%
22800	Personalbrist i skolan/för stora klasser	49	0.1%
22900	Statlig skola/förstatliga skolan	8	0.0%
23000	Kommunal skola	6	0.0%
23100	Lärare	31	0.1%
23200	Löner i skolan	20	0.0%
23300	Högskola/universitet/forskning	32	0.1%
23400	Studenters situation (ej studentbostäder 26700)	4	0.0%
23500		6	0.0%
24000	Fritid/idrott	17	0.0%
24100	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
24200	Kulturfrågor	46	0.1%
24300	Kommunala musikskolan	0	
24400	Fritid/idrottsanläggningar	6	0.0%
25000	Ungdomsfrågor/Barn (ej brottslighet 37600, arbetslöshet 5200	178	0.3%
25100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	44	0.1%
26000	Bostäder	403	0.7%
26100	Bostadsmarknad	43	0.1%
26200	Hyresmarknad	16	0.0%
26300	Hyreskostnader	16	0.0%
26400	Bostadsbrist	220	0.4%
26500	Bostadsbyggande	18	0.0%
26600	Allmännyttan	2	0.0%

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26700	Studentbostäder	7	0.0%
26800	Bostadsbidrag	1	0.0%
26900	Boendemiljö	0	
27000	Seniorbostäder	4	0.0%
27100	Bostäder för unga	44	0.1%
28000	Stadsbyggnad	6	0.0%
28100	Stadsplanering - centrumfrågor	3	0.0%
28200	Byggplaner - byggnation	3	0.0%
28300	Parker	1	0.0%
29000	Infrastruktur/kommunikationer	161	0.3%
29100	Kollektivtrafik	33	0.1%
29200	Järnväg/tågtrafik	24	0.0%
29300	Vägar	10	0.0%
29400	Vägunderhåll	6	0.0%
29500	Specifika vägar/förbindelser	4	0.0%
29600	Cykelvägar	0	
29700	Flygplatser, flyg	1	0.0%
29800	Båt- och färjetrafik, hamnar	0	
29900	Privatbilism	3	0.0%
30000	Parkering	4	0.0%
30100	Vägtullar	10	0.0%
30200	Transporter	12	0.0%
30300	Bensinpriset	54	0.1%
30400	Internetinfrastruktur	2	0.0%
30600	Trafik	37	0.1%
31000		19	0.0%
31100	(De)centralisering, lokaliseringspolitik	8	0.0%
31200	Urbanisering	22	0.0%
31300	Glesbygd- /landsbygdsfrågor	132	0.2%
31400	Småskalighet/lokalsamhälle	2	0.0%
31500	Service på glesbygden	13	0.0%
31600	Avfolkning, utflyttning, inflyttning	10	0.0%
31700	Naturvård, naturskydd	13	0.0%
31800	Rovdjur/varg	22	0.0%
31900	Jaktfrågor	1	0.0%
32000	Jordbrukspolitik	41	0.1%
32100	Djurhållning	13	0.0%
32200	Matpriser	6	0.0%
32300	Fiskerinäring	1	0.0%
32400	Livsmedelsproduktion	20	0.0%
32500	Närproducerat	2	0.0%
32600	Djurskydd/djurrätt	30	0.1%
33000	Miljö	1586	2.9%
33100	Föroreningar/utsläpp	17	0.0%
33200	Nedskräpning	10	0.0%

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
33300	Klimatfrågan	426	0.8%
33400	Utsläppsrätter	0	
33500	Havsmiljö, sjöar	5	0.0%
33600	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatter	4	0.0%
33700	Internationella miljöavtal	0	
33800	Hållbart samhälle (ej närproducerat 32600)	62	0.1%
33900	Väder/ Naturkatastrofer	4	0.0%
34300		3	0.0%
35000	Energi	158	0.3%
35100	Kärnkraft	27	0.0%
35200	Vindkraft	1	0.0%
35300	Förnyelsebara energikällor	6	0.0%
35400	Kärnavfall, slutförvaring	1	0.0%
35500	Energipriser	8	0.0%
37000	Lag och ordning	276	0.5%
37100	Våld	339	0.6%
37200	Brottslighet	1638	3.0%
37300	Polisväsende	524	0.9%
37400	Straff och påföljder	104	0.2%
37500	Kriminalvård	16	0.0%
37600	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	35	0.1%
37700	Kravaller/våldsamma demonstrationer	0	
37800	Organiserad brottslighet	274	0.5%
37900	Våld i hemmet, kvinnofrid	56	0.1%
38000	Ekonomisk brottslighet	19	0.0%
38100	Övervakningssamhället	6	0.0%
38200	Skadegörelse	21	0.0%
38300	Rättsväsende	192	0.3%
38400	Barnporr/pedofili	2	0.0%
38500		9	0.0%
38600		1	0.0%
38700		3	0.0%
39000		4	0.0%
39100	Korruption	47	0.1%
39200	Skattefiffel	5	0.0%
39300	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	12	0.0%
39400	Politiska affärer	1	0.0%
39500	Bidragsfusk	15	0.0%
39600	Politikers arvoden/pensioner	10	0.0%
39700	Maktmissbruk	13	0.0%
39800		8	0.0%
40000	Diskriminering/Likabehandling	77	0.1%
40100	HBTQ	19	0.0%
40200	Könsdiskriminering	28	0.1%
40300	Etnisk diskriminering	13	0.0%

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40400	Funktionsnedsatta	15	0.0%
40500	Åldersdiskriminering	3	0.0%
40600	Religiös diskriminering	0	
41000	HBTQ-frågor (ej diskriminering 40100)	9	0.0%
42000	Demokrati	110	0.2%
42100	Rättssäkerhet	22	0.0%
42200	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	48	0.1%
42300	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	416	0.8%
42500	Grundlag	3	0.0%
42600	Personlig integritet (ej på internet 47000, ej i medierna 46	15	0.0%
43000	Integrationspolitik	1732	3.1%
43100	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	350	0.6%
43200	Segregation	168	0.3%
43300	Flykting-, asylfrågor	446	0.8%
43400	Invandring, Invandrare	2197	4.0%
43500	Migrationspolitik (Invandrarpolitik)	549	1.0%
43600	Arbetskraftsinvandring	12	0.0%
43700	Språktest för medb.skap	1	0.0%
43800	Mångfald/ mångkultur	20	0.0%
45000	Religion	30	0.1%
45100	Religiösa motsättningar	4	0.0%
45200	Religiösa symboler	1	0.0%
45300	Högtider, religiösa/kulturella ritualer	2	0.0%
45400	Islam (inkl. islamofobi)	28	0.1%
45500	Fri religionsutövning	3	0.0%
45600	Kyrka-stat	0	
46000	Medier	39	0.1%
46100	Reklam	2	0.0%
46200	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna	0	
47000	Internet	19	0.0%
47100	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	5	0.0%
47200	Personlig integritet på internet	10	0.0%
47300	Övervakning	8	0.0%
49000	Näringslivsfrågor	102	0.2%
49100	Näringspolitik	3	0.0%
49200	Företagens villkor	56	0.1%
49300	Statliga regleringar av företag	4	0.0%
49400	Omnämnande av enskilda företag	1	0.0%
49500	Turism	3	0.0%
50000	Konsumtion	7	0.0%
50100	Konsumentinflytande	1	0.0%
51000	Byråkrati	22	0.0%
51200	Förvaltningsorganisationen, tjänstemän	10	0.0%
52000	Politik (Partipolitik)	75	0.1%
52100	Partier (allmänna omdömen om partier)	20	0.0%

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
52200	Partiledare	3	0.0%
52300	Politiker (allmänna omdömen om politiker)	47	0.1%
52400	Partipolitiskt samarbete	10	0.0%
52500	Sverigedemokraterna	53	0.1%
52600	Förtroende för partier och politiker	27	0.0%
52700	Pampvälde, etablissemanget, makteliten	8	0.0%
52800	Riksdagen	7	0.0%
54000	Monarki/Republik	1	0.0%
55000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen	37	0.1%
55100	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	2	0.0%
55200	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	2	0.0%
55300	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	1	0.0%
56000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	90	0.2%
56100	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	280	0.5%
56200	Vapenexport	3	0.0%
56300	Bistånd	11	0.0%
56400	Neutralitet	3	0.0%
56500	Nato	20	0.0%
56600	Militära utlandsinsatser	2	0.0%
56700	Värnplikt/yrkesmilitär	5	0.0%
58000	Internationella frågor allmänt	23	0.0%
58100	Fredsfrågan/ internationell nedrustning	21	0.0%
58200	Ekonomi (internationell)	9	0.0%
58300	Terrorism	29	0.1%
58400	Globalisering	8	0.0%
58500	Ryssland	5	0.0%
58600	Mellanöstern	3	0.0%
58700	Nordafrika	0	
58800	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	3	0.0%
58900	USA	7	0.0%
59000	Asien	0	
59100	Kina	0	
59200	Iran	1	0.0%
59300	Afghanistan	1	0.0%
59400	Nordkorea	0	
59500	Afrika	1	0.0%
59600	USA:s krig mot terrorismen	0	
59700	Europafrågor (ej specifikt EU 66000)	2	0.0%
59800	Överbefolkning	1	0.0%
61000	Regionala frågor	15	0.0%
61100	Regionalpolitik	5	0.0%
61200	Regional utveckling	1	0.0%
61300	Regional näringslivsutveckling	0	
61400	Kontakter med andra regioner	0	
61500	Samordning och samarbete inom regionen	0	

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
61600	Regionen för stor/onödig	2	0.0%
61700	Regionindelningen	1	0.0%
61800	Regionens organisation (allmänt)	0	
61900	Den politiska organisationen	0	
62000	Förvaltningsorganisationen	0	
62100	Fördelningen av resurser och inflytande mellan kommuner	6	0.0%
62200	Centralortsdominans	0	
63000	Kommunala frågor	11	0.0%
63100	Kommunpolitik	2	0.0%
63200	Kommunal utveckling	1	0.0%
63300	Näringslivsutveckling	0	
63400	Kontakter med andra kommuner	0	
63500	Samordning och samarbete mellan region och kommun	0	
63600	Stadsdelsfrågor	0	
63700	Kommunens organisation (allmänt)	0	
63800	Den politiska organisationen	1	0.0%
63900	Förvaltningsorganisationen	1	0.0%
64000	Småkommunernas inflytande/resurser	0	
64100	Centralortsdominans	0	
64200	Kommunindelningen	0	
65000	Övrig lokal fråga	3	0.0%
66000	EU – allmänt	40	0.1%
66100	EU - demokrati	2	0.0%
66200	EU - ekonomi	4	0.0%
66300	EU – eurosamarbetet	7	0.0%
66400	EU - regleringar	0	
66500	EU - arbetsmarknad	0	
66600	EU - sociala frågor	0	
66700	EU - alkohol/narkotika	0	
66800	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
66900	EU - jordbruksfrågor	0	
67000	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
67100	EU – utrikes- & säkerhetspolitik	0	
67200	EU – migrationsfrågor	15	0.0%
67300	EU - asylpolitik	0	
67400	EU - möjlighet att påverka	0	
67500	EU - nationell självständighet	0	
67600	EU - utvidgning	0	
67700	EU - medlemsavgiften	2	0.0%
67800	EU - utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	8	0.0%
67900	Schengen	0	
68000	EU – andra sakfrågor	2	0.0%
68100		1	0.0%
68200		3	0.0%
68300		2	0.0%

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
69000	Moral och etik	71	0.1%
69100	Egoism	16	0.0%
69200	Rättvisa	36	0.1%
69300	Hederlighet/ärlighet	5	0.0%
69400	Respekt	17	0.0%
69500	Porr	1	0.0%
69600	Prostitution	1	0.0%
69700	Abort	6	0.0%
69800	Genmanipulation	0	
69900	Videovåld	0	
70000	Dödshjälp	0	
70100	Girighet	8	0.0%
71000	Ideologibegrepp	39	0.1%
71100	Socialism	1	0.0%
71200	Kommunism, revolution	0	
71300	Klasskamp	1	0.0%
71400	Klassamhälle	6	0.0%
71500	Solidaritet	30	0.1%
71600	Liberalism	0	
71700	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	3	0.0%
71800	Individualism vs kollektivism	6	0.0%
71900	Nyliberalism	1	0.0%
72000	Vänsterpolitik	1	0.0%
72100	Högerpolitik	4	0.0%
72200	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	0	
72400	Icke-grönt, materialism	2	0.0%
72500	Valfrihet, frihet	2	0.0%
72600	Feminism	34	0.1%
72700	Nazism	10	0.0%
72800	Marknadsekonomi	3	0.0%
72900		10	0.0%
73000		32	0.1%
73100		9	0.0%
73200		2	0.0%
73300		6	0.0%
80000	Övriga sakfrågor	113	0.2%
90000		81	0.1%
99993		3	0.0%
99994	Do not know/refused to answer	13	0.0%
99997	Ej svar - del av frågan	5517	10.0%
99998	Not applicable/codeable	87	0.2%
99999	No response - entire question battery	9038	16.3%
99996		9967	
Sysmiss		100594	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

samprob2_2011: Important societal issues or problems

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-99999] [Missing=*/99996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=55279 /-] [Invalid=110561 /-]
Definition	Open question. Issues and problems are transcribed and coded according to a revised coding scheme (revised in 2011). Up to three problems are coded. The codes in the original coding scheme are multiplied by 100 (e.g. code 1 in the original coding scheme is code 100 here).
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Omsorg	679	1.2%
200	Välfärd	346	0.6%
300	Trygghet	240	0.4%
400	Samhälle	77	0.1%
500	Framtid (ej barn och ungdomars 25100)	32	0.1%
600	Befolkningspyramid	11	0.0%
700	Samhällsplanering, Samhällsbyggnad	12	0.0%
1000	Ekonomi	763	1.4%
1100	Sveriges ekonomi	44	0.1%
1200	Finans- och valutapolitik, räntenivåer,	28	0.1%
1300	Finanskris / ekonomisk kris	6	0.0%
1400	Lågkonjunktur	4	0.0%
1500	Inflation (prisstegringar)	6	0.0%
1600	Lönenivå, lönepolitik (ej inkomstskillnader 18100, löner i v	34	0.1%
1700	Handelspolitik, frihandel, handelsbalans	13	0.0%
1800	Privatekonomi, den egna ekonomin	16	0.0%
1900	Budgetunderskottet, statsskulden, utlandslån	6	0.0%
2000	Regionens/landstingets ekonomi	1	0.0%
2100	Kommunens ekonomi	10	0.0%
2200	Tillväxt	20	0.0%
2300	Banker	24	0.0%
3000	Skatter	411	0.7%
3100	Lägre skatter	28	0.1%
3200	Högre skatter	10	0.0%
3300	Kommunalskatt	1	0.0%
3400	Landstingsskatt	0	
3500	Moms	2	0.0%
3600	Marginalskattesänkningar	1	0.0%
3700	Indirekta skatter/punktskatter	6	0.0%
3800	Skatteavdragsfrågor	5	0.0%
3900	Värnskatt	2	0.0%
4000	Skatteväxling	0	
4100	Förmögenhetsskatt	0	
4200	Fastighetsskatt	3	0.0%
5000	Arbetsmarknad	681	1.2%
5100	Sysselsättning/arbetslöshet	1187	2.1%
5200	Ungdomsarbetslösheten	159	0.3%

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5300	Arbetsmarknadspolitiska åtgärder	21	0.0%
5400	Arbetsmiljö, stress	32	0.1%
5500	Semester	0	
5600	A-kassa	17	0.0%
5700	Arbetstidsförkortning	13	0.0%
5800	Övriga arbetsmarknadsfrågor	18	0.0%
5900	Arbetsgivaravgifter	3	0.0%
6000	Avtalspension/förtidspension	0	
6100	Arbetsrätten	17	0.0%
7000	Offentlig sektor, offentlig service	45	0.1%
7100	Kommunal service	0	
7200	Renhållning i tätort	0	
7300	Sophämtning	0	
7400	Regional service	0	
7500	Nedskärningar i offentlig service	38	0.1%
7600	Privatiseringar	102	0.2%
7700	Krisberedskap/ Räddningstjänst	15	0.0%
7800		44	0.1%
9000	Sjukvård	2702	4.9%
9100	Vård	1183	2.1%
9200	Öppenvård/vårdcentraler	2	0.0%
9300	Sjukhusvård/specialistvård	27	0.0%
9400	Akutsjukvård inkl. 112	7	0.0%
9500	Vårdköer	34	0.1%
9600	Närheten till vård/tillgänglighet	12	0.0%
9700	Vårdavgifter	0	
9800	Privat sjukvård/privatisering av sjukvården	11	0.0%
9900	Sjukhuslokalisering	0	
10000	Specifika sjukhus	1	0.0%
10100	Omorganisering av sjukvård	2	0.0%
10200	Småskalighet, mindre enheter inom vården	1	0.0%
10300	Specifika sjukdomar	4	0.0%
10400	Löner inom sjukvården	19	0.0%
10500		28	0.1%
10600		3	0.0%
11000	Psykiatri	39	0.1%
11100	Psykisk ohälsa	80	0.1%
12000	Tandvård	12	0.0%
12100	Folktandvården	2	0.0%
12200	Privat tandvård	0	
12300	Tandvårdsavgifter	5	0.0%
13000	Äldreomsorg	944	1.7%
13100	Äldrevård	959	1.7%
13200	Vårdhem	1	0.0%
13300	Äldreboende	36	0.1%

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13400	Hemtjänst	7	0.0%
13500	Färdtjänst	0	
13600	Platsbrist	1	0.0%
14000	Pensionärsfrågor (ej sjuk- 16300, avtals- förtidspension 600	98	0.2%
14100	Pensioner (ej politikers pensioner 39600)	521	0.9%
14200	Pensionsfonder	1	0.0%
14300	ATP-fonder/allmän pension	1	0.0%
14400	Privata pensioner	0	
14500	Pensionsskatt	64	0.1%
14600	Pensionärer, pensionärers situation	115	0.2%
15000	Handikappfrågor (ej diskriminering (40400)	22	0.0%
15100	Psykiskt funktionshindrades situation	0	
16000	Socialpolitik	85	0.2%
16100	Fördelningsfrågor	59	0.1%
16200	Försäkringskassan	22	0.0%
16300	Sjukförsäkring (Utförsäkring)	70	0.1%
16400	Sjukpension	3	0.0%
16500	Sociala reformer	0	
16600	Socialbidrag	9	0.0%
16700	Socialförsäkring	15	0.0%
17000	Sociala frågor	112	0.2%
17100	Fattigdom (inkl. barnfattigdom)	45	0.1%
17200	Hemlöshet	31	0.1%
17300	Social segregation/Utanförskap	131	0.2%
17400	Folkhälsa/hälsa	122	0.2%
18000	Sociala klyftor (inkl. klasskillnader)	209	0.4%
18100	Inkomstskillnader	55	0.1%
18200	Utjämning	5	0.0%
18300	Jämlikhet	126	0.2%
18400		57	0.1%
19000	Missbruksproblem	30	0.1%
19100	Alkohol	9	0.0%
19200	Narkotika/droger	88	0.2%
19300	Spel	3	0.0%
20000	Familjepolitik	24	0.0%
20100	Barnomsorg/daghem	208	0.4%
20200	Privat barnomsorg	0	
20300	Maxtaxa	1	0.0%
20400	Personalbrist i barnomsorg/för stora barngrupper	6	0.0%
20500	Barnbidrag	3	0.0%
20600	Föräldraförsäkring	5	0.0%
20700	Föräldraledighet	1	0.0%
20800	Pappaledighet	0	
20900	Vårdnadsbidrag	0	
21000	Barnfamiljers situation	5	0.0%

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21100	Karensdag för vård av sjukt barn	1	0.0%
22000	Utbildning	577	1.0%
22100	Skolan (allmänt)	3585	6.5%
22200	Grundskola	25	0.0%
22300	Privat-/friskolor	16	0.0%
22400	Gymnasieskola	1	0.0%
22500	Disciplin/ordning i skolan	6	0.0%
22600	Betygen i skolan	13	0.0%
22700	Skolpeng	2	0.0%
22800	Personalbrist i skolan/för stora klasser	25	0.0%
22900	Statlig skola/förstatliga skolan	4	0.0%
23000	Kommunal skola	2	0.0%
23100	Lärare	20	0.0%
23200	Löner i skolan	21	0.0%
23300	Högskola/universitet/forskning	28	0.1%
23400	Studenters situation (ej studentbostäder 26700)	9	0.0%
24000	Fritid/idrott	18	0.0%
24100	Bidrag till föreningar	0	
24200	Kulturfrågor	79	0.1%
24300	Kommunala musikskolan	0	
24400	Fritid/idrottsanläggningar	3	0.0%
25000	Ungdomsfrågor/Barn (ej brottslighet 37600, arbetslöshet 5200	170	0.3%
25100	Ungdomars och barns framtid och problem	43	0.1%
26000	Bostäder	401	0.7%
26100	Bostadsmarknad	41	0.1%
26200	Hyresmarknad	11	0.0%
26300	Hyreskostnader	11	0.0%
26400	Bostadsbrist	164	0.3%
26500	Bostadsbyggande	23	0.0%
26600	Allmännyttan	1	0.0%
26700	Studentbostäder	7	0.0%
26800	Bostadsbidrag	1	0.0%
26900	Boendemiljö	1	0.0%
27000	Seniorbostäder	1	0.0%
27100	Bostäder för unga	31	0.1%
28000	Stadsbyggnad	2	0.0%
28100	Stadsplanering - centrumfrågor	2	0.0%
28200	Byggplaner - byggnation	7	0.0%
28300	Parker	0	
29000	Infrastruktur/kommunikationer	271	0.5%
29100	Kollektivtrafik	52	0.1%
29200	Järnväg/tågtrafik	30	0.1%
29300	Vägar	14	0.0%
29400	Vägunderhåll	8	0.0%
29500	Specifika vägar/förbindelser	1	0.0%

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29600	Cykelvägar	3	0.0%
29700	Flygplatser, flyg	1	0.0%
29800	Båt- och färjetrafik, hamnar	1	0.0%
29900	Privatbilism	1	0.0%
30000	Parkering	0	
30100	Vägtullar	10	0.0%
30200	Transporter	18	0.0%
30300	Bensinpriset	39	0.1%
30400	Internetinfrastruktur	3	0.0%
30600	Trafik	39	0.1%
30700		2	0.0%
31000		6	0.0%
31100	(De)centralisering, lokaliseringspolitik	9	0.0%
31200	Urbanisering	11	0.0%
31300	Glesbygd- /landsbygdsfrågor	163	0.3%
31400	Småskalighet/lokalsamhälle	0	
31500	Service på glesbygden	6	0.0%
31600	Avfolkning, utflyttning, inflyttning	18	0.0%
31700	Naturvård, naturskydd	8	0.0%
31800	Rovdjur/varg	24	0.0%
31900	Jaktfrågor	1	0.0%
32000	Jordbrukspolitik	34	0.1%
32100	Djurhållning	15	0.0%
32200	Matpriser	4	0.0%
32300	Fiskerinäring	2	0.0%
32400	Livsmedelsproduktion	18	0.0%
32500	Närproducerat	8	0.0%
32600	Djurskydd/djurrätt	38	0.1%
33000	Miljö	1546	2.8%
33100	Föroreningar/utsläpp	22	0.0%
33200	Nedskräpning	4	0.0%
33300	Klimatfrågan	325	0.6%
33400	Utsläppsrätter	0	
33500	Havsmiljö, sjöar	9	0.0%
33600	Miljöavgifter, miljöskatter	1	0.0%
33700	Internationella miljöavtal	0	
33800	Hållbart samhälle (ej närproducerat 32600)	46	0.1%
33900	Väder/ Naturkatastrofer	3	0.0%
35000	Energi	123	0.2%
35100	Kärnkraft	18	0.0%
35200	Vindkraft	0	
35300	Förnyelsebara energikällor	5	0.0%
35400	Kärnavfall, slutförvaring	1	0.0%
35500	Energipriser	7	0.0%
37000	Lag och ordning	230	0.4%

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
37100	Våld	219	0.4%
37200	Brottslighet	1177	2.1%
37300	Polisväsende	544	1.0%
37400	Straff och påföljder	114	0.2%
37500	Kriminalvård	17	0.0%
37600	Ungdomsbrottslighet, ungdomsvåld	25	0.0%
37700	Kravaller/våldsamma demonstrationer	3	0.0%
37800	Organiserad brottslighet	166	0.3%
37900	Våld i hemmet, kvinnofrid	36	0.1%
38000	Ekonomisk brottslighet	11	0.0%
38100	Övervakningssamhället	8	0.0%
38200	Skadegörelse	9	0.0%
38300	Rättsväsende	215	0.4%
38400	Barnporr/pedofili	4	0.0%
38500		14	0.0%
38600		2	0.0%
38700		3	0.0%
39000		5	0.0%
39100	Korruption	43	0.1%
39200	Skattefiffel	10	0.0%
39300	Fallskärmsavtal, höga bonusar	7	0.0%
39400	Politiska affärer	1	0.0%
39500	Bidragsfusk	19	0.0%
39600	Politikers arvoden/pensioner	10	0.0%
39700	Maktmissbruk	8	0.0%
39800		11	0.0%
40000	Diskriminering/Likabehandling	55	0.1%
40100	HBTQ	14	0.0%
40200	Könsdiskriminering	24	0.0%
40300	Etnisk diskriminering	12	0.0%
40400	Funktionsnedsatta	5	0.0%
40500	Åldersdiskriminering	6	0.0%
40600	Religiös diskriminering	4	0.0%
41000	HBTQ-frågor (ej diskriminering 40100)	7	0.0%
42000	Demokrati	140	0.3%
42100	Rättssäkerhet	14	0.0%
42200	Demokratiska fri- och rättigheter	50	0.1%
42300	Jämställdhet mellan män och kvinnor	306	0.6%
42500	Grundlag	2	0.0%
42600	Personlig integritet (ej på internet 47000, ej i medierna 46	8	0.0%
43000	Integrationspolitik	1333	2.4%
43100	Rasism, främlingsfientlighet	271	0.5%
43200	Segregation	96	0.2%
43300	Flykting-, asylfrågor	349	0.6%
43400	Invandring, Invandrare	1809	3.3%

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43500	Migrationspolitik (Invandrarpolitik)	449	0.8%
43600	Arbetskraftsinvandring	3	0.0%
43700	Språktest för medb.skap	1	0.0%
43800	Mångfald/ mångkultur	10	0.0%
45000	Religion	29	0.1%
45100	Religiösa motsättningar	2	0.0%
45200	Religiösa symboler	1	0.0%
45300	Högtider, religiösa/kulturella ritualer	1	0.0%
45400	Islam (inkl. islamofobi)	16	0.0%
45500	Fri religionsutövning	3	0.0%
45600	Kyrka-stat	2	0.0%
46000	Medier	43	0.1%
46100	Reklam	0	
46200	Sensationsjournalistik, personlig integritet i medierna	3	0.0%
47000	Internet	21	0.0%
47100	Fildelning/upphovsmannaskydd	2	0.0%
47200	Personlig integritet på internet	9	0.0%
47300	Övervakning	2	0.0%
49000	Näringslivsfrågor	93	0.2%
49100	Näringspolitik	3	0.0%
49200	Företagens villkor	56	0.1%
49300	Statliga regleringar av företag	3	0.0%
49400	Omnämnande av enskilda företag	2	0.0%
49500	Turism	2	0.0%
50000	Konsumtion	7	0.0%
50100	Konsumentinflytande	1	0.0%
51000	Byråkrati	27	0.0%
51200	Förvaltningsorganisationen, tjänstemän	13	0.0%
52000	Politik (Partipolitik)	80	0.1%
52100	Partier (allmänna omdömen om partier)	13	0.0%
52200	Partiledare	2	0.0%
52300	Politiker (allmänna omdömen om politiker)	61	0.1%
52400	Partipolitiskt samarbete	11	0.0%
52500	Sverigedemokraterna	29	0.1%
52600	Förtroende för partier och politiker	22	0.0%
52700	Pampvälde, etablissemanget, makteliten	9	0.0%
52800	Riksdagen	4	0.0%
54000	Monarki/Republik	5	0.0%
55000	Regeringsfrågan, regeringen	38	0.1%
55100	Blocköverskridande regeringsalternativ	2	0.0%
55200	Stabilitet och kontinuitet	1	0.0%
55300	Förnyelse, betydelsen av maktskifte i sig	0	
56000	Svensk utrikes - och säkerhetspolitik	95	0.2%
56100	Försvarspolitik/försvarsutgifter	313	0.6%
56200	Vapenexport	2	0.0%

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
56300	Bistånd	15	0.0%
56400	Neutralitet	3	0.0%
56500	Nato	22	0.0%
56600	Militära utlandsinsatser	2	0.0%
56700	Värnplikt/yrkesmilitär	3	0.0%
58000	Internationella frågor allmänt	44	0.1%
58100	Fredsfrågan/ internationell nedrustning	25	0.0%
58200	Ekonomi (internationell)	7	0.0%
58300	Terrorism	25	0.0%
58400	Globalisering	12	0.0%
58500	Ryssland	4	0.0%
58600	Mellanöstern	6	0.0%
58700	Nordafrika	0	
58800	Israel-Palestina-konflikten	2	0.0%
58900	USA	7	0.0%
59000	Asien	0	
59100	Kina	0	
59200	Iran	0	
59300	Afghanistan	0	
59400	Nordkorea	1	0.0%
59500	Afrika	0	
59600	USA:s krig mot terrorismen	0	
59700	Europafrågor (ej specifikt EU 66000)	1	0.0%
59800	Överbefolkning	0	
61000	Regionala frågor	14	0.0%
61100	Regionalpolitik	5	0.0%
61200	Regional utveckling	0	
61300	Regional näringslivsutveckling	0	
61400	Kontakter med andra regioner	0	
61500	Samordning och samarbete inom regionen	0	
61600	Regionen för stor/onödig	1	0.0%
61700	Regionindelningen	0	
61800	Regionens organisation (allmänt)	1	0.0%
61900	Den politiska organisationen	2	0.0%
62000	Förvaltningsorganisationen	0	
62100	Fördelningen av resurser och inflytande mellan kommuner	1	0.0%
62200	Centralortsdominans	0	
63000	Kommunala frågor	15	0.0%
63100	Kommunpolitik	7	0.0%
63200	Kommunal utveckling	0	
63300	Näringslivsutveckling	1	0.0%
63400	Kontakter med andra kommuner	0	
63500	Samordning och samarbete mellan region och kommun	0	
63600	Stadsdelsfrågor	0	
63700	Kommunens organisation (allmänt)	0	

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
63800	Den politiska organisationen	2	0.0%
63900	Förvaltningsorganisationen	2	0.0%
64000	Småkommunernas inflytande/resurser	1	0.0%
64100	Centralortsdominans	0	
64200	Kommunindelningen	0	
65000	Övrig lokal fråga	6	0.0%
66000	EU – allmänt	51	0.1%
66100	EU - demokrati	0	
66200	EU - ekonomi	3	0.0%
66300	EU – eurosamarbetet	4	0.0%
66400	EU - regleringar	0	
66500	EU - arbetsmarknad	0	
66600	EU - sociala frågor	1	0.0%
66700	EU - alkohol/narkotika	0	
66800	EU - regionalpolitik	0	
66900	EU - jordbruksfrågor	2	0.0%
67000	EU - miljöfrågor	0	
67100	EU – utrikes- & säkerhetspolitik	1	0.0%
67200	EU – migrationsfrågor	10	0.0%
67300	EU - asylpolitik	0	
67400	EU - möjlighet att påverka	1	0.0%
67500	EU - nationell självständighet	1	0.0%
67600	EU - utvidgning	0	
67700	EU - medlemsavgiften	1	0.0%
67800	EU - utträde ur EU/behålla medlemskapet	8	0.0%
67900	Schengen	0	
68000	EU – andra sakfrågor	1	0.0%
68100		1	0.0%
68200		3	0.0%
69000	Moral och etik	80	0.1%
69100	Egoism	24	0.0%
69200	Rättvisa	46	0.1%
69300	Hederlighet/ärlighet	6	0.0%
69400	Respekt	10	0.0%
69500	Porr	1	0.0%
69600	Prostitution	0	
69700	Abort	4	0.0%
69800	Genmanipulation	0	
69900	Videovåld	0	
70000	Dödshjälp	0	
70100	Girighet	10	0.0%
71000	Ideologibegrepp	40	0.1%
71100	Socialism	1	0.0%
71200	Kommunism, revolution	0	
71300	Klasskamp	1	0.0%

samprob3_2011: Important societal issues or problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
71400	Klassamhälle	1	0.0%
71500	Solidaritet	32	0.1%
71600	Liberalism	4	0.0%
71700	Konservatism, traditioner, det gamla	0	
71800	Individualism vs kollektivism	6	0.0%
71900	Nyliberalism	1	0.0%
72000	Vänsterpolitik	2	0.0%
72100	Högerpolitik	8	0.0%
72200	Gröna idéer, grön ideologi	2	0.0%
72400	Icke-grönt, materialism	0	
72500	Valfrihet, frihet	7	0.0%
72600	Feminism	29	0.1%
72700	Nazism	9	0.0%
72800	Marknadsekonomi	7	0.0%
72900		5	0.0%
73000		28	0.1%
73100		10	0.0%
73200		3	0.0%
73300		11	0.0%
80000	Övriga sakfrågor	123	0.2%
90000		55	0.1%
99993		3	0.0%
99994	Do not know/refused to answer	13	0.0%
99997	Ej svar - del av frågan	14308	25.9%
99998	Not applicable/codeable	83	0.2%
99999	No response - entire question battery	9038	16.3%
99996		9967	
Sysmiss		100594	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprobnamnt: Important societal issues or problems: Mentioned an issue

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=122692 /-] [Invalid=43148 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3 (_1987 and _2011). 0=the respondent has not mentioned any problems at all, 1=the respondent has at least mentioned one problem.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	20777	16.9%
1	Mentioned	101915	83.1%
96		9967	
Sysmiss		33181	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

antalsprobnamnt: Important societal issues or problems: Number of issues mentioned

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=122692 /-] [Invalid=43148 /-] [Mean=2.139 /-] [StdDev=1.153 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3 (_1987 and _2011). Shows the number of problems mentioned by the respondent (between 0 and 3).
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987- (National)

sprob100: Important societal issues or problems: Taxes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 100-1900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	63296	93.9%
1	Mentioned	4117	6.1%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob2000: Important societal issues or problems: Economy in general

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 2000-2900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	59123	87.7%
1	Mentioned	8290	12.3%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob3000: Important societal issues or problems: The public sector, public services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 3000-3500. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

sprob3000: Important societal issues or problems: The public sector, public services

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	66331	98.4%
1	Mentioned	1082	1.6%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob4000: Important societal issues or problems: Social policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 4000-4910. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	62710	93.0%
1	Mentioned	4703	7.0%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob5000: Important societal issues or problems: Pensions, pensioner issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 5000-5400. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	65843	97.7%
1	Mentioned	1570	2.3%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob6000: Important societal issues or problems: Eldercare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 6000-6900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	57415	85.2%
1	Mentioned	9998	14.8%

sprob6000: Important societal issues or problems: Eldercare

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob7000: Important societal issues or problems: Health care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 7000-7900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	47633	70.7%
1	Mentioned	19780	29.3%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob8000: Important societal issues or problems: Alcohol/narcotics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 8000-8300. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	65201	96.7%
1	Mentioned	2212	3.3%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob8500: Important societal issues or problems: Family policy, child care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 8500-9700. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	63488	94.2%
1	Mentioned	3925	5.8%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob10000: Important societal issues or problems: Education policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 10000-10900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	52602		78.0%
1	Mentioned	14811		22.0%
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob11000: Important societal issues or problems: Sports, recreation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 11000-11500 Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	65628		97.4%
1	Mentioned	1785		2.6%
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob12000: Important societal issues or problems: Housing policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 12000-12600. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	65979		97.9%
1	Mentioned	1434		2.1%
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob13000: Important societal issues or problems: Regional policy, decentralization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 13000-13200.

sprob13000: Important societal issues or problems: Regional policy, decentralization

	Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.			
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.			
Notes	1987-2010 (National)			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	66947		99.3%
1	Mentioned	466	0.7%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob14000: Important societal issues or problems: Agricultural policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 14000-14700. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67064		99.5%
1	Mentioned	349	0.5%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob15000: Important societal issues or problems: Bureaucracy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 15000-15400. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67080		99.5%
1	Mentioned	333	0.5%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob16000: Important societal issues or problems: Environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 16000-16910. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

sprob16000: Important societal issues or problems: Environment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	55771		82.7%
1	Mentioned	11642		17.3%
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob17000: Important societal issues or problems: Communcations, traffic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 17000-17700. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	66514		98.7%
1	Mentioned	899		1.3%
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob18000: Important societal issues or problems: Correctional treatment, police, crime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 18000-18700. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	57847		85.8%
1	Mentioned	9566		14.2%
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob19000: Important societal issues or problems: Religion, moral values, ethics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 19000-19600. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	66678		98.9%
1	Mentioned	735		1.1%

sprob19000: Important societal issues or problems: Religion, moral values, ethics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob20000: Important societal issues or problems: Mass media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 20000-20600. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	67242	99.7%
1	Mentioned	171	0.3%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob21000: Important societal issues or problems: Peace, international disarmament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 21000 Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	67198	99.7%
1	Mentioned	215	0.3%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob22000: Important societal issues or problems: Swedish foreign and security policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 22000-22800. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	66206	98.2%
1	Mentioned	1207	1.8%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob23000: Important societal issues or problems: International issues in general

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 23000-23910. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67130		99.6%
1	Mentioned	283	0.4%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob24000: Important societal issues or problems: Employment, unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 24000-24900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	46719		69.3%
1	Mentioned	20694	30.7%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob25000: Important societal issues or problems: Commercial policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 25000-25100. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	66553		98.7%
1	Mentioned	860	1.3%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob26000: Important societal issues or problems: Energy policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 26000-26500.

sprob26000: Important societal issues or problems: Energy policy

	Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	66096		98.0%
1	Mentioned	1317	2.0%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob27000: Important societal issues or problems: State control of businesses

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 27000-27100. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67324		99.9%
1	Mentioned	89	0.1%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob28000: Important societal issues or problems: Participation in decision-making

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 28000-28200. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67355		99.9%
1	Mentioned	58	0.1%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob29000: Important societal issues or problems: Wage earner funds

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 29000-29300. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67395		100.0%
1	Mentioned	18	0.0%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob29400: Important societal issues or problems: Discrimination, equity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 29400

sprob29400: Important societal issues or problems: Discrimination, equity

	Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.		
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.		
Notes	1987-2010 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	67383	100.0%
1	Mentioned	30	0.0%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob29500: Important societal issues or problems: Gender equality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 29500-29800. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	66436	98.6%
1	Mentioned	977	1.4%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob29900: Important societal issues or problems: LGBTQ issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 29900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not mentioned	67382	100.0%
1	Mentioned	31	0.0%
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob30000: Important societal issues or problems: Rule of law

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 30000-30400. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

sprob30000: Important societal issues or problems: Rule of law

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	66568		98.7%
1	Mentioned	845	1.3%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob31000: Important societal issues or problems: Immigration policy, immigrants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 31000-31500. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	58293		86.5%
1	Mentioned	9120	13.5%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob32000: Important societal issues or problems: Other specific issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 32000 Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67003		99.4%
1	Mentioned	410	0.6%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob32500: Important societal issues or problems: Future issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 32500. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67353		99.9%
1	Mentioned	60	0.1%	

sprob32500: Important societal issues or problems: Future issues

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		98427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob33000: Important societal issues or problems: Ideology

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 33000-34900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	65989		97.9%
1	Mentioned	1424	2.1%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob35000: Important societal issues or problems: Party politics (specific parties)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 35000-35200. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67180		99.7%
1	Mentioned	233	0.3%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob36000: Important societal issues or problems: Trust in parties and politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 36000-36100. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	66713		99.0%
1	Mentioned	700	1.0%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob37000: Important societal issues or problems: Party leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 37000-37200. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67383		100.0%
1	Mentioned	30	0.0%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob38000: Important societal issues or problems: Social class

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 38000. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	66961		99.3%
1	Mentioned	452	0.7%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob39000: Important societal issues or problems: The current government/cabinet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 39000-39400. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/ problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67146		99.6%
1	Mentioned	267	0.4%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob50000: Important societal issues or problems: Local and municipal issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 50000-50100.

sprob50000: Important societal issues or problems: Local and municipal issues

	Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.			
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.			
Notes	1987-2010 (National)			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	67269		99.8%
1	Mentioned	144	0.2%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sprob80000: Important societal issues or problems: EU in general

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=67413 /-] [Invalid=98427 /-]
Definition	Recoded variable using samprob1-3_1987. Shows whether a respondent has mentioned problems with the following codes: 80000-84900. Data from 2011 and forward is not included at the moment.
Literal question	Which issue(s) or problem(s) do you feel are the most important in Sweden today? Please state a maximum of three issues/problems.
Notes	1987-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	65152		96.6%
1	Mentioned	2261	3.4%	
Sysmiss		98427		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da10a: Change during the past 12 months: Your own economic situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=93876 /-] [Invalid=71964 /-]
Definition	National: See also da11a (5 point scale). Between 1986 and 1991 there were two separate questions: "If you compare your economic situation with a year ago, has it:" (da10a) and "According to your view, during the last year, has the Swedish economy:" (da10c). Since 1992 the two questions merged two a battery and added an item, as well as including another battery with prospective economic judgments (see da20a-c); 1992-1999 (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 2000- (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?" West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 1998- (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?"
Literal question	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - Your own economic situation
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Gotten better	22624	24.1%	
2	Stayed about the same	49061		52.3%
3	Gotten worse	19075	20.3%	
97	No response - part of the question	616	0.7%	
98	Several responses given	24	0.0%	
99	No response - entire question battery	2476	2.6%	

da10a: Change during the past 12 months: Your own economic situation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	71964	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da10b: Change during the past 12 months: The economy of your municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=63791 /-] [Invalid=102049 /-]
Definition	National: See also da11b (5 point scale). Between 1986 and 1991 there were two separate questions: "If you compare your economic situation with a year ago, has it:" (da10a) and "According to your view, during the last year, has the Swedish economy:" (da10c). Since 1992 the two questions merged two a battery and added an item, as well as including another battery with prospective economic judgments (see da20a-c); 1992-1999 (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 2000- (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?" West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 1998- (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?"
Literal question	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
Notes	1992- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten better	3753	5.9%
2	Stayed about the same	32540	51.0%
3	Gotten worse	21863	34.3%
97	No response - part of the question	3930	6.2%
98	Several responses given	18	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1687	2.6%
96	Question not asked	38152	
Sysmiss		63897	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da10c: Change during the past 12 months: The Swedish economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=93876 /-] [Invalid=71964 /-]
Definition	National: See also da11c (5 point scale). Between 1986 and 1991 there were two separate questions: "If you compare your economic situation with a year ago, has it:" (da10a) and "According to your view, during the last year, has the Swedish economy:" (da10c). Since 1992 the two questions merged two a battery and added an item, as well as including another battery with prospective economic judgments (see da20a-c); 1992-1999 (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 2000- (National): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?" West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last year?"; 1998- (West): "According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months?"
Literal question	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The Swedish economy
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

da10c: Change during the past 12 months: The Swedish economy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten better	15626	16.6%
2	Stayed about the same	36499	38.9%
3	Gotten worse	33856	36.1%
97	No response - part of the question	5354	5.7%
98	Several responses given	39	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2502	2.7%
96	Question not asked	71964	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da11a: Change during the past 12 months: Your own economic situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20081 /-] [Invalid=145759 /-]
Definition	See also da10a (3 point scale).
Literal question	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - Your own economic situation
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	1715	8.5%
2	Gotten somewhat better	4082	20.3%
3	Stayed about the same	9347	46.5%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	2718	13.5%
5	Gotten much worse	1421	7.1%
97	No response - part of the question	96	0.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	695	3.5%
96	Question not asked	76722	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da11b: Change during the past 12 months: The economy of your municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11324 /-] [Invalid=154516 /-]
Definition	See also da10b (3 point scale).
Literal question	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	106	0.9%
2	Gotten somewhat better	732	6.5%
3	Stayed about the same	6114	54.0%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	2403	21.2%
5	Gotten much worse	752	6.6%
97	No response - part of the question	828	7.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	388	3.4%

da11b: Change during the past 12 months: The economy of your municipality

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	31253	
Sysmiss		123263	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da11c: Change during the past 12 months: The Swedish economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20081 /-] [Invalid=145759 /-]
Definition	See also da10b (3 point scale).
Literal question	According to you, how have the following economic circumstances changed during the last 12 months? - The Swedish economy
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten much better	492	2.5%
2	Gotten somewhat better	2557	12.7%
3	Stayed about the same	7730	38.5%
4	Gotten somewhat worse	5217	26.0%
5	Gotten much worse	1880	9.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1500	7.5%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	695	3.5%
96	Question not asked	76722	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da20a: Change during the next 12 months: Your own economic situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82381 /-] [Invalid=83459 /-]
Definition	National: See also da21a (5 point scale). The question wording has changed. 1992-1999 (National): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 2000- (National): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?" Asked in connection with da10a-c. West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 1998- (West): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?"
Literal question	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - Your own economic situation
Notes	1992- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	17192	20.9%
2	Stay about the same	49004	59.5%
3	Get worse	12997	15.8%
97	No response - part of the question	816	1.0%
98	Several responses given	42	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2330	2.8%
96	Question not asked	73788	

da20a: Change during the next 12 months: Your own economic situation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		9671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da20b: Change during the next 12 months: The economy of your municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=63791 /-] [Invalid=102049 /-]
Definition	National: See also da21a (5 point scale). The question wording has changed. 1992-1999 (National): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 2000- (National): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?" Asked in connection with da10a-c. West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 1998- (West): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?"
Literal question	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
Notes	1992- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	4498	7.1%
2	Stay about the same	36950	57.9%
3	Get worse	17050	26.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3590	5.6%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1692	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38152	
Sysmiss		63897	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da20c: Change during the next 12 months: The Swedish economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82381 /-] [Invalid=83459 /-]
Definition	National: See also da21a (5 point scale). The question wording has changed. 1992-1999 (National): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 2000- (National): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?" Asked in connection with da10a-c. West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "How do you think the economy will change in the coming year?"; 1998- (West): "In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months?"
Literal question	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The Swedish economy
Notes	1992- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	12723	15.4%
2	Stay about the same	38825	47.1%
3	Get worse	23908	29.0%
97	No response - part of the question	4578	5.6%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%

da20c: Change during the next 12 months: The Swedish economy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	2330	2.8%
96	Question not asked	73788	
Sysmiss		9671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da21a: Change during the next 12 months: Your own economic situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20081 /-] [Invalid=145759 /-]
Definition	See also da20a (3 point scale).
Literal question	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - Your own economic situation
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get much better	1100	5.5%
2	Get somewhat better	3984	19.8%
3	Stay about the same	10692	53.2%
4	Get somewhat worse	2516	12.5%
5	Get much worse	898	4.5%
97	No response - part of the question	182	0.9%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	695	3.5%
96	Question not asked	76722	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da21b: Change during the next 12 months: The economy of your municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11324 /-] [Invalid=154516 /-]
Definition	See also da20b (3 point scale).
Literal question	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The economy of your municipality
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get much better	73	0.6%
2	Get somewhat better	781	6.9%
3	Stay about the same	6018	53.1%
4	Get somewhat worse	2649	23.4%
5	Get much worse	696	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	717	6.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	388	3.4%
96	Question not asked	31253	
Sysmiss		123263	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

da21c: Change during the next 12 months: The Swedish economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20081 /-] [Invalid=145759 /-]
Definition	See also da20c (3 point scale).
Literal question	In what way do you think the following economic circumstances will change in the next 12 months? - The Swedish economy
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get much better	289	1.4%
2	Get somewhat better	2391	11.9%
3	Stay about the same	8130	40.5%
4	Get somewhat worse	5401	26.9%
5	Get much worse	1943	9.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1228	6.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	695	3.5%
96	Question not asked	76722	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

db900a: Proposal: Liberalize labour legislation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20461 /-] [Invalid=145379 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 1996, 1998-2001 (National): "Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2002 (National): "Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them?"
Literal question	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Liberalize labour legislation
Notes	1996, 1998-2002, 2011-2013 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1899	9.3%
2	Rather good proposal	4977	24.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6953	34.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	2740	13.4%
5	Very bad proposal	2260	11.0%
97	No response - part of the question	863	4.2%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	758	3.7%
96	Question not asked	49405	
Sysmiss		95974	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

db900b: Proposal: Introduce six-hour workday

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58779 /-] [Invalid=107061 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"

db900b: Proposal: Introduce six-hour workday

	West: The question wording has not changed over time. 1996-2005 (West): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Introduce six-hour workday
Notes	1996- (National); 1996-2005 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	14189	24.1%
2	Rather good proposal	14488	24.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	12460	21.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	8423	14.3%
5	Very bad proposal	6481	11.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1106	1.9%
98	Several responses given	53	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1579	2.7%
96	Question not asked	80335	
Sysmiss		26726	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

db900c: Proposal: Set a time limit on unemployment benefits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5147 /-] [Invalid=160693 /-]
Literal question	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Set a time limit on unemployment benefits
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	457	8.9%
2	Rather good proposal	991	19.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1262	24.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	1044	20.3%
5	Very bad proposal	1096	21.3%
97	No response - part of the question	78	1.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	218	4.2%
96	Question not asked	3621	
Sysmiss		157072	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

db900d: Proposal: Increase wage differences

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5182 /-] [Invalid=160658 /-]
Literal question	Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase wage differences
Notes	1998-1999, 2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	224	4.3%
2	Rather good proposal	548	10.6%

db900d: Proposal: Increase wage differences

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1169	22.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	1365	26.3%
5	Very bad proposal	1591	30.7%
97	No response - part of the question	101	1.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	179	3.5%
96	Question not asked	5520	
Sysmiss		155138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

db900e: Proposal: Prioritize labor market politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10443 /-] [Invalid=155397 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1998-2001 (National): "Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2002 (National): "Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them?"
Literal question	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Prioritize labor market politics
Notes	1998-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	658	6.3%
2	Rather good proposal	2168	20.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3643	34.9%
4	Rather bad proposal	2223	21.3%
5	Very bad proposal	1002	9.6%
97	No response - part of the question	291	2.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	458	4.4%
96	Question not asked	7351	
Sysmiss		148046	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

db900f: Proposal: Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to accept available jobs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 2001 (National): "Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2002 (National): "Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them?"
Literal question	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to accept available jobs
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	815	23.2%
2	Rather good proposal	1269	36.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	693	19.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	370	10.5%
5	Very bad proposal	155	4.4%

db900f: Proposal: Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to accept available jobs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	38	1.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	176	5.0%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

db900g: Proposal: Stricter requirem. for unemployed to move to where jobs are available

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=162323 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. National (2001): "Here are a number of proposals from the public debate in Sweden. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2002 (National): "Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them?"
Literal question	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Set stricter requirements for the unemployed to move to where there are jobs available
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	245	7.0%
2	Rather good proposal	640	18.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	985	28.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	889	25.3%
5	Very bad proposal	540	15.4%
97	No response - part of the question	42	1.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	176	5.0%
96	Question not asked	3730	
Sysmiss		158593	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

db900i: Proposal: Increase unemployment benefits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19754 /-] [Invalid=146086 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has not changed over time (see above). West: The question wording in West SOM is the following: "The following list contains a number of proposals that have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"
Literal question	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? - Increase unemployment benefits
Notes	2007- (National); 2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	3827	19.4%
2	Rather good proposal	4769	24.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	5928	30.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	2985	15.1%
5	Very bad proposal	1277	6.5%
97	No response - part of the question	450	2.3%

db900i: Proposal: Increase unemployment benefits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	498	2.5%
96	Question not asked	61058	
Sysmiss		85028	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

db900j: Proposal: Increase labor migration to Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19006 /-] [Invalid=146834 /-]
Literal question	Below follows a list of proposals that have been presented in the political debate on labour market issues. How do you feel about each of them? Increase labor migration to Sweden
Notes	2002, 2013, 2014, 2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	950	5.0%
2	Rather good proposal	3150	16.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6047	31.8%
4	Rather bad proposal	3294	17.3%
5	Very bad proposal	2910	15.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2206	11.6%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	441	2.3%
96	Question not asked	70397	
Sysmiss		76437	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

dc900a: Proposal: Raise taxes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19228 /-] [Invalid=146612 /-]
Literal question	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Raise taxes
Notes	1995-1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	817	4.2%
2	Rather good proposal	2701	14.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	5070	26.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	5038	26.2%
5	Very bad proposal	4476	23.3%
97	No response - part of the question	533	2.8%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	586	3.0%
96		65273	
Sysmiss		81339	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

dc900b: Proposal: Lower taxes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

dc900b: Proposal: Lower taxes

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=62333 /-] [Invalid=103507 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. National (1995-1997): "A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion?"; 1998- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?" West: The question wording has not changed over time (see above).
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Lower taxes
Notes	1995- (National); 1999, 2002- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	16098	25.8%
2	Rather good proposal	15665	25.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	15028	24.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	8508	13.6%
5	Very bad proposal	3930	6.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1211	1.9%
98	Several responses given	39	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1854	3.0%
96	Question not asked	88386	
Sysmiss		15121	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ea100n: Opinion about public services: Libraries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44278 /-] [Invalid=121562 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed (questions are given in Swedish). 1989 (National): "Municipalities and counties have various tasks to manage. To what extent are you satisfied with the service in your municipality within the following areas?"; 1991 (National): "To what extent are you satisfied with the service in your municipality in the following areas:"; 1992-1996 (National): "What is your opinion about the service in your municipality in regards to the following areas?"; 1998- (National): "What is your opinion about the service in the municipality where you live in regards to the following areas:". Note that the scale has changed over time. Prior to 2006 the alternative "Do not know" was given rather than "No opinion". West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1997 (West): "What is your opinion about the service in your municipality in regards to the following areas?"; 1998- (West): "What is your opinion about the service in the municipality where you live in regards to the following areas?" Note that the scale has changed over time. Prior to 2006 the alternative "Do not know" was given rather than "No opinion".
Literal question	What is your opinion about the service in the municipality where you live in regards to the following areas: - Libraries
Notes	1989, 1991-1996, 1998-2008, 2010 (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	10256	23.2%
2	Rather satisfied	17642	39.8%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	7207	16.3%
4	Rather dissatisfied	954	2.2%
5	Very dissatisfied	376	0.8%
6	No opinion	6049	13.7%
97	No response - part of the question	743	1.7%

ea100n: Opinion about public services: Libraries

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	42	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1009	2.3%
96	Question not asked	54136	
Sysmiss		67426	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ea151a: Opinion about publ services last 12 months in: The municipality where you live

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=45443 /-] [Invalid=120397 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1998-2001 (National): "On the whole, how well do you think that the municipal services function in your municipality"; 2002- (National): "Overall, what is your opinion about the public services provided in the last 12 months in:". ea151a was a single question 1998-2001; since 2002 in a question battery with ea151b.
Literal question	Overall, what is your opinion about the public services provided in the last 12 months in: - The municipality where you live
Notes	1998-2004, 2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	2394	5.3%
2	Rather good	18296	40.3%
3	Neither good nor bad	13438	29.6%
4	Rather bad	3524	7.8%
5	Very bad	1017	2.2%
6	No opinion	5433	12.0%
97	No response - part of the question	145	0.3%
98	Several responses given	24	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1172	2.6%
96	Question not asked	94814	
Sysmiss		25583	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ea151b: Opinion about publ services last 12 months in: The county/region where you live

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36736 /-] [Invalid=129104 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat över tid. 2002-2008 (Riks): "Hur tycker du på det hela taget att servicen har fungerat under de senaste 12 månaderna i:"; 2009 (Riks): "Hur tycker du på det hela taget att den offentliga servicen har fungerat under de senaste 12 månaderna i:".
Literal question	Overall, what is your opinion about the public services provided in the last 12 months in: - The county where you live
Notes	2002-2004, 2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	1658	4.5%
2	Rather good	11888	32.4%
3	Neither good nor bad	11036	30.0%
4	Rather bad	3626	9.9%
5	Very bad	1311	3.6%
6	No opinion	5785	15.7%
97	No response - part of the question	441	1.2%
98	Several responses given	12	0.0%

ea151b: Opinion about publ services last 12 months in: The county/region where you live

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	979	2.7%
96	Question not asked	80792	
Sysmiss		48312	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ea900a: Proposal: Reduce the public sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=100270 /-] [Invalid=65570 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?" West: The question wording has not changed over time (see above).
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce the public sector
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	9483	9.5%
2	Rather good proposal	17015	17.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	26675	26.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	22049	22.0%
5	Very bad proposal	17686	17.6%
97	No response - part of the question	4816	4.8%
98	Several responses given	25	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2521	2.5%
96		65570	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ea900b: Proposal: Reduce spending in the public sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5310 /-] [Invalid=160530 /-]
Literal question	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Reduce spending in the public sector
Notes	1995-1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	635	12.0%
2	Rather good proposal	1448	27.3%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1330	25.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	953	17.9%
5	Very bad proposal	377	7.1%
97	No response - part of the question	192	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	375	7.1%
Sysmiss		160530	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eb900a: Proposal: Convert public utilities like Swedish Telecom into private enterprises

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18914 /-] [Invalid=146926 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Convert public utilities, like Swedish Telecom, into private enterprises
Notes	1987-1988, 1990, 1993-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2265	12.0%
2	Rather good proposal	4243	22.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	4949	26.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	3583	18.9%
5	Very bad proposal	2959	15.6%
97	No response - part of the question	434	2.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	480	2.5%
96	Question not asked	3621	
Sysmiss		143305	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eb900b: Proposal: Sell government owned companies that run business activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sell government owned companies that run business activities
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	399	6.1%
2	Rather good proposal	1063	16.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1993	30.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	1444	22.2%
5	Very bad proposal	1262	19.4%
97	No response - part of the question	142	2.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	189	2.9%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eb900f: Proposal: Have the state take over respoinsibility for the schools from the municipalities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15244 /-] [Invalid=150596 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Have the state take over respoinsibility for the schools from the municipalities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	4866	31.9%

eb900f: Proposal: Have the state take over respoinsibility for the schools from the municipalities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	Rather good proposal	3746		24.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3808		25.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	1414		9.3%
5	Very bad proposal	623		4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	442		2.9%
98	Several responses given	15		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	330		2.2%
96	Question not asked	65442		
Sysmiss		85154		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ed900a: Proposal: Increase the privatization of health care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=78317 /-] [Invalid=87523 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed (some questions are given in Swedish). 1987-1988, 1990 (National): "På senare år har förts en debatt om i vilken utsträckning olika verksamheter bör skötas av stat och kommun eller av privata företag, föreningar eller enskilda. Hur ställer du dig till följande förslag? Sätt ett kryss i den ruta som bäst överensstämmer med din uppfattning?"; 1989, 1991-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?" West: The question wording has changed. 1992-2007 (West): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 2008- (West): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the privatization of health care
Notes	1987- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very good proposal	6081		7.8%
2	Rather good proposal	14768		18.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	18912		24.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	18046		23.0%
5	Very bad proposal	16782		21.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1583		2.0%
98	Several responses given	46		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2099		2.7%
96	Question not asked	85899		
Sysmiss		1624		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ed900c: Proposal: Allow private companies to provide care for the elderly

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=52042 /-] [Invalid=113798 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed (some questions are given in Swedish). 1987-1988, 1990 (National): "På senare år har förts en debatt om i vilken utsträckning olika verksamheter bör skötas av stat och kommun eller av privata företag, föreningar eller enskilda. Hur ställer du dig till följande förslag? Sätt ett kryss i den ruta som bäst överensstämmer med din uppfattning?"; 1992-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?" West: The question wording has changed. 1992-2007 (West): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 2008- (West): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Allow private companies to provide care for the elderly
Notes	1987-1988, 1990, 1992-2010 (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2699	5.2%
2	Rather good proposal	8279	15.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	12815	24.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	12160	23.4%
5	Very bad proposal	13541	26.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1103	2.1%
98	Several responses given	32	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1413	2.7%
96	Question not asked	78914	
Sysmiss		34884	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ed900i: Proposal: Profits should be forbidden within tax-financed health care, education, and social care.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18662 /-] [Invalid=147178 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Profits should be forbidden within tax-financed health care, education, and social care.
Notes	2012-2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	7549	40.5%
2	Rather good proposal	3529	18.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2980	16.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	2128	11.4%
5	Very bad proposal	1588	8.5%
97		517	2.8%
98		10	0.1%
99		361	1.9%
96		73421	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ed900h: Proposal: Prevent for-profit companies from running hospitals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8049 /-] [Invalid=157791 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Prevent for-profit companies from running hospitals
Notes	2011-2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Mycket bra fÃ¶rslag	2959		36.8%
2	Ganska bra fÃ¶rslag	1658		20.6%
3	Varken bra eller dÃ¥ligt fÃ¶rslag	1396		17.3%
4	Ganska dÃ¥ligt fÃ¶rslag	1049		13.0%
5	Mycket dÃ¥ligt fÃ¶rslag	685		8.5%
97	No response - part of the question	132		1.6%
98	Several responses given	7		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	163		2.0%
96	Question not asked	27652		
Sysmiss		130139		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eg10: Past 12 months: Visited a library

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79648 /-] [Invalid=86192 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has changed. 1995-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004-2007 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited a library
Notes	1988- (National); 1995-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	33204		41.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	11098		13.9%
3	About once every half year	6495		8.2%
4	About once every quarter	7997		10.0%
5	About once every month	11797		14.8%
6	About once a week	4294		5.4%
7	Several times a week	1774		2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1362		1.7%
98	Several responses given	94		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1533		1.9%
96	Question not asked	71071		
Sysmiss		15121		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eg200: Overall, how do you feel about library services provided in your municipality?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

eg200: Overall, how do you feel about library services provided in your municipality?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=33320 /-] [Invalid=132520 /-]
Literal question	Overall, how do you feel about the library services provided in your municipality?
Notes	1998-2006, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	8466	25.4%
2	Rather good	10304	30.9%
3	Neither good nor bad	2550	7.7%
4	Rather bad	402	1.2%
5	Very bad	172	0.5%
6	No opinion	10484	31.5%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	19	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	923	2.8%
96	Question not asked	66184	
Sysmiss		66336	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eh10a: Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: The pension system

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13361 /-] [Invalid=152479 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? The pension system
Notes	2004-2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	402	3.0%
2	Rather satisfied	2320	17.4%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3207	24.0%
4	Rather dissatisfied	2703	20.2%
5	Very dissatisfied	1810	13.5%
6	No opinion	2492	18.7%
97	No response - part of the question	51	0.4%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	368	2.8%
96	Question not asked	52465	
Sysmiss		100014	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eh10b: Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: Health insurance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11716 /-] [Invalid=154124 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? Health insurance
Notes	2004-2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	354	3.0%
2	Rather satisfied	2030	17.3%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	2925	25.0%

eh10b: Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: Health insurance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather dissatisfied	1704	14.5%
5	Very dissatisfied	1104	9.4%
6	No opinion	3133	26.7%
97	No response - part of the question	144	1.2%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	314	2.7%
96	Question not asked	42687	
Sysmiss		111437	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

eh10c: Satisfied with the Swedish public insurance system: A-kassan (the unemployment insurance fund)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13361 /-] [Invalid=152479 /-]
Literal question	How satisfied are you with the following public insurance systems? A-kassan (the unemployment insurance)
Notes	2004-2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	281	2.1%
2	Rather satisfied	1778	13.3%
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	3173	23.7%
4	Rather dissatisfied	1414	10.6%
5	Very dissatisfied	1036	7.8%
6	No opinion	5082	38.0%
97	No response - part of the question	222	1.7%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	368	2.8%
96	Question not asked	52465	
Sysmiss		100014	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fa900b: Proposal: Reduce defence spending

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=52456 /-] [Invalid=113384 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986-1997 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals?"; 1999-(National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"
Literal question	How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy? - Reduce defence spending
Notes	1986, 1988-1997, 1999-2001, 2003-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	7185	13.7%
2	Rather good proposal	10798	20.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	15564	29.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	10441	19.9%
5	Very bad proposal	5652	10.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1131	2.2%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1668	3.2%

fa900b: Proposal: Reduce defence spending

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	91692	
Sysmiss		21692	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fa900i: Proposal: Reduce Swedish foreign aid to developing countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=56796 /-] [Invalid=109044 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed over time. 1987-1988 (National): "Sweden should reduce aid to developing countries"; 1993-1997, 1999-2007, 2009 (R2) (National): "Reduce development aid"; 2009-2010 (National-1), 2011- "Reduce Swedish foreign aid to developing countries". The question wording has changed.1986-1987, 1993-1995 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals?"; 1996-1997, 1999: "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2000-2003 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign and security policies?"; 2004 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign, security and immigration policies?"; 2005-2007, 2009-2010 (R1) (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2009-2010 (National-2), 2011- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?".
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Reduce Swedish foreign aid to developing countries
Notes	1987-1988, 1993-1997, 1999-2007, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	5022	8.8%
2	Rather good proposal	8082	14.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	17281	30.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	14173	25.0%
5	Very bad proposal	9237	16.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1190	2.1%
98	Several responses given	24	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1787	3.1%
96	Question not asked	83910	
Sysmiss		25134	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fa900t: Proposal: Sweden should apply for NATO membership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=48874 /-] [Invalid=116966 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1994-1998 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals?"; 1999-2003 (National): "Hur ställer du dig till följande förslag som bland annat gäller svensk utrikes- och säkerhetspolitik?"; 2004 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign and security policies?"; 2005-2010 (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should apply for NATO membership
Notes	1994- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	4193	8.6%
2	Rather good proposal	6853	14.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	16229	33.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	8347	17.1%
5	Very bad proposal	10157	20.8%

fa900t: Proposal: Sweden should apply for NATO membership

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	1182	2.4%
98	Several responses given	23	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1890	3.9%
96	Question not asked	101707	
Sysmiss		15259	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fa900al: Proposal: Re-establish compulsory national service

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8119 /-] [Invalid=157721 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Re-establish compulsory national service
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1411	17.4%
2	Rather good proposal	2014	24.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2242	27.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	1160	14.3%
5	Very bad proposal	954	11.8%
97	No response - part of the question	159	2.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	177	2.2%
96	Question not asked	26300	
Sysmiss		131421	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fa901a: Proposal: Stop Sweden´s participation in the UN military mission in Afghanistan

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9599 /-] [Invalid=156241 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 2007, 2009 (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals that have appeared in the recent debate?"; 2010 (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals that have appeared in the debate on foreign policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Discontinue Sweden´s participation in the UN military mission in Afghanistan
Notes	2007, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1554	16.2%
2	Rather good proposal	1650	17.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1978	20.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	1451	15.1%
5	Very bad proposal	795	8.3%
6	No opinion	1726	18.0%
97	No response - part of the question	59	0.6%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	380	4.0%
96	Question not asked	19612	

fa901a: Proposal: Stop Sweden´s participation in the UN military mission in Afghanistan

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		136629	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fa901c: Proposal: The Nordic countries should come together and form a federal state

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1652 /-] [Invalid=164188 /-]
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals that have appeared in the debate on foreign policy? - The Nordic countries should come together and form a federal state
Notes	2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	126	7.6%
2	Rather good proposal	294	17.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	318	19.2%
4	Rather bad proposal	248	15.0%
5	Very bad proposal	250	15.1%
6	No opinion	331	20.0%
97	No response - part of the question	18	1.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	66	4.0%
96	Question not asked	3355	
Sysmiss		160833	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fa901d: Proposal: Sweden should not be involved in any military deployments abroad

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6408 /-] [Invalid=159432 /-]
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should not be involved in any military deployments abroad
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	979	15.3%
2	Rather good proposal	909	14.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1311	20.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	1186	18.5%
5	Very bad proposal	794	12.4%
6	No opinion	941	14.7%
97	No response - part of the question	48	0.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	237	3.7%
96	Question not asked	18080	
Sysmiss		141352	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb10: In general, what is your attitude towards the EU?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=105006 /-] [Invalid=60834 /-]

fb10: In general, what is your attitude towards the EU?

Literal question	In general, what is your attitude towards the EU?
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	9089	8.7%
2	Rather positive	36511	34.8%
3	Neither positive nor negative	27549	26.2%
4	Rather negative	17165	16.3%
5	Very negative	8096	7.7%
6	No opinion	4605	4.4%
98	Several responses given	82	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1909	1.8%
96	Question not asked	8424	
Sysmiss		52410	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb100: View on membership in the EU/EG

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=75748 /-] [Invalid=90092 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1991-1993 (National): "What is your opinion on a Swedish membership in the EC?"; 1994 (National): "What is your opinion on a Swedish membership in the EU?"; 1995- (National): "What is your opinion on the Swedish membership of the EU?" West: The question wording has changed. 1992-1993 (West): "What is your opinion on a Swedish membership in the EC?"; 1994 (West): "What is your opinion on a Swedish membership in the EU?"; 2000- (West): "What is your opinion on the Swedish membership of the EU?"
Literal question	What is your opinion on the Swedish membership of the EU?
Notes	1991- (National); 1992-1994, 2000-2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Generally for the Swedish membership	32095	42.4%
2	Generally against the Swedish membership	23729	31.3%
3	Have no clear opinion	17848	23.6%
97	No response - part of the question	258	0.3%
98	Several responses given	41	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1777	2.3%
96	Question not asked	81994	
Sysmiss		8098	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb110: Determined wiev on membership in the EG/EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26581 /-] [Invalid=139259 /-]
Literal question	Is your opinion on this issue definite or do you feel a bit uncertain?
Notes	1991-2001 (National); 2000-2001 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Generally for the Swedish membership	13979	52.6%
2	Generally against the Swedish membership	10670	40.1%

fb110: Determined wiev on membership in the EG/EU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	1307	4.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	622	2.3%
96	Fråga ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		139259	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb200a: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10183 /-] [Invalid=155657 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1992 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EG would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"; 1993-1994 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"
Literal question	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The environment
Notes	1989-1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	156	1.5%
2	Some improvements	1228	12.1%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	4345	42.7%
4	Some deteriorations	2703	26.5%
5	Great deteriorations	1180	11.6%
97	No response - part of the question	105	1.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	466	4.6%
Sysmiss		155657	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb200b: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The labor market

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10183 /-] [Invalid=155657 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1992 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EG would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"; 1993-1994 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"
Literal question	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The labor market
Notes	1989-1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	548	5.4%
2	Some improvements	3010	29.6%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	2672	26.2%
4	Some deteriorations	2283	22.4%
5	Great deteriorations	1084	10.6%
97	No response - part of the question	120	1.2%
98	Several responses given	0	

fb200b: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The labor market

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	466	4.6%
Sysmiss		155657	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb200c: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The social security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10183 /-] [Invalid=155657 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1992 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EG would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"; 1993-1994 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"
Literal question	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The social security
Notes	1989-1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	130	1.3%
2	Some improvements	662	6.5%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	4264	41.9%
4	Some deteriorations	3071	30.2%
5	Great deteriorations	1452	14.3%
97	No response - part of the question	138	1.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	466	4.6%
Sysmiss		155657	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb200d: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The economic development

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10183 /-] [Invalid=155657 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1992 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EG would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"; 1993-1994 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"
Literal question	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The economic development
Notes	1989-1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	1109	10.9%
2	Some improvements	4040	39.7%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	2643	26.0%
4	Some deteriorations	1270	12.5%
5	Great deteriorations	539	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	116	1.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	466	4.6%
Sysmiss		155657	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb200e: EU membership would mean for Sweden: The credibility of Swedish neutrality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8479 /-] [Invalid=157361 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1992 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EG would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"; 1993 (National): "What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden?"
Literal question	What do you think a Swedish membership in the EU would mean for the development of the following areas in Sweden? - The credibility of Swedish neutrality
Notes	1989-1993 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	149	1.8%
2	Some improvements	397	4.7%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	2893	34.1%
4	Some deteriorations	2602	30.7%
5	Great deteriorations	1906	22.5%
97	No response - part of the question	120	1.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	412	4.9%
Sysmiss		157361	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210a: EU membership has meant for Sw: The environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The environment
Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	11	0.6%
2	Some improvements	182	10.4%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	995	56.7%
4	Some deteriorations	319	18.2%
5	Great deteriorations	90	5.1%
97	No response - part of the question	28	1.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210b: EU membership has meant for Sw: The economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The economy
Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	15	0.9%

fb210b: EU membership has meant for Sw: The economy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Some improvements	242	13.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	755	43.0%
4	Some deteriorations	399	22.7%
5	Great deteriorations	182	10.4%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210c: EU membership has meant for Sw: The employment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The employment
Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	11	0.6%
2	Some improvements	146	8.3%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	969	55.2%
4	Some deteriorations	307	17.5%
5	Great deteriorations	159	9.1%
97	No response - part of the question	33	1.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210d: EU membership has meant for Sw: The agriculture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The agriculture
Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	65	3.7%
2	Some improvements	365	20.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	578	33.0%
4	Some deteriorations	397	22.6%
5	Great deteriorations	173	9.9%
97	No response - part of the question	47	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210e: EU membership has meant for Sw: The social security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The social security
Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	5	0.3%
2	Some improvements	45	2.6%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	1031	58.8%
4	Some deteriorations	348	19.8%
5	Great deteriorations	144	8.2%
97	No response - part of the question	52	3.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210f: EU membership has meant for Sw: The equality between women and men

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The equality between women and men
Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	7	0.4%
2	Some improvements	84	4.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	1257	71.7%
4	Some deteriorations	162	9.2%
5	Great deteriorations	67	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	48	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210g: EU membership has meant for Sw: The military security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The military security
Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	28	1.6%
2	Some improvements	290	16.5%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	1088	62.0%
4	Some deteriorations	102	5.8%

fb210g: EU membership has meant for Sw: The military security

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Great deteriorations	63	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	54	3.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210h: EU membership has meant for Sw: The national independence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The national independence
Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	7	0.4%
2	Some improvements	44	2.5%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	695	39.6%
4	Some deteriorations	561	32.0%
5	Great deteriorations	258	14.7%
97	No response - part of the question	60	3.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210i: EU membership has meant for Sw: The opportunity of influencing EU development

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU
Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	79	4.5%
2	Some improvements	459	26.2%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	660	37.6%
4	Some deteriorations	189	10.8%
5	Great deteriorations	184	10.5%
97	No response - part of the question	54	3.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210j: EU membership has meant for Sw: The level of food prices

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]

fb210j: EU membership has meant for Sw: The level of food prices

	Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The level of food prices
	Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	44	2.5%
2	Some improvements	509	29.0%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	645	36.8%
4	Some deteriorations	286	16.3%
5	Great deteriorations	103	5.9%
97	No response - part of the question	38	2.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210k: EU membership has meant for Sw: The fight against crime

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
	Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The fight against crime
	Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	6	0.3%
2	Some improvements	102	5.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	818	46.6%
4	Some deteriorations	393	22.4%
5	Great deteriorations	267	15.2%
97	No response - part of the question	39	2.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb210l: EU membership has meant for Sw: Companies competitiveness

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1754 /-] [Invalid=164086 /-]
	Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Companies competitiveness
	Notes	1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	74	4.2%
2	Some improvements	601	34.3%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	709	40.4%
4	Some deteriorations	123	7.0%
5	Great deteriorations	57	3.2%
97	No response - part of the question	61	3.5%
98	Several responses given	0	

fb210l: EU membership has meant for Sw: Companies competitiveness

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	129	7.4%
Sysmiss		164086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211a: EU membership has meant for Sw: The environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21862 /-] [Invalid=143978 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The environment
Notes	1998-2003, 2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	254	1.2%
2	Some improvements	3429	15.7%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	9685	44.3%
4	Some deteriorations	2656	12.1%
5	Great deteriorations	664	3.0%
6	No opinion	3954	18.1%
97	No response - part of the question	252	1.2%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	955	4.4%
96		24370	
Sysmiss		119608	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211b: EU membership has meant for Sw: The economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21862 /-] [Invalid=143978 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The economy
Notes	1998-2003, 2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	284	1.3%
2	Some improvements	3481	15.9%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	7753	35.5%
4	Some deteriorations	3833	17.5%
5	Great deteriorations	1574	7.2%
6	No opinion	3671	16.8%
97	No response - part of the question	301	1.4%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	955	4.4%
96		24370	
Sysmiss		119608	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211c: EU membership has meant for Sw: The employment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

fb211c: EU membership has meant for Sw: The employment

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21862 /-] [Invalid=143978 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The employment
Notes	1998-2003, 2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	188	0.9%
2	Some improvements	2965	13.6%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	9573	43.8%
4	Some deteriorations	2729	12.5%
5	Great deteriorations	1100	5.0%
6	No opinion	3953	18.1%
97	No response - part of the question	385	1.8%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	955	4.4%
96		24370	
Sysmiss		119608	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211d: EU membership has meant for Sw: The agriculture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18544 /-] [Invalid=147296 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The agriculture
Notes	1998-2003, 2005-2006, 2008-2009, 2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	385	2.1%
2	Some improvements	2975	16.0%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	4368	23.6%
4	Some deteriorations	3799	20.5%
5	Great deteriorations	2162	11.7%
6	No opinion	3782	20.4%
97	No response - part of the question	245	1.3%
98	Several responses given	28	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	800	4.3%
96		19246	
Sysmiss		128050	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211e: EU membership has meant for Sw: The social security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16693 /-] [Invalid=149147 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The social security
Notes	1998-2002, 2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	81	0.5%

fb211e: EU membership has meant for Sw: The social security

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Some improvements	804	4.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	7999	47.9%
4	Some deteriorations	2238	13.4%
5	Great deteriorations	856	5.1%
6	No opinion	3654	21.9%
97	No response - part of the question	282	1.7%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	769	4.6%
96		22511	
Sysmiss		126636	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211f: EU membership has meant for Sw: The equality between women and men

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15041 /-] [Invalid=150799 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The equality between women and men
Notes	1998-2002, 2007-2009, 2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	86	0.6%
2	Some improvements	1004	6.7%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	8249	54.8%
4	Some deteriorations	1135	7.5%
5	Great deteriorations	372	2.5%
6	No opinion	3268	21.7%
97	No response - part of the question	224	1.5%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	695	4.6%
96		19156	
Sysmiss		131643	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211g: EU membership has meant for Sw: The military security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15259 /-] [Invalid=150581 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The military security
Notes	1998-2003, 2007, 2009, 2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	374	2.5%
2	Some improvements	2621	17.2%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	5979	39.2%
4	Some deteriorations	1132	7.4%
5	Great deteriorations	620	4.1%
6	No opinion	3584	23.5%
97	No response - part of the question	232	1.5%

fb211g: EU membership has meant for Sw: The military security

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	703	4.6%
96		19354	
Sysmiss		131227	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211h: EU membership has meant for Sw: The national independence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11982 /-] [Invalid=153858 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The national independence
Notes	1998-2002, 2007, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	54	0.5%
2	Some improvements	336	2.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	3446	28.8%
4	Some deteriorations	3157	26.3%
5	Great deteriorations	2081	17.4%
6	No opinion	2080	17.4%
97	No response - part of the question	203	1.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	617	5.1%
96		14317	
Sysmiss		139541	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211i: EU membership has meant for Sw: The opportunity of influencing EU development

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13564 /-] [Invalid=152276 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU
Notes	1998-2002, 2007, 2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	672	5.0%
2	Some improvements	3346	24.7%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	3729	27.5%
4	Some deteriorations	1177	8.7%
5	Great deteriorations	1258	9.3%
6	No opinion	2449	18.1%
97	No response - part of the question	250	1.8%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	669	4.9%
96		17661	
Sysmiss		134615	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211j: EU membership has meant for Sw: The level of food prices

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18749 /-] [Invalid=147091 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The level of food prices
Notes	1998-2003, 2005-2008, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	477	2.5%
2	Some improvements	4438	23.7%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	6164	32.9%
4	Some deteriorations	2799	14.9%
5	Great deteriorations	1088	5.8%
6	No opinion	2679	14.3%
97	No response - part of the question	234	1.2%
98	Several responses given	16	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	854	4.6%
96		17837	
Sysmiss		129254	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211k: EU membership has meant for Sw: The fight against crime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18665 /-] [Invalid=147175 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The fight against crime
Notes	1998-2003, 2005-2006, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	206	1.1%
2	Some improvements	2728	14.6%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	6993	37.5%
4	Some deteriorations	2463	13.2%
5	Great deteriorations	1710	9.2%
6	No opinion	3451	18.5%
97	No response - part of the question	277	1.5%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	825	4.4%
96		19412	
Sysmiss		127763	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211l: EU membership has meant for Sw: Companies competitiveness

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8664 /-] [Invalid=157176 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Companies competitiveness
Notes	1998-2002 (National)

fb211l: EU membership has meant for Sw: Companies competitiveness

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	224	2.6%
2	Some improvements	2072	23.9%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	2756	31.8%
4	Some deteriorations	638	7.4%
5	Great deteriorations	297	3.4%
6	No opinion	2046	23.6%
97	No response - part of the question	163	1.9%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	462	5.3%
96		9193	
Sysmiss		147983	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211m: EU membership has meant for Sw: Conditions for businesses/companies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8298 /-] [Invalid=157542 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Conditions for businesses/companies
Notes	2003, 2005-2006, 2008, 2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	152	1.8%
2	Some improvements	1679	20.2%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	2943	35.5%
4	Some deteriorations	589	7.1%
5	Great deteriorations	254	3.1%
6	No opinion	2262	27.3%
97	No response - part of the question	126	1.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	286	3.4%
96		4850	
Sysmiss		152692	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211n: EU membership has meant for Sw: Higher education/research

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16933 /-] [Invalid=148907 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Higher education/research
Notes	1998-2002, 2005-2008, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	361	2.1%
2	Some improvements	3564	21.0%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	6483	38.3%
4	Some deteriorations	475	2.8%
5	Great deteriorations	258	1.5%

fb211n: EU membership has meant for Sw: Higher education/research

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	No opinion	4670	27.6%
97	No response - part of the question	318	1.9%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	795	4.7%
96		15978	
Sysmiss		132929	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211o: EU membership has meant for Sw: Immigrants and refugees

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18306 /-] [Invalid=147534 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - Immigrants and refugees
Notes	1998-2002, 2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	119	0.7%
2	Some improvements	1173	6.4%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	7326	40.0%
4	Some deteriorations	2532	13.8%
5	Great deteriorations	1584	8.7%
6	No opinion	4425	24.2%
97	No response - part of the question	299	1.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	845	4.6%
96		20690	
Sysmiss		126844	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb211p: EU membership has meant for Sw: The alcohol policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8148 /-] [Invalid=157692 /-]
Literal question	What do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has meant for the development of the following areas in Sweden - The policy on alcohol
Notes	2005-2008, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Great improvements	140	1.7%
2	Some improvements	1126	13.8%
3	Neiter improvements nor deteriorations	2849	35.0%
4	Some deteriorations	1213	14.9%
5	Great deteriorations	866	10.6%
6	No opinion	1548	19.0%
97	No response - part of the question	95	1.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	308	3.8%
96		6619	
Sysmiss		151073	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212a: EU membership has affected Sweden: The environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16633 /-] [Invalid=149207 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The environment
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	660	4.0%
2	Rather positive	4358	26.2%
3	Neither positive nor negative	5529	33.2%
4	Rather negative	1956	11.8%
5	Very negative	613	3.7%
6	No opinion	2691	16.2%
97	No response - part of the question	169	1.0%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	647	3.9%
96	Question not asked	75450	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212b: EU membership has affected Sweden: The economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16633 /-] [Invalid=149207 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The economy
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	709	4.3%
2	Rather positive	4340	26.1%

fb212b: EU membership has affected Sweden: The economy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither positive nor negative	4386	26.4%
4	Rather negative	2704	16.3%
5	Very negative	1095	6.6%
6	No opinion	2560	15.4%
97	No response - part of the question	184	1.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	647	3.9%
96	Question not asked	75450	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212c: EU membership has affected Sweden: The employment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16633 /-] [Invalid=149207 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The employment
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	507	3.0%
2	Rather positive	3835	23.1%
3	Neither positive nor negative	5698	34.3%
4	Rather negative	1874	11.3%
5	Very negative	791	4.8%
6	No opinion	3019	18.2%
97	No response - part of the question	251	1.5%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	647	3.9%
96	Question not asked	75450	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212d: EU membership has affected Sweden: The social security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15053 /-] [Invalid=150787 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The social security
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	283	1.9%
2	Rather positive	2194	14.6%
3	Neither positive nor negative	6129	40.7%
4	Rather negative	1943	12.9%
5	Very negative	943	6.3%
6	No opinion	2722	18.1%
97	No response - part of the question	221	1.5%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	604	4.0%

fb212d: EU membership has affected Sweden: The social security

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	67202	
Sysmiss		83585	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212e: EU membership has affected Sweden: The national independence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4813 /-] [Invalid=161027 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The national independence
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	68	1.4%
2	Rather positive	414	8.6%
3	Neither positive nor negative	1540	32.0%
4	Rather negative	1106	23.0%
5	Very negative	602	12.5%
6	No opinion	836	17.4%
97	No response - part of the question	64	1.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	182	3.8%
96	Question not asked	18180	
Sysmiss		142847	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212f: EU membership has affected Sweden: The opportunity of influencing EU development

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14924 /-] [Invalid=150916 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The opportunity of influencing the development in the EU
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	629	4.2%
2	Rather positive	3548	23.8%
3	Neither positive nor negative	3976	26.6%
4	Rather negative	2142	14.4%
5	Very negative	1402	9.4%
6	No opinion	2432	16.3%
97	No response - part of the question	207	1.4%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	576	3.9%
96	Question not asked	70283	
Sysmiss		80633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212g: EU membership has affected Sweden: Higher education/research

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

fb212g: EU membership has affected Sweden: Higher education/research

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4813 /-] [Invalid=161027 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - Higher education/research
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very positive	264		5.5%
2	Rather positive	1503		31.2%
3	Neither positive nor negative	1467		30.5%
4	Rather negative	179		3.7%
5	Very negative	95		2.0%
6	No opinion	1051		21.8%
97	No response - part of the question	67		1.4%
98	Several responses given	5		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	182		3.8%
96	Question not asked	18180		
Sysmiss		142847		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212h: EU membership has affected Sweden: The fight against crime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14924 /-] [Invalid=150916 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The fight against crime
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very positive	547		3.7%
2	Rather positive	3613		24.2%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4417		29.6%
4	Rather negative	1646		11.0%
5	Very negative	1183		7.9%
6	No opinion	2716		18.2%
97	No response - part of the question	211		1.4%
98	Several responses given	15		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	576		3.9%
96	Question not asked	70283		
Sysmiss		80633		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212i: EU membership has affected Sweden: The immigration

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16633 /-] [Invalid=149207 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The immigration
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very positive	265		1.6%
2	Rather positive	1804		10.8%
3	Neither positive nor negative	5185		31.2%

fb212i: EU membership has affected Sweden: The immigration

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather negative	3100	18.6%
5	Very negative	2730	16.4%
6	No opinion	2703	16.3%
97	No response - part of the question	186	1.1%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	647	3.9%
96	Question not asked	75450	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212j: EU membership has affected Sweden: The alcohol policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3233 /-] [Invalid=162607 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The alcohol policy
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	52	1.6%
2	Rather positive	322	10.0%
3	Neither positive nor negative	1353	41.8%
4	Rather negative	425	13.1%
5	Very negative	187	5.8%
6	No opinion	718	22.2%
97	No response - part of the question	37	1.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	139	4.3%
96	Question not asked	9932	
Sysmiss		152675	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212k: EU membership has affected Sweden: The agriculture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11820 /-] [Invalid=154020 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The agriculture
Notes	2013 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	339	2.9%
2	Rather positive	2531	21.4%
3	Neither positive nor negative	2953	25.0%
4	Rather negative	2108	17.8%
5	Very negative	996	8.4%
6	No opinion	2242	19.0%
97	No response - part of the question	174	1.5%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	465	3.9%
96	Question not asked	57270	

fb212k: EU membership has affected Sweden: The agriculture

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		96750	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212l: EU membership has affected Sweden: The equality between women and men

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11820 /-] [Invalid=154020 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - The equality between women and men
Notes	2013 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	305	2.6%
2	Rather positive	1862	15.8%
3	Neither positive nor negative	5175	43.8%
4	Rather negative	1176	9.9%
5	Very negative	434	3.7%
6	No opinion	2239	18.9%
97	No response - part of the question	154	1.3%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	465	3.9%
96	Question not asked	57270	
Sysmiss		96750	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212m: EU membership has affected Sweden: Conditions for businesses/companies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4933 /-] [Invalid=160907 /-]
Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? - Conditions for businesses/companies
Notes	2013 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	186	3.8%
2	Rather positive	1179	23.9%
3	Neither positive nor negative	1524	30.9%
4	Rather negative	384	7.8%
5	Very negative	180	3.6%
6	No opinion	1191	24.1%
97	No response - part of the question	92	1.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	192	3.9%
96	Question not asked	18374	
Sysmiss		142533	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb212n: EU membership has affected Sweden: The military security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13465 /-] [Invalid=152375 /-]

fb212n: EU membership has affected Sweden: The military security

Literal question	How do you think the Swedish membership in the EU has affected Sweden in the following areas? The military security	
Notes	2014,2016	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	609	4.5%
2	Rather positive	3567	26.5%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4474	33.2%
4	Rather negative	926	6.9%
5	Very negative	530	3.9%
6	No opinion	2676	19.9%
97		170	1.3%
98		12	0.1%
99		501	3.7%
96		65726	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb900g: Proposal: Sweden should participate in the defence cooperation within the EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12906 /-] [Invalid=152934 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 2005-2010 (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should participate in the defence cooperation within the EU
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1245	9.6%
2	Rather good proposal	3500	27.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	4430	34.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	1606	12.4%
5	Very bad proposal	1391	10.8%
97	No response - part of the question	210	1.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	517	4.0%
96		21565	
Sysmiss		131369	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb900j1: Proposal: Introduce a common currency in the EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5310 /-] [Invalid=160530 /-]
Literal question	A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion? - Introduce a common currency in the EU
Notes	1995-1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	306	5.8%
2	Rather good proposal	651	12.3%

fb900j1: Proposal: Introduce a common currency in the EU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1460	27.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	851	16.0%
5	Very bad proposal	1473	27.7%
97	No response - part of the question	194	3.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	375	7.1%
Sysmiss		160530	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb900j2: Proposal: Sweden should become a member of the EMU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23171 /-] [Invalid=142669 /-]
Definition	National: The item wording has changed over time. 1998-2000 (National): "Sweden should join the EMU (the economic and monetary union)"; 2001-2005 (National): "Sweden should become a member of the EMU". West: The item wording in West SOM is the following: 1999-2001 (West): "Sweden should join the EMU (the economic and monetary union)" The question wording in West SOM is the following: 1999-2001 (West): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sweden should become a member of the EMU
Notes	1998-2005 (National); 1999-2001 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	3600	15.5%
2	Rather good proposal	3679	15.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6139	26.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	3028	13.1%
5	Very bad proposal	5421	23.4%
97	No response - part of the question	576	2.5%
98	Several responses given	19	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	709	3.1%
96		5472	
Sysmiss		137197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb900j3: Proposal: Sweden should introduce the euro as currency

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29628 /-] [Invalid=136212 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 2006-2010 (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should introduce the euro as currency
Notes	2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2117	7.1%
2	Rather good proposal	2968	10.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	5337	18.0%

fb900j3: Proposal: Sweden should introduce the euro as currency

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather bad proposal	6012	20.3%
5	Very bad proposal	11916	40.2%
97	No response - part of the question	345	1.2%
98	Several responses given	19	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	914	3.1%
96		87138	
Sysmiss		49074	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb900j: Proposal: Sweden should introduce the euro as currency

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58109 /-] [Invalid=107731 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable including fb900j1-j3 (1995-1997 (National): fb900j1; 1998-2005 (National): fb900j2; 2006- (National): fb900j3). The item wording has changed over time. 1995-1997 (National): "Introduce a common EU currency"; 1998-2000 (National): "Sweden should join the EMU (the economic and monetary union)"; 2001-2005 (National): "Sweden should become a member of the EMU"; 2006- (National): "Sweden should introduce the euro as currency". The question wording has changed. 1995-1997 (National): "A number of proposals on how to improve the state of the Swedish economy have been presented in the political debate. What is your opinion?"; 1998-2005 (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2006-2010 (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2011- (Nationa): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should introduce the euro as currency
Notes	1995- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	6023	10.4%
2	Rather good proposal	7298	12.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	12936	22.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	9891	17.0%
5	Very bad proposal	18810	32.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1115	1.9%
98	Several responses given	38	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1998	3.4%
96		19111	
Sysmiss		88620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb900l: Proposal: Sweden should withdraw from the EU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28190 /-] [Invalid=137650 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 2006-2010 (National): "How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy?"; 2011- (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Sweden should withdraw from the EU
Notes	2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2887	10.2%
2	Rather good proposal	2531	9.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6560	23.3%

fb900l: Proposal: Sweden should withdraw from the EU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather bad proposal	5640	20.0%
5	Very bad proposal	9140	32.4%
97	No response - part of the question	628	2.2%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	787	2.8%
96		88576	
Sysmiss		49074	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb900m: Proposal: Turkey should be granted EU membership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9838 /-] [Invalid=156002 /-]
Literal question	How do you feel about the following proposals regarding the national foreign and security policy? - Turkey should be granted EU membership
Notes	2006, 2008, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	266	2.7%
2	Rather good proposal	674	6.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3185	32.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	2224	22.6%
5	Very bad proposal	2904	29.5%
97	No response - part of the question	273	2.8%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	305	3.1%
96		18195	
Sysmiss		137807	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb900n: Proposal: The EU should have a common fiscal policy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7031 /-] [Invalid=158809 /-]
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - The EU should have a common fiscal policy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	232	3.3%
2	Rather good proposal	784	11.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2273	32.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	1724	24.5%
5	Very bad proposal	1641	23.3%
97	No response - part of the question	236	3.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	138	2.0%
96	Question not asked	36383	
Sysmiss		122426	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fb900o: Proposal: Strengthen the EU as a counterweight to the US in world politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7000 /-] [Invalid=158840 /-]
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Strengthen the EU as a counterweight to the US in world politics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1346	19.2%
2	Rather good proposal	2056	29.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2432	34.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	463	6.6%
5	Very bad proposal	282	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	276	3.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	140	2.0%
96	Question not asked	36414	
Sysmiss		122426	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc10a: Statement: There are too many foreigners in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10336 /-] [Invalid=155504 /-]
Literal question	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opion about the following standpoints? - There are too many foreigners in Sweden
Notes	1993, 1997, 1999, 2004, 2007, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree completely	2451	23.7%
2	Agree for the most part	1579	15.3%
3	Agree somewhat	2837	27.4%
4	Do not agree at all	2443	23.6%
5	Do not know	663	6.4%
97	No response - part of the question	61	0.6%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96		5407	
Sysmiss		150097	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc10b: Statement: Immigrants in Sweden must be able to freely practice their religion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10336 /-] [Invalid=155504 /-]
Definition	See also fc20b (a different 4 point scale).
Literal question	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opion about the following standpoints? - Immigrants in Sweden must be able to freely practice their religion
Notes	1993, 1997, 1999, 2004, 2007, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree completely	1703	16.5%
2	Agree for the most part	1999	19.3%
3	Agree somewhat	3453	33.4%

fc10b: Statement: Immigrants in Sweden must be able to freely practice their religion

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Do not agree at all	2325	22.5%
5	Do not know	444	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	112	1.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96		5407	
Sysmiss		150097	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc10c: Statement: Some ethnic groups are more intelligent than others

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10336 /-] [Invalid=155504 /-]
Literal question	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opion about the following standpoints? - Some ethnic groups are more intelligent than others
Notes	1993, 1997, 1999, 2004, 2007, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree completely	275	2.7%
2	Agree for the most part	368	3.6%
3	Agree somewhat	921	8.9%
4	Do not agree at all	6874	66.5%
5	Do not know	1432	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	162	1.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96		5407	
Sysmiss		150097	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc10d: Statement: I can think of joining org. that works against racism/xenophobia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10336 /-] [Invalid=155504 /-]
Definition	See also fc20e (a different 4 point scale).
Literal question	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opion about the following standpoints? - I can think of joining an organization that works against racism and xenophobia
Notes	1993, 1997, 1999, 2004, 2007, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree completely	1754	17.0%
2	Agree for the most part	1661	16.1%
3	Agree somewhat	1886	18.2%
4	Do not agree at all	2564	24.8%
5	Do not know	2020	19.5%
97	No response - part of the question	150	1.5%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96		5407	
Sysmiss		150097	

fc10d: Statement: I can think of joining org. that works against racism/xenophobia

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc10e: Statement: I would not appreciate having an immigrant marrying into the family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10336 /-] [Invalid=155504 /-]
Literal question	A wide range of opinions have been presented in the public debate on refugees and immigration. What is your opion about the following standpoints? - I would not appreciate having an immigrant from another part of the world marrying into the family
Notes	1993, 1997, 1999, 2004, 2007, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree completely	824	8.0%
2	Agree for the most part	559	5.4%
3	Agree somewhat	1541	14.9%
4	Do not agree at all	5265	50.9%
5	Do not know	1705	16.5%
97	No response - part of the question	141	1.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	297	2.9%
96		5407	
Sysmiss		150097	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc20a: Statement: Immigration is a threat to Swedish values and culture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13351 /-] [Invalid=152489 /-]
Literal question	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Immigration is a threat to Swedish values and culture
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely true	1889	14.1%
2	Partly true	3393	25.4%
3	Partly false	2413	18.1%
4	Completely false	4570	34.2%
5	Do not know	758	5.7%
97	No response - part of the question	72	0.5%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	248	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	33989	
Sysmiss		118500	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc20b: Statement: Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14931 /-] [Invalid=150909 /-]
Definition	See also fc10b (a different 4 point scale).
Literal question	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here
Notes	2011 (National)

fc20b: Statement: Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely true	3471	23.2%
2	Partly true	4509	30.2%
3	Partly false	3134	21.0%
4	Completely false	2394	16.0%
5	Do not know	1002	6.7%
97	No response - part of the question	131	0.9%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	282	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	42237	
Sysmiss		108672	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc20c: Statement: I know many who feel that immigration is the most important soc issue

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3220 /-] [Invalid=162620 /-]
Literal question	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I now many people who feel that the problems related to immigration form the most important societal issue of today
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely true	683	21.2%
2	Partly true	894	27.8%
3	Partly false	508	15.8%
4	Completely false	658	20.4%
5	Do not know	358	11.1%
97	No response - part of the question	31	1.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	16364	
Sysmiss		146256	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc20d: Statement: Swedish media will not tell the truth about the immigration problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6542 /-] [Invalid=159298 /-]
Literal question	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - Swedish media will not tell the truth about the problems in society related to immigration
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely true	1620	24.8%
2	Partly true	2005	30.6%
3	Partly false	887	13.6%
4	Completely false	676	10.3%
5	Do not know	1144	17.5%
97	No response - part of the question	65	1.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%

fc20d: Statement: Swedish media will not tell the truth about the immigration problems

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	141	2.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	29746	
Sysmiss		129552	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc20e: Statement: I can think of joining org. that works against racism/xenophobia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3220 /-] [Invalid=162620 /-]
Definition	See also fc10d (a different 4 point scale).
Literal question	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I can think of joining an organization that works against racism and xenophobia
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely true	585	18.2%
2	Partly true	817	25.4%
3	Partly false	284	8.8%
4	Completely false	633	19.7%
5	Do not know	769	23.9%
97	No response - part of the question	42	1.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	16364	
Sysmiss		146256	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc20f: Statement: I´d consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3220 /-] [Invalid=162620 /-]
Literal question	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? - I would consider joining an organisation that wants to stop immigration to Sweden
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely true	131	4.1%
2	Partly true	233	7.2%
3	Partly false	263	8.2%
4	Completely false	2045	63.5%
5	Do not know	423	13.1%
97	No response - part of the question	36	1.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	16364	
Sysmiss		146256	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc30b: Statement: Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

fc30b: Statement: Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18633 /-] [Invalid=147207 /-]
Literal question	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? Immigrants should be able to practise their religions here
Notes	1993,2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Completely true	3851		20.7%
2	Partly true	4523		24.3%
3	Partly false	5109		27.4%
4	Completely false	3527		18.9%
5	Do not know	970		5.2%
97		176		0.9%
98		8		0.0%
99		469		2.5%
Sysmiss		147207		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc30e: Statement: I´d consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13556 /-] [Invalid=152284 /-]
Literal question	A wide range of opinions are presented in the debate on refugees and immigration. How do you feel about the following standpoints? I´d consider joining an org. that wants to stop immigration to Sweden
Notes	1993-2011

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Completely true	2339		17.3%
2	Partly true	2478		18.3%
3	Partly false	2170		16.0%
4	Completely false	3197		23.6%
5	Do not know	2789		20.6%
97		192		1.4%
98		7		0.1%
99		384		2.8%
Sysmiss		152284		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc900a: Proposal: Accept more refugees into Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37714 /-] [Invalid=128126 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed over time. 1987-1988, 1991-1997 (National): "Sweden should accept more refugees from other countries"; 1999 (National): "Accept more refugees into Sweden" The question wording has changed. 1987-1988, 1991-1997 (National): ; 1999 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign and security policies?"
Pre-question	Hur ställer du dig till följande förslag som bland annat gäller svensk utrikes- och säkerhetspolitik?
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Accept more refugees into Sweden
Notes	1987-1988, 1991-1997, 1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very good proposal	1895		5.0%

fc900a: Proposal: Accept more refugees into Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rather good proposal	4497	11.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	9693	25.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	8939	23.7%
5	Very bad proposal	11135	29.5%
97	No response - part of the question	553	1.5%
98	Several responses given	23	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	979	2.6%
96		64760	
Sysmiss		63366	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc900b: Proposal: Accept fewer refugees into Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=124410 /-] [Invalid=41430 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Accept fewer refugees into Sweden
Notes	1990- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	31621	25.4%
2	Rather good proposal	28032	22.5%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	29414	23.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	18356	14.8%
5	Very bad proposal	11889	9.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1998	1.6%
98	Several responses given	72	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3028	2.4%
96		34827	
Sysmiss		6603	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc900e: Proposal: Immigration policies should adjust refugees/immigrants to Sw culture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6697 /-] [Invalid=159143 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1994 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals?"; 1999 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign and security policies?"; 2004 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign, security and immigration policies?"; 2011 (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Swedish immigration policy should help refugees and immigrants adjust to Swedish traditions and culture
Notes	1994, 1999, 2004, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2379	35.5%
2	Rather good proposal	2533	37.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1110	16.6%

fc900e: Proposal: Immigration policies should adjust refugees/immigrants to Sw culture

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather bad proposal	216	3.2%
5	Very bad proposal	132	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	54	0.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	270	4.0%
96	Question not asked	13399	
Sysmiss		145744	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

fc900f: Proposal: Immgration pol. should help refugees/immigrants maintain their culture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6697 /-] [Invalid=159143 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1994 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1999 (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"; 2004 (National): "What is your opinion about the following proposals regarding Swedish foreign, security and immigration policies?"; 2011 (National): "And what is your opinion about the following proposals?"
Literal question	And what is your opinion about the following proposals? - Swedish immgration policy should help refugees and immigrants maintain their national traditions and culture
Notes	1994, 1999, 2004, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	484	7.2%
2	Rather good proposal	1188	17.7%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	2389	35.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	1439	21.5%
5	Very bad proposal	894	13.3%
97	No response - part of the question	98	1.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	202	3.0%
96	Question not asked	11599	
Sysmiss		147544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10a: Concern about: Terrorism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58705 /-] [Invalid=107135 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed over time. 1986, 1988 (National): "The terrorism"; 1991- (National): "Terrorism".
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Terrorism
Notes	1986, 1988, 1991, 1993-1994, 1996, 2000- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	23251	39.6%
2	Of some concern	19226	32.8%
3	Of little concern	12047	20.5%
4	Of no concern at all	2135	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	711	1.2%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%

ga10a: Concern about: Terrorism

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	1318	2.2%
96	Question not asked	89820	
Sysmiss		17315	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10b: Concern about: Tensions between rich and poor countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8280 /-] [Invalid=157560 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed over time. 1986 (National): "The tensions between rich and poor countries"; 1988 (National): "Tensions between rich and poor countries".
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Tensions between rich and poor countries
Notes	1986, 1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	1997	24.1%
2	Of some concern	3687	44.5%
3	Of little concern	2006	24.2%
4	Of no concern at all	222	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	175	2.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	192	2.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	19006	
Sysmiss		138554	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10c: Concern about: Military arms races

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3267 /-] [Invalid=162573 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Military arms races
Notes	1986, 1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	1566	47.9%
2	Of some concern	1098	33.6%
3	Of little concern	421	12.9%
4	Of no concern at all	34	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	87	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	61	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		162573	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10d: Concern about: Population growth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6707 /-] [Invalid=159133 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Population growth

ga10d: Concern about: Population growth

	Notes	1986, 1988, 2004, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	1133	16.9%
2	Of some concern	2310	34.4%
3	Of little concern	2547	38.0%
4	Of no concern at all	379	5.7%
97	No response - part of the question	183	2.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	154	2.3%
96	Question not asked	3607	
Sysmiss		155526	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10f: Concern about: The risk of a war between world superpowers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=164197 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - The risk of a war between world superpowers
Notes	1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	377	22.9%
2	Of some concern	421	25.6%
3	Of little concern	668	40.7%
4	Of no concern at all	102	6.2%
97	No response - part of the question	30	1.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	45	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		164197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10g: Concern about: Environmental degradation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=57062 /-] [Invalid=108778 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed over time. 1986 (National): "The environmental degradation"; 1993- (National): "Environmental degradation".
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Environmental degradation
Notes	1986, 1993-1994, 1996, 2000- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	27483	48.2%
2	Of some concern	21772	38.2%
3	Of little concern	5283	9.3%
4	Of no concern at all	595	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	639	1.1%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1273	2.2%

ga10g: Concern about: Environmental degradation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Frågan ej ställd	89820	
Sysmiss		18958	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10h: Concern about: Economic crisis

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=57053 /-] [Invalid=108787 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Economic crisis
Notes	1993-1994, 1996, 2000- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	11054	19.4%
2	Of some concern	24964	43.8%
3	Of little concern	16041	28.1%
4	Of no concern at all	975	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	2677	4.7%
98	Several responses given	22	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1320	2.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	88205	
Sysmiss		20582	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10i: Concern about: The situation in Russia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18818 /-] [Invalid=147022 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - The situation in Russia
Notes	1993-1994, 1996, 2000, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	4255	22.6%
2	Of some concern	7841	41.7%
3	Of little concern	5070	26.9%
4	Of no concern at all	869	4.6%
97	No response - part of the question	380	2.0%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	397	2.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	45775	
Sysmiss		101247	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10j: Concern about: Increased number of refugees

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=45850 /-] [Invalid=119990 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased number of refugees
Notes	1993-1994, 1996, 2000-2005, 2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	12211	26.6%

ga10j: Concern about: Increased number of refugees

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Of some concern	14557	31.7%
3	Of little concern	12744	27.8%
4	Of no concern at all	2848	6.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2422	5.3%
98	Several responses given	21	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1047	2.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	94499	
Sysmiss		25491	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10k: Concern about: Spreading of the Balkan war

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3561 /-] [Invalid=162279 /-]
	Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Spreading of the Balkan war
	Notes	1993-1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	781	21.9%
2	Of some concern	1616	45.4%
3	Of little concern	991	27.8%
4	Of no concern at all	84	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	48	1.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	41	1.2%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		162279	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10l: Concern about: High unemployment

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=52020 /-] [Invalid=113820 /-]
	Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - High unemployment
	Notes	1994, 1996, 2000-2003, 2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	18215	35.0%
2	Of some concern	21946	42.2%
3	Of little concern	9324	17.9%
4	Of no concern at all	793	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	558	1.1%
98	Several responses given	13	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1171	2.3%
96	Question not asked	89626	
Sysmiss		24194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10m: Concern about: Poverty in the Third World

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11988 /-] [Invalid=153852 /-]
	Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Poverty in the Third World
	Notes	1996, 2000-2002, 2005, 2007-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	4354	36.3%
2	Of some concern	5100	42.5%
3	Of little concern	1669	13.9%
4	Of no concern at all	283	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	202	1.7%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%

ga10m: Concern about: Poverty in the Third World

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	374	3.1%
96	Question not asked	10777	
Sysmiss		143075	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10p: Concern about: Neo nazism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8768 /-] [Invalid=157072 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Neo nazism
Notes	2000-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	4532	51.7%
2	Of some concern	2039	23.3%
3	Of little concern	1440	16.4%
4	Of no concern at all	354	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	108	1.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	293	3.3%
96	Question not asked	12576	
Sysmiss		144496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10q: Concern about: Changes in the Earth´s climate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=53865 /-] [Invalid=111975 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Changes in the Earth´s climate
Notes	2001- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	24107	44.8%
2	Of some concern	19690	36.6%
3	Of little concern	7134	13.2%
4	Of no concern at all	1089	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	610	1.1%
98	Several responses given	21	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1214	2.3%
96	Question not asked	80934	
Sysmiss		31041	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10r: Concern about: Organised crime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35580 /-] [Invalid=130260 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Organised crime
Notes	2001-2004, 2006, 2010- (National)

ga10r: Concern about: Organised crime

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	14772	41.5%
2	Of some concern	12144	34.1%
3	Of little concern	5131	14.4%
4	Of no concern at all	548	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	2145	6.0%
98	Several responses given	31	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	809	2.3%
96	Question not asked	84100	
Sysmiss		46160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10s: Concern about: A new world war

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6753 /-] [Invalid=159087 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - A new world war
Notes	2001-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	1427	21.1%
2	Of some concern	1542	22.8%
3	Of little concern	2537	37.6%
4	Of no concern at all	941	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	80	1.2%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.3%
96	Question not asked	18583	
Sysmiss		140504	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10u: Concern about: Religious antagonism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14920 /-] [Invalid=150920 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Religious antagonism
Notes	2004, 2008, 2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	4222	28.3%
2	Of some concern	5718	38.3%
3	Of little concern	3751	25.1%
4	Of no concern at all	644	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	248	1.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	329	2.2%
96	Question not asked	43471	
Sysmiss		107449	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10v: Concern about: Increased alcohol consumption

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19936 /-] [Invalid=145904 /-]		
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased alcohol consumption		
Notes	2004, 2007, 2009, 2011 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	3348	16.8%
2	Of some concern	6280	31.5%
3	Of little concern	8072	40.5%
4	Of no concern at all	1517	7.6%
97	No response - part of the question	294	1.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	420	2.1%
96	Question not asked	50433	
Sysmiss		95471	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10w: Concern about: Natural disasters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6671 /-] [Invalid=159169 /-]		
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Natural disasters		
Notes	2005-2007, 2010 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	1910	28.6%
2	Of some concern	2427	36.4%
3	Of little concern	1809	27.1%
4	Of no concern at all	254	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	89	1.3%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	176	2.6%
96	Question not asked	8606	
Sysmiss		150563	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10x: Concern about: Military conflicts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29958 /-] [Invalid=135882 /-]		
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Military conflicts		
Notes	2005-2007, 2009, 2011 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	6149	20.5%
2	Of some concern	10772	36.0%
3	Of little concern	8861	29.6%
4	Of no concern at all	1256	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2301	7.7%
98	Several responses given	13	0.0%

ga10x: Concern about: Military conflicts

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	606	2.0%
96	Question not asked	83614	
Sysmiss		52268	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10z: Concern about: Global epidemics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28734 /-] [Invalid=137106 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Global epidemics
Notes	2006, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	5654	19.7%
2	Of some concern	9703	33.8%
3	Of little concern	9151	31.8%
4	Of no concern at all	1298	4.5%
97	No response - part of the question	2369	8.2%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	548	1.9%
96	Question not asked	81338	
Sysmiss		55768	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10aa: Concern about: Weakened democracy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21654 /-] [Invalid=144186 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Weakened democracy
Notes	2006, 2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	5923	27.4%
2	Of some concern	7574	35.0%
3	Of little concern	6194	28.6%
4	Of no concern at all	1041	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	465	2.1%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	443	2.0%
96	Question not asked	75231	
Sysmiss		68955	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10ab: Concern about: Increased social inequality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=34671 /-] [Invalid=131169 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased social inequality
Notes	2006, 2009- (National)

ga10ab: Concern about: Increased social inequality

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	10300	29.7%
2	Of some concern	14136	40.8%
3	Of little concern	7911	22.8%
4	Of no concern at all	914	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	623	1.8%
98	Several responses given	25	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	762	2.2%
96	Question not asked	75401	
Sysmiss		55768	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10ac: Concern about: Degradation of the marine environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25165 /-] [Invalid=140675 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Degradation of the marine environment
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	11566	46.0%
2	Of some concern	9755	38.8%
3	Of little concern	2538	10.1%
4	Of no concern at all	340	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	442	1.8%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	504	2.0%
96	Question not asked	63300	
Sysmiss		77375	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10ae: Concern about: Widespread corruption

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11300 /-] [Invalid=154540 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Widespread corruption
Notes	2009, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	2723	24.1%
2	Of some concern	3921	34.7%
3	Of little concern	3461	30.6%
4	Of no concern at all	598	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	299	2.6%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	290	2.6%
96	Question not asked	36203	
Sysmiss		118337	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10af: Concern about: Political extremism

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20140 /-] [Invalid=145700 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Political extremism
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	6727	33.4%
2	Of some concern	6315	31.4%
3	Of little concern	3810	18.9%
4	Of no concern at all	637	3.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2235	11.1%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	405	2.0%
96	Question not asked	70060	
Sysmiss		75640	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10ag: Concern about: Worsened welfare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15294 /-] [Invalid=150546 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? Worsened welfare
Notes	2012-2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	4170	27.3%
2	Of some concern	6407	41.9%
3	Of little concern	3737	24.4%
4	Of no concern at all	339	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	296	1.9%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	334	2.2%
96		69913	
Sysmiss		80633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10ah: Concern about: Increased xenophobia

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15482 /-] [Invalid=150358 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? Increased xenophobia
Notes	2013-2016

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	6049	39.1%
2	Of some concern	5724	37.0%
3	Of little concern	2520	16.3%
4	Of no concern at all	525	3.4%
97	No response - part of the question	321	2.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%

ga10ah: Concern about: Increased xenophobia

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	335	2.2%
96		70312	
Sysmiss		80046	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10ai: Concern about: Restrictions on freedom of expression

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10319 /-] [Invalid=155521 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Restrictions on freedom of expression

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	3058	29.6%
2	Of some concern	2406	23.3%
3	Of little concern	2314	22.4%
4	Of no concern at all	431	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1928	18.7%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	177	1.7%
96	Question not asked	39384	
Sysmiss		116137	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10aj: Concern about: Increased usage of narcotics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10316 /-] [Invalid=155524 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased usage of narcotics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	3956	38.3%
2	Of some concern	2577	25.0%
3	Of little concern	1464	14.2%
4	Of no concern at all	298	2.9%
97		1852	18.0%
98		8	0.1%
99		161	1.6%
96		39701	
Sysmiss		115823	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10ak: Concern about: Housing shortage

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12062 /-] [Invalid=153778 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Housing shortage

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	3251	27.0%
2	Of some concern	4662	38.7%
3	Of little concern	3282	27.2%

ga10ak: Concern about: Housing shortage

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Of no concern at all	429	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	195	1.6%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	235	1.9%
96	Question not asked	58595	
Sysmiss		95183	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga10al: Concern about: Increased antibiotic resistance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10437 /-] [Invalid=155403 /-]
Literal question	Looking at the current situation, what do you think is the biggest concern for the future? - Increased antibiotic resistance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	4786	45.9%
2	Of some concern	3551	34.0%
3	Of little concern	1439	13.8%
4	Of no concern at all	212	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	258	2.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	184	1.8%
96	Question not asked	53617	
Sysmiss		101786	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga100a: Combat security threats: Camera surveilance of public places

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=158967 /-]
Literal question	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
Notes	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	3980	57.9%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	2312	33.6%
3	Should never be available for use	162	2.4%
4	No opinion	197	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	42	0.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		143218	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga100b: Combat security threats: Telephone tapping

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=158967 /-]
Literal question	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
Notes	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)

ga100b: Combat security threats: Telephone tapping

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	1464	21.3%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	4368	63.6%
3	Should never be available for use	490	7.1%
4	No opinion	300	4.4%
97	No response - part of the question	68	1.0%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		143218	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga100c: Combat security threats: Registering of citizen opinions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=158967 /-]
Literal question	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
Notes	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	436	6.3%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	2198	32.0%
3	Should never be available for use	3144	45.7%
4	No opinion	784	11.4%
97	No response - part of the question	128	1.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		143218	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga100d: Combat security threats: Screening of mail and parcels

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=158967 /-]
Literal question	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
Notes	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	1614	23.5%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	3882	56.5%
3	Should never be available for use	674	9.8%
4	No opinion	416	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	103	1.5%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		143218	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga100e: Combat security threats: Censoring of the press, radio and tv

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=158967 /-]
	Literal question	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
	Notes	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	405	5.9%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	1540	22.4%
3	Should never be available for use	4013	58.4%
4	No opinion	628	9.1%
97	No response - part of the question	101	1.5%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		143218	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga100f: Combat security threats: Banning of meetings and demonstrations

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6873 /-] [Invalid=158967 /-]
	Literal question	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
	Notes	2002, 2008, 2013 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	425	6.2%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	2631	38.3%
3	Should never be available for use	3016	43.9%
4	No opinion	527	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	95	1.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	2.6%
96	Question not asked	15749	
Sysmiss		143218	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ga100g: Combat security threats: Surveillance of telephone/data traffic to/from Sweden

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5095 /-] [Invalid=160745 /-]
	Literal question	Which of the following methods do you think should be available to combat threats against Sweden's national security? -
	Notes	2008, 2013 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should always be available for use	924	18.1%
2	Should be available for use, but only in exceptional cases	2623	51.5%
3	Should never be available for use	947	18.6%
4	No opinion	453	8.9%
97	No response - part of the question	43	0.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%

ga100g: Combat security threats: Surveillance of telephone/data traffic to/from Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	104	2.0%
96	Question not asked	15579	
Sysmiss		145166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

gb10a: Anxiety about your own situation: Lacking money for an unexpected expense

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8207 /-] [Invalid=157633 /-]
Literal question	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Lacking money for an unexpected expense
Notes	2010-2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	1333	16.2%
2	Of some concern	2062	25.1%
3	Of little concern	3126	38.1%
4	Of no concern at all	1297	15.8%
97	No response - part of the question	123	1.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	3.2%
96		21288	
Sysmiss		136345	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

gb10b: Anxiety about your own situation: Not receiving a sufficiently large pension

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8207 /-] [Invalid=157633 /-]
Literal question	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Not receiving a sufficiently large pension
Notes	2010-2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	2063	25.1%
2	Of some concern	2733	33.3%
3	Of little concern	2300	28.0%
4	Of no concern at all	712	8.7%
97	No response - part of the question	133	1.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	3.2%
96		21288	
Sysmiss		136345	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

gb10c: Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming unemployed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8207 /-] [Invalid=157633 /-]
Literal question	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming unemployed
Notes	2010-2014

gb10c: Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming unemployed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	1173	14.3%
2	Of some concern	1192	14.5%
3	Of little concern	2490	30.3%
4	Of no concern at all	2563	31.2%
97	No response - part of the question	524	6.4%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	3.2%
96		21288	
Sysmiss		136345	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

gb10d: Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming seriously ill

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8207 /-] [Invalid=157633 /-]
Literal question	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming seriously ill
Notes	2010-2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	2542	31.0%
2	Of some concern	2433	29.6%
3	Of little concern	2463	30.0%
4	Of no concern at all	425	5.2%
97	No response - part of the question	76	0.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	3.2%
96		21288	
Sysmiss		136345	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

gb10e: Anxiety about your own situation: Becoming a victim of crime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8207 /-] [Invalid=157633 /-]
Literal question	Looking at your personal situation, how worried are you about the following for the future? Becoming a victim of crime
Notes	2010-2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Of major concern	1639	20.0%
2	Of some concern	2187	26.6%
3	Of little concern	3350	40.8%
4	Of no concern at all	662	8.1%
97	No response - part of the question	104	1.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	3.2%
96		21288	
Sysmiss		136345	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha10: Generally speaking, how interested are you in environmental issues?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44113 /-] [Invalid=121727 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how interested are you in environmental issues?
Notes	1997- (National); 1994-2000, 2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	7338	16.6%
2	Rather interested	24991	56.7%
3	Not particularly interested	9434	21.4%
4	Not at all interested	1063	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	22	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1265	2.9%
96	Question not asked	101208	
Sysmiss		20519	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20a: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Pollution from industries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=139829 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Pollution from industries
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	323	1.2%
2	(2)	317	1.2%
3	(3)	941	3.6%
4	(4)	1104	4.2%
5	(5)	2632	10.1%
6	(6)	2109	8.1%
7	(7)	3542	13.6%
8	(8)	5006	19.2%
9	(9)	2483	9.5%
10	Very large threat (10)	6327	24.3%
97	No response - part of the question	180	0.7%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	19608	
Sysmiss		120221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20b: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Exhaust from car traffic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=139829 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Exhaust from car traffic
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)

ha20b: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Exhaust from car traffic

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	368	1.4%
2	(2)	363	1.4%
3	(3)	873	3.4%
4	(4)	1061	4.1%
5	(5)	2658	10.2%
6	(6)	2375	9.1%
7	(7)	3685	14.2%
8	(8)	5011	19.3%
9	(9)	3011	11.6%
10	Very large threat (10)	5375	20.7%
97	No response - part of the question	187	0.7%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	19608	
Sysmiss		120221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20c: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Oil spillage on the coasts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=139829 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Oil spillage on the coasts
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	209	0.8%
2	(2)	302	1.2%
3	(3)	660	2.5%
4	(4)	864	3.3%
5	(5)	1784	6.9%
6	(6)	1894	7.3%
7	(7)	2926	11.2%
8	(8)	4178	16.1%
9	(9)	3412	13.1%
10	Very large threat (10)	8556	32.9%
97	No response - part of the question	176	0.7%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	19608	
Sysmiss		120221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20d: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Handling of industry pollution

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12264 /-] [Invalid=153576 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Handling of industry pollution
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2000, 2002 (National)

ha20d: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Handling of industry pollution

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	97	0.8%
2	(2)	136	1.1%
3	(3)	382	3.1%
4	(4)	553	4.5%
5	(5)	1333	10.9%
6	(6)	1244	10.1%
7	(7)	1820	14.8%
8	(8)	2187	17.8%
9	(9)	1448	11.8%
10	Very large threat (10)	2418	19.7%
97	No response - part of the question	211	1.7%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	429	3.5%
96	Question not asked	3621	
Sysmiss		149955	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20e: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Handling of household garbage

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12264 /-] [Invalid=153576 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Handling of household garbage
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2000, 2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	703	5.7%
2	(2)	825	6.7%
3	(3)	1401	11.4%
4	(4)	1370	11.2%
5	(5)	2246	18.3%
6	(6)	1537	12.5%
7	(7)	1347	11.0%
8	(8)	1136	9.3%
9	(9)	464	3.8%
10	Very large threat (10)	590	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	211	1.7%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	429	3.5%
96	Question not asked	5463	
Sysmiss		148113	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20f: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Depletion of animal and plant species

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22761 /-] [Invalid=143079 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Depletion of animal and plant species
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2005, 2007 (National)

ha20f: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Depletion of animal and plant species

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	547	2.4%
2	(2)	629	2.8%
3	(3)	1163	5.1%
4	(4)	1165	5.1%
5	(5)	2487	10.9%
6	(6)	2046	9.0%
7	(7)	2567	11.3%
8	(8)	3103	13.6%
9	(9)	2353	10.3%
10	Very large threat (10)	5454	24.0%
97	No response - part of the question	320	1.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	916	4.0%
96	Question not asked	12761	
Sysmiss		130318	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20g: Threats to the environment in Sweden: The use of chemicals in agriculture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=139829 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The use of chemicals in agriculture
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2001, 2003-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	289	1.1%
2	(2)	292	1.1%
3	(3)	665	2.6%
4	(4)	903	3.5%
5	(5)	2248	8.6%
6	(6)	2262	8.7%
7	(7)	3402	13.1%
8	(8)	4668	17.9%
9	(9)	3675	14.1%
10	Very large threat (10)	6306	24.2%
97	No response - part of the question	260	1.0%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	17777	
Sysmiss		122052	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20h: Threats to the environment in Sweden: The depletion of the ozone layer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=139829 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The depletion of the ozone layer
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2001, 2003-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)

ha20h: Threats to the environment in Sweden: The depletion of the ozone layer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	279	1.1%
2	(2)	266	1.0%
3	(3)	462	1.8%
4	(4)	597	2.3%
5	(5)	1599	6.1%
6	(6)	1393	5.4%
7	(7)	2256	8.7%
8	(8)	3717	14.3%
9	(9)	3915	15.1%
10	Very large threat (10)	10213	39.3%
97	No response - part of the question	274	1.1%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	17777	
Sysmiss		122052	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20i: Threats to the environment in Sweden: The management of high level nuclear waste

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26011 /-] [Invalid=139829 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The management of high level nuclear waste
Notes	1993-1996, 1998-2001, 2003-2005, 2007-2008, 2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	1069	4.1%
2	(2)	1061	4.1%
3	(3)	1537	5.9%
4	(4)	1177	4.5%
5	(5)	2394	9.2%
6	(6)	1689	6.5%
7	(7)	2097	8.1%
8	(8)	2895	11.1%
9	(9)	2655	10.2%
10	Very large threat (10)	8111	31.2%
97	No response - part of the question	284	1.1%
98	Several responses given	12	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1030	4.0%
96	Question not asked	19608	
Sysmiss		120221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20j: Threats to the environment in Sweden: The greenhouse effect

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8758 /-] [Invalid=157082 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - The greenhouse effect
Notes	2002-2005, 2007 (National)

ha20j: Threats to the environment in Sweden: The greenhouse effect

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	137	1.6%
2	(2)	97	1.1%
3	(3)	118	1.3%
4	(4)	164	1.9%
5	(5)	560	6.4%
6	(6)	534	6.1%
7	(7)	850	9.7%
8	(8)	1367	15.6%
9	(9)	1382	15.8%
10	Very large threat (10)	3074	35.1%
97	No response - part of the question	78	0.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	396	4.5%
96	Question not asked	9072	
Sysmiss		148010	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20k: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Over-fertilisation of lakes and oceans

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1666 /-] [Invalid=164174 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Over-fertilisation of lakes and oceans
Notes	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	7	0.4%
2	(2)	7	0.4%
3	(3)	18	1.1%
4	(4)	33	2.0%
5	(5)	100	6.0%
6	(6)	124	7.4%
7	(7)	190	11.4%
8	(8)	289	17.3%
9	(9)	269	16.1%
10	Very large threat (10)	532	31.9%
97	No response - part of the question	16	1.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	81	4.9%
96	Question not asked	1769	
Sysmiss		162405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha20l: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Climate changes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4916 /-] [Invalid=160924 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the environment in Sweden are? - Climate changes
Notes	2007-2008 (National)

ha20l: Threats to the environment in Sweden: Climate changes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very limited threat (1)	98	2.0%
2	(2)	80	1.6%
3	(3)	157	3.2%
4	(4)	158	3.2%
5	(5)	406	8.3%
6	(6)	322	6.6%
7	(7)	491	10.0%
8	(8)	749	15.2%
9	(9)	676	13.8%
10	Very large threat (10)	1536	31.2%
97	No response - part of the question	45	0.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	4.0%
96	Question not asked	6785	
Sysmiss		154139	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha30a: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Overfertilization in agriculture and forestry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Overfertilization in agriculture and forestry
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious threat	2120	32.6%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2780	42.8%
3	Not very serious threat	599	9.2%
4	Not a serious threat at all	66	1.0%
5	No opinion	680	10.5%
97	No response - part of the question	45	0.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha30b: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Toxic antifouling paints

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Toxic antifouling paints
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious threat	1367	21.0%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2339	36.0%
3	Not very serious threat	1413	21.7%

ha30b: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Toxic antifouling paints

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not a serious threat at all	226	3.5%
5	No opinion	887	13.7%
97	No response - part of the question	57	0.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha30c: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Poisonous emissions from industry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Poisonous emissions from industry
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very serious threat	2852		43.9%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2492		38.4%
3	Not very serious threat	398		6.1%
4	Not a serious threat at all	42		0.6%
5	No opinion	457		7.0%
97	No response - part of the question	49		0.8%
98	Several responses given	1		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207		3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129		
Sysmiss		149213		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha30d: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Overfishing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Overfishing
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very serious threat	2426		37.3%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2579		39.7%
3	Not very serious threat	602		9.3%
4	Not a serious threat at all	88		1.4%
5	No opinion	533		8.2%
97	No response - part of the question	60		0.9%
98	Several responses given	3		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207		3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129		
Sysmiss		149213		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha30e: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Pharmaceutical residues in the sewage water

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Pharmaceutical residues in the sewage water
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very serious threat	2167		33.3%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2372		36.5%
3	Not very serious threat	915		14.1%

ha30e: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Pharmaceutical residues in the sewage water

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not a serious threat at all	120	1.8%
5	No opinion	658	10.1%
97	No response - part of the question	50	0.8%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha30f: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Algal bloom

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Algal bloom
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious threat	1404	21.6%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2798	43.1%
3	Not very serious threat	1156	17.8%
4	Not a serious threat at all	103	1.6%
5	No opinion	735	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	92	1.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha30g: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Spreading of alien plant and animal species

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Spreading of alien plant and animal species
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious threat	1183	18.2%
2	Somewhat serious threat	2268	34.9%
3	Not very serious threat	1467	22.6%
4	Not a serious threat at all	225	3.5%
5	No opinion	1075	16.5%
97	No response - part of the question	70	1.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	207	3.2%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha30h: Threats to the marine env. in Sw.: Wind power stations in the sea/archipelago

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4832 /-] [Invalid=161008 /-]
Literal question	How serious do you think the following threats to the marine environment in Sweden are? - Wind power stations in the sea/archipelago
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious threat	158	3.3%
2	Somewhat serious threat	404	8.4%
3	Not very serious threat	1537	31.8%
4	Not a serious threat at all	1808	37.4%
5	No opinion	746	15.4%
97	No response - part of the question	42	0.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	137	2.8%
96	Question not asked	8360	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha100a1: How often do you: Chose to walk or bike instead of motorised transport

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15711 /-] [Invalid=150129 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1991 (National): "How often are the following things usually done in your household?" (I.e. not personally.); 1992-1999 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?" West: Question wording West: 1997-1999 (West): "How often do you personally do the following things?"
Literal question	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose to walk or bike instead of motorised transport (car, bus etc.)
Notes	1990, 1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2001 (National); 1997-1999 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2511	16.0%
2	Sometimes	5956	37.9%
3	Quite often	2968	18.9%
4	Very often	2853	18.2%
5	Always	712	4.5%
97	No response - part of the question	345	2.2%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	361	2.3%
96	Question not asked	3699	
Sysmiss		146430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha100a2: How often do you: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=164062 /-]
Literal question	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose to walk or bike instead of driving
Notes	2002 (National)

ha100a2: How often do you: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	259	14.6%
2	Sometimes	604	34.0%
3	Quite often	290	16.3%
4	Very often	347	19.5%
5	Always	136	7.6%
97	No response - part of the question	81	4.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	61	3.4%
Sysmiss		164062	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha100a3: How often do you: Chose public transport instead of driving

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=164062 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1991 (National): "How often are the following things usually done in your household?" (I.e. not personally.); 1992-2002 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?"
Literal question	How often do you personally do the following things? - Chose public transport instead of driving
Notes	1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	611	34.4%
2	Sometimes	535	30.1%
3	Quite often	155	8.7%
4	Very often	209	11.8%
5	Always	118	6.6%
97	No response - part of the question	88	4.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	61	3.4%
Sysmiss		164062	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha100b: How often do you: Sort household waste

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15907 /-] [Invalid=149933 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1991 (National): "How often are the following things usually done in your household?" (I.e. not personally.); 1992-1999 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?" West: The question wording in West SOM is the following: 1997-1999 (West): "How often do you personally do the following things?"
Literal question	How often do you personally do the following things? - Sort household waste
Notes	1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2001 (National); 1997-1999 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2252	14.2%
2	Sometimes	3389	21.3%
3	Quite often	2830	17.8%
4	Very often	2667	16.8%

ha100b: How often do you: Sort household waste

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Always	4110	25.8%
97	No response - part of the question	260	1.6%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	395	2.5%
Sysmiss		149933	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha100c: How often do you: Eat biodynamically grown vegetables

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17489 /-] [Invalid=148351 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed over time. 1990-2001 (National): "Eat biodynamically grown vegetables"; 2002 (National): "Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits" The question wording has changed. 1991 (National): "How often are the following things usually done in your household?" (I.e. not personally.); 1992-2002 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?"
Literal question	How often do you personally do the following things? - Eat biodynamically grown vegetables
Notes	1990, 1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4194	24.0%
2	Sometimes	8054	46.1%
3	Quite often	2533	14.5%
4	Very often	1532	8.8%
5	Always	410	2.3%
97	No response - part of the question	343	2.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	422	2.4%
96	Question not asked	3699	
Sysmiss		144652	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha100d: How often do you: Buy environmentally friendly marked products

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15907 /-] [Invalid=149933 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1991 (National): "How often are the following things usually done in your household?" (I.e. not personally.); 1992-1999 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?" West: The question wording in West SOM is the following: 1997-1999 (West): "How often do you personally do the following things?"
Literal question	How often do you personally do the following things? - Buy environmentally friendly marked products
Notes	1991-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2002 (National); 1997-1999 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1014	6.4%
2	Sometimes	6291	39.5%
3	Quite often	4231	26.6%
4	Very often	2986	18.8%
5	Always	746	4.7%
97	No response - part of the question	237	1.5%

ha100d: How often do you: Buy environmentally friendly marked products

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	395	2.5%
Sysmiss		149933	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha101a: Do for environmental reasons: Reduce speed when driving

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27696 /-] [Invalid=138144 /-]
Definition	National: The item wording has changed over time. 1990, 1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2002 (National): "For environmental reasons, reduces car speed in traffic"; 2003-2008 (National): "Reduce speed when driving" The question wording has changed. 1990, 1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you personally do the following things?" 2003-2008 (National): "How often do you do the following for environmental reasons?" West: The item and question wording in West SOM is as given above.
Literal question	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Reduce speed when driving
Notes	1990, 1992-1994, 1996-1997, 1999-2008 (National); 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	7298	26.4%
2	Sometimes	7930	28.6%
3	Quite often	3958	14.3%
4	Very often	1724	6.2%
5	Always	889	3.2%
6	Har ej/kör aldrig bil	4839	17.5%
97	No response - part of the question	355	1.3%
98	Several responses given	43	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	660	2.4%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		136483	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha101b1: Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6943 /-] [Invalid=158897 /-]
Literal question	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to walk or bike instead of driving
Notes	2003-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1196	17.2%
2	Sometimes	2235	32.2%
3	Quite often	1053	15.2%
4	Very often	1032	14.9%
5	Always	333	4.8%
6	Har ej/kör aldrig bil	769	11.1%
97	No response - part of the question	129	1.9%
98	Several responses given	17	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	179	2.6%

ha101b1: Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk or bike instead of driving

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		158897	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha101b2: Do for environmental reasons: Chose public transport instead of driving

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8587 /-] [Invalid=157253 /-]
Literal question	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose public transport instead of driving
Notes	2003-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	3203	37.3%
2	Sometimes	2290	26.7%
3	Quite often	618	7.2%
4	Very often	768	8.9%
5	Always	327	3.8%
6	Har ej/kör aldrig bil	920	10.7%
97	No response - part of the question	197	2.3%
98	Several responses given	35	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	229	2.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	4959	
Sysmiss		152294	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha101b3: Do for environmental reasons: Chose to walk/bike/use PT instead of driving

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9673 /-] [Invalid=156167 /-]
Literal question	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to walk, bike or use public transport instead of driving
Notes	2007- (National); 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1627	16.8%
2	Sometimes	2997	31.0%
3	Quite often	1457	15.1%
4	Very often	1566	16.2%
5	Always	631	6.5%
6	Har ej/kör aldrig bil	959	9.9%
97	No response - part of the question	121	1.3%
98	Several responses given	128	1.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	187	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	18277	
Sysmiss		137890	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha101c: Do for environmental reasons: Sort household waste

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16616 /-] [Invalid=149224 /-]

ha101c: Do for environmental reasons: Sort household waste

	Literal question	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Sort household waste
	Notes	2003- (National); 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	952	5.7%
2	Sometimes	2126	12.8%
3	Quite often	2431	14.6%
4	Very often	3148	18.9%
5	Always	7435	44.7%
97	No response - part of the question	153	0.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	366	2.2%
96	Question not asked	8148	
Sysmiss		141076	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha101d: Do for environmental reasons: Buy eco-labelled products

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16616 /-] [Invalid=149224 /-]
	Literal question	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Buy eco-labelled products
	Notes	2003- (National); 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1454	8.8%
2	Sometimes	7244	43.6%
3	Quite often	3980	24.0%
4	Very often	2780	16.7%
5	Always	578	3.5%
97	No response - part of the question	213	1.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	366	2.2%
96	Question not asked	8148	
Sysmiss		141076	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha101e: Do for environmental reasons: Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10207 /-] [Invalid=155633 /-]
	Literal question	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits
	Notes	2003-2008 (National); 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1759	17.2%
2	Sometimes	4716	46.2%
3	Quite often	1826	17.9%
4	Very often	1239	12.1%
5	Always	281	2.8%
97	No response - part of the question	144	1.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%

ha101e: Do for environmental reasons: Eat organically grown vegetables/fruits

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	238	2.3%
96	Question not asked	5466	
Sysmiss		150167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha101f: Do for environmental reasons: Chose to take the train instead of flying

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11851 /-] [Invalid=153989 /-]
Literal question	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Chose to take the train instead of flying
Notes	2003-2008 (National); 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5370	45.3%
2	Sometimes	3135	26.5%
3	Quite often	869	7.3%
4	Very often	788	6.6%
5	Always	794	6.7%
97	No response - part of the question	595	5.0%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	288	2.4%
96	Question not asked	15568	
Sysmiss		138421	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha101g: Do for environmental reasons: Buy locally produced food

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6363 /-] [Invalid=159477 /-]
Literal question	How often do you do the following for environmental reasons? - Buy locally produced food
Notes	2008- (National); 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	605	9.5%
2	Sometimes	2802	44.0%
3	Quite often	1611	25.3%
4	Very often	969	15.2%
5	Always	177	2.8%
97	No response - part of the question	92	1.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	107	1.7%
96	Question not asked	11549	
Sysmiss		147928	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha150a: How often decrease energy consumption: Home heating

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8324 /-] [Invalid=157516 /-]
Literal question	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Home heating

ha150a: How often decrease energy consumption: Home heating

Notes	2004-2007, 2011 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1093	13.1%
2	Sometimes	2280	27.4%
3	Quite often	2420	29.1%
4	Very often	1239	14.9%
5	Always	878	10.5%
97	No response - part of the question	104	1.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	307	3.7%
96	Question not asked	10278	
Sysmiss		147238	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha150b: How often decrease energy consumption: Use of electric devices/lights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4826 /-] [Invalid=161014 /-]
Literal question	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Use of electric devices/lights
Notes	2006-2007, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	272	5.6%
2	Sometimes	1274	26.4%
3	Quite often	1756	36.4%
4	Very often	925	19.2%
5	Always	405	8.4%
97	No response - part of the question	33	0.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	157	3.3%
96	Question not asked	6665	
Sysmiss		154349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha150c: How often decrease energy consumption: Purchases of electrical devices/lights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4826 /-] [Invalid=161014 /-]
Literal question	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Purchases of electrical devices/lights
Notes	2006-2007, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	515	10.7%
2	Sometimes	1554	32.2%
3	Quite often	1445	29.9%
4	Very often	742	15.4%
5	Always	349	7.2%
97	No response - part of the question	62	1.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	157	3.3%

ha150c: How often decrease energy consumption: Purchases of electrical devices/lights

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	6665	
Sysmiss		154349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha150d: How often decrease energy consumption: Hot water consumption

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8324 /-] [Invalid=157516 /-]
Literal question	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Hot water consumption
Notes	2004-2007, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1111	13.3%
2	Sometimes	2384	28.6%
3	Quite often	2578	31.0%
4	Very often	1229	14.8%
5	Always	625	7.5%
97	No response - part of the question	88	1.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	307	3.7%
96	Question not asked	10278	
Sysmiss		147238	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha150e: How often decrease energy consumption: Transportation/travels

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8324 /-] [Invalid=157516 /-]
Literal question	How often are you trying to reduce your energy use in the following cases? - Transportation/travels
Notes	2004-2007, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1229	14.8%
2	Sometimes	3119	37.5%
3	Quite often	2112	25.4%
4	Very often	1002	12.0%
5	Always	388	4.7%
97	No response - part of the question	165	2.0%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	307	3.7%
96	Question not asked	10278	
Sysmiss		147238	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha160: How much more is your household willing to pay for so called green electricity?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5108 /-] [Invalid=160732 /-]
Definition	Response alternatives are shortened, here are the complete alternatives: 1 "Not willing to pay more for environmentally friendly electricity than for other electricity" 2 "Willing to pay up to about 200 SEK more per year for environmentally friendly electricity", 3 "Willing to pay up to about 500 SEK more per year for environmentally friendly electricity", 4 "Willing to pay up to about 1000 SEK more per year for environmentally friendly electricity", 5 "No opinion".

ha160: How much more is your household willing to pay for so called green electricity?

Literal question	If your household has or will get access to so-called environmentally friendly electricity (green electricity), how much more do you estimate that your household will be willing to pay for it?
Notes	1999, 2001, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not willing to pay more	1655	32.4%
2	Up to about SEK 200/year more	958	18.8%
3	Up to about SEK 500/year more	898	17.6%
4	Up to about SEK 1000/year more	464	9.1%
5	No opinion	890	17.4%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	14	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	229	4.5%
96	Question not asked	5468	
Sysmiss		155264	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha200a: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Water power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38997 /-] [Invalid=126843 /-]
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Water power
Notes	1999- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	16025	41.1%
2	About the same as today	15606	40.0%
3	Less than today	1787	4.6%
4	Completely abolish	325	0.8%
5	No opinion	2990	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	889	2.3%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1355	3.5%
96	Question not asked	101009	
Sysmiss		25834	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha200b: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Wind power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38997 /-] [Invalid=126843 /-]
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Wind power
Notes	1999- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	24974	64.0%
2	About the same as today	6925	17.8%
3	Less than today	2072	5.3%
4	Completely abolish	619	1.6%
5	No opinion	2512	6.4%
97	No response - part of the question	527	1.4%

ha200b: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Wind power

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
98	Several responses given	13	0.0%	
99	No response - entire question battery	1355	3.5%	
96	Question not asked	101009		
Sysmiss		25834		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha200c: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Solar energy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32375 /-] [Invalid=133465 /-]
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Solar energy
Notes	1999-2006, 2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	More than today	24458	75.5%	
2	About the same as today	3531	10.9%	
3	Less than today	481	1.5%	
4	Completely abolish	182	0.6%	
5	No opinion	2108	6.5%	
97	No response - part of the question	446	1.4%	
98	Several responses given	38	0.1%	
99	No response - entire question battery	1131	3.5%	
96	Question not asked	85405		
Sysmiss		48060		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha200d: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Nuclear power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38997 /-] [Invalid=126843 /-]
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Nuclear power
Notes	1999- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	More than today	5558	14.3%	
2	About the same as today	11317	29.0%	
3	Less than today	9895	25.4%	
4	Completely abolish	6436	16.5%	
5	No opinion	3329	8.5%	
97	No response - part of the question	1080	2.8%	
98	Several responses given	27	0.1%	
99	No response - entire question battery	1355	3.5%	
96	Question not asked	101009		
Sysmiss		25834		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha200e: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Biofuels

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35520 /-] [Invalid=130320 /-]
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Biofuels

ha200e: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Biofuels

Notes	1999- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	14244	40.1%
2	About the same as today	9282	26.1%
3	Less than today	3102	8.7%
4	Completely abolish	776	2.2%
5	No opinion	5706	16.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1095	3.1%
98	Several responses given	41	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1274	3.6%
96	Question not asked	83846	
Sysmiss		46474	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha200f: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Fossil/natural gas

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29048 /-] [Invalid=136792 /-]
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Fossil/natural gas
Notes	1999-2006, 2009, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	6042	20.8%
2	About the same as today	7255	25.0%
3	Less than today	5506	19.0%
4	Completely abolish	2462	8.5%
5	No opinion	5714	19.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1027	3.5%
98	Several responses given	30	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1012	3.5%
96	Question not asked	74565	
Sysmiss		62227	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha200g: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Coal

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29021 /-] [Invalid=136819 /-]
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Coal
Notes	1999-2006, 2009, 2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	460	1.6%
2	About the same as today	1789	6.2%
3	Less than today	7962	27.4%
4	Completely abolish	13084	45.1%
5	No opinion	3791	13.1%
97	No response - part of the question	865	3.0%
98	Several responses given	29	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1041	3.6%

ha200g: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Coal

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	75307	
Sysmiss		61512	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha200h: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Oil

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29126 /-] [Invalid=136714 /-]
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Oil
Notes	1999-2006, 2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	454	1.6%
2	About the same as today	3471	11.9%
3	Less than today	12885	44.2%
4	Completely abolish	6975	23.9%
5	No opinion	3452	11.9%
97	No response - part of the question	836	2.9%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1036	3.6%
96	Question not asked	71333	
Sysmiss		65381	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha200i: How much should Sw invest in the following energy sources: Wave power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25109 /-] [Invalid=140731 /-]
Literal question	During the next 5-10 years, how much should we in Sweden invest in the following energy sources? - Wave power
Notes	2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More than today	12690	50.5%
2	About the same as today	2685	10.7%
3	Less than today	664	2.6%
4	Completely abolish	524	2.1%
5	No opinion	7125	28.4%
97	No response - part of the question	663	2.6%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	745	3.0%
96	Question not asked	81829	
Sysmiss		58902	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha800a: How important is it to limit emission sources: Air traffic

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Air traffic
Notes	2007-2009 (National)

ha800a: How important is it to limit emission sources: Air traffic

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2277	35.0%
2	Rather important	2775	42.7%
3	Not particularly important	666	10.2%
4	Not at all important	139	2.1%
5	No opinion	397	6.1%
97	No response - part of the question	29	0.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha800b: How important is it to limit emission sources: Truck transports

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Truck transports
Notes	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2537	39.0%
2	Rather important	2743	42.2%
3	Not particularly important	506	7.8%
4	Not at all important	115	1.8%
5	No opinion	344	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	37	0.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha800c: How important is it to limit emission sources: Private motoring

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Private motoring
Notes	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1756	27.0%
2	Rather important	2982	45.9%
3	Not particularly important	951	14.6%
4	Not at all important	231	3.6%
5	No opinion	309	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	53	0.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%

ha800c: How important is it to limit emission sources: Private motoring

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha800d: How important is it to limit emission sources: Energy consumption in industry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Energy consumption in industry
Notes	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2128	32.7%
2	Rather important	2972	45.7%
3	Not particularly important	562	8.6%
4	Not at all important	91	1.4%
5	No opinion	469	7.2%
97	No response - part of the question	62	1.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha800e: How important is it to limit emission sources: Household energy use

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]
Literal question	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Household energy use
Notes	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1288	19.8%
2	Rather important	3069	47.2%
3	Not particularly important	1283	19.7%
4	Not at all important	216	3.3%
5	No opinion	388	6.0%
97	No response - part of the question	39	0.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha800f: How important is it to limit emission sources: Meat production

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6498 /-] [Invalid=159342 /-]

ha800f: How important is it to limit emission sources: Meat production

Literal question	It has been discussed how one can counteract climate change through reducing carbon dioxide emissions. In your opinion, how important do you think it is to limit the following sources of emissions? - Meat production		
Notes	2007-2009 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	903	13.9%
2	Rather important	2004	30.8%
3	Not particularly important	1797	27.7%
4	Not at all important	630	9.7%
5	No opinion	902	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	45	0.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	214	3.3%
96	Question not asked	10129	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha900a: Proposal: Ban chemical pesticides in agriculture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8872 /-] [Invalid=156968 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?" 1992-1994 och 1996 formulerades frågan som angivet ovan.
Literal question	Nedanstående lista innefattar ett antal förslag som förekommit i den svenska miljödebatten. Vilken är din åsikt om var och ett av dem? - Ban chemical pesticides in agriculture
Notes	1988, 1992-1994, 1996 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	3848	43.4%
2	Rather good proposal	2861	32.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1298	14.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	424	4.8%
5	Very bad proposal	167	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	122	1.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	152	1.7%
Sysmiss		156968	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha900b: Proposal: Increase the petrol tax substantially to improve the environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3221 /-] [Invalid=162619 /-]
Literal question	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Increase the petrol tax substantially to improve the environment
Notes	1988-1989 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	230	7.1%
2	Rather good proposal	481	14.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	725	22.5%

ha900b: Proposal: Increase the petrol tax substantially to improve the environment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Rather bad proposal	804	25.0%
5	Very bad proposal	857	26.6%
97	No response - part of the question	49	1.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	75	2.3%
Sysmiss		162619	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha900c: Proposal: Ban plastic bottles and aluminium cans

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8811 /-] [Invalid=157029 /-]
Literal question	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Ban plastic bottles and aluminium cans
Notes	1990, 1992-1994, 1996 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	3176	36.0%
2	Rather good proposal	2770	31.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1549	17.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	790	9.0%
5	Very bad proposal	233	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	150	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	143	1.6%
Sysmiss		157029	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha900d: Proposal: Legislate about recycling of household garbage

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15785 /-] [Invalid=150055 /-]
Definition	National: Item wording has changed over time. 1990 (National): "Legislate about compulsory recycling of household garbage"; 1992-1999 (National): "Legislate about recycling of household garbage". West: The question wording in West SOM is the following (questions are given in Swedish): 1993-1996 (West): "Nedanstående lista omfattar ett antal förslag som gäller miljön i din kommun. Vilken är din åsikt om vart och ett av dem?"
Literal question	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Legislate about recycling of household garbage
Notes	1990, 1992-1999 (National); 1995-1996 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	3757	23.8%
2	Rather good proposal	5519	35.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3321	21.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	1810	11.5%
5	Very bad proposal	792	5.0%
97	No response - part of the question	267	1.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%

ha900d: Proposal: Legislate about recycling of household garbage

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	315	2.0%
96		3621	
Sysmiss		146434	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha900e: Proposal: Prohibit detergents containing optical brighteners

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7229 /-] [Invalid=158611 /-]
Literal question	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Prohibit detergents containing optical brighteners
Notes	1992-1994, 1996 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2979	41.2%
2	Rather good proposal	2688	37.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1048	14.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	197	2.7%
5	Very bad proposal	82	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	122	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	113	1.6%
Sysmiss		158611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha900m: Proposal: Increase the CO2 tax on petrol

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35350 /-] [Invalid=130490 /-]
Definition	See also ha901a (including "No opinion" alternative).
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the CO2 tax on petrol
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	3174	9.0%
2	Rather good proposal	6380	18.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	8574	24.3%
4	Rather bad proposal	7960	22.5%
5	Very bad proposal	7592	21.5%
97	No response - part of the question	882	2.5%
98	Several responses given	34	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	754	2.1%
96	Question not asked	61453	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ha901a: Proposal: Increase the CO2 tax on petrol

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=164062 /-]

ha901a: Proposal: Increase the CO2 tax on petrol

Definition	See also ha900m (excluding "No opinion" alternative).
Literal question	One important cause of the climate changes that have received attention in recent years is carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions from for example automobiles. What is your opinion about the following measures to limit CO2? - Increase the CO2 tax on petrol
Notes	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	73	4.1%
2	Rather good proposal	250	14.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	434	24.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	387	21.8%
5	Very bad proposal	421	23.7%
6	No opinion	98	5.5%
97	No response - part of the question	22	1.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	92	5.2%
96	Question not asked	1831	
Sysmiss		162231	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb10_1986: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1624 /-] [Invalid=164216 /-]
Definition	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-).
Literal question	Following the nuclear power referendum in 1980, the Swedish parliament decided that all nuclear power in the country should be phased out by 2010. How do you feel about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?
Notes	1986 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish nuclear power immediately.	235	14.5%
2	Abolish nuclear power faster than by 2010.	419	25.8%
3	Abolish nuclear power by 2010.	444	27.3%
4	Abolish nuclear power, but not as soon as by 2010.	262	16.1%
5	Keep nuclear power, do not abolish it.	210	12.9%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	54	3.3%
Sysmiss		164216	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb10_1987: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18807 /-] [Invalid=147033 /-]
Definition	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-). Two different variants of this question were asked in separate editions in 1996 and 1997 (hb10_87 and hb10_96).
Literal question	In 1980 we had a referendum about nuclear power in Sweden. After the referendum Parliament ruled that nuclear power should be abolished by the year 2010. What is your opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?
Notes	1987-1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish nuclear power immediately.	1035	5.5%
2	Abolish nuclear power faster than by 2010.	1924	10.2%
3	Abolish nuclear power by 2010.	3980	21.2%
4	Abolish nuclear power, but not as soon as by 2010.	4739	25.2%
5	Keep nuclear power, do not abolish it.	4353	23.1%
6	Have no clear opinion	2196	11.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	580	3.1%
Sysmiss		147033	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb10_1996: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6976 /-] [Invalid=158864 /-]
Definition	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-). Two different variants of this question were asked in separate editions in 1996 and 1997 (hb10_87 and hb10_96).
Literal question	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
Notes	1996-1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish nuclear power by 2010 at the latest.	1289	18.5%
2	Abolish nuclear power, but keep using our 12 nuclear reactor	2393	34.3%
3	Keep nuclear power and replace our 12 reactors as needed so	1356	19.4%
4	Keep nuclear power and invest in more than 12 reactors in th	415	5.9%
5	Have no clear opinion	1157	16.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	26	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	340	4.9%
96	Question not asked	3621	
Sysmiss		155243	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb10_2000: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8811 /-] [Invalid=157029 /-]
Definition	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-).
Literal question	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
Notes	2000-2004 (National)

hb10_2000: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish nuclear power by 2010 at the latest.	1116	12.7%
2	Abolish nuclear power, but keep using the current nuclear po	2296	26.1%
3	Keep nuclear power and keep up the current nuclear reactors,	2548	28.9%
4	Keep nuclear power and invest in more nuclear reactors in th	1050	11.9%
5	Have no clear opinion	1347	15.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	44	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	410	4.7%
96	Question not asked	9269	
Sysmiss		147760	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb10_2005: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18156 /-] [Invalid=147684 /-]
Definition	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-). Two different variants of this question were asked in separate editions in 2011 (hb10_05 och hb10_11).
Literal question	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
Notes	2005-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish nuclear power as soon as possible.	1622	8.9%
2	Abolish nuclear power, but keep using the current nuclear po	4164	22.9%
3	Keep nuclear power and keep up the current nuclear reactors,	5738	31.6%
4	Keep nuclear power and invest in more nuclear reactors in th	3350	18.5%
5	Have no clear opinion	2729	15.0%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	37	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	516	2.8%
96	Question not asked	10026	
Sysmiss		137658	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb10_2011: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15217 /-] [Invalid=150623 /-]
Definition	See also hb10 (constructed variable 1986-). Two different variants of this question were asked in separate editions in 2011 (hb10_05 och hb10_11).
Literal question	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
Notes	2011-2019

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish nuclear power as soon as possible.	1753	11.5%
2	Abolish nuclear power, but keep using our 10 nuclear reactor	5857	38.5%
3	Keep nuclear power and replace the current reactors with at	3253	21.4%
4	Keep nuclear power and build more reactors than the current	1546	10.2%
5	No opinion	2291	15.1%

hb10_2011: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	43	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	474	3.1%
96	Question not asked	59036	
Sysmiss		91587	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb10_2020: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22550 /-] [Invalid=143290 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?
Notes	2020-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish nuclear power as soon as possible.	318	1.4%
2	Abolish nuclear power, but keep using the reactors we have a	1276	5.7%
3	Keep nuclear power and replace the current reactors with at	684	3.0%
4	Keep nuclear power and build more reactors than the current	549	2.4%
5	No opinion	526	2.3%
96	Question not asked	19069	84.6%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	116	0.5%
Sysmiss		143290	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb10: Opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=57430 /-] [Invalid=108410 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on hb10_86, hb10_87, hb10_96, hb10_00, hb10_05. Recoding: 1986-1995, alternative 1-4 = "Abolish nuclear power", alternativ 5 = "Keep nuclear power"; 1996-, alternativ 1-2 = "Abolish nuclear power", alternativ 3-4 = "Keep nuclear power". Two different variants of this question were asked in separate editions in 1996 and 1997 (hb10_87 and hb10_96) and in 2011 (hb10_05 och hb10_11). The question wording has changed. 1986-1997 (National): "After the 1980 referendum Parliament adopted a decision of dismantling nuclear power in Sweden by 2010. What is your opinion about the use of nuclear power in Sweden?"; 1996- (National): "What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden?.
Literal question	What is your opinion about the long-term use of nuclear power as a source of energy in Sweden? Markera endast ett av svarsalternativen
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abolish nuclear power	26530	46.2%
2	Keep nuclear power	20584	35.8%
3	Have no clear opinion	8212	14.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	132	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1972	3.4%
Sysmiss		108410	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20a: Nuclear power risks: Major radioactive emission from a nuclear power plant in Sw

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=40884 /-] [Invalid=124956 /-]
Definition	See also hb21a (11 point scale).
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of a major accident involving radioactive emission in a nuclear power plant in Sweden
Notes	1986-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	9140	22.4%
2	(2)	6198	15.2%
3	(3)	6389	15.6%
4	(4)	2947	7.2%
5	(5)	5375	13.1%
6	(6)	1890	4.6%
7	(7)	1817	4.4%
8	(8)	1750	4.3%
9	(9)	601	1.5%
10	Very high risk (10)	2389	5.8%
97	No response - part of the question	400	1.0%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1982	4.8%
96	Question not asked	23146	
Sysmiss		101810	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20b: Nuclear power risks: More cases of cancer/other diseases if we use nuclear power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16900 /-] [Invalid=148940 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of more cases of cancer and other diseases if we use nuclear power
Notes	1986-1994, 1996, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	3480	20.6%
2	(2)	2397	14.2%
3	(3)	2067	12.2%
4	(4)	1113	6.6%
5	(5)	1813	10.7%
6	(6)	845	5.0%
7	(7)	940	5.6%
8	(8)	994	5.9%
9	(9)	470	2.8%
10	Very high risk (10)	1715	10.1%
97	No response - part of the question	339	2.0%
98	Several responses given	149	0.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	578	3.4%
Sysmiss		148940	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20c: Nuclear power risks: Increased environmental damage to land, air and water

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16900 /-] [Invalid=148940 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power provides increased environmental damage to land, air and water
Notes	1986-1994, 1996, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	4042	23.9%
2	(2)	2223	13.2%
3	(3)	1749	10.3%
4	(4)	991	5.9%
5	(5)	1581	9.4%
6	(6)	717	4.2%
7	(7)	860	5.1%
8	(8)	910	5.4%
9	(9)	570	3.4%
10	Very high risk (10)	2190	13.0%
97	No response - part of the question	340	2.0%
98	Several responses given	149	0.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	578	3.4%
Sysmiss		148940	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20d: Nuclear power risks: Genetic damage to future generations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15322 /-] [Invalid=150518 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power causes genetic damage to future generations?
Notes	1986-1988, 1990-1994, 1996 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	2900	18.9%
2	(2)	2019	13.2%
3	(3)	1687	11.0%
4	(4)	938	6.1%
5	(5)	1576	10.3%
6	(6)	788	5.1%
7	(7)	813	5.3%
8	(8)	921	6.0%
9	(9)	588	3.8%
10	Very high risk (10)	1996	13.0%
97	No response - part of the question	433	2.8%
98	Several responses given	85	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	578	3.8%
Sysmiss		150518	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20e: Nuclear power risks: Sw is unable to handle/store nuclear waste in a safe manner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

hb20e: Nuclear power risks: Sw is unable to handle/store nuclear waste in a safe manner

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=40884 /-] [Invalid=124956 /-]		
Definition	See also hb21b (11 point scale).		
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that we in Sweden are not able to handle and store radio-active nuclear waste in a safe manner		
Notes	1986-2009 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	5915	14.5%
2	(2)	4666	11.4%
3	(3)	4748	11.6%
4	(4)	2815	6.9%
5	(5)	5168	12.6%
6	(6)	2322	5.7%
7	(7)	2596	6.3%
8	(8)	2823	6.9%
9	(9)	1773	4.3%
10	Very high risk (10)	5456	13.3%
97	No response - part of the question	613	1.5%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1982	4.8%
96	Question not asked	23146	
Sysmiss		101810	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20f: Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=40884 /-] [Invalid=124956 /-]		
Definition	See also hb21c (11 point scale).		
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power will lead to more and more countries acquiring nuclear weapons		
Notes	1986-2009 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	4803	11.7%
2	(2)	3196	7.8%
3	(3)	3683	9.0%
4	(4)	2682	6.6%
5	(5)	5668	13.9%
6	(6)	2617	6.4%
7	(7)	3025	7.4%
8	(8)	3506	8.6%
9	(9)	2028	5.0%
10	Very high risk (10)	6915	16.9%
97	No response - part of the question	773	1.9%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1981	4.8%
96	Question not asked	23146	
Sysmiss		101810	

hb20f: Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20g: Nuclear power risks: Accidents in the operation of Swedish nuclear power plants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6901 /-] [Invalid=158939 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents in the operation of Swedish nuclear power plants?
Notes	1990-1993 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	1571	22.8%
2	(2)	1151	16.7%
3	(3)	1115	16.2%
4	(4)	481	7.0%
5	(5)	825	12.0%
6	(6)	288	4.2%
7	(7)	264	3.8%
8	(8)	308	4.5%
9	(9)	100	1.4%
10	Very high risk (10)	370	5.4%
97	No response - part of the question	151	2.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	277	4.0%
Sysmiss		158939	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20h: Nuclear power risks: Accident in connection w transportation of Sw nuclear waste

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6901 /-] [Invalid=158939 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents in connection with transportation of Swedish nuclear waste?
Notes	1990-1993 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	800	11.6%
2	(2)	961	13.9%
3	(3)	1080	15.6%
4	(4)	624	9.0%
5	(5)	961	13.9%
6	(6)	428	6.2%
7	(7)	423	6.1%
8	(8)	431	6.2%
9	(9)	223	3.2%
10	Very high risk (10)	528	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	165	2.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	277	4.0%
Sysmiss		158939	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20i: Nuclear power risks: Accidents when storing Swedish nuclear waste

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6901 /-] [Invalid=158939 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of accidents when storing Swedish nuclear waste?
Notes	1990-1993 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	1016	14.7%
2	(2)	1005	14.6%
3	(3)	890	12.9%
4	(4)	522	7.6%
5	(5)	811	11.8%
6	(6)	368	5.3%
7	(7)	413	6.0%
8	(8)	434	6.3%
9	(9)	280	4.1%
10	Very high risk (10)	712	10.3%
97	No response - part of the question	173	2.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	277	4.0%
Sysmiss		158939	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20j: Nuclear power risks: Sabotage/terrorism when storing/transp. Sw nuclear waste

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8530 /-] [Invalid=157310 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of sabotage/terrorism in connection with storing or transportation of Swedish nuclear waste?
Notes	1990-1993, 2006, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	900	10.6%
2	(2)	1132	13.3%
3	(3)	1173	13.8%
4	(4)	797	9.3%
5	(5)	1173	13.8%
6	(6)	552	6.5%
7	(7)	544	6.4%
8	(8)	552	6.5%
9	(9)	286	3.4%
10	Very high risk (10)	876	10.3%
97	No response - part of the question	194	2.3%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	350	4.1%
96		1707	
Sysmiss		155603	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20k: Nuclear power risks: E. Europe unable to safely run/handle nuclear plants/waste

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20992 /-] [Invalid=144848 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that the countries of Eastern Europe are unable to safely run their nuclear power plants and handle nuclear waste
Notes	1994-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	213	1.0%
2	(2)	163	0.8%
3	(3)	325	1.5%
4	(4)	388	1.8%
5	(5)	1206	5.7%
6	(6)	909	4.3%
7	(7)	1727	8.2%
8	(8)	3104	14.8%
9	(9)	2883	13.7%
10	Very high risk (10)	8707	41.5%
97	No response - part of the question	232	1.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1127	5.4%
96	Question not asked	14665	
Sysmiss		130183	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb20l: Nuclear power risks: Sabotage/terrorism against a Swedish nuclear power plant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3211 /-] [Invalid=162629 /-]
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of sabotage/terrorism against a Swedish nuclear power plant?
Notes	2006, 2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low risk (1)	365	11.4%
2	(2)	438	13.6%
3	(3)	518	16.1%
4	(4)	294	9.2%
5	(5)	506	15.8%
6	(6)	237	7.4%
7	(7)	217	6.8%
8	(8)	178	5.5%
9	(9)	96	3.0%
10	Very high risk (10)	169	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	54	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	139	4.3%
96	Question not asked	5051	
Sysmiss		157578	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb21a: Nuclear power risks: Major radioactive emission from a nuclear power plant in Sw

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3055 /-] [Invalid=162785 /-]
Definition	See also hb20a (10 point scale).
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk of a major accident involving radioactive emission in a nuclear power plant in Sweden
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low risk (0)	322	10.5%
1	(1)	330	10.8%
2	(2)	461	15.1%
3	(3)	363	11.9%
4	(4)	205	6.7%
5	(5)	462	15.1%
6	(6)	199	6.5%
7	(7)	190	6.2%
8	(8)	127	4.2%
9	(9)	52	1.7%
10	Very high risk (10)	141	4.6%
97	No response - part of the question	11	0.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	191	6.3%
96	Question not asked	7954	
Sysmiss		154831	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb21b: Nuclear power risks: Sw is unable to handle/store nuclear waste in a safe manner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3055 /-] [Invalid=162785 /-]
Definition	See also hb20e (10 point scale).
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that we in Sweden are not able to handle and store radio-active nuclear waste in a safe manner
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low risk (0)	282	9.2%
1	(1)	301	9.9%
2	(2)	381	12.5%
3	(3)	296	9.7%
4	(4)	208	6.8%
5	(5)	425	13.9%
6	(6)	188	6.2%
7	(7)	219	7.2%
8	(8)	181	5.9%
9	(9)	104	3.4%
10	Very high risk (10)	243	8.0%
97	No response - part of the question	36	1.2%
98	Several responses given	0	

hb21b: Nuclear power risks: Sw is unable to handle/store nuclear waste in a safe manner

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	191	6.3%
96	Question not asked	7954	
Sysmiss		154831	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb21c: Nuclear power risks: More and more countries will acquire nuclear weapons

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3055 /-] [Invalid=162785 /-]
Definition	See also hb20f (10 point scale).
Literal question	What is your opinion about the following risks which have been disussed in connection with nuclear power? - How large is the risk that nuclear power will lead to more and more countries acquiring nuclear weapons
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very low risk (0)	293	9.6%
1	(1)	218	7.1%
2	(2)	288	9.4%
3	(3)	260	8.5%
4	(4)	192	6.3%
5	(5)	438	14.3%
6	(6)	190	6.2%
7	(7)	251	8.2%
8	(8)	220	7.2%
9	(9)	112	3.7%
10	Very high risk (10)	349	11.4%
97	No response - part of the question	53	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	191	6.3%
96	Question not asked	7954	
Sysmiss		154831	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb900a: Proposal: Keep nuclear power after 2010

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18677 /-] [Invalid=147163 /-]
Literal question	The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them? - Keep nuclear power after 2010
Notes	1986-1996 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	3951	21.2%
2	Rather good proposal	3585	19.2%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3660	19.6%
4	Rather bad proposal	2609	14.0%
5	Very bad proposal	4110	22.0%
97	No response - part of the question	388	2.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	372	2.0%

hb900a: Proposal: Keep nuclear power after 2010

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		147163	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb900b: Proposal: Sweden should in the long run abolish nuclear power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=58742 /-] [Invalid=107098 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Sweden should in the long run abolish nuclear power
Notes	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	10979	18.7%
2	Rather good proposal	12670	21.6%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	13586	23.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	10149	17.3%
5	Very bad proposal	8348	14.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1553	2.6%
98	Several responses given	46	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1411	2.4%
96	Question not asked	86667	
Sysmiss		20431	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hb900c: Proposal: Keep the nuclear power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3367 /-] [Invalid=162473 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Keep the nuclear power
Notes	2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	831	24.7%
2	Rather good proposal	808	24.0%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	822	24.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	387	11.5%
5	Very bad proposal	354	10.5%
97	No response - part of the question	72	2.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	89	2.6%
96	Question not asked	4852	
Sysmiss		157621	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ia10a: Trust in research in: Medicine

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32172 /-] [Invalid=133668 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Medicine

ia10a: Trust in research in: Medicine

	Notes	2002- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust	9491		29.5%
2	Quite high trust	14518		45.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3341		10.4%
4	Quite low trust	556		1.7%
5	Very low trust	237		0.7%
6	No opinion	3019		9.4%
97	No response - part of the question	67		0.2%
98	Several responses given	22		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	921		2.9%
96	Question not asked	87566		
Sysmiss		46102		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ia10b: Trust in research in: Natural science

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32172 /-] [Invalid=133668 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Natural science
Notes	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust	6209		19.3%
2	Quite high trust	13058		40.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5716		17.8%
4	Quite low trust	378		1.2%
5	Very low trust	112		0.3%
6	No opinion	5472		17.0%
97	No response - part of the question	295		0.9%
98	Several responses given	11		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	921		2.9%
96	Question not asked	87566		
Sysmiss		46102		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ia10c: Trust in research in: Social sciences

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32172 /-] [Invalid=133668 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Social sciences
Notes	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust	3640		11.3%
2	Quite high trust	11043		34.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	8658		26.9%
4	Quite low trust	1048		3.3%

ia10c: Trust in research in: Social sciences

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very low trust	304	0.9%
6	No opinion	6181	19.2%
97	No response - part of the question	360	1.1%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	921	2.9%
96	Question not asked	87566	
Sysmiss		46102	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ia10d: Trust in research in: Technology

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32172 /-] [Invalid=133668 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Technology
Notes	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	7547	23.5%
2	Quite high trust	13508	42.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4605	14.3%
4	Quite low trust	318	1.0%
5	Very low trust	105	0.3%
6	No opinion	4839	15.0%
97	No response - part of the question	304	0.9%
98	Several responses given	25	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	921	2.9%
96	Question not asked	87566	
Sysmiss		46102	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ia10e: Trust in research in: Humanities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32172 /-] [Invalid=133668 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Humanities
Notes	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	3053	9.5%
2	Quite high trust	8324	25.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	7934	24.7%
4	Quite low trust	1078	3.4%
5	Very low trust	353	1.1%
6	No opinion	10058	31.3%
97	No response - part of the question	443	1.4%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	921	2.9%
96	Question not asked	87566	

ia10e: Trust in research in: Humanities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		46102	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ia10f: Trust in research in: Educational sciences

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28578 /-] [Invalid=137262 /-]
Literal question	Generally speaking, how much confidence do you have in the research conducted in the following areas in Sweden? - Educational sciences
Notes	2004- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2682	9.4%
2	Quite high trust	8005	28.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	7186	25.1%
4	Quite low trust	1542	5.4%
5	Very low trust	516	1.8%
6	No opinion	7554	26.4%
97	No response - part of the question	275	1.0%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	810	2.8%
96	Question not asked	83876	
Sysmiss		53386	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ic900a: Proposal: Increase the number of private schools

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12013 /-] [Invalid=153827 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed (some questions are given in Swedish). 1987-1988, 1990 (National): "På senare år har förts en debatt om i vilken utsträckning olika verksamheter bör skötas av stat och kommun eller av privata företag, föreningar eller enskilda. Hur ställer du dig till följande förslag? Sätt ett kryss i den ruta som bäst överensstämmer med din uppfattning?"; 1993-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996 (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?" West: The question wording in West SOM is the following: 1992-2007 (West): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Increase the number of private schools
Notes	1987-1988, 1990, 1993-1996 (National); 1992-1996 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	870	7.2%
2	Rather good proposal	2023	16.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	3204	26.7%
4	Rather bad proposal	2782	23.2%
5	Very bad proposal	2535	21.1%
97	No response - part of the question	279	2.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	320	2.7%

ic900a: Proposal: Increase the number of private schools

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		153827	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ic900b: Proposal: Strive towards more independent schools [non-municipality]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37392 /-] [Invalid=128448 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 1996- (National): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?" West: The question wording has changed. 1992-2007 (West): "The following list contains a number of proposals which have been made in the political debate. What is your opinion about each of them?"; 2008- (West): "Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them?"
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? - Strive towards more independent schools [non-municipality]
Notes	1997- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2343	6.3%
2	Rather good proposal	6017	16.1%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	12495	33.4%
4	Rather bad proposal	8573	22.9%
5	Very bad proposal	6103	16.3%
97	No response - part of the question	861	2.3%
98	Several responses given	19	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	981	2.6%
96	Question not asked	64515	
Sysmiss		63933	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja10a: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=55845 /-] [Invalid=109995 /-]
Definition	National: See also ja11a (8 point scale). See ja15a to see which morning paper this question applies to. The question wording has changed. 1986-1997 (National): "If you read any daily newspaper regularly - about how many times a week do you usually read this newspaper?"; 1998-2002 (National): "Do you read any of the following daily newspapers regularly? If yes, state the name of the newspaper and how many times per week you usually read or browse through it. If you read more than one daily newspaper, specify the one that you regard as your main newspaper."; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
Notes	1986-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	955	1.7%
2	2 days/week	1269	2.3%
3	3 days/week	2727	4.9%
4	4 days/week	2106	3.8%

ja10a: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	5 days/week	5289	9.5%
6	6 days/week	18474	33.1%
7	7 days/week	17716	31.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	7309	13.1%
Sysmiss		109995	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja10b: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35414 /-] [Invalid=130426 /-]
Definition	National: See also ja11b (8 point scale). See ja15b to see which morning paper this question applies to. 1998-2002 (National): "Do you read any of the following daily newspapers regularly? If yes, state the name of the newspaper and how many times per week you usually read or browse through it. If you read more than one daily newspaper, specify the one that you regard as your main newspaper."; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
Notes	1998-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	1537	4.3%
2	2 days/week	1210	3.4%
3	3 days/week	1408	4.0%
4	4 days/week	905	2.6%
5	5 days/week	1661	4.7%
6	6 days/week	1418	4.0%
7	7 days/week	1292	3.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25983	73.4%
Sysmiss		130426	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja10c: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35414 /-] [Invalid=130426 /-]
Definition	National: See also ja11c (8 point scale). See ja15c to see which morning paper this question applies to. The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "Do you read any of the following daily newspapers regularly? If yes, state the name of the newspaper and how many times per week you usually read or browse through it. If you read more than one daily newspaper, specify the one that you regard as your main newspaper."; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
Notes	1998-2007 (National)

ja10c: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	564	1.6%
2	2 days/week	312	0.9%
3	3 days/week	297	0.8%
4	4 days/week	191	0.5%
5	5 days/week	382	1.1%
6	6 days/week	188	0.5%
7	7 days/week	188	0.5%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	33292	94.0%
Sysmiss		130426	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja11a: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29179 /-] [Invalid=136661 /-]
Definition	National: See also ja10a (7 point scale). See ja15a to see which morning paper this question applies to.
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	8186	28.1%
2	2 days/week	6902	23.7%
3	3 days/week	2407	8.2%
4	4 days/week	1045	3.6%
5	5 days/week	1806	6.2%
6	6 days/week	919	3.1%
7	7 days/week	714	2.4%
8	More seldom	2285	7.8%
97	No response - part of the question	4532	15.5%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	375	1.3%
96		1625	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja11b: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29179 /-] [Invalid=136661 /-]
Definition	National: See also ja10b (7 point scale). See ja15b to see which morning paper this question applies to.
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
Notes	2008- (National)

ja11b: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	662	2.3%
2	2 days/week	619	2.1%
3	3 days/week	627	2.1%
4	4 days/week	370	1.3%
5	5 days/week	860	2.9%
6	6 days/week	633	2.2%
7	7 days/week	748	2.6%
8	More seldom	998	3.4%
97	No response - part of the question	23286	79.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	375	1.3%
96		1625	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja11c: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16161 /-] [Invalid=149679 /-]
Definition	National: See also ja10c (7 point scale). See ja15c to see which morning paper this question applies to.
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	53	0.3%
2	2 days/week	44	0.3%
3	3 days/week	68	0.4%
4	4 days/week	52	0.3%
5	5 days/week	92	0.6%
6	6 days/week	92	0.6%
7	7 days/week	139	0.9%
8	More seldom	284	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	15099	93.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	238	1.5%
96		8040	
Sysmiss		141639	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja12a: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper (2014-)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	20579	26.0%
2	5-6 days/week	6045	7.6%
3	3-4 days/week	5904	7.5%

ja12a: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: First newspaper (2014-)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	1-2 days/week	5396	6.8%
5	More seldom	8139	10.3%
95		30819	38.9%
97		758	1.0%
98		277	0.3%
99		1274	1.6%
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja12b: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscribed daily newspaper]: Second newspaper (2014-)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1757	2.2%
2	5-6 days/week	791	1.0%
3	3-4 days/week	1584	2.0%
4	1-2 days/week	2075	2.6%
5	More seldom	3192	4.0%
95		30819	38.9%
97		37674	47.6%
98		25	0.0%
99		1274	1.6%
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja14: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscr. daily newsp]: The most read newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/95/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=163462 /-] [Invalid=2378 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on ja10a-c and ja11a-c. The variable show the highest frequency (the morning paper which is read most) of any of the three responses given each year (ja10a-c: 1986-2007; ja11a-c: 2008-). Some responses are excluded: when an evening tabloid, a online newspaper or any other non morning paper is given by the respondent. Free daily newspapers are, however, included. Note that the "More seldom" alternative has only been available since 2008. See each variable this variable is based on for further information.
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	46863	28.7%
2	2 days/week	38789	23.7%
3	3 days/week	13250	8.1%
4	4 days/week	8873	5.4%
5	5 days/week	10151	6.2%
6	6 days/week	0	
7	7 days/week	0	
8	More seldom	0	

ja14: Morning paper reading freq [mainly subscr. daily newsp]: The most read newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	36601	22.4%
98	Several responses given	16	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	8761	5.4%
995		158	0.1%
95	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	753	
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		1625	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=*/996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164111 /-] [Invalid=1729 /-]
Definition	National: Open question. The title of the morning newspaper that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded. West: Open question. Shows the newspaper that is read by the respondent that were not included as a response alternative ("Other morning paper"). For frequencies see ja201a and ja211a. Note that the number of alternatives have changed over time.
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
Notes	1986- (National), 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro (oavsett utgivningsort)	4364	2.7%
2	City	80	0.0%
3	18 minuter	1	0.0%
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka (ny2004)	0	
5	7 dagar Kungälv (ny 2004)	0	
6	7 dagar Mölndal (ny 2004)	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost (ny 2004)	0	
8	7 dagar Partille (ny 2004)	1	0.0%
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen (ny 2004)	1	0.0%
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	596	0.4%
11	Extra Östergötland	21	0.0%
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	15	0.0%
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	2	0.0%
14	Världen Idag	28	0.0%
15	Computer Sweden	4	0.0%
16	Punkt SE	95	0.1%
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	0	
22	Fria Tidningen	12	0.0%
102	Arbetar-Tidningen Ny dag	0	
103	Folkviljan	0	
104	Smålands-Folket	0	
105	Blekinge Folkblad	1	0.0%
106	Nya Wärmland	1	0.0%
201	Aftonbladet	47	0.0%

ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202	Arbetarbladet	844	0.5%
203	Arbetet	590	0.4%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	139	0.1%
205	Dala-Demokraten	590	0.4%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	211	0.1%
207	Folket	150	0.1%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	431	0.3%
209	Karlskogakuriren	142	0.1%
210	Kronobergaren/Sunnebo Tidning (se även kod 332)	10	0.0%
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	564	0.3%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	1578	1.0%
213	Nya Norrland	131	0.1%
214	Oskarshamns-Nyheterna	23	0.0%
215	Piteå-Tidningen	739	0.5%
216	Smålands Folkblad/Höglandet	12	0.0%
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	492	0.3%
218	Söderhamns-Hälsinge/Kuriren se även kod 238)	81	0.0%
219	Värmlands Folkblad	692	0.4%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	405	0.2%
221	Västerviks-Demokraten	1	0.0%
222	Västgöta-Demokraten	2	0.0%
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	17	0.0%
224	Örebro-Kuriren	54	0.0%
225	Östra Småland	271	0.2%
226	Nyheterna (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	48	0.0%
227	Aftontidningen	0	
228	Morgontidningen Socialdemokraten	2	0.0%
229	Skånska Socialdemokraten - Nyheterna	0	
230	Ny Tid	0	
231	Kuriren	37	0.0%
232	Stockholms-TIdningen	2	0.0%
233	Östgöten	15	0.0%
234	Östergötlands Folkblad	4	0.0%
235	Kristianstads Läns-Demokraten	0	
236	Skaraborgaren	0	
237	Aurora	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsinge-Kuriren; ändrad 2007; s	195	0.1%
301	Blekinge-Posten	7	0.0%
302	Dalabygden	3	0.0%
303	DB - Aktuella journalen	0	
304	Gävle Dalabygden	2	0.0%
305	Hallands Nyheter	1301	0.8%
306	Haningebladet	1	0.0%
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	527	0.3%
308	Hälsinglands Tidning	4	0.0%

ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	3	0.0%
310	Kinda-posten (se även kod 327)	10	0.0%
311	Laholms Tidning	95	0.1%
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	2	0.0%
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	374	0.2%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	13	0.0%
315	Nacka-Saltsjöbadens Tidning	4	0.0%
316	Nord-Sverige	3	0.0%
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	53	0.0%
318	Norra Skåne	777	0.5%
319	Norrtelje Tidning	496	0.3%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	33	0.0%
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	0	
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	5	0.0%
323	Skånska Dagbladet	951	0.6%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	14	0.0%
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	903	0.6%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	17	0.0%
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten (se även kod 310)	417	0.3%
328	Värmlands-Bygden	0	
329	Västerbygden	2	0.0%
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	25	0.0%
331	Uddevalla-Posten	3	0.0%
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren (se även kod 210)	2	0.0%
333	Östersunds-Posten	998	0.6%
334	Upplands Nyheter	7	0.0%
335	Västerbottningen	3	0.0%
336	Älvsborgs-Posten	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	2	0.0%
381		1	0.0%
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 407	303	0.2%
402	Arboga Tidning	130	0.1%
403	Bergslags-Posten	177	0.1%
404	Blekinge Läns Tidning (se även kod 606)	291	0.2%
405	Bohusläningen	1206	0.7%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	265	0.2%
407	Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 4019)	4	0.0%
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	1307	0.8%
409	Expressen	23	0.0%
410	Falu-Kuriren	1088	0.7%
411	Gefle Dagblad	1024	0.6%
412	GT	15	0.0%
413	Göteborgs Handels- och sjöfartstidning	0	
414	Göteborgs-Posten	9233	5.6%
415	Hallands-Posten	1424	0.9%

ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	10	0.0%
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	533	0.3%
418	Kristianstadsbladet	1226	0.7%
419	Kvällsposten	5	0.0%
420	Lerums Tidning	0	
421	Ljusnan	504	0.3%
422	Mellersta Skåne	2	0.0%
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning (se även kod 441)	424	0.3%
424	Nerikes Allehanda	2490	1.5%
425	Norran (Norra Västerbotten)	1127	0.7%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	906	0.6%
427	Provinstidningen Dalsland	99	0.1%
428	Skövde Nyheter	99	0.1%
429	Smålands Dagblad	30	0.0%
430	Smålands-Tidningen/Tranås Tidning/Vetlanda-Posten (se även k	1082	0.7%
431		1	0.0%
432	Strengnes Tidning (se även kod 408)	41	0.0%
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	1309	0.8%
434	Sydsvenskan – SDS (tidigare Sydsvenska Dagbladet)	4816	2.9%
435	Sydöstra Götalands Tidningar	4	0.0%
436	Södra Sveriges Tidningar	0	
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	56	0.0%
438	Tranås Tidning (se även kod 430)	71	0.0%
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	344	0.2%
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	2549	1.6%
441	Vadstena Skänninge Tidning (se även kod 423)	14	0.0%
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	1751	1.1%
443	Vetlanda-Posten (se även kod 430	98	0.1%
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	1811	1.1%
445	Ystads Allehanda	905	0.6%
446	Örnsköldsviks Allehanda	641	0.4%
447	Afton-Tidningen	1	0.0%
448	Svenska Morgonbladet	6	0.0%
449	Lunds Dagblad	0	
450	Varbergs Tidning	0	
451	Hudiksvallsposten	0	
452	Söderhamns Tidning	1	0.0%
453	Jämtlands Tidning	1	0.0%
454	Hudiksvalls Nyheter	2	0.0%
501	Barometern/OT (se även kod 515)	1781	1.1%
502	Borås Tidning	2103	1.3%
503	Enköpings-Posten	392	0.2%
504	Falköpings Tidning	318	0.2%
505	Filipstads Tidning	57	0.0%
506	Gotlands Allehanda	353	0.2%

ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
507	Haparandabladet	37	0.0%
508		2	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	25	0.0%
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	411	0.3%
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	1837	1.1%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	162	0.1%
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	2206	1.3%
515	Oskarshamns-Tidningen	110	0.1%
516	Smålandsposten	1791	1.1%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	6050	3.7%
518	Tranås-Posten	84	0.1%
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	164	0.1%
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	447	0.3%
521	Östgöta-Bladet	1	0.0%
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	2592	1.6%
523	Norrlands-Posten	1	0.0%
524	Älvsborgs Nyheter	0	
525	Östergötlands Dagblad	0	
526	Smålands Allehanda	0	
527	Halland - Hallands Dagblad	1	0.0%
528	Aftonposten	0	
529	Östhammars Tidning	0	
530	Sundsvallsposten	2	0.0%
531	Sölvesborgs-Bladet	0	
532	Westgöten	0	
533	Köpinge-Posten	0	
534	Nordsvenska Dagbladet	39	0.0%
535	Karlsborgstidningen	1	0.0%
552		1	0.0%
601	Arvika Nyheter	298	0.2%
602	Engelholms Tidning	2	0.0%
603	Eslövs Tidning	0	
604	Gotlänningen	3	0.0%
605	Höganäs Tidning	0	
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	1222	0.7%
607	Klippans Tidning	1	0.0%
608	Landskrona-Posten	17	0.0%
609	Smålänningen	469	0.3%
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten (NST)	962	0.6%
611	Norrbottens-Kuriren	850	0.5%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda (SLA)	886	0.5%
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning (Skara Tidn.)	388	0.2%
614	Västernorrlands Allehanda	93	0.1%
615	Västgöta-Bladet	117	0.1%
616	Ölandsbladet	105	0.1%

ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
617	Öresunds-Posten	7	0.0%
619	Kristdemokraten	3	0.0%
701	Arbetaren	5	0.0%
702	Gnistan	0	
703	Internationalen	0	
704	Proletären	3	0.0%
705	Flamman	3	0.0%
708		1	0.0%
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	214	0.1%
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	78	0.0%
803	Borlänge Tidning	356	0.2%
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	120	0.1%
805	Dagens Nyheter	14017	8.5%
806	Danderyds Tidning	0	
807	Eesti Påevaleht – Estniska Dagbladet	0	
808	Elfsborgs Läns Annonsblad (Allehanda) (utgått)	175	0.1%
809	Fagersta-Posten	210	0.1%
810	Finn Sanomat	0	
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	9	0.0%
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	2150	1.3%
813	Huddinge-Posten	1	0.0%
814	Härjedalen	9	0.0%
815	Järfälla Nyheter	2	0.0%
816	Järva Nyheter	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	1667	1.0%
818	Karlskoga Tidning	280	0.2%
819	Klaraälvsbygden	0	
820	Kungälvs-Posten	58	0.0%
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	7	0.0%
822	Lilla Edet-Posten	9	0.0%
823	Ljusdals-Posten	222	0.1%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	276	0.2%
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	12	0.0%
826	Mora tidning	388	0.2%
827	Mälaröarnas Nyheter	0	
828	Mölndals-Posten	16	0.0%
829	Norrort	0	
830	Nybro Tidning	1	0.0%
831	Sala Allehanda	294	0.2%
832	Sandvikens Tidning	0	
833	Sigtuna-Märsta-Poste	3	0.0%
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	70	0.0%
835	Sunbybergs och Solna Tidning	0	
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	105	0.1%
837	Säters Tidning (se även kod 838)	1	0.0%

ja15a: Specified daily paper: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Säters Tidning (se även kod 837)	227	0.1%
839	TTELA - Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsb	945	0.6%
840	Trosa Tidning	0	
841	Vaxholms Tidning	0	
842	Värnamo Nyheter	718	0.4%
843	Värnamo Tidningen/Västbo Tidning	3	0.0%
844	Väsby-Nytt	0	
845	Västerort	0	
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	4	0.0%
847	Tempus	0	
848	Alternativet	0	
849	Göteborgs-Trakten	1	0.0%
850	Stockholms Läns Södertälje Tidning	12	0.0%
851	Annonsbladet (Helsingborg)	1	0.0%
852	Dagens Industri	563	0.3%
853	Finnveden Fredag	8	0.0%
855	Stenungssundsposten	7	0.0%
857	Östhammars Nyheter	1	0.0%
858	ST tidning	25	0.0%
890	Lokal annonstidning	4	0.0%
891	Utländsk dagstidning	90	0.1%
892	Tidningen Åland	0	
901		9	0.0%
912		1	0.0%
913		4	0.0%
914		1	0.0%
984	På lätt svenska - tidning för invandrare	0	
985	Nyhetstidningen Sesam	1	0.0%
986	Skövdenytt	0	
990	Finanstidningen	1	0.0%
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	5	0.0%
993	Not codeable newspaper	326	0.2%
994		16	0.0%
995	Response corresponds to close-ended response option	30819	18.8%
997	No response - part of the question	17609	10.7%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	1832	1.1%
999	No response - entire question battery	2209	1.3%
9997		1268	0.8%
996	Question not asked	1726	
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=*/996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=157639 /-] [Invalid=8201 /-]
Definition	National:

ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

	Open question. The morning newspaper that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded. West: Open question. Shows the newspaper that is read by the respondent that were not included as a response alternative ("Other morning paper"). For frequencies see ja201b and ja211b. Note that the number of alternatives have changed over time.
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
Notes	1998- (National); 1992-2006, 2010- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro (oavsett utgivningsort)	1785	1.1%
2	City	390	0.2%
3	18 minuter	2	0.0%
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka (ny2004)	2	0.0%
5	7 dagar Kungälv (ny 2004)	1	0.0%
6	7 dagar Mölndal (ny 2004)	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost (ny 2004)	1	0.0%
8	7 dagar Partille (ny 2004)	0	
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen (ny 2004)	4	0.0%
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	32	0.0%
11	Extra Östergötland	13	0.0%
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	22	0.0%
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	4	0.0%
14	Världen Idag	28	0.0%
15	Computer Sweden	14	0.0%
16	Punkt SE	83	0.1%
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	6	0.0%
22	Fria Tidningen	16	0.0%
102	Arbetar-Tidningen Ny dag	0	
103	Folkviljan	0	
104	Smålands-Folket	0	
105	Blekinge Folkblad	0	
106	Nya Wärmland	0	
201	Aftonbladet	74	0.0%
202	Arbetarbladet	117	0.1%
203	Arbetet	98	0.1%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	101	0.1%
205	Dala-Demokraten	220	0.1%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	87	0.1%
207	Folket	51	0.0%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	65	0.0%
209	Karlskogakuriren	21	0.0%
210	Kronobergaren/Sunnebo Tidning (se även kod 332)	4	0.0%
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	137	0.1%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	199	0.1%
213	Nya Norrland	10	0.0%
214	Oskarshamns-Nyheterna	0	
215	Piteå-Tidningen	32	0.0%

ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
216	Smålands Folkblad/Höglandet	2	0.0%
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	156	0.1%
218	Söderhamns-Hälsinge/Kuriren se även kod 238)	1	0.0%
219	Värmlands Folkblad	195	0.1%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	176	0.1%
221	Västerviks-Demokraten	0	
222	Västgöta-Demokraten	0	
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	3	0.0%
224	Örebro-Kuriren	22	0.0%
225	Östra Småland	96	0.1%
226	Nyheterna (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	21	0.0%
227	Aftontidningen	1	0.0%
228	Morgontidningen Socialdemokraten	2	0.0%
229	Skånska Socialdemokraten - Nyheterna	0	
230	Ny Tid	0	
231	Kuriren	11	0.0%
232	Stockholms-TIdningen	0	
233	Östgöten	2	0.0%
234	Östergötlands Folkblad	1	0.0%
235	Kristianstads Läns-Demokraten	0	
236	Skaraborgaren	0	
237	Aurora	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsinge-Kuriren; ändrad 2007; s	21	0.0%
301	Blekinge-Posten	7	0.0%
302	Dalabygden	4	0.0%
303	DB - Aktuella journalen	0	
304	Gävle Dalabygden	0	
305	Hallands Nyheter	102	0.1%
306	Haningebladet	0	
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	33	0.0%
308	Hälsinglands Tidning	9	0.0%
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	8	0.0%
310	Kinda-posten (se även kod 327)	5	0.0%
311	Laholms Tidning	45	0.0%
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	3	0.0%
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	77	0.0%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	2	0.0%
315	Nacka-Saltsjöbadens Tidning	3	0.0%
316	Nord-Sverige	6	0.0%
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	91	0.1%
318	Norra Skåne	53	0.0%
319	Norrtelje Tidning	98	0.1%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	31	0.0%
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	2	0.0%
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	14	0.0%

ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
323	Skånska Dagbladet	190	0.1%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	3	0.0%
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	90	0.1%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	5	0.0%
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten (se även kod 310)	75	0.0%
328	Värmlands-Bygden	2	0.0%
329	Västerbygden	0	
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	0	
331	Uddevalla-Posten	7	0.0%
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren (se även kod 210)	12	0.0%
333	Östersunds-Posten	147	0.1%
334	Upplands Nyheter	4	0.0%
335	Västerbottningen	5	0.0%
336	Älvsborgs-Posten	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	0	
400		1	0.0%
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 407	126	0.1%
402	Arboga Tidning	18	0.0%
403	Bergslags-Posten	18	0.0%
404	Blekinge Läns Tidning (se även kod 606)	21	0.0%
405	Bohusläningen	123	0.1%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	21	0.0%
407	Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 4019)	0	
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	104	0.1%
409	Expressen	40	0.0%
410	Falu-Kuriren	125	0.1%
411	Gefle Dagblad	104	0.1%
412	GT	18	0.0%
413	Göteborgs Handels- och sjöfartstidning	0	
414	Göteborgs-Posten	1137	0.7%
415	Hallands-Posten	99	0.1%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	11	0.0%
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	35	0.0%
418	Kristianstadsbladet	89	0.1%
419	Kvällsposten	6	0.0%
420	Lerums Tidning	0	
421	Ljusnan	27	0.0%
422	Mellersta Skåne	0	
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning (se även kod 441)	49	0.0%
424	Nerikes Allehanda	110	0.1%
425	Norran (Norra Västerbotten)	42	0.0%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	101	0.1%
427	Provinstidningen Dalsland	21	0.0%
428	Skövde Nyheter	27	0.0%
429	Smålands Dagblad	1	0.0%

ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
430	Smålands-Tidningen/Tranås Tidning/Vetlanda-Posten (se även k	41	0.0%
432	Strengnes Tidning (se även kod 408)	3	0.0%
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	117	0.1%
434	Sydsvenskan – SDS (tidigare Sydsvenska Dagbladet)	564	0.4%
435	Sydöstra Götalands Tidningar	0	
436	Södra Sveriges Tidningar	0	
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	8	0.0%
438	Tranås Tidning (se även kod 430)	3	0.0%
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	71	0.0%
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	273	0.2%
441	Vadstena Skänninge Tidning (se även kod 423)	1	0.0%
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	128	0.1%
443	Vetlanda-Posten (se även kod 430	4	0.0%
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	157	0.1%
445	Ystads Allehanda	104	0.1%
446	Örnsköldsviks Allehanda	32	0.0%
447	Afton-Tidningen	0	
448	Svenska Morgonbladet	1	0.0%
449	Lunds Dagblad	0	
450	Varbergs Tidning	1	0.0%
451	Hudiksvallsposten	0	
452	Söderhamns Tidning	0	
453	Jämtlands Tidning	0	
454	Hudiksvalls Nyheter	0	
501	Barometern/OT (se även kod 515)	143	0.1%
502	Borås Tidning	118	0.1%
503	Enköpings-Posten	58	0.0%
504	Falköpings Tidning	51	0.0%
505	Filipstads Tidning	26	0.0%
506	Gotlands Allehanda	87	0.1%
507	Haparandabladet	56	0.0%
508		1	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	17	0.0%
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	34	0.0%
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	118	0.1%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	71	0.0%
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	259	0.2%
515	Oskarshamns-Tidningen	11	0.0%
516	Smålandsposten	88	0.1%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	2542	1.6%
518	Tranås-Posten	9	0.0%
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	131	0.1%
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	37	0.0%
521	Östgöta-Bladet	0	
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	143	0.1%

ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
523	Norrlands-Posten	0	
524	Älvsborgs Nyheter	1	0.0%
525	Östergötlands Dagblad	0	
526	Smålands Allehanda	0	
527	Halland - Hallands Dagblad	1	0.0%
528	Aftonposten	0	
529	Östhammars Tidning	1	0.0%
530	Sundsvallsposten	1	0.0%
531	Sölvesborgs-Bladet	0	
532	Westgöten	0	
533	Köpinge-Posten	0	
534	Nordsvenska Dagbladet	3	0.0%
535	Karlsborgstidningen	0	
601	Arvika Nyheter	90	0.1%
602	Engelholms Tidning	0	
603	Eslövs Tidning	0	
604	Gotlänningen	0	
605	Höganäs Tidning	0	
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	115	0.1%
607	Klippans Tidning	0	
608	Landskrona-Posten	11	0.0%
609	Smålänningen	45	0.0%
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten (NST)	91	0.1%
611	Norrbottens-Kuriren	221	0.1%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda (SLA)	104	0.1%
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning (Skara Tidn.)	52	0.0%
614	Västernorrlands Allehanda	16	0.0%
615	Västgöta-Bladet	10	0.0%
616	Ölandsbladet	144	0.1%
617	Öresunds-Posten	0	
619	Kristdemokraten	0	
701	Arbetaren	1	0.0%
702	Gnistan	0	
703	Internationalen	0	
704	Proletären	2	0.0%
705	Flamman	2	0.0%
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	29	0.0%
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	25	0.0%
803	Borlänge Tidning	45	0.0%
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	164	0.1%
805	Dagens Nyheter	3314	2.1%
806	Danderyds Tidning	0	
807	Eesti Päevaleht – Estniska Dagbladet	0	
808	Elfsborgs Läns Annonsblad (Allehanda) (utgått)	22	0.0%
809	Fagersta-Posten	30	0.0%

ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
810	Finn Sanomat	0	
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	40	0.0%
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	117	0.1%
813	Huddinge-Posten	0	
814	Härjedalen	9	0.0%
815	Järfälla Nyheter	0	
816	Järva Nyheter	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	93	0.1%
818	Karlskoga Tidning	30	0.0%
819	Klaraälvsbygden	0	
820	Kungälvs-Posten	81	0.1%
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	14	0.0%
822	Lilla Edet-Posten	3	0.0%
823	Ljusdals-Posten	21	0.0%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	45	0.0%
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	28	0.0%
826	Mora tidning	51	0.0%
827	Mälaröarnas Nyheter	0	
828	Mölndals-Posten	53	0.0%
829	Norrort	0	
830	Nybro Tidning	0	
831	Sala Allehanda	47	0.0%
832	Sandvikens Tidning	0	
833	Sigtuna-Märsta-Poste	0	
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	48	0.0%
835	Sunbybergs och Solna Tidning	1	0.0%
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	49	0.0%
837	Säters Tidning (se även kod 838)	0	
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Säters Tidning (se även kod 837)	16	0.0%
839	TTELA - Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsb	74	0.0%
840	Trosa Tidning	0	
841	Vaxholms Tidning	0	
842	Värnamo Nyheter	72	0.0%
843	Värnamo Tidningen/Västbo Tidning	0	
844	Väsby-Nytt	0	
845	Västerort	0	
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	7	0.0%
847	Tempus	0	
848	Alternativet	0	
849	Göteborgs-Trakten	0	
850	Stockholms Läns Södertälje Tidning	0	
851	Annonsbladet (Helsingborg)	0	
852	Dagens Industri	1311	0.8%
853	Finnveden Fredag	17	0.0%
855	Stenungssundsposten	15	0.0%

ja15b: Specified daily paper: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
857	Östhammars Nyheter	8	0.0%
858	ST tidning	10	0.0%
890	Lokal annonstidning	0	
891	Utländsk dagstidning	98	0.1%
892	Tidningen Åland	0	
901		9	0.0%
902		1	0.0%
984	På lätt svenska - tidning för invandrare	0	
985	Nyhetstidningen Sesam	0	
986	Skövdenytt	0	
990	Finanstidningen	18	0.0%
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	3	0.0%
993	Not codeable newspaper	127	0.1%
994		7	0.0%
995	Response corresponds to close-ended response option	30819	19.6%
997	No response - part of the question	97692	62.0%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	2230	1.4%
999	No response - entire question battery	2194	1.4%
9997		4197	2.7%
996	Question not asked	1682	
Sysmiss		6519	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=51570 /-] [Invalid=114270 /-]
Definition	Open question. The morning newspaper that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded.
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper.
Notes	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro (oavsett utgivningsort)	202	0.4%
2	City	174	0.3%
3	18 minuter	0	
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka (ny2004)	0	
5	7 dagar Kungälv (ny 2004)	0	
6	7 dagar Mölndal (ny 2004)	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost (ny 2004)	0	
8	7 dagar Partille (ny 2004)	0	
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen (ny 2004)	0	
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	6	0.0%
11	Extra Östergötland	2	0.0%
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	1	0.0%
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	1	0.0%
14	Världen Idag	0	

ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Computer Sweden	2	0.0%
16	Punkt SE	18	0.0%
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	4	0.0%
22	Fria Tidningen	2	0.0%
102	Arbetar-Tidningen Ny dag	0	
103	Folkviljan	0	
104	Smålands-Folket	0	
105	Blekinge Folkblad	0	
106	Nya Wärmland	0	
201	Aftonbladet	20	0.0%
202	Arbetarbladet	7	0.0%
203	Arbetet	8	0.0%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	8	0.0%
205	Dala-Demokraten	16	0.0%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	7	0.0%
207	Folket	3	0.0%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	3	0.0%
209	Karlskogakuriren	6	0.0%
210	Kronobergaren/Sunnebo Tidning (se även kod 332)	0	
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	8	0.0%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	12	0.0%
213	Nya Norrland	3	0.0%
214	Oskarshamns-Nyheterna	2	0.0%
215	Piteå-Tidningen	6	0.0%
216	Smålands Folkblad/Höglandet	0	
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	6	0.0%
218	Söderhamns-Hälsinge/Kuriren se även kod 238)	0	
219	Värmlands Folkblad	12	0.0%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	10	0.0%
221	Västerviks-Demokraten	0	
222	Västgöta-Demokraten	0	
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	1	0.0%
224	Örebro-Kuriren	4	0.0%
225	Östra Småland	10	0.0%
226	Nyheterna (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	1	0.0%
227	Aftontidningen	0	
228	Morgontidningen Socialdemokraten	0	
229	Skånska Socialdemokraten - Nyheterna	0	
230	Ny Tid	0	
231	Kuriren	3	0.0%
232	Stockholms-TIdningen	0	
233	Östgöten	0	
234	Östergötlands Folkblad	0	
235	Kristianstads Läns-Demokraten	0	
236	Skaraborgaren	0	

ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
237	Aurora	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsinge-Kuriren; ändrad 2007; s	4	0.0%
301	Blekinge-Posten	0	
302	Dalabygden	0	
303	DB - Aktuella journalen	0	
304	Gävle Dalabygden	0	
305	Hallands Nyheter	4	0.0%
306	Haningebladet	0	
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	2	0.0%
308	Hälsinglands Tidning	1	0.0%
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	4	0.0%
310	Kinda-posten (se även kod 327)	0	
311	Laholms Tidning	2	0.0%
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	0	
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	4	0.0%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	0	
315	Nacka-Saltsjöbadens Tidning	0	
316	Nord-Sverige	0	
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	5	0.0%
318	Norra Skåne	9	0.0%
319	Norrtelje Tidning	3	0.0%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	2	0.0%
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	3	0.0%
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	3	0.0%
323	Skånska Dagbladet	12	0.0%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	0	
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	3	0.0%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	1	0.0%
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten (se även kod 310)	5	0.0%
328	Värmlands-Bygden	2	0.0%
329	Västerbygden	0	
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	0	
331	Uddevalla-Posten	0	
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren (se även kod 210)	2	0.0%
333	Östersunds-Posten	3	0.0%
334	Upplands Nyheter	2	0.0%
335	Västerbottningen	0	
336	Älvsborgs-Posten	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	0	
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 407	5	0.0%
402	Arboga Tidning	2	0.0%
403	Bergslags-Posten	3	0.0%
404	Blekinge Läns Tidning (se även kod 606)	1	0.0%
405	Bohusläningen	10	0.0%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	0	

ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
407	Elfsborgs Läns Tidning (se även kod 4019)	0	
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	9	0.0%
409	Expressen	21	0.0%
410	Falu-Kuriren	10	0.0%
411	Gefle Dagblad	7	0.0%
412	GT	8	0.0%
413	Göteborgs Handels- och sjöfartstidning	0	
414	Göteborgs-Posten	92	0.2%
415	Hallands-Posten	3	0.0%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	3	0.0%
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	1	0.0%
418	Kristianstadsbladet	5	0.0%
419	Kvällsposten	3	0.0%
420	Lerums Tidning	0	
421	Ljusnan	0	
422	Mellersta Skåne	0	
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning (se även kod 441)	4	0.0%
424	Nerikes Allehanda	13	0.0%
425	Norran (Norra Västerbotten)	3	0.0%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	5	0.0%
427	Provinstidningen Dalsland	0	
428	Skövde Nyheter	4	0.0%
429	Smålands Dagblad	1	0.0%
430	Smålands-Tidningen/Tranås Tidning/Vetlanda-Posten (se även k	2	0.0%
432	Strengnes Tidning (se även kod 408)	0	
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	3	0.0%
434	Sydsvenskan – SDS (tidigare Sydsvenska Dagbladet)	47	0.1%
435	Sydöstra Götalands Tidningar	0	
436	Södra Sveriges Tidningar	0	
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	2	0.0%
438	Tranås Tidning (se även kod 430)	0	
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	5	0.0%
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	16	0.0%
441	Vadstena Skänninge Tidning (se även kod 423)	0	
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	7	0.0%
443	Vetlanda-Posten (se även kod 430	0	
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	8	0.0%
445	Ystads Allehanda	8	0.0%
446	Örnsköldsviks Allehanda	3	0.0%
447	Afton-Tidningen	0	
448	Svenska Morgonbladet	0	
449	Lunds Dagblad	0	
450	Varbergs Tidning	0	
451	Hudiksvallsposten	0	
452	Söderhamns Tidning	0	

ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
453	Jämtlands Tidning	0	
454	Hudiksvalls Nyheter	0	
501	Barometern/OT (se även kod 515)	9	0.0%
502	Borås Tidning	6	0.0%
503	Enköpings-Posten	2	0.0%
504	Falköpings Tidning	5	0.0%
505	Filipstads Tidning	0	
506	Gotlands Allehanda	1	0.0%
507	Haparandabladet	6	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	5	0.0%
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	1	0.0%
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	8	0.0%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	7	0.0%
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	17	0.0%
515	Oskarshamns-Tidningen	0	
516	Smålandsposten	6	0.0%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	220	0.4%
518	Tranås-Posten	1	0.0%
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	2	0.0%
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	5	0.0%
521	Östgöta-Bladet	0	
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	7	0.0%
523	Norrlands-Posten	0	
524	Älvsborgs Nyheter	0	
525	Östergötlands Dagblad	0	
526	Smålands Allehanda	0	
527	Halland - Hallands Dagblad	0	
528	Aftonposten	0	
529	Östhammars Tidning	0	
530	Sundsvallsposten	0	
531	Sölvesborgs-Bladet	0	
532	Westgöten	0	
533	Köpinge-Posten	0	
534	Nordsvenska Dagbladet	0	
535	Karlsborgstidningen	0	
601	Arvika Nyheter	2	0.0%
602	Engelholms Tidning	0	
603	Eslövs Tidning	0	
604	Gotlänningen	0	
605	Höganäs Tidning	0	
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	1	0.0%
607	Klippans Tidning	0	
608	Landskrona-Posten	0	
609	Smålänningen	1	0.0%
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten (NST)	9	0.0%

ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
611	Norrbottens-Kuriren	17	0.0%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda (SLA)	7	0.0%
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning (Skara Tidn.)	6	0.0%
614	Västernorrlands Allehanda	1	0.0%
615	Västgöta-Bladet	1	0.0%
616	Ölandsbladet	11	0.0%
617	Öresunds-Posten	0	
619	Kristdemokraten	0	
701	Arbetaren	0	
702	Gnistan	0	
703	Internationalen	1	0.0%
704	Proletären	0	
705	Flamman	0	
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	1	0.0%
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	2	0.0%
803	Borlänge Tidning	1	0.0%
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	17	0.0%
805	Dagens Nyheter	365	0.7%
806	Danderyds Tidning	0	
807	Eesti Päevaleht – Estniska Dagbladet	0	
808	Elfsborgs Läns Annonsblad (Allehanda) (utgått)	2	0.0%
809	Fagersta-Posten	1	0.0%
810	Finn Sanomat	0	
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	0	
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	8	0.0%
813	Huddinge-Posten	0	
814	Härjedalen	2	0.0%
815	Järfälla Nyheter	0	
816	Järva Nyheter	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	2	0.0%
818	Karlskoga Tidning	2	0.0%
819	Klaraälvsbygden	0	
820	Kungälvs-Posten	3	0.0%
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	2	0.0%
822	Lilla Edet-Posten	0	
823	Ljusdals-Posten	2	0.0%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	1	0.0%
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	7	0.0%
826	Mora tidning	3	0.0%
827	Mälaröarnas Nyheter	0	
828	Mölndals-Posten	6	0.0%
829	Norrort	0	
830	Nybro Tidning	0	
831	Sala Allehanda	4	0.0%
832	Sandvikens Tidning	0	

ja15c: Specified daily paper: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
833	Sigtuna-Märsta-Poste	0	
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	3	0.0%
835	Sunbybergs och Solna Tidning	0	
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	3	0.0%
837	Säters Tidning (se även kod 838)	0	
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Säters Tidning (se även kod 837)	3	0.0%
839	TTELA - Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsb	2	0.0%
840	Trosa Tidning	0	
841	Vaxholms Tidning	0	
842	Värnamo Nyheter	4	0.0%
843	Värnamo Tidningen/Västbo Tidning	0	
844	Väsby-Nytt	0	
845	Västerort	0	
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	4	0.0%
847	Tempus	0	
848	Alternativet	0	
849	Göteborgs-Trakten	0	
850	Stockholms Läns Södertälje Tidning	0	
851	Annonsbladet (Helsingborg)	0	
852	Dagens Industri	232	0.4%
853	Finnveden Fredag	4	0.0%
855	Stenungssundsposten	4	0.0%
857	Östhammars Nyheter	1	0.0%
858	ST tidning	3	0.0%
890	Lokal annonstidning	1	0.0%
891	Utländsk dagstidning	27	0.1%
892	Tidningen Åland	0	
984	På lätt svenska - tidning för invandrare	0	
985	Nyhetstidningen Sesam	0	
986	Skövdenytt	0	
990	Finanstidningen	10	0.0%
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	0	
993	Not codeable newspaper	3	0.0%
995	Response corresponds to close-ended response option	0	
997	No response - part of the question	48305	93.7%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	543	1.1%
999	No response - entire question battery	664	1.3%
996	Question not asked	8042	
Sysmiss		106228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja19: Do not read any daily newspapers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164215 /-] [Invalid=1625 /-]
Definition	The opt-out alternative to ja10a-c, ja11a-c and ja15a-c.

ja19: Do not read any daily newspapers

	The alternative's wordning has changed over time. 1986-1997 (National): "Do not read any daily newspapers regularly"; 1998-2000 (National): "Do not read any daily newspapers at least once a week"; 2001-2002, 2004-2005 (National): "No, I do not read any daily newspapers at least once a week"; 2003, 2006 (National): "I do not read any daily newspapers regularly"; 2007- (National): "I do not read any printed daily newspapers regularly". The question wording has changed. 1986-1997 (National): "If you read any daily newspaper regularly - about how many times a week do you usually read this newspaper?"; 1998-2002 (National): "Do you read any of the following daily newspapers regularly? If yes, state the name of the newspaper and how many times per week you usually read or browse through it. If you read more than one daily newspaper, specify the one that you regard as your main newspaper."; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper, please state the one you consider your main newspaper. - I do not read any printed daily newspapers regularly
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	116721	71.1%
1	Ticked	45326	27.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	2168	1.3%
96		1625	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja20a: Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: First newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30948 /-] [Invalid=134892 /-]
Definition	See also ja25a (title of morning paper online). The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	2824	9.1%
2	2 days/week	737	2.4%
3	3 days/week	1565	5.1%
4	4 days/week	1018	3.3%
5	5 days/week	1212	3.9%
6	6 days/week	1163	3.8%
7	7 days/week	1046	3.4%
8	More seldom	6160	19.9%
97	No response - part of the question	14444	46.7%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	776	2.5%
96	Question not asked	3291	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja20b: Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: Second newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30948 /-] [Invalid=134892 /-]

ja20b: Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: Second newspaper

Definition	See also ja25b (title of morning paper online). The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	887	2.9%
2	2 days/week	218	0.7%
3	3 days/week	513	1.7%
4	4 days/week	263	0.8%
5	5 days/week	437	1.4%
6	6 days/week	388	1.3%
7	7 days/week	322	1.0%
8	More seldom	1103	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	26041	84.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	776	2.5%
96	Question not asked	3291	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja20c: Morning paper online reading freq [mainly subscr daily newsp]: Third newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17930 /-] [Invalid=147910 /-]
Definition	See also ja25c (title of morning paper online). The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	191	1.1%
2	2 days/week	50	0.3%
3	3 days/week	100	0.6%
4	4 days/week	57	0.3%
5	5 days/week	67	0.4%
6	6 days/week	62	0.3%
7	7 days/week	75	0.4%
8	More seldom	315	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	16507	92.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	506	2.8%
96	Question not asked	9706	
Sysmiss		138204	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja24: Morning paper online reading freq [daily newsp]: The most read newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/95/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27006 /-] [Invalid=138834 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja20a-c. The variable show the highest frequency (the morning paper on the internet which is read most) of any of the three responses given each year. Some responses are excluded: when an evening tabloid, a online newspaper or any other non morning paper is given by the respondent. Free daily newspapers are, however, included. See each variable this variable is based on for further information.
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day/week	2826	10.5%
2	2 days/week	738	2.7%
3	3 days/week	1552	5.7%
4	4 days/week	989	3.7%
5	5 days/week	1191	4.4%
6	6 days/week	1135	4.2%
7	7 days/week	1020	3.8%
8	More seldom	6099	22.6%
97	No response - part of the question	10850	40.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	606	2.2%
95	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	264	
96	Question not asked	6969	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=*/996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30930 /-] [Invalid=134910 /-]
Definition	Open question. The title of the morning newspaper online that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded. The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro	48	0.2%
2	Stockholm City/Göteborg City	3	0.0%
3	18 minuter	0	
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka	0	
5	7 dagar Kungälv	0	
6	7 dagar Mölndal	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost	0	
8	7 dagar Partille	0	
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen	0	
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	43	0.1%
11	Extra Östergötland	0	
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	0	
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	1	0.0%
14	Världen Idag	0	
15	Computer Sweden	0	
16	Punkt SE	1	0.0%
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	0	
22		1	0.0%
202	Arbetarbladet	61	0.2%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	13	0.0%
205	Dala-Demokraten	44	0.1%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	12	0.0%
207	Folket	6	0.0%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	11	0.0%
209	Karlskogakuriren	1	0.0%
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	36	0.1%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	160	0.5%
215	Piteå-Tidningen	40	0.1%
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	13	0.0%
219	Värmlands Folkblad	54	0.2%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	30	0.1%
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	3	0.0%
224	Örebro-Kuriren	0	
225	Östra Småland	9	0.0%
226	Nyheterna (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsninge-kuriren)	2	0.0%

ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
301	Blekinge-Posten	1	0.0%
302	Dalabygden	0	
305	Hallands Nyheter	68	0.2%
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	23	0.1%
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	0	
311	Laholms Tidning	1	0.0%
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	0	
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	18	0.1%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	0	
316	Nord-Sverige	0	
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	0	
318	Norra Skåne	29	0.1%
319	Norrtelje Tidning	8	0.0%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	0	
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	0	
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	0	
323	Skånska Dagbladet	42	0.1%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	1	0.0%
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	55	0.2%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	0	
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten	7	0.0%
328	Värmlands-Bygden	0	
329	Västerbygden	0	
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	3	0.0%
331	Uddevalla-Posten	0	
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren	0	
333	Östersunds-Posten	101	0.3%
334	Upplands Nyheter	0	
335	Västerbottningen	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	1	0.0%
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning	8	0.0%
402	Arboga Tidning	3	0.0%
403	Bergslags-Posten	1	0.0%
405	Bohusläningen	43	0.1%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	1	0.0%
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	71	0.2%
410	Falu-Kuriren	48	0.2%
411	Gefle Dagblad	52	0.2%
414	Göteborgs-Posten	851	2.8%
415	Hallands-Posten	62	0.2%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	2	0.0%
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	18	0.1%
418	Kristianstadsbladet	55	0.2%
421	Ljusnan	17	0.1%
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning	14	0.0%

ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
424	Nerikes Allehanda	214	0.7%
425	Norra Västerbotten	89	0.3%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	14	0.0%
427	Provinstidningen Dalsland	0	
428	Skövde Nyheter	1	0.0%
430	Smålands-Tidningen Eksjö/Smålands Dagblad &- m Tranås Tidni	6	0.0%
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	95	0.3%
434	Sydsvenska Dagbladet	432	1.4%
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	0	
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	13	0.0%
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	157	0.5%
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	137	0.4%
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	206	0.7%
445	Ystads Allehanda	46	0.1%
446	Örnsköldsviks Allehanda	47	0.2%
501	Barometern/OT	89	0.3%
502	Borås Tidning	99	0.3%
503	Enköpings-Posten	4	0.0%
504	Falköpings Tidning	3	0.0%
505	Filipstads Tidning	1	0.0%
506	Gotlands Allehanda	11	0.0%
507	Haparandabladet	1	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	0	
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	5	0.0%
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	112	0.4%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	2	0.0%
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	119	0.4%
516	Smålandsposten	97	0.3%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	881	2.8%
518	Tranås-Posten	3	0.0%
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	8	0.0%
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	18	0.1%
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	157	0.5%
601	Arvika Nyheter	3	0.0%
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	89	0.3%
609	Smålänningen	5	0.0%
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten	23	0.1%
611	Norrbottens-Kuriren	72	0.2%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda, SLA	13	0.0%
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning	1	0.0%
615	Västgöta-Bladet	2	0.0%
616	Ölandsbladet	3	0.0%
619	Kristdemokraten	1	0.0%
701	Arbetaren	2	0.0%
703	Internationalen	0	

ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper

ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
704	Proletären	0	
705	Flamman	0	
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	2	0.0%
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	1	0.0%
803	Borlänge Tidning	20	0.1%
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	10	0.0%
805	Dagens Nyheter	2528	8.2%
807	Eesti Päevaleht - Estniska Dagbladet	0	
809	Fagersta-Posten	0	
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	1	0.0%
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	216	0.7%
814	Härjedalen	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	15	0.0%
818	Karlskoga Tidning	5	0.0%
820	Kungälvs-Posten	0	
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	0	
823	Ljusdals-Posten	11	0.0%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	11	0.0%
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	0	
826	Mora tidning	7	0.0%
828	Mölndals-Posten	0	
831	Sala Allehanda	4	0.0%
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	0	
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	0	
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Säters Tidning	11	0.0%
839	Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsborgs Lä	40	0.1%
842	Värnamo Nyheter	5	0.0%
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	0	
847	Tempus	0	
852	Dagens Industri	262	0.8%
853	Finnveden Fredag	1	0.0%
855	Stenungssundsposten	0	
857	Östhammars Nyheter	0	
858	ST tidningen	11	0.0%
891	Utländsk dagstidning	131	0.4%
901	Aftonbladet.se	2018	6.5%
902	Expressen.se	189	0.6%
903	GT.se	24	0.1%
904	Kvallsposten.se	9	0.0%
911	E24	6	0.0%
912	Allehanda.se	4	0.0%
913	DT.se (Dalarnas tidningar)	69	0.2%
914	HelaGotland.se	11	0.0%
915	Jnytt	19	0.1%
916	8 sidor.se	3	0.0%

ja25a: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: First newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
917	helahalsingland.se	2	0.0%
985	Nyhetstidningen Sesam	0	
990	Finanstidningen	0	
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	8	0.0%
993	Not codeable newspaper	24	0.1%
994		16	0.1%
997	No response - part of the question	15996	51.7%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	180	0.6%
999	No response - entire question battery	776	2.5%
9997		2807	9.1%
996	Question not asked	3309	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=*/996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30936 /-] [Invalid=134904 /-]
Definition	Open question. The title of the morning newspaper online that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded. The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro	19	0.1%
2	Stockholm City/Göteborg City	7	0.0%
3	18 minuter	0	
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka	0	
5	7 dagar Kungälv	0	
6	7 dagar Mölndal	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost	0	
8	7 dagar Partille	0	
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen	0	
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	2	0.0%
11	Extra Östergötland	1	0.0%
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	0	
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	0	
14	Världen Idag	1	0.0%
15	Computer Sweden	0	
16	Punkt SE	0	
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	0	
202	Arbetarbladet	16	0.1%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	15	0.0%
205	Dala-Demokraten	30	0.1%

ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	10	0.0%
207	Folket	5	0.0%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	7	0.0%
209	Karlskogakuriren	0	
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	24	0.1%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	46	0.1%
215	Piteå-Tidningen	10	0.0%
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	15	0.0%
219	Värmlands Folkblad	39	0.1%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	28	0.1%
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	1	0.0%
224	Örebro-Kuriren	0	
225	Östra Småland	20	0.1%
226	Nyheterna (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsninge-kuriren)	0	
301	Blekinge-Posten	0	
302	Dalabygden	1	0.0%
305	Hallands Nyheter	15	0.0%
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	3	0.0%
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	0	
311	Laholms Tidning	1	0.0%
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	0	
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	10	0.0%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	0	
316	Nord-Sverige	0	
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	1	0.0%
318	Norra Skåne	9	0.0%
319	Norrtelje Tidning	3	0.0%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	1	0.0%
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	0	
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	0	
323	Skånska Dagbladet	22	0.1%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	0	
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	11	0.0%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	1	0.0%
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten	3	0.0%
328	Värmlands-Bygden	0	
329	Västerbygden	0	
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	1	0.0%
331	Uddevalla-Posten	0	
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren	0	
333	Östersunds-Posten	21	0.1%
334	Upplands Nyheter	0	
335	Västerbottningen	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	0	

ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning	4	0.0%
402	Arboga Tidning	0	
403	Bergslags-Posten	0	
405	Bohusläningen	8	0.0%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	1	0.0%
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	13	0.0%
410	Falu-Kuriren	7	0.0%
411	Gefle Dagblad	24	0.1%
414	Göteborgs-Posten	160	0.5%
415	Hallands-Posten	15	0.0%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	0	
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	4	0.0%
418	Kristianstadsbladet	14	0.0%
421	Ljusnan	3	0.0%
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning	6	0.0%
424	Nerikes Allehanda	28	0.1%
425	Norra Västerbotten	20	0.1%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	2	0.0%
427	Provinstidningen Dalsland	0	
428	Skövde Nyheter	3	0.0%
430	Smålands-Tidningen Eksjö/Smålands Dagblad &- m Tranås Tidni	1	0.0%
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	25	0.1%
434	Sydsvenska Dagbladet	96	0.3%
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	0	
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	1	0.0%
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	35	0.1%
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	11	0.0%
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	40	0.1%
445	Ystads Allehanda	8	0.0%
446	Örnsköldsviks Allehanda	9	0.0%
501	Barometern/OT	18	0.1%
502	Borås Tidning	23	0.1%
503	Enköpings-Posten	0	
504	Falköpings Tidning	0	
505	Filipstads Tidning	0	
506	Gotlands Allehanda	4	0.0%
507	Haparandabladet	2	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	0	
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	1	0.0%
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	18	0.1%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	1	0.0%
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	37	0.1%
516	Smålandsposten	17	0.1%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	611	2.0%
518	Tranås-Posten	0	

ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	6	0.0%
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	3	0.0%
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	16	0.1%
601	Arvika Nyheter	1	0.0%
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	17	0.1%
609	Smålänningen	1	0.0%
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten	7	0.0%
611	Norrbottens-Kuriren	69	0.2%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda, SLA	1	0.0%
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning	0	
615	Västgöta-Bladet	0	
616	Ölandsbladet	2	0.0%
619	Kristdemokraten	0	
701	Arbetaren	1	0.0%
703	Internationalen	0	
704	Proletären	0	
705	Flamman	0	
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	1	0.0%
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	0	
803	Borlänge Tidning	5	0.0%
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	3	0.0%
805	Dagens Nyheter	676	2.2%
807	Eesti Påevaleht - Estniska Dagbladet	0	
809	Fagersta-Posten	1	0.0%
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	0	
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	28	0.1%
814	Härjedalen	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	5	0.0%
818	Karlskoga Tidning	1	0.0%
820	Kungälvs-Posten	0	
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	0	
823	Ljusdals-Posten	1	0.0%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	0	
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	0	
826	Mora tidning	0	
828	Mölndals-Posten	1	0.0%
831	Sala Allehanda	0	
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	0	
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	1	0.0%
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Säters Tidning	2	0.0%
839	Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsborgs Lä	11	0.0%
842	Värnamo Nyheter	0	
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	0	
847	Tempus	0	
852	Dagens Industri	162	0.5%

ja25b: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Second newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
853	Finnveden Fredag	1	0.0%
855	Stenungssundsposten	0	
857	Östhammars Nyheter	0	
858	ST tidningen	1	0.0%
891	Utländsk dagstidning	95	0.3%
901	Aftonbladet.se	436	1.4%
902	Expressen.se	320	1.0%
903	GT.se	24	0.1%
904	Kvallsposten.se	14	0.0%
911	E24	4	0.0%
912	Allehanda.se	1	0.0%
913	DT.se (Dalarnas tidningar)	8	0.0%
914	HelaGotland.se	1	0.0%
915	Jnytt	6	0.0%
916	8 sidor.se	1	0.0%
917	helahalsingland.se	0	
985	Nyhetstidningen Sesam	0	
990	Finanstidningen	0	
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	12	0.0%
993	Not codeable newspaper	9	0.0%
994		18	0.1%
997	No response - part of the question	22188	71.7%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	70	0.2%
999	No response - entire question battery	776	2.5%
9997		4260	13.8%
996	Question not asked	3303	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja25c: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17923 /-] [Invalid=147917 /-]
Definition	Open question. The title of the morning newspaper online that is read by the respondent. Up to three newspaper titles are coded. The question wording has changed. National (2007): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? If you read more than one daily newspaper online, please state the one you consider your main newspaper."; 2008- (National): "Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?"
Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis?
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Metro	6	0.0%
2	Stockholm City/Göteborg City	2	0.0%
3	18 minuter	0	
4	7 dagar Kungsbacka	0	
5	7 dagar Kungälv	0	

ja25c: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	7 dagar Mölndal	0	
7	7 dagar Nordost	0	
8	7 dagar Partille	0	
9	7 dagar Östra Hisingen	0	
10	Tidningen Ångermanland	0	
11	Extra Östergötland	0	
12	Kungsbacka-Posten	0	
13	Göteborgs Fria Tidning	0	
14	Världen Idag	0	
15	Computer Sweden	0	
16	Punkt SE	0	
21	Stockholms Fria Tidning	0	
202	Arbetarbladet	2	0.0%
204	Dagbladet Nya Samhället	0	
205	Dala-Demokraten	1	0.0%
206	Folkbladet Östgöten	1	0.0%
207	Folket	2	0.0%
208	Gotlands Tidningar	2	0.0%
209	Karlskogakuriren	0	
211	Länstidningen (Östersund)	1	0.0%
212	Norrländska socialdemokraten	8	0.0%
215	Piteå-Tidningen	1	0.0%
217	Sydöstran - Sydöstra Sveriges Dagblad	3	0.0%
219	Värmlands Folkblad	3	0.0%
220	Västerbottens Folkblad	2	0.0%
223	Nya Västmanlands Folkblad	0	
224	Örebro-Kuriren	0	
225	Östra Småland	0	
226	Nyheterna (syskontidning till Östra Småland)	0	
238	Söderhamnskuriren (tidigare Hälsninge-kuriren)	0	
301	Blekinge-Posten	0	
302	Dalabygden	0	
305	Hallands Nyheter	1	0.0%
307	Hudiksvalls Tidning	0	
309	Kalmar Läns Tidning m Nybro Tidning	0	
311	Laholms Tidning	0	
312	Läns-Posten (Örebro)	0	
313	Länstidningen Södertälje	2	0.0%
314	Länstidningen Östergötland	0	
316	Nord-Sverige	0	
317	Norra Halland/Nordhalland	0	
318	Norra Skåne	1	0.0%
319	Norrtelje Tidning	2	0.0%
320	Nynäshamns-Posten	0	
321	Sjuhäradsbygdens Tidning	0	

ja25c: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
322	Skaraborgs-Bygden	0	
323	Skånska Dagbladet	2	0.0%
324	Smålandsbygdens Tidning	0	
325	Södermanlands Nyheter	4	0.0%
326	Sörmlandsbygden	0	
327	Vimmerby Tidning - Kinda-Posten	0	
328	Värmlands-Bygden	0	
329	Västerbygden	0	
330	Västmanlands Nyheter	0	
331	Uddevalla-Posten	0	
332	Växjö-Bladet/Kronobergaren	0	
333	Östersunds-Posten	5	0.0%
334	Upplands Nyheter	0	
335	Västerbottningen	0	
337	Gästriklands Tidning	0	
401	Alingsås Tidning med Elfsborgs Läns Tidning	1	0.0%
402	Arboga Tidning	0	
403	Bergslags-Posten	0	
405	Bohusläningen	1	0.0%
406	Bärgslagsbladet med Arboga Tidn.	0	
408	Eskilstuna-Kuriren med Strengnäs Tidning	4	0.0%
410	Falu-Kuriren	0	
411	Gefle Dagblad	2	0.0%
414	Göteborgs-Posten	22	0.1%
415	Hallands-Posten	1	0.0%
416	Karlstads-Tidningen	0	
417	Katrineholms-Kuriren	0	
418	Kristianstadsbladet	4	0.0%
421	Ljusnan	0	
423	Motala Tidning med Vadstena Tidning	1	0.0%
424	Nerikes Allehanda	6	0.0%
425	Norra Västerbotten	4	0.0%
426	Nya Länstidningen/Nya Lidköpingstidningen	2	0.0%
427	Provinstidningen Dalsland	0	
428	Skövde Nyheter	0	
430	Smålands-Tidningen Eksjö/Smålands Dagblad &- m Tranås Tidni	0	
433	Sundsvalls Tidning	2	0.0%
434	Sydsvenska Dagbladet	24	0.1%
437	Sölvesborgs-Tidningen	0	
439	Trelleborgs Allehanda	0	
440	Upsala Nya Tidning	6	0.0%
442	Vestmanlands Läns Tidning	1	0.0%
444	Västerbottens-Kuriren	6	0.0%
445	Ystads Allehanda	1	0.0%
446	Örnsköldsviks Allehanda	2	0.0%

ja25c: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
501	Barometern/OT	5	0.0%
502	Borås Tidning	5	0.0%
503	Enköpings-Posten	0	
504	Falköpings Tidning	0	
505	Filipstads Tidning	0	
506	Gotlands Allehanda	1	0.0%
507	Haparandabladet	1	0.0%
509	Hjo Tidning	0	
510	Mariestads-Tidningen	0	
512	Norrköpings Tidningar	7	0.0%
513	Nya Kristinehamns-Posten	0	
514	Nya Wermlandstidningen	2	0.0%
516	Smålandsposten	2	0.0%
517	Svenska Dagbladet	87	0.5%
518	Tranås-Posten	0	
519	Ulricehamns Tidning	0	
520	Västerviks-Tidningen	1	0.0%
522	Östgöta Correspondenten	3	0.0%
601	Arvika Nyheter	0	
606	Blekinge Läns Tidning/ Karlshamns Allehanda	1	0.0%
609	Smålänningen	0	
610	Nordvästra Skånes Tidningar/ Landskrona-Posten	0	
611	Norrbottens-Kuriren	9	0.1%
612	Skaraborgs Allehanda, SLA	0	
613	Skaraborgs Läns Tidning	0	
615	Västgöta-Bladet	0	
616	Ölandsbladet	1	0.0%
619	Kristdemokraten	0	
701	Arbetaren	0	
703	Internationalen	0	
704	Proletären	0	
705	Flamman	0	
801	Avesta Tidning/Avesta-Posten	0	
802	Dalslänningen Bengtsfors-Tidningen	0	
803	Borlänge Tidning	0	
804	Dagen (tidigare Nya Dagen)	3	0.0%
805	Dagens Nyheter	99	0.6%
807	Eesti Påevaleht - Estniska Dagbladet	0	
809	Fagersta-Posten	0	
811	Fryksdals-Bygden/Sunnebygden	0	
812	Helsingborgs Dagblad	6	0.0%
814	Härjedalen	0	
817	Jönköpings-Posten	0	
818	Karlskoga Tidning	0	
820	Kungälvs-Posten	0	

ja25c: Specified online morning paper [mainly subscr daily newspaper]: Third newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
821	Lidingö Tidning med Lidingö Nyheter	0	
823	Ljusdals-Posten	1	0.0%
824	Nya Ludvika Tidning	0	
825	Lysekilsposten med Orust Tjörn	1	0.0%
826	Mora tidning	0	
828	Mölndals-Posten	0	
831	Sala Allehanda	0	
834	Strömstads Tidning Norra Bohuslän	0	
836	Säffle-Tidningen Västra Värmland	0	
838	Södra Dalarnas Tidning/Säters Tidning	0	
839	Trollhättans Tidning med Lilla Edet-Posten och Elfsborgs Lä	2	0.0%
842	Värnamo Nyheter	0	
846	Kungsbacka Tidning	0	
847	Tempus	0	
852	Dagens Industri	49	0.3%
853	Finnveden Fredag	0	
855	Stenungssundsposten	0	
857	Östhammars Nyheter	0	
858	ST tidningen	1	0.0%
891	Utländsk dagstidning	45	0.3%
901	Aftonbladet.se	76	0.4%
902	Expressen.se	62	0.3%
903	GT.se	7	0.0%
904	Kvallsposten.se	2	0.0%
911	E24	1	0.0%
912	Allehanda.se	0	
913	DT.se (Dalarnas tidningar)	3	0.0%
914	HelaGotland.se	0	
915	Jnytt	0	
916	8 sidor.se	0	
917	helahalsingland.se	0	
985	Nyhetstidningen Sesam	0	
990	Finanstidningen	0	
992	News site that is not a morning newspaper	7	0.0%
993	Not codeable newspaper	4	0.0%
997	No response - part of the question	16765	93.5%
998	Mentioned something else than a morning newspaper	17	0.1%
999	No response - entire question battery	506	2.8%
996	Question not asked	9713	
Sysmiss		138204	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja29: Do not read any morning papers online [mainly subscribed local daily newspaper]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30948 /-] [Invalid=134892 /-]
Definition	The opt-out alternative to ja20a-c och ja25a-c.

ja29: Do not read any morning papers online [mainly subscribed local daily newspaper]

Literal question	Do you read or look through one or several daily newspapers on the internet on a regular basis? - Jag läser aldrig någon morgontidning på internet		
Notes	2007- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	14415	46.6%
1	Ticked	15846	51.2%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	687	2.2%
96	Question not asked	3291	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja30a: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): Before 8.am

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36605 /-] [Invalid=129235 /-]
Definition	See also ja31a-e (different time intervals). The question wording has changed. 1986-2002, 2004-2005 (National): "Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday?" Sedan 2006 har frågan formulerats som angivet ovan.
Literal question	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Before 8.am
Notes	1986-2002, 2004-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	3648	10.0%
2	5 min	2120	5.8%
3	10 min	4056	11.1%
4	15 min	4445	12.1%
5	20 min	3401	9.3%
6	25 min	944	2.6%
7	30 min	2552	7.0%
8	35 min	420	1.1%
9	40 min	513	1.4%
10	45 min	426	1.2%
11	50 min	173	0.5%
12	55 min	43	0.1%
13	60 min	431	1.2%
14	More than 60 min	311	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	10814	29.5%
98	Several responses given	211	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	2097	5.7%
96	Question not asked	15389	
Sysmiss		113846	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja30b: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): 8.am-noon

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36605 /-] [Invalid=129235 /-]
Definition	See also ja31a-e (different time intervals).

ja30b: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): 8.am-noon

	The question wording has changed. 1986-2002, 2004-2005 (National): "Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday?" Sedan 2006 har frågan formulerats som angivet ovan.
Literal question	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 8.am-noon
Notes	1986-2002, 2004-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	5732	15.7%
2	5 min	1022	2.8%
3	10 min	1833	5.0%
4	15 min	2083	5.7%
5	20 min	1713	4.7%
6	25 min	516	1.4%
7	30 min	1697	4.6%
8	35 min	245	0.7%
9	40 min	397	1.1%
10	45 min	419	1.1%
11	50 min	194	0.5%
12	55 min	37	0.1%
13	60 min	446	1.2%
14	More than 60 min	351	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	17620	48.1%
98	Several responses given	204	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	2096	5.7%
96	Question not asked	15389	
Sysmiss		113846	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja30c: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): Noon-5.pm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36605 /-] [Invalid=129235 /-]
Definition	See also ja31a-e (different time intervals). The question wording has changed. 1986-2002, 2004-2005 (National): "Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday?" Sedan 2006 har frågan formulerats som angivet ovan.
Literal question	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Noon-5.pm
Notes	1986-2002, 2004-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	6483	17.7%
2	5 min	1077	2.9%
3	10 min	1212	3.3%
4	15 min	1099	3.0%
5	20 min	717	2.0%
6	25 min	194	0.5%
7	30 min	608	1.7%
8	35 min	104	0.3%
9	40 min	115	0.3%
10	45 min	85	0.2%
11	50 min	35	0.1%

ja30c: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): Noon-5.pm

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	55 min	18	0.0%
13	60 min	62	0.2%
14	More than 60 min	66	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	22449	61.3%
98	Several responses given	185	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	2096	5.7%
96	Question not asked	15389	
Sysmiss		113846	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja30d: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays (not online): After 5.pm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36605 /-] [Invalid=129235 /-]
Definition	See also ja31a-e (different time intervals). The question wording has changed. 1986-2002, 2004-2005 (National): "Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday?" Sedan 2006 har frågan formulerats som angivet ovan.
Literal question	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - After 5.pm
Notes	1986-2002, 2004-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	3623	9.9%
2	5 min	2702	7.4%
3	10 min	3108	8.5%
4	15 min	2742	7.5%
5	20 min	2171	5.9%
6	25 min	479	1.3%
7	30 min	1714	4.7%
8	35 min	261	0.7%
9	40 min	337	0.9%
10	45 min	239	0.7%
11	50 min	83	0.2%
12	55 min	16	0.0%
13	60 min	151	0.4%
14	More than 60 min	140	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	16555	45.2%
98	Several responses given	188	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	2096	5.7%
96	Question not asked	15389	
Sysmiss		113846	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja31a: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Before 6.am

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=163981 /-]
Definition	See also ja30a-d (different time intervals).
Literal question	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Before 6.am
Notes	2002 (National)

ja31a: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Before 6.am

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	310	16.7%
2	5 min	19	1.0%
3	10 min	42	2.3%
4	15 min	30	1.6%
5	20 min	23	1.2%
6	25 min	7	0.4%
7	30 min	19	1.0%
8	35 min	5	0.3%
9	40 min	7	0.4%
10	45 min	9	0.5%
11	50 min	3	0.2%
12	55 min	1	0.1%
13	60 min	5	0.3%
14	More than 60 min	2	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1342	72.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	34	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		162165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja31b: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: 6.am-8.am

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=163981 /-]
Definition	See also ja30a-d (different time intervals).
Literal question	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 6.am-8.am
Notes	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	121	6.5%
2	5 min	86	4.6%
3	10 min	157	8.4%
4	15 min	190	10.2%
5	20 min	160	8.6%
6	25 min	40	2.2%
7	30 min	111	6.0%
8	35 min	11	0.6%
9	40 min	21	1.1%
10	45 min	20	1.1%
11	50 min	5	0.3%
12	55 min	3	0.2%
13	60 min	23	1.2%
14	More than 60 min	16	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	859	46.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	34	1.8%

ja31b: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: 6.am-8.am

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		162165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja31c: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: 8.am-noon

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=163981 /-]
Definition	See also ja30a-d (different time intervals).
Literal question	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - 8.am-noon
Notes	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	185	10.0%
2	5 min	55	3.0%
3	10 min	83	4.5%
4	15 min	94	5.1%
5	20 min	93	5.0%
6	25 min	29	1.6%
7	30 min	94	5.1%
8	35 min	11	0.6%
9	40 min	21	1.1%
10	45 min	15	0.8%
11	50 min	8	0.4%
12	55 min	0	
13	60 min	22	1.2%
14	More than 60 min	15	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1096	59.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	34	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		162165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja31d: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Noon-5.pm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=163981 /-]
Definition	See also ja30a-d (different time intervals).
Literal question	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - Noon-5.pm
Notes	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	228	12.3%
2	5 min	52	2.8%
3	10 min	54	2.9%
4	15 min	49	2.6%
5	20 min	33	1.8%
6	25 min	7	0.4%

ja31d: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: Noon-5.pm

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	30 min	25	1.3%
8	35 min	6	0.3%
9	40 min	3	0.2%
10	45 min	3	0.2%
11	50 min	0	
12	55 min	0	
13	60 min	2	0.1%
14	More than 60 min	0	
97	No response - part of the question	1363	73.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	34	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		162165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja31e: Time spent reading local daily newspaper on weekdays: After 5.pm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=163981 /-]
Definition	See also ja30a-d (different time intervals).
Literal question	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - After 5.pm
Notes	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 min	150	8.1%
2	5 min	111	6.0%
3	10 min	125	6.7%
4	15 min	99	5.3%
5	20 min	77	4.1%
6	25 min	17	0.9%
7	30 min	57	3.1%
8	35 min	4	0.2%
9	40 min	13	0.7%
10	45 min	5	0.3%
11	50 min	4	0.2%
12	55 min	0	
13	60 min	12	0.6%
14	More than 60 min	3	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1147	61.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	34	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		162165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja39: I never read a printed daily paper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8896 /-] [Invalid=156944 /-]

ja39: I never read a printed daily paper

Definition	The opt-out alternative to ja30a-d och ja31a-e. The wording has changed over time. 2002 (National): "Do not read any morning newspaper"; 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "I never read any local morning newspaper"; 2008 (National): "I never read a printed daily paper".
Literal question	Roughly how much time do you usually spend reading your local morning newspaper on a typical weekday? - I never read a printed daily paper
Notes	2002-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	0	
1	Ticked	1122	12.6%
97	No response - part of the question	7399	83.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	375	4.2%
96	Question not asked	8595	
Sysmiss		148349	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja80a: How often do you read: Metro

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5433 /-] [Invalid=160407 /-]
Definition	See also ja81, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs. The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "How often do you read any of the following?"; 2004 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?".
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Metro
Notes	1998, 2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	134	2.5%
2	3-5 days/week	513	9.4%
3	1-2 days/week	356	6.6%
4	More seldom	1027	18.9%
5	Never	2651	48.8%
97	No response - part of the question	693	12.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	59	1.1%
Sysmiss		160407	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja80b: How often do you read: Stockholm City

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3612 /-] [Invalid=162228 /-]
Definition	See also ja81, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Stockholm City
Notes	2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	54	1.5%
2	3-5 days/week	177	4.9%
3	1-2 days/week	121	3.3%
4	More seldom	310	8.6%

ja80b: How often do you read: Stockholm City

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Never	2202	61.0%
97	No response - part of the question	715	19.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	33	0.9%
Sysmiss		162228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja80c: How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1657 /-] [Invalid=164183 /-]
Definition	See also ja81, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed
Notes	2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	48	2.9%
2	3-5 days/week	223	13.5%
3	1-2 days/week	189	11.4%
4	More seldom	482	29.1%
5	Never	625	37.7%
97	No response - part of the question	66	4.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	24	1.4%
Sysmiss		164183	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja81a: How often do you read: Metro

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17971 /-] [Invalid=147869 /-]
Definition	See also ja80, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs. The question wording has changed. 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?".
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Metro
Notes	1999-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	7 days/week	166	0.9%
2	6 days/week	178	1.0%
3	5 days/week	967	5.4%
4	4 days/week	446	2.5%
5	3 days/week	538	3.0%
6	2 days/week	520	2.9%
7	1 day/week	541	3.0%
8	More seldom	3255	18.1%
9	Never	8854	49.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2276	12.7%

ja81a: How often do you read: Metro

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	220	1.2%
Sysmiss		147869	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja81b: How often do you read: Stockholm City

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3675 /-] [Invalid=162165 /-]
Definition	See also ja80, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Stockholm City
Notes	2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	7 days/week	15	0.4%
2	6 days/week	10	0.3%
3	5 days/week	93	2.5%
4	4 days/week	44	1.2%
5	3 days/week	48	1.3%
6	2 days/week	55	1.5%
7	1 day/week	57	1.6%
8	More seldom	289	7.9%
9	Never	2505	68.2%
97	No response - part of the question	528	14.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	31	0.8%
Sysmiss		162165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja82a: How often do you read: Metro

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18451 /-] [Invalid=147389 /-]
Definition	See also ja80, ja82 och ja90 (free daily newspapapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs. The question wording has changed. 2005 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2006-2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-2) (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers?"
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Metro
Notes	2005-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 5 days/week	1510	8.2%
2	3-4 days/week	1752	9.5%
3	1-2 days/week	2396	13.0%
4	More seldom	6389	34.6%
5	Never	5889	31.9%
97	No response - part of the question	256	1.4%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	251	1.4%
96	Question not asked	5011	

ja82a: How often do you read: Metro

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		142378	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja82b: How often do you read: Stockholm City

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6835 /-] [Invalid=159005 /-]
Definition	See also ja80, ja81 och ja90 (free daily newspapapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs. The question wording has changed. 2005 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2006 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers?"
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Stockholm City
Notes	2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 5 days/week	274	4.0%
2	3-4 days/week	249	3.6%
3	1-2 days/week	273	4.0%
4	More seldom	638	9.3%
5	Never	4348	63.6%
97	No response - part of the question	930	13.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	116	1.7%
Sysmiss		159005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja82c: How often do you read: City

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11616 /-] [Invalid=154224 /-]
Definition	See also ja80, ja81 och ja90 (free daily newspapapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - City
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 5 days/week	292	2.5%
2	3-4 days/week	406	3.5%
3	1-2 days/week	655	5.6%
4	More seldom	1578	13.6%
5	Never	7003	60.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1547	13.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	135	1.2%
Sysmiss		154224	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja82d: How often do you read: Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15115 /-] [Invalid=150725 /-]
Definition	See also ja80, ja81 och ja90 (free daily newspapapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs.

ja82d: How often do you read: Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter

Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter
Notes	2005, 2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	At least 5 days/week	54		0.4%
2	3-4 days/week	75		0.5%
3	1-2 days/week	121		0.8%
4	More seldom	490		3.2%
5	Never	11604		76.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2574		17.0%
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	197		1.3%
Sysmiss		150725		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja82e: How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11493 /-] [Invalid=154347 /-]
Definition	See also ja80, ja81 och ja90 (free daily newspapapers). Note that the scale used in ja80 and ja82 differs. Note that this question is not asked in the same question battery as ja82a-d (asked as a single question).
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed
Notes	2010-2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	At least 5 days/week	718		6.2%
2	3-4 days/week	1049		9.1%
3	1-2 days/week	1496		13.0%
4	More seldom	4118		35.8%
5	Never	3715		32.3%
97	No response - part of the question	89		0.8%
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	308		2.7%
96	Question not asked	7820		
Sysmiss		146527		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja90a: How often do you read: Metro

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=41855 /-] [Invalid=123985 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja80a, ja81a and ja82a. The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "How often do you read any of the following?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003-2004 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"; 2005 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2006-2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-2) (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers?" Recoding: 1998, 2004 (National), 2009 (National-3): '6-7 days/week' och '3-5 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week' '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change 1999-2003 (National):

ja90a: How often do you read: Metro

	'7 days/week', '6 days/week', '5 days/week', '4 days/week' och '3 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week' '2 days/week' och '1 day/week' = '1-2 days/week' 'More seldom' 'Never' = no change 2005-2008 (National), 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010-2011 (National): 'At least 5 days/week' och '3-4 days/week' = 'Minst 3 days/week' '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Metro
Notes	1998-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 3 days/week	6204	14.8%
2	1-2 days/week	3813	9.1%
3	More seldom	10671	25.5%
4	Never	17394	41.6%
97	No response - part of the question	3225	7.7%
98	Several responses given	18	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	530	1.3%
96	Question not asked	5011	
Sysmiss		118974	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja90b: How often do you read: Stockholm City

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14122 /-] [Invalid=151718 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja80b, ja81b and ja82b. The question wording has changed. 2003-2004 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"; 2005 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2006 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers?" Recoding: 2003 (National): '7 days/week', '6 days/week', '5 days/week', '4 days/week' och '3 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week' '2 days/week' och '1 day/week' = '1-2 days/week' 'More seldom' 'Never' = no change 2004 (National): '6-7 days/week' och '3-5 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week' '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change 2005-2006 (National), 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010-2011 (National): 'At least 5 days/week' och '3-4 days/week' = 'Minst 3 days/week' '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Stockholm City
Notes	2003-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 3 days/week	964	6.8%
2	1-2 days/week	506	3.6%
3	More seldom	1237	8.8%
4	Never	9055	64.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2173	15.4%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.3%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		151718	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja90c: How often do you read: City

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11616 /-] [Invalid=154224 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja82c. Recoding: 2007-2008 (National), 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National): 'At least 5 days/week' och '3-4 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week' '1-2 days/week' 'More seldom' 'Never' = no change 2009 (R3): '6-7 days/week' och '3-5 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week' '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - City
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 3 days/week	698	6.0%
2	1-2 days/week	655	5.6%
3	More seldom	1578	13.6%
4	Never	7003	60.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1547	13.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	135	1.2%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		154224	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja90d: How often do you read: Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15115 /-] [Invalid=150725 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja80c och ja82e. Frågan har varierat över tid. 2005 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2007-2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-2) (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers?"; 2009 (National-3), 2010 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" Recoding: 2009 (National-3): '6-7 days/week' och '3-5 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week' '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change 1999-2003 (National): '7 days/week', '6 days/week', '5 days/week', '4 days/week' och '3 days/week' = 'At least 3 days/week' '2 days/week' och '1 day/week' = '1-2 days/week' 'More seldom' 'Never' = no change 2005, 2007-2008 (National), 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National): 'At least 5 days/week' och '3-4 days/week' = 'Minst 3 days/week' '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter
Notes	2005, 2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 3 days/week	129	0.9%
2	1-2 days/week	121	0.8%
3	More seldom	490	3.2%
4	Never	11604	76.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2574	17.0%

ja90d: How often do you read: Extra Östergötland/Xtra Helsingborg/18 minuter

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	197	1.3%
Sysmiss		150725	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja90e: How often do you read: Free daily newspaper: printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21707 /-] [Invalid=144133 /-]
Definition	Note that this question is not asked in the same question battery as ja82a-d (asked as a single question). Recoding: 2010-2011 (National): 'At least 5 days/week' och '3-4 days/week' = 'Minst 3 days/week' '1-2 days/week', 'More seldom', 'Never' = no change
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following free daily newspapers? - Free daily newspaper:(Metro/City/Extra Östergötland/18 minuter): printed
Notes	2009-2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	At least 3 days/week	2933	13.5%
2	1-2 days/week	2706	12.5%
3	More seldom	8012	36.9%
4	Never	7186	33.1%
97	No response - part of the question	427	2.0%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	437	2.0%
96	Question not asked	45836	
Sysmiss		98297	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja100a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=34365 /-] [Invalid=131475 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed.1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2004-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" In 1998 ja100 was asked in National-2 and ja110 in National-1.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
Notes	1986-1998, 2004-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	2175	6.3%
2	3-5 days/week	2783	8.1%
3	1-2 days/week	5185	15.1%
4	More seldom	10726	31.2%
5	Never	9290	27.0%
97	No response - part of the question	3897	11.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	309	0.9%
96	Question not asked	3509	

ja100a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		127966	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja100b: How often do you read: Expressen

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=34365 /-] [Invalid=131475 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed.1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2004-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" In 1998 ja100 was asked in National-2 and ja110 in National-1.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
Notes	1986-1998, 2004-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	2124	6.2%
2	3-5 days/week	2507	7.3%
3	1-2 days/week	4532	13.2%
4	More seldom	11983	34.9%
5	Never	8887	25.9%
97	No response - part of the question	4023	11.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	309	0.9%
96	Question not asked	3509	
Sysmiss		127966	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja100c: How often do you read: GT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17578 /-] [Invalid=148262 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed.1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2004-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" In 1998 ja100 was asked in National-2 and ja110 in National-1.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT
Notes	1995-1998, 2004-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	142	0.8%
2	3-5 days/week	236	1.3%
3	1-2 days/week	581	3.3%
4	More seldom	2091	11.9%
5	Never	10813	61.5%
97	No response - part of the question	3521	20.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	194	1.1%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		146522	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja100d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ja100d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17578 /-] [Invalid=148262 /-]			
Definition	The question wording has changed.1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2004-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" In 1998 ja100 was asked in National-2 and ja110 in National-1.			
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten			
Notes	1995-1998, 2004-2006 (National)			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	6-7 days/week	130	0.7%	
2	3-5 days/week	227	1.3%	
3	1-2 days/week	556	3.2%	
4	More seldom	1872	10.6%	
5	Never	11112		63.2%
97	No response - part of the question	3487	19.8%	
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	194	1.1%	
96	Question not asked	1740		
Sysmiss		146522		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja100e: How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16787 /-] [Invalid=149053 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1986-1989 (National): "GT/Kvällsposten"; 1990-1994 (National): "Idag (GT/Kvällsposten)"; 2007 (National): "GT or Kvällsposten". The question wording has changed.1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2004-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" In 1998 ja100 was asked in National-2 and ja110 in National-1.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten
Notes	1986-1994, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	6-7 days/week	499	3.0%	
2	3-5 days/week	597	3.6%	
3	1-2 days/week	1172	7.0%	
4	More seldom	2678	16.0%	
5	Never	8622		51.4%
97	No response - part of the question	3104	18.5%	
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	115	0.7%	
96	Question not asked	1769		
Sysmiss		147284		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja110a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19711 /-] [Invalid=146129 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. National (1998-2001): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; National (2002): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"

ja110a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet

	Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
	Notes	1998-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	7 days/week	987	5.0%
2	6 days/week	302	1.5%
3	5 days/week	720	3.7%
4	4 days/week	675	3.4%
5	3 days/week	1094	5.6%
6	2 days/week	1543	7.8%
7	1 day/week	2327	11.8%
8	More seldom	6352	32.2%
9	Never	4039	20.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1425	7.2%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	230	1.2%
96	Question not asked	1821	
Sysmiss		144308	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja110b: How often do you read: Expressen

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19711 /-] [Invalid=146129 /-]
	Definition	The question wording has changed. National (1998-2001): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; National (2002): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
	Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
	Notes	1998-2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	7 days/week	548	2.8%
2	6 days/week	161	0.8%
3	5 days/week	352	1.8%
4	4 days/week	340	1.7%
5	3 days/week	630	3.2%
6	2 days/week	1004	5.1%
7	1 day/week	1640	8.3%
8	More seldom	7567	38.4%
9	Never	5114	25.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2112	10.7%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	230	1.2%
96	Question not asked	1821	
Sysmiss		144308	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja110c: How often do you read: GT

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19711 /-] [Invalid=146129 /-]

ja110c: How often do you read: GT

Definition	The question wording has changed. National (1998-2001): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; National (2002): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"		
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT		
Notes	1998-2003 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	7 days/week	135	0.7%
2	6 days/week	45	0.2%
3	5 days/week	79	0.4%
4	4 days/week	79	0.4%
5	3 days/week	130	0.7%
6	2 days/week	302	1.5%
7	1 day/week	352	1.8%
8	More seldom	2583	13.1%
9	Never	12444	63.1%
97	No response - part of the question	3321	16.8%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	230	1.2%
96	Question not asked	1821	
Sysmiss		144308	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja110d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19711 /-] [Invalid=146129 /-]		
Definition	The question wording has changed. National (1998-2001): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; National (2002): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"		
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten		
Notes	1998-2003 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	7 days/week	123	0.6%
2	6 days/week	35	0.2%
3	5 days/week	75	0.4%
4	4 days/week	67	0.3%
5	3 days/week	128	0.6%
6	2 days/week	303	1.5%
7	1 day/week	368	1.9%
8	More seldom	2323	11.8%
9	Never	12758	64.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3294	16.7%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	230	1.2%
96	Question not asked	1821	
Sysmiss		144308	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja120a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=54076 /-] [Invalid=111764 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja100 and ja110. ja110's scale has been collapsed from a 9 point to a 5 point scale. See also the constructed variable ja160 which includes responses from 2007 and onward. The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet
Notes	1986-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	3464	6.4%
2	3-5 days/week	5272	9.7%
3	1-2 days/week	9055	16.7%
4	More seldom	17078	31.6%
5	Never	13329	24.6%
97	No response - part of the question	5322	9.8%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	539	1.0%
96	Question not asked	1769	
Sysmiss		109995	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja120b: How often do you read: Expressen

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=54076 /-] [Invalid=111764 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja100 and ja110. ja110's scale has been collapsed from a 9 point to a 5 point scale. See also the constructed variable ja160 which includes responses from 2007 and onward. The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen
Notes	1986-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	2833	5.2%
2	3-5 days/week	3829	7.1%
3	1-2 days/week	7176	13.3%
4	More seldom	19550	36.2%
5	Never	14001	25.9%
97	No response - part of the question	6135	11.3%
98	Several responses given	13	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	539	1.0%
96	Question not asked	1769	
Sysmiss		109995	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja120c: How often do you read: GT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ja120c: How often do you read: GT

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37289 /-] [Invalid=128551 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja100 and ja110. ja110's scale has been collapsed from a 9 point to a 5 point scale. See also the constructed variable ja160 which includes responses from 2007 and onward. The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT
Notes	1995-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	322	0.9%
2	3-5 days/week	524	1.4%
3	1-2 days/week	1235	3.3%
4	More seldom	4674	12.5%
5	Never	23257	62.4%
97	No response - part of the question	6842	18.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	424	1.1%
Sysmiss		128551	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja120d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37289 /-] [Invalid=128551 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja100 and ja110. ja110's scale has been collapsed from a 9 point to a 5 point scale. See also the constructed variable ja160 which includes responses from 2007 and onward. The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003-2007 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten
Notes	1995-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	288	0.8%
2	3-5 days/week	497	1.3%
3	1-2 days/week	1227	3.3%
4	More seldom	4195	11.2%
5	Never	23870	64.0%
97	No response - part of the question	6781	18.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	424	1.1%
Sysmiss		128551	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja130a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=155891 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
Notes	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)

ja130a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	487	4.9%
2	3-5 days/week	641	6.4%
3	1-2 days/week	1533	15.4%
4	More seldom	3740	37.6%
5	Never	2737	27.5%
97	No response - part of the question	717	7.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja130b: How often do you read: Aftonbladet online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=155891 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
Notes	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	1382	13.9%
2	3-5 days/week	1189	12.0%
3	1-2 days/week	1010	10.2%
4	More seldom	1772	17.8%
5	Never	3218	32.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1284	12.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja130c: How often do you read: Expressen printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=155891 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed
Notes	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	234	2.4%
2	3-5 days/week	297	3.0%
3	1-2 days/week	837	8.4%
4	More seldom	3669	36.9%
5	Never	3949	39.7%
97	No response - part of the question	869	8.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	

ja130c: How often do you read: Expressen printed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja130d: How often do you read: Expressen online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=155891 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online
Notes	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	515	5.2%
2	3-5 days/week	521	5.2%
3	1-2 days/week	544	5.5%
4	More seldom	1934	19.4%
5	Never	4916	49.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1425	14.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja130e: How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=155891 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten printed
Notes	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	134	1.3%
2	3-5 days/week	163	1.6%
3	1-2 days/week	482	4.8%
4	More seldom	1484	14.9%
5	Never	6824	68.6%
97	No response - part of the question	768	7.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja130f: How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9949 /-] [Invalid=155891 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten online
Notes	2007-2010 (National); 2008-2009 (West)

ja130f: How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten online

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	98	1.0%
2	3-5 days/week	85	0.9%
3	1-2 days/week	140	1.4%
4	More seldom	810	8.1%
5	Never	7334	73.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1388	14.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	94	0.9%
96	Question not asked	6678	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja140a: How often do you read: Evening tabloid printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3359 /-] [Invalid=162481 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Evening tabloid (Aftonbladet/Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten) printed
Notes	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	250	7.4%
2	3-5 days/week	300	8.9%
3	1-2 days/week	571	17.0%
4	More seldom	1177	35.0%
5	Never	779	23.2%
97	No response - part of the question	230	6.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	52	1.5%
96	Question not asked	6574	
Sysmiss		155907	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja140b: How often do you read: Evening tabloid online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3359 /-] [Invalid=162481 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Evening tabloid (Aftonbladet/Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten) online
Notes	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	476	14.2%
2	3-5 days/week	422	12.6%
3	1-2 days/week	291	8.7%
4	More seldom	595	17.7%
5	Never	977	29.1%
97	No response - part of the question	546	16.3%
98	Several responses given	0	

ja140b: How often do you read: Evening tabloid online

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	52	1.5%
96	Question not asked	6574	
Sysmiss		155907	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja150a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=164187 /-]		
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed		
Notes	2010 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	78	4.7%
2	3-5 days/week	90	5.4%
3	1-2 days/week	265	16.0%
4	More seldom	583	35.3%
5	Never	484	29.3%
97	No response - part of the question	128	7.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		160833	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja150b: How often do you read: Aftonbladet online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=164187 /-]		
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online		
Notes	2010 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	230	13.9%
2	3-5 days/week	181	10.9%
3	1-2 days/week	164	9.9%
4	More seldom	293	17.7%
5	Never	528	31.9%
97	No response - part of the question	232	14.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		160833	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja150c: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=164187 /-]		
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone		
Notes	2010 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	33	2.0%
2	3-5 days/week	23	1.4%
3	1-2 days/week	39	2.4%
4	More seldom	90	5.4%

ja150c: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Never	1108	67.0%
97	No response - part of the question	335	20.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		160833	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja150d: How often do you read: The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=164187 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid printed
Notes	2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	53	3.2%
2	3-5 days/week	57	3.4%
3	1-2 days/week	195	11.8%
4	More seldom	574	34.7%
5	Never	618	37.4%
97	No response - part of the question	131	7.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		160833	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja150e: How often do you read: The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=164187 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid online
Notes	2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	81	4.9%
2	3-5 days/week	74	4.5%
3	1-2 days/week	91	5.5%
4	More seldom	306	18.5%
5	Never	811	49.1%
97	No response - part of the question	264	16.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		160833	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja150f: How often do you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid on mobile phone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ja150f: How often do you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid on mobile phone

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=164187 /-]		
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten evening tabloid on the mobile phone		
Notes	2010 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	5	0.3%
2	3-5 days/week	4	0.2%
3	1-2 days/week	17	1.0%
4	More seldom	74	4.5%
5	Never	1183	71.6%
97	No response - part of the question	345	20.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		160833	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja160a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15987 /-] [Invalid=149853 /-]		
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed		
Notes	2011 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	523	3.3%
2	3-5 days/week	696	4.4%
3	1-2 days/week	1610	10.1%
4	More seldom	5528	34.6%
5	Never	5538	34.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1890	11.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	197	1.2%
96		1625	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja160b: How often do you read: Aftonbladet online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11053 /-] [Invalid=154787 /-]		
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online		
Notes	2011 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	2062	18.7%
2	3-5 days/week	1378	12.5%
3	1-2 days/week	1071	9.7%
4	More seldom	2048	18.5%
5	Never	2924	26.5%

ja160b: How often do you read: Aftonbladet online

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	1450	13.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	120	1.1%
96	Question not asked	6559	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja160c: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=160906 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the computer
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	702	14.2%
2	3-5 days/week	553	11.2%
3	1-2 days/week	504	10.2%
4	More seldom	964	19.5%
5	Never	1446	29.3%
97	No response - part of the question	687	13.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja160d: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=160906 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the mobile phone
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	444	9.0%
2	3-5 days/week	246	5.0%
3	1-2 days/week	285	5.8%
4	More seldom	487	9.9%
5	Never	2422	49.1%
97	No response - part of the question	972	19.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja160e: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the tablet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=160906 /-]

ja160e: How often do you read: The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the tablet

	Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Aftonbladet evening tabloid on the tablet
	Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	146	3.0%
2	3-5 days/week	109	2.2%
3	1-2 days/week	116	2.4%
4	More seldom	322	6.5%
5	Never	3088	62.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1076	21.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja160f: How often do you read: Expressen printed

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15987 /-] [Invalid=149853 /-]
	Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed
	Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	324	2.0%
2	3-5 days/week	414	2.6%
3	1-2 days/week	985	6.2%
4	More seldom	4959	31.0%
5	Never	7285	45.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1814	11.3%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	197	1.2%
96		1625	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja160g: How often do you read: Expressen online

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11053 /-] [Invalid=154787 /-]
	Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online
	Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	754	6.8%
2	3-5 days/week	649	5.9%
3	1-2 days/week	650	5.9%
4	More seldom	2213	20.0%
5	Never	5023	45.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1641	14.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%

ja160g: How often do you read: Expressen online

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	120	1.1%
96	Question not asked	6559	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja160h: How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=160906 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the computer
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	249	5.0%
2	3-5 days/week	223	4.5%
3	1-2 days/week	304	6.2%
4	More seldom	908	18.4%
5	Never	2374	48.1%
97	No response - part of the question	798	16.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja160i: How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the mobile phone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=160906 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the mobile phone
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	109	2.2%
2	3-5 days/week	102	2.1%
3	1-2 days/week	136	2.8%
4	More seldom	443	9.0%
5	Never	3047	61.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1019	20.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja160j: How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the tablet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=160906 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - The Expressen evening tabloid on the tablet

ja160j: How often do you read: The Expressen evening tabloid on the tablet

Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	55	1.1%
2	3-5 days/week	57	1.2%
3	1-2 days/week	49	1.0%
4	More seldom	290	5.9%
5	Never	3330	67.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1076	21.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	77	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja170a: How often du you read: Aftonbladet, printed (2014-)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? Aftonbladet printed
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Dagligen	1443	1.8%
2	5-6 dagar/vecka	524	0.7%
3	3-4 dagar/vecka	1173	1.5%
4	1-2 dagar/vecka	2965	3.7%
5	Mer sÃ¤llan	17329	21.9%
6	Aldrig	43120	54.5%
97	Ej svar - del av frÃ¥ga	11565	14.6%
98	Dubbelkryss	148	0.2%
99	Ej svar - hela frÃ¥gan	924	1.2%
96	FrÃ¥gan ej stÃ¤lld	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja170b: How often du you read: Aftonbladet, online (2014-)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Dagligen	19017	24.0%
2	5-6 dagar/vecka	4848	6.1%
3	3-4 dagar/vecka	6624	8.4%
4	1-2 dagar/vecka	7898	10.0%
5	Mer sÃ¤llan	15774	19.9%
6	Aldrig	18436	23.3%
97	Ej svar - del av frÃ¥ga	5455	6.9%

ja170b: How often du you read: Aftonbladet, online (2014-)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Dubbelkryss	215	0.3%
99	Ej svar - hela frÃ¥gan	924	1.2%
96	FrÃ¥gan ej stÃ¤lld	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja170c: How often du you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, printed (2014-)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, printed
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Dagligen	1025	1.3%
2	5-6 dagar/vecka	453	0.6%
3	3-4 dagar/vecka	959	1.2%
4	1-2 dagar/vecka	2539	3.2%
5	Mer sÃ¤llan	15296	19.3%
6	Aldrig	45989	58.1%
97	Ej svar - del av frÃ¥ga	11806	14.9%
98	Dubbelkryss	200	0.3%
99	Ej svar - hela frÃ¥gan	924	1.2%
96	FrÃ¥gan ej stÃ¤lld	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja170d: How often du you read: Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, online (2014-)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen/GT/Kvällsposten, online
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Dagligen	9748	12.3%
2	5-6 dagar/vecka	2877	3.6%
3	3-4 dagar/vecka	4424	5.6%
4	1-2 dagar/vecka	6528	8.2%
5	Mer sÃ¤llan	18765	23.7%
6	Aldrig	28647	36.2%
97	Ej svar - del av frÃ¥ga	7118	9.0%
98	Dubbelkryss	160	0.2%
99	Ej svar - hela frÃ¥gan	924	1.2%
96	FrÃ¥gan ej stÃ¤lld	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja190a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ja190a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet printed

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=81665 /-] [Invalid=84175 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja100a, (1986-1997, 1998 (National-2), 2004-2006, 2007 (National-1)), ja110a (1998 (National-1), 1999-2003), ja130a (2007 (National-2), 2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-1)), ja150a (2010 (National-1)) and ja160a (2011). See also ja120 (excludes responses from 2007-) and ja195a (Aftonbladet online). The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" The item wording has changed. 1986-2007 (National): "Aftonbladet"; 2007- (National): "Aftonbladet printed".
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet printed
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	4552	5.6%
2	3-5 days/week	6699	8.2%
3	1-2 days/week	12463	15.3%
4	More seldom	26929	33.0%
5	Never	22088	27.0%
97	No response - part of the question	8057	9.9%
98	Several responses given	22	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	855	1.0%
96	Question not asked	3359	
Sysmiss		80816	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja190b: How often do you read: Expressen printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=80012 /-] [Invalid=85828 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja100b (1986-1997, 1998 (National-2), 2004-2006, 2007 (National-1)), ja110b (1998 (National-1), 1999-2003), ja130c (2007 (National-2), 2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-1)) och ja160f (2011). See also ja120 (excludes responses from 2007-) and ja195b (Expressen online). The question wording has changed. 1986-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?" The item wording has changed. 1986-2007 (National): "Expressen"; 2007- (National): "Expressen printed".
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen printed
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	3391	4.2%
2	3-5 days/week	4540	5.7%
3	1-2 days/week	8998	11.2%
4	More seldom	28178	35.2%
5	Never	25235	31.5%
97	No response - part of the question	8818	11.0%
98	Several responses given	22	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	830	1.0%
96	Question not asked	5012	
Sysmiss		80816	

ja190b: How often do you read: Expressen printed

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja190c: How often do you read: GT printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37289 /-] [Invalid=128551 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja100c (1995-1997, 1998 (National-2), 2004-2006), ja110c (1998 (National-1), 1999-2003). See also ja120 (excludes responses from 2007-). The question wording has changed. 1995-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT printed
Notes	1995-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	322	0.9%
2	3-5 days/week	524	1.4%
3	1-2 days/week	1235	3.3%
4	More seldom	4674	12.5%
5	Never	23257	62.4%
97	No response - part of the question	6842	18.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	424	1.1%
Sysmiss		128551	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja190d: How often do you read: Kvällsposten printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37289 /-] [Invalid=128551 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja100d (1995-1997, 1998 (National-2), 2004-2006), ja110d (1998 (National-1), 1999-2003). See also ja120 (excludes responses from 2007-). The question wording has changed. 1995-1998 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 1999-2001 (National): "How often do you usually read the following newspapers?"; 2002 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers and if so, how often?"; 2003- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Kvällsposten printed
Notes	1995-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	288	0.8%
2	3-5 days/week	497	1.3%
3	1-2 days/week	1227	3.3%
4	More seldom	4195	11.2%
5	Never	23870	64.0%
97	No response - part of the question	6781	18.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	424	1.1%
Sysmiss		128551	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja190e: How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten printed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ja190e: How often do you read: GT or Kvällsposten printed

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26736 /-] [Invalid=139104 /-]
Definition	See also ja190c and ja190d where GT and Kvällsposten are separated into two items. Constructed variable based on ja100e, (1986-1994, 2007 (National-1)), ja130e (2007 (National-2), 2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2) 2010 (National-1)). The question wording has changed. 1986-1994 (National): "How often do you usually read the following evening tabloids?"; 2007-2010 (National): "Do usually read or look through the following newspapers?" The item wording has changed. 1986-1989 (National): "GT/Kvällsposten"; 1990-1994 (National): "Idag (GT/Kvällsposten)"; 2007 (National-1): "GT or Kvällsposten"; 2007 (National-2), 2008-2010 (National): "GT or Kvällsposten printed".
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - GT or Kvällsposten printed
Notes	1986-1994, 2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	633	2.4%
2	3-5 days/week	760	2.8%
3	1-2 days/week	1654	6.2%
4	More seldom	4162	15.6%
5	Never	15446	57.8%
97	No response - part of the question	3872	14.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	209	0.8%
96	Question not asked	5012	
Sysmiss		134092	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja194: How often do you read: The most read printed evening tabloid

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=84516 /-] [Invalid=81324 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja140a, ja150d and ja190a-e. The variable show the highest frequency (the evening tabloid which is read most) of any of the three responses given each year. Note that the number of alternatives given has varied. See each variable this variable is based on for further information.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	8524	10.1%
2	3-5 days/week	10936	12.9%
3	1-2 days/week	18364	21.7%
4	More seldom	28464	33.7%
5	Never	15718	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1727	2.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	783	0.9%
96	Question not asked	508	
Sysmiss		80816	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja195a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=42355 /-] [Invalid=123485 /-]
Definition	Before 2007 this question was asked in another question battery concerning newspaper readership in general.

ja195a: How often do you read: Aftonbladet online

	Constructed variable based on ja130b (2007 (National-2), 2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-1)), ja150b (2010 (National-2)), ja160b (2011 (National-1)). The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "How often do you take part of any of the following on the Internet?"; 2003-2005 (National): "How often do you visit any of the following news sites on the Internet?"; 2006 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following papers on the Internet?"; 2007- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"		
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Aftonbladet online		
Notes	1998- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	4740	11.2%
2	3-5 days/week	4351	10.3%
3	1-2 days/week	3892	9.2%
4	More seldom	7420	17.5%
5	Never	15728	37.1%
97	No response - part of the question	3329	7.9%
98	Several responses given	9	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2886	6.8%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		111247	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja195b: How often do you read: Expressen online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=40702 /-] [Invalid=125138 /-]
Definition	Before 2007 this question was asked in another question battery concerning newspaper readership in general. Constructed variable based on ja130d (2007 (National-2), 2008, 2009 (National-1, National-2), 2010 (National-1)), ja160g (2011 (National-1)). The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "How often do you take part of any of the following on the Internet?"; 2003-2005 (National): "How often do you visit any of the following news sites on the Internet?"; 2006 (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following papers on the Internet?"; 2007- (National): "Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?"
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers? - Expressen online
Notes	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	1625	4.0%
2	3-5 days/week	1734	4.3%
3	1-2 days/week	1979	4.9%
4	More seldom	7234	17.8%
5	Never	21451	52.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3808	9.4%
98	Several responses given	10	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2861	7.0%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		112900	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja196: How often do you read: The most read printed evening tabloid (2014-)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?

ja196: How often do you read: The most read printed evening tabloid (2014-)

Notes	2014-			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
1	6-7 days/week	2220	2.8%	
2	3-5 days/week	855	1.1%	
3	1-2 days/week	1821	2.3%	
4	More seldom	4417	5.6%	
5	Never	19340	24.4%	
6		40619	51.3%	
96	Question not asked	0		
97	No response - part of the question	8966	11.3%	
98	Several responses given	29	0.0%	
99	No response - entire question battery	924	1.2%	
Sysmiss		86649		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja197: How often do you read: The most read evening tabloid online (2014-)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
Notes	2014-

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
1	6-7 days/week	20842	26.3%	
2	3-5 days/week	5469	6.9%	
3	1-2 days/week	7136	9.0%	
4	More seldom	8157	10.3%	
5	Never	15459	19.5%	
6		16859	21.3%	
96	Question not asked	0		
97	No response - part of the question	4282	5.4%	
98	Several responses given	63	0.1%	
99	No response - entire question battery	924	1.2%	
Sysmiss		86649		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja199: How often do you read: The most read evening tabloid online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=49153 /-] [Invalid=116687 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on ja130f, ja140b, ja140d, ja150c, ja150e-f, ja160b-e, ja160g-j och ja195a-b. The variable show the highest frequency (the evening tabloid which is read most) of any of the three responses given each year. Note that the number of alternatives given has varied. See each variable this variable is based on for further information.
Literal question	Do you usually read or look through the following newspapers?
Notes	1998- (National)

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
1	6-7 days/week	6404	13.0%	
2	3-5 days/week	5607	11.4%	
3	1-2 days/week	4860	9.9%	

ja199: How often do you read: The most read evening tabloid online

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	More seldom	9023	18.4%
5	Never	17752	36.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2672	5.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2834	5.8%
96	Question not asked	13733	
Sysmiss		102954	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300a: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Dagens Nyheter

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	5553	7.0%
2	5-6 days/week	1878	2.4%
3	3-4 days/week	3360	4.2%
4	1-2 days/week	5742	7.3%
5	More seldom	16694	21.1%
6	Never	29542	37.3%
95		8965	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	6091	7.7%
98	Several responses given	56	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1310	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300b: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Svenska Dagbladet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	2831	3.6%
2	5-6 days/week	1190	1.5%
3	3-4 days/week	2373	3.0%
4	1-2 days/week	4630	5.8%
5	More seldom	16512	20.9%
6	Never	34047	43.0%
95		8965	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	7305	9.2%
98	Several responses given	28	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1310	1.7%

ja300b: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Svenska Dagbladet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300c: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: A local morning paper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	9125	11.5%
2	5-6 days/week	3498	4.4%
3	3-4 days/week	4901	6.2%
4	1-2 days/week	6978	8.8%
5	More seldom	14725	18.6%
6	Never	23599	29.8%
95		8965	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	5959	7.5%
98	Several responses given	131	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1310	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300d: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Sveriges Radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	5984	7.6%
2	5-6 days/week	2287	2.9%
3	3-4 days/week	3883	4.9%
4	1-2 days/week	6179	7.8%
5	More seldom	17889	22.6%
6	Never	25613	32.3%
95		8965	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	6956	8.8%
98	Several responses given	125	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1310	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300e: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Sveriges Television

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ja300e: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Sveriges Television

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]		
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:		
Notes	2014-		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	9972	12.6%
2	5-6 days/week	3208	4.1%
3	3-4 days/week	4944	6.2%
4	1-2 days/week	7591	9.6%
5	More seldom	17299	21.8%
6	Never	19985	25.2%
95		8965	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	5786	7.3%
98	Several responses given	131	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1310	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300f: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Nyheter24

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6876 /-] [Invalid=158964 /-]		
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:		
Notes	2014		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	78	1.1%
2	5-6 days/week	39	0.6%
3	3-4 days/week	118	1.7%
4	1-2 days/week	238	3.5%
5	More seldom	1130	16.4%
6	Never	3275	47.6%
95		1279	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	675	9.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	40	0.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		158964	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300g: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Social media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]		
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:		
Notes	2014-		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	19547	24.7%

ja300g: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Social media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	5-6 days/week	5284	6.7%
3	3-4 days/week	6279	7.9%
4	1-2 days/week	5653	7.1%
5	More seldom	9325	11.8%
6	Never	16959	21.4%
95		8965	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	5784	7.3%
98	Several responses given	85	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1310	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300h: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Flashback

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6876 /-] [Invalid=158964 /-]
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
Notes	2014

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	49	0.7%
2	5-6 days/week	37	0.5%
3	3-4 days/week	80	1.2%
4	1-2 days/week	166	2.4%
5	More seldom	1021	14.8%
6	Never	3509	51.0%
95		1279	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	693	10.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	40	0.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		158964	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300i: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Non-Swedish news provider

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79191 /-] [Invalid=86649 /-]
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	4467	5.6%
2	5-6 days/week	1721	2.2%
3	3-4 days/week	2952	3.7%
4	1-2 days/week	4458	5.6%
5	More seldom	13212	16.7%
6	Never	34760	43.9%

ja300i: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Non-Swedish news provider

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95		8965	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	7255	9.2%
98	Several responses given	91	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1310	1.7%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300j: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Other local news provider

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=72315 /-] [Invalid=93525 /-]
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
Notes	2015-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	2651	3.7%
2	5-6 days/week	1312	1.8%
3	3-4 days/week	2350	3.2%
4	1-2 days/week	4207	5.8%
5	More seldom	14307	19.8%
6	Never	29898	41.3%
95		7686	10.6%
97	No response - part of the question	8590	11.9%
98	Several responses given	44	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1270	1.8%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		93525	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja300k: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Other news provider

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=72315 /-] [Invalid=93525 /-]
Literal question	How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from:
Notes	2015

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	4266	5.9%
2	5-6 days/week	1538	2.1%
3	3-4 days/week	2208	3.1%
4	1-2 days/week	3363	4.7%
5	More seldom	13202	18.3%
6	Never	30831	42.6%
95		7686	10.6%
97	No response - part of the question	7910	10.9%
98	Several responses given	41	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1270	1.8%
96	Question not asked	0	

ja300k: How often do you read, watch or listen to news online from: Other news provider

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		93525	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja500a: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Articles about radio and tv

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6715 /-] [Invalid=159125 /-]
Definition	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The item wording has changed. 1986 (National): "Radio/TV pages"; 1996, 2007 (National): "Articles about radio and tv". The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv
Notes	1986, 1996, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1139	17.0%
2	A great deal	2407	35.8%
3	Not much	1619	24.1%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	494	7.4%
97	No response - part of the question	551	8.2%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	504	7.5%
96	Question not asked	6412	
Sysmiss		152713	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja500b: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Foreign news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6715 /-] [Invalid=159125 /-]
Definition	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news
Notes	1986, 1996, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	937	14.0%
2	A great deal	2690	40.1%
3	Not much	1669	24.9%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	349	5.2%
97	No response - part of the question	565	8.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	504	7.5%
96	Question not asked	6412	
Sysmiss		152713	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja500c: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Cultural articles

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6715 /-] [Invalid=159125 /-]
Definition	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Cultural articles
Notes	1986, 1996, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	509	7.6%
2	A great deal	1501	22.4%
3	Not much	2389	35.6%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1126	16.8%
97	No response - part of the question	684	10.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	504	7.5%
96	Question not asked	6412	
Sysmiss		152713	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja500d: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Local news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6715 /-] [Invalid=159125 /-]
Definition	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news
Notes	1986, 1996, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	2123	31.6%
2	A great deal	2912	43.4%
3	Not much	567	8.4%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	128	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	561	8.4%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	418	6.2%
96		4746	
Sysmiss		154379	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja500e: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Sports

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6715 /-] [Invalid=159125 /-]
Definition	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following

ja500e: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Sports

	types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports		
Notes	1986, 1996, 2007 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1187	17.7%
2	A great deal	1346	20.0%
3	Not much	1555	23.2%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1575	23.5%
97	No response - part of the question	543	8.1%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	504	7.5%
96	Question not asked	6412	
Sysmiss		152713	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja500f: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Letters to the editor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5091 /-] [Invalid=160749 /-]
Definition	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor
Notes	1996, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	742	14.6%
2	A great deal	1585	31.1%
3	Not much	1330	26.1%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	529	10.4%
97	No response - part of the question	482	9.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	418	8.2%
96	Question not asked	6412	
Sysmiss		154337	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja500g: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Family news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5091 /-] [Invalid=160749 /-]
Definition	See also ja502 (constructed variable based on ja500 and ja501). The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news
Notes	1996, 2007 (National)

ja500g: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Family news

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1033	20.3%
2	A great deal	1449	28.5%
3	Not much	1228	24.1%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	497	9.8%
97	No response - part of the question	465	9.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	418	8.2%
96		4746	
Sysmiss		156003	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja501a: Content read in local newspaper: Local news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=154986 /-]
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news
Notes	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	3194	29.4%
2	A great deal	4926	45.4%
3	Not much	809	7.5%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	176	1.6%
5	Do not know	83	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	782	7.2%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	8701	
Sysmiss		146285	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja501b: Content read in local newspaper: Culture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=154986 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1995, 2000, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "Cultural articles", 2002 (National): "Culture".
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Culture
Notes	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	677	6.2%
2	A great deal	2448	22.6%
3	Not much	3326	30.6%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	2128	19.6%
5	Do not know	169	1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1221	11.2%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	8701	
Sysmiss		146285	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja501c: Content read in local newspaper: Letters to the editor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=154986 /-]
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor
Notes	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1325	12.2%
2	A great deal	3342	30.8%
3	Not much	2818	26.0%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1385	12.8%
5	Do not know	119	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	989	9.1%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	8701	
Sysmiss		146285	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja501d: Content read in local newspaper: Sports

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=154986 /-]
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports
Notes	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1681	15.5%
2	A great deal	2257	20.8%
3	Not much	2290	21.1%

ja501d: Content read in local newspaper: Sports

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Nothing/almost nothing	2631	24.2%
5	Do not know	151	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	955	8.8%
98	Several responses given	18	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	8701	
Sysmiss		146285	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja501e: Content read in local newspaper: Family news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=154986 /-]
Definition	Itemnamnet har varierat. 1995 (Riks): "Familjenyheter"; 2000, 2003-2004, 2006 (Riks): "Familjenyheter"; 2002 (Riks): "Familjematerial".
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news
Notes	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1948	17.9%
2	A great deal	3151	29.0%
3	Not much	2477	22.8%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1342	12.4%
5	Do not know	133	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	918	8.5%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	6923	
Sysmiss		148063	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja501f: Content read in local newspaper: Articles about radio and tv

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10854 /-] [Invalid=154986 /-]
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles about radio and tv
Notes	1995, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1512	13.9%
2	A great deal	4069	37.5%
3	Not much	2426	22.4%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	878	8.1%
5	Do not know	101	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	979	9.0%
98	Several responses given	18	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	871	8.0%
96	Question not asked	8701	
Sysmiss		146285	

ja501f: Content read in local newspaper: Articles about radio and tv

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja501g: Content read in local newspaper: Foreign news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9023 /-] [Invalid=156817 /-]
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news
Notes	1995, 2000, 2003-2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1044	11.6%
2	A great deal	3586	39.7%
3	Not much	2139	23.7%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	569	6.3%
5	Do not know	88	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	772	8.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	818	9.1%
96	Question not asked	6923	
Sysmiss		149894	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja502a: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Local news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16026 /-] [Invalid=149814 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Local news
Notes	1986, 1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	4859	30.3%
2	A great deal	7245	45.2%
3	Not much	1270	7.9%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	277	1.7%
5	Do not know	83	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1327	8.3%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	948	5.9%
Sysmiss		149814	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja502b: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Cultural articles

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16026 /-] [Invalid=149814 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The item wording has changed. 1986, 1995-1996, 2000, 2003-2004, 2006-2007 (National): "Cultural articles", 2002 (National): "Culture".

ja502b: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Cultural articles

	The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Cultural articles
Notes	1986, 1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1066	6.7%
2	A great deal	3559	22.2%
3	Not much	5248	32.7%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	3078	19.2%
5	Do not know	169	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1857	11.6%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1034	6.5%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		148148	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja502c: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Letters to the editor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14402 /-] [Invalid=151438 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Letters to the editor
Notes	1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1876	13.0%
2	A great deal	4495	31.2%
3	Not much	3764	26.1%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1751	12.2%
5	Do not know	119	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1442	10.0%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	948	6.6%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		149772	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja502d: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Sports

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16026 /-] [Invalid=149814 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the

ja502d: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Sports

	following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"		
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Sports		
Notes	1986, 1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	2620	16.3%
2	A great deal	3339	20.8%
3	Not much	3499	21.8%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	3889	24.3%
5	Do not know	151	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1473	9.2%
98	Several responses given	21	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1034	6.5%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		148148	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja502e: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Family news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14402 /-] [Invalid=151438 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Family news
Notes	1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	2723	18.9%
2	A great deal	4200	29.2%
3	Not much	3345	23.2%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1675	11.6%
5	Do not know	133	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1363	9.5%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	948	6.6%
Sysmiss		151438	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja502f: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Articles aboubt radio and tv

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16026 /-] [Invalid=149814 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"

ja502f: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Articles aboout radio and tv

	Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Articles aboout radio and tv
	Notes	1995-1996, 2000, 2002-2004, 2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	2495	15.6%
2	A great deal	6005	37.5%
3	Not much	3654	22.8%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	1213	7.6%
5	Do not know	101	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1506	9.4%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1034	6.5%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		148148	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja502g: Content read in local newspaper (not online): Foreign news

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14195 /-] [Invalid=151645 /-]
	Definition	Constructed variable based on the corresponding variables from ja500 and ja501. The question wording has changed. 1986 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following types of newspaper content of your local daily newspaper?"; 1995, 2002-2004, 2006 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"; 1996 (National): "How much do you usually read of the respective following types of newspaper content in a local daily newspaper and in an evening newspaper?"; 2007 (National): "How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper?"
	Literal question	How much do you usually read of the following content categories in the local morning newspaper? - Foreign news
	Notes	1986, 1995-1996, 2000, 2003-2004, 2006-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Everything/practically everything	1757	12.4%
2	A great deal	5713	40.2%
3	Not much	3491	24.6%
4	Nothing/almost nothing	853	6.0%
5	Do not know	88	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1305	9.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	981	6.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		149979	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ja600: Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=110511 /-] [Invalid=55329 /-]
	Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1986-1997 (National): "Do you or somebody else in your household subscribe to this newspaper?"; 1998- (National): "Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?" West: 1998-2009 (West) there are two versions of this question: in WVG (11) (1998-2008) the question was asked with "Yes"/"No", followed by an open question (which title); in WGR (12) (1998-2008) the question was asked with closed

ja600: Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?

	alternatives (different newspaper titles). In the cases where "Yes" was absent as a specific alternative and the respondent has chosen a newspaper, a "Yes" response is coded. Since 2009 the question has been asked with "Yes"/"No", followed by an open question.
Literal question	Do you or somebody in your household subscribe to any daily newspaper?
Notes	1986- (National); 1998- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	71409	64.6%
2	No	35947	32.5%
97	No response - part of the question	428	0.4%
98	Several responses given	27	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2700	2.4%
96		55320	
Sysmiss		9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb10: Past 12 months: Used the Internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=42385 /-] [Invalid=123455 /-]
Definition	Originally from the so called life style battery (see ma10-ma190). See also jb11 (2008-) and jb12 (constructed variable based on jb10 and jb11). The item wording has changed. 1995-1997 (National): "Surfed on the Internet", 1998-2008 (National): "Used the Internet". The question wording has changed. 1995-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2008 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" Note that jb10 and jb11 was asked in two different editions in 2008.
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used the Internet
Notes	1995-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	13655	32.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1176	2.8%
3	About once every half year	506	1.2%
4	About once every quarter	749	1.8%
5	About once every month	2733	6.4%
6	About once a week	4800	11.3%
7	Several times a week	16783	39.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1004	2.4%
98	Several responses given	57	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	922	2.2%
96	Question not asked	1598	
Sysmiss		121857	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb11: Past 12 months: Used the Internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=109950 /-] [Invalid=55890 /-]
Definition	See also jb12 (constructed variable based on jb10 and jb11). Note that jb10 and jb11 was asked in two different editions in 2008.
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you used the internet?
Notes	2008- (National)

jb11: Past 12 months: Used the Internet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	8749	8.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	540	0.5%
3	About once every half year	388	0.4%
4	About once every month	1688	1.5%
5	About once a week	3933	3.6%
6	Several times a week	10439	9.5%
7	Daily	79945	72.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1844	1.7%
98	Several responses given	118	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2306	2.1%
Sysmiss		55890	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb19: Past 12 months: Used the Internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=150674 /-] [Invalid=15166 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jb10 och jb11. The item wording has changed. 1995-1997 (National): "Surfed on the Internet". 1998-2008 (National): "Used the internet". Since 2008 this has been asked as a single question. The question wording has changed. 1995-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?". 2003-2008 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"; 2008- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you used the internet?" Recoding: 3 'About once every half year/quarter/month' includes the corresponding alternatives ('About once every half year', 'About once every quarter' och 'About once every month'); 5 'Several times a week/daily' includes the corresponding alternatives ('Several times a week' and 'Daily'). Note that jb10 and jb11 was asked in two different editions in 2008.
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you used the internet?
Notes	1995- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	22110	14.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1697	1.1%
3	About once every half year/quarter/month	6005	4.0%
4	About once a week	8634	5.7%
5	Several times a week/daily	106030	70.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2822	1.9%
98	Several responses given	172	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3204	2.1%
Sysmiss		15166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100a: Purpose of personal Internet use: Entertainment/games

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=149456 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Entertainment/games

jb100a: Purpose of personal Internet use: Entertainment/games

	Notes	1997-2004 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	5553		33.9%
1	Ticked	4263		26.0%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233		19.7%
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	3335		20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514		
Sysmiss		138942		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100b: Purpose of personal Internet use: Technical use/making home pages

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=149456 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Technical use/making home pages
Notes	1997-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	8969		54.7%
1	Ticked	847	5.2%	
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233		19.7%
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	3335		20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514		
Sysmiss		138942		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100c: Purpose of personal Internet use: Participate in discussion/chat group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=149456 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Participate in discussion/chat group
Notes	1997-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	8746		53.4%
1	Ticked	1070	6.5%	
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233		19.7%
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	3335		20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514		
Sysmiss		138942		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100d: Purpose of personal Internet use: Hear/read the latest news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=149456 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Hear/read the latest news
Notes	1997-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	6057	37.0%
1	Ticked	3759	22.9%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		138942	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100e: Purpose of personal Internet use: Fact-finding/information searches

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=149456 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Fact-finding/information searches
Notes	1997-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	2063	12.6%
1	Ticked	7753	47.3%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		138942	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100f: Purpose of personal Internet use: E-mail

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=149456 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - E-mail
Notes	1997-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	2937	17.9%
1	Ticked	6879	42.0%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%

jb100f: Purpose of personal Internet use: E-mail

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		138942	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100g: Purpose of personal Internet use: Order products or services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=149456 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Order products or services
Notes	1997-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	7252	44.3%
1	Ticked	2564	15.6%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		147753	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100h: Purpose of personal Internet use: Download/listen to music

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11069 /-] [Invalid=154771 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Download/listen to music
Notes	1999-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	5986	54.1%
1	Ticked	1500	13.6%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	573	5.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3010	27.2%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		144257	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100i: Purpose of personal Internet use: Bank errands

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11069 /-] [Invalid=154771 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)"

jb100i: Purpose of personal Internet use: Bank errands

		2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question		Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Bank errands
Notes		1999-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	4047	36.6%
1	Ticked	3439	31.1%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	573	5.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3010	27.2%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		144257	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100j: Purpose of personal Internet use: Get forms/information from authorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5528 /-] [Invalid=160312 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Get forms/information from authorities
Notes	2002-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	2835	51.3%
1	Ticked	1189	21.5%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1504	27.2%
96	Question not asked	5368	
Sysmiss		154944	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb100k: Purpose of personal Internet use: Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16384 /-] [Invalid=149456 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 1997-2003: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Observera att du kan markera mer än en ruta)" 2004: "Vilket/vilka är dina främsta syften med din privata internetanvändning? (Du kan markera mer än ett alternativ.)"
Literal question	Which are your foremost purposes for your personal Internet use? - Other
Notes	1997-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	9100	55.5%
1	Ticked	716	4.4%
95	Inte använt internet senaste 12 månaderna	3233	19.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3335	20.4%
96	Question not asked	10514	
Sysmiss		138942	

jb100k: Purpose of personal Internet use: Other

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110a: How often done on the Internet: Sent/received e-mails

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=91050 /-] [Invalid=74790 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Sent/received e-mails
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5296	5.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1509	1.7%
3	About once every half year	1252	1.4%
4	About once every month	4646	5.1%
5	About once a week	8913	9.8%
6	Several times a week	16936	18.6%
7	Daily	41605	45.7%
95		1217	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	7598	8.3%
98	Several responses given	74	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2004	2.2%
96	Question not asked	19111	
Sysmiss		55679	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110b: How often done on the Internet: Participated in discussion forums

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8546 /-] [Invalid=157294 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Participated in discussion forums
Notes	2005-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4950	57.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	485	5.7%
3	About once every half year	247	2.9%
4	About once every month	287	3.4%
5	About once a week	239	2.8%
6	Several times a week	203	2.4%
7	Daily	247	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1173	13.7%
98	Several responses given	37	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	678	7.9%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		155570	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110c: How often done on the Internet: Heard/read the latest news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=112004 /-] [Invalid=53836 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Heard/read the latest news
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	9712	8.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3240	2.9%
3	About once every half year	2153	1.9%
4	About once every month	6268	5.6%
5	About once a week	12934	11.5%
6	Several times a week	18320	16.4%
7	Daily	46423	41.4%
95		2270	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	7989	7.1%
98	Several responses given	106	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2589	2.3%
96	Question not asked	8227	
Sysmiss		45609	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110d: How often done on the Internet: Fact-finding/information searches

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=115381 /-] [Invalid=50459 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Fact-finding/information searches
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	3525	3.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1483	1.3%
3	About once every half year	1509	1.3%
4	About once every month	6672	5.8%
5	About once a week	13869	12.0%
6	Several times a week	29845	25.9%
7	Daily	45373	39.3%
95		2308	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	8019	7.0%
98	Several responses given	84	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2694	2.3%
96	Question not asked	4863	
Sysmiss		45596	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110e: How often done on the Internet: Commented news articles

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31891 /-] [Invalid=133949 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Commented news articles
Notes	2005, 2007, 2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	22321		70.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1894		5.9%
3	About once every half year	1108		3.5%
4	About once every month	1055		3.3%
5	About once a week	592		1.9%
6	Several times a week	340		1.1%
7	Daily	385		1.2%
95		449		1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2649		8.3%
98	Several responses given	25		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1073		3.4%
96	Question not asked	70145		
Sysmiss		63804		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110f: How often done on the Internet: Read a blog

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=55298 /-] [Invalid=110542 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Read a blog
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	21002		38.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	5224		9.4%
3	About once every half year	3759		6.8%
4	About once every month	6383		11.5%
5	About once a week	4881		8.8%
6	Several times a week	2836		5.1%
7	Daily	3427		6.2%
95		887		1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	5418		9.8%
98	Several responses given	39		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1442		2.6%
96	Question not asked	59937		
Sysmiss		50605		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110g: How often done on the Internet: Bought/ordered products or services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=95637 /-] [Invalid=70203 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Bought/ordered products or services
Notes	2005-2010, 2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	14768	15.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	9937	10.4%
3	About once every half year	19544	20.4%
4	About once every month	30269	31.6%
5	About once a week	7003	7.3%
6	Several times a week	2177	2.3%
7	Daily	1078	1.1%
95		2094	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	6453	6.7%
98	Several responses given	110	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2204	2.3%
96	Question not asked	19717	
Sysmiss		50486	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110h: How often done on the Internet: Made bank errands

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=43729 /-] [Invalid=122111 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made bank errands
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5600	12.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	924	2.1%
3	About once every half year	990	2.3%
4	About once every month	12916	29.5%
5	About once a week	10260	23.5%
6	Several times a week	5816	13.3%
7	Daily	1408	3.2%
95		677	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	4004	9.2%
98	Several responses given	46	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1088	2.5%
96	Question not asked	76523	
Sysmiss		45588	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110i: How often done on the Internet: Made errands at authority websites

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=69391 /-] [Invalid=96449 /-]		
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made errands at authority websites		
Notes	2005- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	15183	21.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	10207	14.7%
3	About once every half year	13266	19.1%
4	About once every month	14857	21.4%
5	About once a week	4479	6.5%
6	Several times a week	1728	2.5%
7	Daily	871	1.3%
95		1337	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	5728	8.3%
98	Several responses given	67	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1668	2.4%
96	Question not asked	45815	
Sysmiss		50634	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110j: How often done on the Internet: Downloaded movies or TV series

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13345 /-] [Invalid=152495 /-]		
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Downloaded movies or TV series		
Notes	2005-2007, 2012- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	8218	61.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	643	4.8%
3	About once every half year	488	3.7%
4	About once every month	785	5.9%
5	About once a week	433	3.2%
6	Several times a week	308	2.3%
7	Daily	264	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1754	13.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	451	3.4%
96	Question not asked	8115	
Sysmiss		144380	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110k: How often done on the Internet: Chatted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

jb110k: How often done on the Internet: Chatted

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8276 /-] [Invalid=157564 /-]		
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Chatted		
Notes	2007-2009 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	3775	45.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	397	4.8%
3	About once every half year	250	3.0%
4	About once every month	403	4.9%
5	About once a week	465	5.6%
6	Several times a week	565	6.8%
7	Daily	765	9.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1455	17.6%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	2.4%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		157564	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110l: How often done on the Internet: Bought/sold wares via personal ads

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10033 /-] [Invalid=155807 /-]		
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Bought/sold wares via personal ads		
Notes	2007-2009, 2011-2013 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4358	43.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2044	20.4%
3	About once every half year	1412	14.1%
4	About once every month	437	4.4%
5	About once a week	59	0.6%
6	Several times a week	27	0.3%
7	Daily	50	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1431	14.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	204	2.0%
96	Question not asked	19481	
Sysmiss		136326	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110m: How often done on the Internet: Made library errands

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20410 /-] [Invalid=145430 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"

jb110m: How often done on the Internet: Made library errands

Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Made library errands		
Notes	2006-2007, 2009-2013 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	12977	63.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1339	6.6%
3	About once every half year	1209	5.9%
4	About once every month	1258	6.2%
5	About once a week	341	1.7%
6	Several times a week	136	0.7%
7	Daily	156	0.8%
95		243	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2196	10.8%
98	Several responses given	19	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	536	2.6%
96	Question not asked	60465	
Sysmiss		84965	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110n: How often done on the Internet: Played online games

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=87644 /-] [Invalid=78196 /-]		
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Played online games		
Notes	2007-2013 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	53501	61.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4345	5.0%
3	About once every half year	2738	3.1%
4	About once every month	3979	4.5%
5	About once a week	4038	4.6%
6	Several times a week	3950	4.5%
7	Daily	5069	5.8%
95		1730	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	6395	7.3%
98	Several responses given	102	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1797	2.1%
96	Question not asked	25757	
Sysmiss		52439	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110o: How often done on the Internet: Written own blog

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=34978 /-] [Invalid=130862 /-]		
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"		

jb110o: How often done on the Internet: Written own blog

Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Written own blog		
Notes	2007- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	27349	78.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	715	2.0%
3	About once every half year	389	1.1%
4	About once every month	512	1.5%
5	About once a week	363	1.0%
6	Several times a week	297	0.8%
7	Daily	509	1.5%
95		360	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	3385	9.7%
98	Several responses given	27	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1072	3.1%
96	Question not asked	66700	
Sysmiss		64162	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110p: How often done on the Internet: Used social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=106696 /-] [Invalid=59144 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)
Notes	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	24365	22.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1994	1.9%
3	About once every half year	1195	1.1%
4	About once every month	3379	3.2%
5	About once a week	6547	6.1%
6	Several times a week	11086	10.4%
7	Daily	46746	43.8%
95		2305	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	6731	6.3%
98	Several responses given	83	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2265	2.1%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		59144	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110q: How often done on the Internet: Searched information about current research

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3215 /-] [Invalid=162625 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"

jb110q: How often done on the Internet: Searched information about current research

Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Searched information about current research
Notes	2009, 2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1220	37.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	554	17.2%
3	About once every half year	414	12.9%
4	About once every month	356	11.1%
5	About once a week	150	4.7%
6	Several times a week	71	2.2%
7	Daily	47	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	361	11.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	40	1.2%
96	Question not asked	9673	
Sysmiss		152952	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110r: How often done on the Internet: Searched information about health care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8165 /-] [Invalid=157675 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Searched information about health care
Notes	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1634	20.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1462	17.9%
3	About once every half year	1879	23.0%
4	About once every month	1492	18.3%
5	About once a week	337	4.1%
6	Several times a week	142	1.7%
7	Daily	78	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	996	12.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	138	1.7%
96	Question not asked	19375	
Sysmiss		138300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110s: How often done on the Internet: Watched video clips

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=165840 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Watched video clips
Notes	2008-2009, 2013- (National)

jb110s: How often done on the Internet: Watched video clips

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	0	
2	About once in the last 12 months	0	
3	About once every half year	0	
4	About once every month	0	
5	About once a week	0	
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
96	Question not asked	6603	
Sysmiss		159237	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110t: How often done on the Internet: Used music service (e.g. Spotify)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6345 /-] [Invalid=159495 /-]
Definition	Frågeformuleringen har varierat. 2005-2007: "Hur ofta har du under de senaste 12 månaderna gjort följande på internet, i privat syfte?" 2008- : "Och hur ofta har du gjort följande på internet?"
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
Notes	2010, 2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2517	39.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	287	4.5%
3	About once every half year	245	3.9%
4	About once every month	539	8.5%
5	About once a week	529	8.3%
6	Several times a week	610	9.6%
7	Daily	718	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	750	11.8%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	146	2.3%
96	Question not asked	11254	
Sysmiss		148241	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110u: How often done on the Internet: Watched eSports

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22052 /-] [Invalid=143788 /-]
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	14702	66.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1188	5.4%
3	About once every half year	790	3.6%

jb110u: How often done on the Internet: Watched eSports

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	About once every month	931	4.2%
5	About once a week	745	3.4%
6	Several times a week	476	2.2%
7	Daily	794	3.6%
95		452	2.0%
97		1491	6.8%
98		19	0.1%
99		464	2.1%
96		70001	
Sysmiss		73787	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb110v: How often done on the internet: Read article comments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20412 /-] [Invalid=145428 /-]
Literal question	And how often have you been doing the following on the Internet? - Used music service (e.g. Spotify)
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	6745	33.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1721	8.4%
3	About once every half year	1374	6.7%
4	About once every month	2717	13.3%
5	About once a week	2755	13.5%
6	Several times a week	1733	8.5%
7	Daily	1042	5.1%
95		452	2.2%
97		1397	6.8%
98		12	0.1%
99		464	2.3%
96		71649	
Sysmiss		73779	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb120a: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Your municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28232 /-] [Invalid=137608 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your municipality
Notes	2006-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	9434	33.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	5274	18.7%
3	About once every half year	4713	16.7%
4	About once every month	4429	15.7%
5	About once a week	2219	7.9%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	68	0.2%
98	Several responses given	8	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2087	7.4%
96	Question not asked	88534	
Sysmiss		49074	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb120b: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Your county council/regional government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12889 /-] [Invalid=152951 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your county council/regional government
Notes	2006-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	7611	59.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1764	13.7%
3	About once every half year	1113	8.6%
4	About once every month	674	5.2%
5	About once a week	316	2.5%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	145	1.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1264	9.8%
96	Question not asked	18083	
Sysmiss		134868	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb120c: How frequently do you visit the websites of: The Swedish Parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16242 /-] [Invalid=149598 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish Parliament
Notes	2006-

jb120c: How frequently do you visit the websites of: The Swedish Parliament

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	12136	74.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1345	8.3%
3	About once every half year	646	4.0%
4	About once every month	321	2.0%
5	About once a week	121	0.7%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	175	1.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1494	9.2%
96	Question not asked	28209	
Sysmiss		121389	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb120d: How frequently do you visit the websites of: The Swedish government's website

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8182 /-] [Invalid=157658 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish government's website
Notes	2006-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	6129	74.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	550	6.7%
3	About once every half year	256	3.1%
4	About once every month	151	1.8%
5	About once a week	78	1.0%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	62	0.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	954	11.7%
96	Question not asked	6774	
Sysmiss		150884	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb120e: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any political party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28232 /-] [Invalid=137608 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any political party
Notes	2006-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	19931	70.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3075	10.9%
3	About once every half year	1549	5.5%
4	About once every month	944	3.3%
5	About once a week	353	1.3%

jb120e: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any political party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	288	1.0%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2087	7.4%
96	Question not asked	88534	
Sysmiss		49074	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb120f: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any member of Parliament

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25052 /-] [Invalid=140788 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any member of Parliament
Notes	2006-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	20716	82.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1135	4.5%
3	About once every half year	502	2.0%
4	About once every month	274	1.1%
5	About once a week	130	0.5%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	278	1.1%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2012	8.0%
96	Question not asked	83529	
Sysmiss		57259	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb120g: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any local politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25052 /-] [Invalid=140788 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any local politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	20579	82.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1205	4.8%
3	About once every half year	543	2.2%
4	About once every month	310	1.2%
5	About once a week	125	0.5%
6	Several times a week	0	
7	Daily	0	
97	No response - part of the question	275	1.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2012	8.0%
96	Question not asked	83529	

jb120g: How frequently do you visit the websites of: Any local politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		57259	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb121a: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Your municipality's website

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16405 /-] [Invalid=149435 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your municipality's website
Notes	1998-2005

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	64	0.4%
2	3-5 days/week	192	1.2%
3	1-2 days/week	563	3.4%
4	More seldom	3639	22.2%
5	Never	6329	38.6%
95		2159	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	179	1.1%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3269	19.9%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		137197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb121b: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Your county/region home page

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7303 /-] [Invalid=158537 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Your county/region home page
Notes	1998-2005

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	16	0.2%
2	3-5 days/week	57	0.8%
3	1-2 days/week	101	1.4%
4	More seldom	1097	15.0%
5	Never	4221	57.8%
97	No response - part of the question	63	0.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1748	23.9%
96	Question not asked	7092	
Sysmiss		151445	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb121c: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: The Swedish Parliament's website

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16405 /-] [Invalid=149435 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish Parliament's website
Notes	1998-2005

jb121c: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: The Swedish Parliament's website

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	11	0.1%
2	3-5 days/week	27	0.2%
3	1-2 days/week	155	0.9%
4	More seldom	1518	9.3%
5	Never	8994	54.8%
95		2159	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	272	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	3269	19.9%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		137197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb121d: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: The Swedish government's/Rosenbad's website

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16405 /-] [Invalid=149435 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? The Swedish government's/Rosenbad's website
Notes	1998-2005

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	11	0.1%
2	3-5 days/week	31	0.2%
3	1-2 days/week	137	0.8%
4	More seldom	1349	8.2%
5	Never	9162	55.8%
95		2159	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	283	1.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	3269	19.9%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		137197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jb121e: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Any political party's website

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16405 /-] [Invalid=149435 /-]
Literal question	During the last 12 months, have you visited any of the following websites online? Any political party's website
Notes	1998-2005

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days/week	13	0.1%
2	3-5 days/week	48	0.3%
3	1-2 days/week	129	0.8%
4	More seldom	1407	8.6%
5	Never	9111	55.5%
95		2159	13.2%

jb121e: How frequently do you visit the websites of 98-05: Any political party's website

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	268	1.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	3269	19.9%
96	Question not asked	12238	
Sysmiss		137197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10a: How often do you listen to: P1 Swedish Radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=85455 /-] [Invalid=80385 /-]
Definition	Item wording has changed. 1989 (National): "National Radio P1"; 1994- (National): "P1 Swedish Radio".
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P1 Swedish Radio
Notes	1989, 1994- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	11075	13.0%
2	5-6 days/week	2802	3.3%
3	3-4 days/week	3804	4.5%
4	1-2 days/week	6117	7.2%
5	More seldom	22432	26.3%
6	Never	33243	38.9%
97	No response - part of the question	4320	5.1%
98	Several responses given	92	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1570	1.8%
96	Question not asked	68546	
Sysmiss		11839	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10b: How often do you listen to: P2 Swedish Radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=75297 /-] [Invalid=90543 /-]
Definition	Item wording has changed. 1989 (National): "National Radio P2"; 1994- (National): "P2 Swedish Radio".
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P2 Swedish Radio
Notes	1989, 1994- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1703	2.3%
2	5-6 days/week	841	1.1%
3	3-4 days/week	1750	2.3%
4	1-2 days/week	3626	4.8%
5	More seldom	21455	28.5%
6	Never	39521	52.5%
97	No response - part of the question	5031	6.7%
98	Several responses given	48	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1322	1.8%
96	Question not asked	78704	
Sysmiss		11839	

jc10b: How often do you listen to: P2 Swedish Radio

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10c: How often do you listen to: P3 Swedish Radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82183 /-] [Invalid=83657 /-]
Definition	Item wording has changed. 1989 (National): "National Radio P3"; 1994- (National): "P3 Swedish Radio".
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P3 Swedish Radio
Notes	1989, 1994- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	5507	6.7%
2	5-6 days/week	3533	4.3%
3	3-4 days/week	6574	8.0%
4	1-2 days/week	10513	12.8%
5	More seldom	26546	32.3%
6	Never	22799	27.7%
97	No response - part of the question	5139	6.3%
98	Several responses given	62	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1510	1.8%
96	Question not asked	71818	
Sysmiss		11839	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10d1: How often do you listen to: P4 Swedish Radio/local radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=83877 /-] [Invalid=81963 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P4 Swedish Radio/local radio
Notes	1994- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	23339	27.8%
2	5-6 days/week	6785	8.1%
3	3-4 days/week	8312	9.9%
4	1-2 days/week	9711	11.6%
5	More seldom	16826	20.1%
6	Never	15258	18.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1876	2.2%
98	Several responses given	217	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1553	1.9%
96	Question not asked	68546	
Sysmiss		13417	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10d2: How often do you listen to: Local radio stations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1578 /-] [Invalid=164262 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Local radio stations
Notes	1989 (National)

jc10d2: How often do you listen to: Local radio stations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	509	32.3%
2	5-6 days/week	166	10.5%
3	3-4 days/week	154	9.8%
4	1-2 days/week	188	11.9%
5	More seldom	324	20.5%
6	Never	164	10.4%
97	No response - part of the question	56	3.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	17	1.1%
Sysmiss		164262	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10e: How often do you listen to: Rix FM [private radio station]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=61734 /-] [Invalid=104106 /-]
Definition	Item wording has changed. 1995-2000 (National): "Radio Rix"; 2001- (National): "Rix FM".
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Rix FM [private radio station]
Notes	1995- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	3376	5.5%
2	5-6 days/week	2634	4.3%
3	3-4 days/week	4604	7.5%
4	1-2 days/week	6236	10.1%
5	More seldom	13892	22.5%
6	Never	26355	42.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3444	5.6%
98	Several responses given	65	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1128	1.8%
96	Question not asked	88987	
Sysmiss		15119	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10f1: How often do you listen to: Radio City [private radio station]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21679 /-] [Invalid=144161 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Radio City [private radio station]
Notes	1995-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	537	2.5%
2	5-6 days/week	487	2.2%
3	3-4 days/week	753	3.5%
4	1-2 days/week	1054	4.9%
5	More seldom	3230	14.9%
6	Never	13424	61.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1740	8.0%

jc10f1: How often do you listen to: Radio City [private radio station]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	441	2.0%
96	Question not asked	13978	
Sysmiss		130183	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10f2: How often do you listen to: Mix Megapol [private radio station]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=54777 /-] [Invalid=111063 /-]
Definition	Item wording has changed. 1997 (National): "Radio Megapol"; 1998-2000 (National): "Megapol"; 2001- (National): "Mix Megapol".
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Mix Megapol [private radio station]
Notes	1997-2006, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	2206	4.0%
2	5-6 days/week	2099	3.8%
3	3-4 days/week	3938	7.2%
4	1-2 days/week	5565	10.2%
5	More seldom	12879	23.5%
6	Never	24052	43.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2978	5.4%
98	Several responses given	41	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1019	1.9%
96	Question not asked	70236	
Sysmiss		40827	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10f3: How often do you listen to: Mix Megapol/Radio City [private radio station]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3476 /-] [Invalid=162364 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Mix Megapol/Radio City [private radio station]
Notes	2007-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	172	4.9%
2	5-6 days/week	156	4.5%
3	3-4 days/week	249	7.2%
4	1-2 days/week	337	9.7%
5	More seldom	781	22.5%
6	Never	1535	44.2%
97	No response - part of the question	181	5.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	59	1.7%
96	Question not asked	3295	
Sysmiss		159069	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10g: How often do you listen to: NRJ (Radio Energy) [private radio station]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26816 /-] [Invalid=139024 /-]
Definition	Item wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "Radio Energy"; 1997-2002 (National): "NRJ/Radio energy"; 2003-2009 (National): "NRJ (Radio Energy)".
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - NRJ (Radio Energy) [private radio station]
Notes	1995-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	940	3.5%
2	5-6 days/week	724	2.7%
3	3-4 days/week	1156	4.3%
4	1-2 days/week	1606	6.0%
5	More seldom	4397	16.4%
6	Never	15504	57.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1960	7.3%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	515	1.9%
96	Question not asked	18871	
Sysmiss		120153	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10i: How often do you listen to: P6 (previously Z-radio) [private radio station]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3481 /-] [Invalid=162359 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - P6 (previously Z-radio) [private radio station]
Notes	1994-1995 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	92	2.6%
2	5-6 days/week	48	1.4%
3	3-4 days/week	73	2.1%
4	1-2 days/week	116	3.3%
5	More seldom	329	9.5%
6	Never	2502	71.9%
97	No response - part of the question	271	7.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	50	1.4%
Sysmiss		162359	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10j: How often do you listen to: "Lugna favoriter" [private radio station]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15780 /-] [Invalid=150060 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - "Lugna favoriter" [private radio station]
Notes	2003-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	408	2.6%

jc10j: How often do you listen to: "Lugna favoriter" [private radio station]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	5-6 days/week	347	2.2%
3	3-4 days/week	702	4.4%
4	1-2 days/week	1184	7.5%
5	More seldom	3136	19.9%
6	Never	8565	54.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1203	7.6%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	225	1.4%
96	Question not asked	18578	
Sysmiss		131482	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc10k: How often do you listen to: The Voice [private radio station]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5137 /-] [Invalid=160703 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - The Voice [private radio station]
Notes	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	56	1.1%
2	5-6 days/week	59	1.1%
3	3-4 days/week	79	1.5%
4	1-2 days/week	140	2.7%
5	More seldom	587	11.4%
6	Never	3842	74.8%
97	No response - part of the question	299	5.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	74	1.4%
96	Question not asked	4893	
Sysmiss		155810	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc11a: How often do you listen to: Other private local radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=33465 /-] [Invalid=132375 /-]
Definition	Depending on in which context the question is asked, the meaning varies (i.e. which items are available).
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other private local radio
Notes	1995-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1131	3.4%
2	5-6 days/week	776	2.3%
3	3-4 days/week	1127	3.4%
4	1-2 days/week	1348	4.0%
5	More seldom	4637	13.9%
6	Never	21337	63.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2486	7.4%

jc11a: How often do you listen to: Other private local radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	15	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	608	1.8%
96	Question not asked	20573	
Sysmiss		111802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc12a: How often do you listen to: Private local radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20692 /-] [Invalid=145148 /-]
Definition	Depending on in which context the question is asked, the meaning varies (i.e. which items are available). The item wording has changed. 1999 (National-1): "Other local radio (Radio Rix, Radio City, NRJ/Energy, Megapol)"; 2000 (National-1): "Private local radio (Radio Rix, Radio City, NRJ/Energy, Megapol)"; 2001-2002 (National-1): "Private local radio (Rix FM, Radio City, NRJ/Energy, Mix Megapol)"; 2003 (National-1): "Private local radio (Rix FM, Radio City, NRJ, Mix Megapol)"; 2004-2006 (National-1): "Private local radio (e.g. Rix FM, Radio City, NRJ, Mix Megapol)"; 2007-2010 (National-1): "Private local radio (e.g. Rix FM, Mix Megapol/Radio City, NRJ)".
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Private local radio (e.g. Rix FM, Mix Megapol/Radio City, NRJ)
Notes	1999-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	3481	16.8%
2	5-6 days/week	1827	8.8%
3	3-4 days/week	2298	11.1%
4	1-2 days/week	1911	9.2%
5	More seldom	3677	17.8%
6	Never	6069	29.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1033	5.0%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	379	1.8%
96	Question not asked	17981	
Sysmiss		127167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc13a: How often do you listen to: Non-commercial local radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=72290 /-] [Invalid=93550 /-]
Definition	Itemnamnet har varierat över tid. 1989, 2003- (Riks): "Närradio";1994-2002 (Riks): "Närradiokanal".
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Non-commercial local radio
Notes	1989, 1994-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1981	2.7%
2	5-6 days/week	951	1.3%
3	3-4 days/week	1598	2.2%
4	1-2 days/week	2692	3.7%
5	More seldom	13373	18.5%
6	Never	45731	63.3%
97	No response - part of the question	4641	6.4%
98	Several responses given	29	0.0%

jc13a: How often do you listen to: Non-commercial local radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	1294	1.8%
96	Question not asked	80129	
Sysmiss		13421	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc14a: How often do you listen to: Other radio station

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4881 /-] [Invalid=160959 /-]
Definition	Open question.
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other radio station
Notes	2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	118	2.4%
2	5-6 days/week	69	1.4%
3	3-4 days/week	115	2.4%
4	1-2 days/week	92	1.9%
5	More seldom	217	4.4%
6	Never	1850	37.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2337	47.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	82	1.7%
96	Question not asked	11135	
Sysmiss		149824	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc14b: How often do you listen to: Other commercial radio station

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26638 /-] [Invalid=139202 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other commercial radio station
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		752	2.8%
2		640	2.4%
3		1117	4.2%
4		1601	6.0%
5		5971	22.4%
6		14786	55.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1257	4.7%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	499	1.9%
96		70165	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc15a: How often do you listen to: Other radio stations online

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

jc15a: How often do you listen to: Other radio stations online

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3435 /-] [Invalid=162405 /-]		
Literal question	How often do you usually listen to the following radio stations? - Other radio stations online		
Notes	2008 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	30	0.9%
2	5-6 days/week	27	0.8%
3	3-4 days/week	45	1.3%
4	1-2 days/week	94	2.7%
5	More seldom	521	15.2%
6	Never	2484	72.3%
97	No response - part of the question	189	5.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	45	1.3%
96	Question not asked	3269	
Sysmiss		159136	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc100a: How often do you listen to the radio via: A radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17266 /-] [Invalid=148574 /-]
Literal question	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? A radio
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	5453	31.6%
2	5-6 days/week	920	5.3%
3	3-4 days/week	1102	6.4%
4	1-2 days/week	1256	7.3%
5	More seldom	2851	16.5%
6	Never	4938	28.6%
97		398	2.3%
98		37	0.2%
99		311	1.8%
96		74817	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc100b: How often do you listen to the radio via: A car radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17266 /-] [Invalid=148574 /-]
Literal question	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? A car radio
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	3950	22.9%
2	5-6 days/week	2222	12.9%
3	3-4 days/week	2596	15.0%

jc100b: How often do you listen to the radio via: A car radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	1-2 days/week	2627	15.2%
5	More seldom	2917	16.9%
6	Never	2207	12.8%
97		406	2.4%
98		30	0.2%
99		311	1.8%
96		74817	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc100c: How often do you listen to the radio via: Computers: live broadcast

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17266 /-] [Invalid=148574 /-]
Literal question	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Computers: live broadcast
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	424	2.5%
2	5-6 days/week	298	1.7%
3	3-4 days/week	484	2.8%
4	1-2 days/week	940	5.4%
5	More seldom	4110	23.8%
6	Never	9849	57.0%
97		834	4.8%
98		16	0.1%
99		311	1.8%
96		74817	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc100d: How often do you listen to the radio via: Computers: post-broadcast/podcast

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17266 /-] [Invalid=148574 /-]
Literal question	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Computers:post-broadcast/podcast
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	231	1.3%
2	5-6 days/week	224	1.3%
3	3-4 days/week	477	2.8%
4	1-2 days/week	967	5.6%
5	More seldom	3850	22.3%
6	Never	9900	57.3%
97		1297	7.5%
98		9	0.1%
99		311	1.8%
96		74817	

jc100d: How often do you listen to the radio via: Computers: post-broadcast/podcast

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc100e: How often do you listen to the radio via: Mobile phone: live broadcast

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17266 /-] [Invalid=148574 /-]
Literal question	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Mobile phone: live broadcast
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	677	3.9%
2	5-6 days/week	411	2.4%
3	3-4 days/week	641	3.7%
4	1-2 days/week	993	5.8%
5	More seldom	3704	21.5%
6	Never	9829	56.9%
97		691	4.0%
98		9	0.1%
99		311	1.8%
96		74817	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc100f: How often do you listen to the radio via: Mobile phone: post-broadcast/podcast

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17266 /-] [Invalid=148574 /-]
Literal question	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Mobile phone: post-broadcast/podcast
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	515	3.0%
2	5-6 days/week	455	2.6%
3	3-4 days/week	711	4.1%
4	1-2 days/week	1194	6.9%
5	More seldom	3378	19.6%
6	Never	9639	55.8%
97		1058	6.1%
98		5	0.0%
99		311	1.8%
96		74817	
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc100g: How often do you listen to the radio via: Tablet: live broadcast

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17266 /-] [Invalid=148574 /-]
Literal question	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Tablet: live broadcast

jc100g: How often do you listen to the radio via: Tablet: live broadcast

	Notes	2012-			

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Daily		202	1.2%	
2	5-6 days/week		146	0.8%	
3	3-4 days/week		254	1.5%	
4	1-2 days/week		505	2.9%	
5	More seldom		2593	15.0%	
6	Never		12509	72.4%	
97			741	4.3%	
98			5	0.0%	
99			311	1.8%	
96			74817		
Sysmiss			73757		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jc100h: How often do you listen to the radio via: Tablet: post-broadcast/podcast

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17266 /-] [Invalid=148574 /-]
Literal question	How often do you listen to the radio via the following? Tablet: post-broadcast/podcast
Notes	2012-

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Daily		117	0.7%	
2	5-6 days/week		125	0.7%	
3	3-4 days/week		241	1.4%	
4	1-2 days/week		554	3.2%	
5	More seldom		2508	14.5%	
6	Never		12435	72.0%	
97			972	5.6%	
98			3	0.0%	
99			311	1.8%	
96			74817		
Sysmiss			73757		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd20a: How often do you watch: SVT1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=63224 /-] [Invalid=102616 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1992-1995 (National): "Kanal 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1- "Ettan"; 2000- (National): "SVT1". Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Do not have access		673	1.1%	
2	Daily		29659	46.9%	
3	5-6 days/week		9310	14.7%	

jd20a: How often do you watch: SVT1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	3-4 days/week	8758	13.9%
5	1-2 days/week	7292	11.5%
6	More seldom	4235	6.7%
7	Never	1252	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	849	1.3%
98	Several responses given	181	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1015	1.6%
96	Question not asked	60327	
Sysmiss		42289	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd20b: How often do you watch: SVT2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=63224 /-] [Invalid=102616 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2- "Tvåan"; 2000- (National): "SVT2". Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT2
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	673	1.1%
2	Daily	28113	44.5%
3	5-6 days/week	9434	14.9%
4	3-4 days/week	8753	13.8%
5	1-2 days/week	7276	11.5%
6	More seldom	5115	8.1%
7	Never	1704	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	992	1.6%
98	Several responses given	149	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1015	1.6%
96	Question not asked	60327	
Sysmiss		42289	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd20c: How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17777 /-] [Invalid=148063 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1/SVT2
Notes	2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	150	0.8%
2	Daily	9018	50.7%
3	5-6 days/week	2238	12.6%
4	3-4 days/week	2115	11.9%
5	1-2 days/week	1932	10.9%

jd20c: How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	More seldom	1372	7.7%
7	Never	482	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	222	1.2%
98	Several responses given	40	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	208	1.2%
96	Question not asked	15052	
Sysmiss		133011	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd20d: How often do you watch: TV3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82574 /-] [Invalid=83266 /-]
Definition	Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV3
Notes	1991- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	14214	17.2%
2	Daily	13317	16.1%
3	5-6 days/week	7207	8.7%
4	3-4 days/week	11250	13.6%
5	1-2 days/week	12117	14.7%
6	More seldom	11549	14.0%
7	Never	7132	8.6%
97	No response - part of the question	4310	5.2%
98	Several responses given	213	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1265	1.5%
96		39435	
Sysmiss		43831	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd20e: How often do you watch: TV4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82574 /-] [Invalid=83266 /-]
Definition	Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV4
Notes	1991- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	2216	2.7%
2	Daily	33973	41.1%
3	5-6 days/week	13871	16.8%
4	3-4 days/week	14413	17.5%
5	1-2 days/week	9074	11.0%
6	More seldom	4930	6.0%

jd20e: How often do you watch: TV4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Never	1580	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1019	1.2%
98	Several responses given	233	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1265	1.5%
96		39435	
Sysmiss		43831	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd20f: How often do you watch: Kanal 5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82574 /-] [Invalid=83266 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1991 (National): "Nordic Channel"; 1992-1993 (National): "TV5 Nordic"; 1994 (National): "Femman (TV5)"; 1995 (National): "Femman"; 1996-1999 (National): "Kanal 5 - Femman"; 2000- (National): "Kanal 5". Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - Kanal 5
Notes	1991- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	15430	18.7%
2	Daily	10536	12.8%
3	5-6 days/week	6258	7.6%
4	3-4 days/week	9916	12.0%
5	1-2 days/week	11867	14.4%
6	More seldom	13995	16.9%
7	Never	9535	11.5%
97	No response - part of the question	3604	4.4%
98	Several responses given	168	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1265	1.5%
96		39435	
Sysmiss		43831	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd20g: How often do you watch: CNN news channel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7023 /-] [Invalid=158817 /-]
Definition	Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - CNN news channel
Notes	1991-1994 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	4051	57.7%
2	Daily	115	1.6%
3	5-6 days/week	73	1.0%
4	3-4 days/week	128	1.8%
5	1-2 days/week	260	3.7%
6	More seldom	727	10.4%

jd20g: How often do you watch: CNN news channel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Never	1245	17.7%
97	No response - part of the question	328	4.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	96	1.4%
Sysmiss		158817	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd20h: How often do you watch: BBC or CNN

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=40599 /-] [Invalid=125241 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 2002-2003 (National): "BBC/CNN"; 2004- (National): "BBC or CNN". Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - BBC or CNN
Notes	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	9283	22.9%
2	Daily	703	1.7%
3	5-6 days/week	465	1.1%
4	3-4 days/week	985	2.4%
5	1-2 days/week	2059	5.1%
6	More seldom	8672	21.4%
7	Never	15790	38.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2027	5.0%
98	Several responses given	54	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	561	1.4%
96	Question not asked	8256	
Sysmiss		116985	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd20i: How often do you watch: MTV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32135 /-] [Invalid=133705 /-]
Definition	Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - MTV
Notes	1991-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	9863	30.7%
2	Daily	1173	3.7%
3	5-6 days/week	639	2.0%
4	3-4 days/week	1000	3.1%
5	1-2 days/week	1712	5.3%
6	More seldom	5373	16.7%
7	Never	9782	30.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2018	6.3%

jd20i: How often do you watch: MTV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	51	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	524	1.6%
96	Question not asked	18871	
Sysmiss		114834	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd20o: How often do you watch: TV6

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=42919 /-] [Invalid=122921 /-]
Definition	Note that between 1991 and 2005 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV6
Notes	1995-2001, 2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Do not have access	7324	17.1%
2	Daily	2630	6.1%
3	5-6 days/week	1657	3.9%
4	3-4 days/week	3182	7.4%
5	1-2 days/week	5619	13.1%
6	More seldom	11513	26.8%
7	Never	8189	19.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2056	4.8%
98	Several responses given	85	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	664	1.5%
96	Question not asked	49526	
Sysmiss		73395	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd21a: How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3272 /-] [Invalid=162568 /-]
Definition	Note that this question was asked in another edition (National-1) than jd20 in 2012 and does not include the "Do not have access" response alternative.
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - SVT1/SVT2
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1609	49.2%
2	5-6 days/week	374	11.4%
3	3-4 days/week	410	12.5%
4	1-2 days/week	352	10.8%
5	More seldom	274	8.4%
6	Never	135	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	49	1.5%
98	Several responses given	9	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	60	1.8%

jd21a: How often do you watch: SVT1/SVT2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	3331	
Sysmiss		159237	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd21b: How often do you watch: TV3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3272 /-] [Invalid=162568 /-]
Definition	Note that this question was asked in another edition (National-1) than jd20 in 2012 and does not include the "Do not have access" response alternative.
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV3
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	363	11.1%
2	5-6 days/week	254	7.8%
3	3-4 days/week	474	14.5%
4	1-2 days/week	586	17.9%
5	More seldom	770	23.5%
6	Never	551	16.8%
97	No response - part of the question	213	6.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	60	1.8%
96	Question not asked	3331	
Sysmiss		159237	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd21c: How often do you watch: TV4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3272 /-] [Invalid=162568 /-]
Definition	Note that this question was asked in another edition (National-1) than jd20 in 2012 and does not include the "Do not have access" response alternative.
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - TV4
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1096	33.5%
2	5-6 days/week	483	14.8%
3	3-4 days/week	674	20.6%
4	1-2 days/week	442	13.5%
5	More seldom	325	9.9%
6	Never	122	3.7%
97	No response - part of the question	55	1.7%
98	Several responses given	15	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	60	1.8%
96	Question not asked	3331	
Sysmiss		159237	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd21d: How often do you watch: Kanal 5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3272 /-] [Invalid=162568 /-]
Definition	Note that this question was asked in another edition (National-1) than jd20 in 2012 and does not include the "Do not have access" response alternative.

jd21d: How often do you watch: Kanal 5

Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - Kanal 5		
Notes	2012 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	307	9.4%
2	5-6 days/week	265	8.1%
3	3-4 days/week	444	13.6%
4	1-2 days/week	576	17.6%
5	More seldom	809	24.7%
6	Never	580	17.7%
97	No response - part of the question	227	6.9%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	60	1.8%
96	Question not asked	3331	
Sysmiss		159237	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd21e: How often do you watch: BBC or CNN

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=165840 /-]
Definition	Note that this question was asked in another edition (National-1) than jd20 in 2012 and does not include the "Do not have access" response alternative.
Literal question	How often do you usually watch the following TV channels? - BBC or CNN
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	0	
2	5-6 days/week	0	
3	3-4 days/week	0	
4	1-2 days/week	0	
5	More seldom	0	
6	Never	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		165840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31a: How often do you watch: News programs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12125 /-] [Invalid=153715 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - News programs
Notes	2002-2004, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	9461	78.0%
2	About once a week	1580	13.0%
3	More seldom	610	5.0%

jd31a: How often do you watch: News programs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Never	216	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	72	0.6%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	176	1.5%
96	Question not asked	11963	
Sysmiss		141752	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31b: How often do you watch: Programs concerning community issues/politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12125 /-] [Invalid=153715 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Programs concerning community issues/politics
Notes	2002-2004, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	3137	25.9%
2	About once a week	5022	41.4%
3	More seldom	2901	23.9%
4	Never	531	4.4%
97	No response - part of the question	355	2.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	176	1.5%
96	Question not asked	8369	
Sysmiss		145346	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31c: How often do you watch: Sports programs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=151940 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Sports programs
Notes	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	4626	33.3%
2	About once a week	2919	21.0%
3	More seldom	3667	26.4%
4	Never	2194	15.8%
97	No response - part of the question	291	2.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	1.4%
96	Question not asked	13687	
Sysmiss		138253	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31d: How often do you watch: Cultural programs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=151940 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Cultural programs

jd31d: How often do you watch: Cultural programs

	Notes	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	1581	11.4%
2	About once a week	4756	34.2%
3	More seldom	5354	38.5%
4	Never	1595	11.5%
97	No response - part of the question	410	2.9%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	1.4%
96	Question not asked	13687	
Sysmiss		138253	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31e: How often do you watch: Light entertainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10546 /-] [Invalid=155294 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Light entertainment
Notes	2002-2005, 2008-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	2941	27.9%
2	About once a week	5147	48.8%
3	More seldom	1721	16.3%
4	Never	307	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	252	2.4%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	166	1.6%
96	Question not asked	8440	
Sysmiss		146854	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31f: How often do you watch: Feature films

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=151940 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Feature films
Notes	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	2725	19.6%
2	About once a week	6185	44.5%
3	More seldom	3914	28.2%
4	Never	584	4.2%
97	No response - part of the question	291	2.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	1.4%
96	Question not asked	10093	
Sysmiss		141847	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31g: How often do you watch: Drama/series

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=151940 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Drama/series
Notes	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Several times a week	3073		22.1%
2	About once a week	5421		39.0%
3	More seldom	3738		26.9%
4	Never	1061		7.6%
97	No response - part of the question	387		2.8%
98	Several responses given	25		0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	195		1.4%
96	Question not asked	10093		
Sysmiss		141847		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31h: How often do you watch: Reality shows

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=151940 /-]
Definition	Itemnamnet har varierat över tid. 2002-2005 (Riks): "Dokusåpor". 2008-2010 (Riks): "Dokusåpor/reality-tv".
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Reality shows
Notes	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Several times a week	1471		10.6%
2	About once a week	2596		18.7%
3	More seldom	4782		34.4%
4	Never	4449		32.0%
97	No response - part of the question	396		2.8%
98	Several responses given	11		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	195		1.4%
96	Question not asked	10093		
Sysmiss		141847		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31i: How often do you watch: Talk shows

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5528 /-] [Invalid=160312 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Talk shows
Notes	2002-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Several times a week	202		3.7%
2	About once a week	874		15.8%
3	More seldom	2345		42.4%
4	Never	1722		31.2%
97	No response - part of the question	271		4.9%

jd31i: How often do you watch: Talk shows

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	10	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	104	1.9%
96	Question not asked	1774	
Sysmiss		158538	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31j: How often do you watch: Game shows

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5528 /-] [Invalid=160312 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Game shows
Notes	2002-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	295	5.3%
2	About once a week	1406	25.4%
3	More seldom	2245	40.6%
4	Never	1223	22.1%
97	No response - part of the question	250	4.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	104	1.9%
96	Question not asked	1774	
Sysmiss		158538	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31k: How often do you watch: Food program

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5528 /-] [Invalid=160312 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Food program
Notes	2002-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	487	8.8%
2	About once a week	1929	34.9%
3	More seldom	2115	38.3%
4	Never	728	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	162	2.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	104	1.9%
96	Question not asked	1774	
Sysmiss		158538	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jd31l: How often do you watch: Animal and nature programs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13900 /-] [Invalid=151940 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du titta på följande typer av tv-program? - Animal and nature programs
Notes	2002-2005, 2008-2010 (National)

jd31l: How often do you watch: Animal and nature programs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	2572	18.5%
2	About once a week	5708	41.1%
3	More seldom	4313	31.0%
4	Never	945	6.8%
97	No response - part of the question	162	1.2%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	195	1.4%
96	Question not asked	13687	
Sysmiss		138253	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10a1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make phone calls

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=160368 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make phone calls
Notes	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	95	1.7%
2	Once/a few times/month	840	15.4%
3	About once/week	782	14.3%
4	A few times/week	1275	23.3%
5	1-3 times/day	1146	20.9%
6	4-8 times/day	505	9.2%
7	9-20 times/day	213	3.9%
8	More than 20 times/day	64	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	245	4.5%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Question not asked	5314	
Sysmiss		155054	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10a2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive phone calls

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=160368 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive phone calls
Notes	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	168	3.1%
2	Once/a few times/month	635	11.6%
3	About once/week	630	11.5%
4	A few times/week	1235	22.6%
5	1-3 times/day	1117	20.4%
6	4-8 times/day	659	12.0%
7	9-20 times/day	304	5.6%

je10a2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive phone calls

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	More than 20 times/day	93	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	327	6.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Question not asked	5314	
Sysmiss		155054	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10a3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/receive phone calls

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	18	1.1%
2	Once/a few times/month	157	9.2%
3	About once/week	145	8.5%
4	A few times/week	345	20.2%
5	1-3 times/day	453	26.5%
6	4-8 times/day	279	16.3%
7	9-20 times/day	118	6.9%
8	More than 20 times/day	50	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	5	0.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	137	8.0%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		162504	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10b1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=160368 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send texts
Notes	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1485	27.1%
2	Once/a few times/month	950	17.4%
3	About once/week	483	8.8%
4	A few times/week	883	16.1%
5	1-3 times/day	592	10.8%
6	4-8 times/day	265	4.8%
7	9-20 times/day	72	1.3%
8	More than 20 times/day	32	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	403	7.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%

je10b1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Question not asked	5314	
Sysmiss		155054	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10b2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive texts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=160368 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive texts
Notes	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1101	20.1%
2	Once/a few times/month	1162	21.2%
3	About once/week	549	10.0%
4	A few times/week	919	16.8%
5	1-3 times/day	628	11.5%
6	4-8 times/day	290	5.3%
7	9-20 times/day	73	1.3%
8	More than 20 times/day	34	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	410	7.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Question not asked	5314	
Sysmiss		155054	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10b3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	319	18.7%
2	Once/a few times/month	281	16.5%
3	About once/week	149	8.7%
4	A few times/week	357	20.9%
5	1-3 times/day	259	15.2%
6	4-8 times/day	114	6.7%
7	9-20 times/day	41	2.4%
8	More than 20 times/day	16	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	33	1.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	137	8.0%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		162504	

je10b3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10c: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive e-mails

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=164065 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails
Notes	2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	1459		82.2%
2	Once/a few times/month	47		2.6%
3	About once/week	27		1.5%
4	A few times/week	19		1.1%
5	1-3 times/day	12		0.7%
6	4-8 times/day	10		0.6%
7	9-20 times/day	1		0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	6		0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	157		8.8%
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	37		2.1%
96	Question not asked	1724		
Sysmiss		162341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10d: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send pictures with MMS technology

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7179 /-] [Invalid=158661 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send pictures with MMS technology
Notes	2003-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	5090		70.9%
2	Once/a few times/month	729		10.2%
3	About once/week	151		2.1%
4	A few times/week	162		2.3%
5	1-3 times/day	46		0.6%
6	4-8 times/day	14		0.2%
7	9-20 times/day	4		0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	9		0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	533		7.4%
98	Several responses given	1		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	440		6.1%
96	Question not asked	6943		
Sysmiss		151718		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10e: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3482 /-] [Invalid=162358 /-]

je10e: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos

Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos
Notes	2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	1950		56.0%
2	Once/a few times/month	706		20.3%
3	About once/week	193		5.5%
4	A few times/week	178		5.1%
5	1-3 times/day	62		1.8%
6	4-8 times/day	22		0.6%
7	9-20 times/day	5		0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	7		0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	183		5.3%
98	Several responses given	2		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	174		5.0%
96	Question not asked	3353		
Sysmiss		159005		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10f: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf/use WAP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3566 /-] [Invalid=162274 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf/use WAP
Notes	2003, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	2812		78.9%
2	Once/a few times/month	162		4.5%
3	About once/week	44		1.2%
4	A few times/week	46		1.3%
5	1-3 times/day	13		0.4%
6	4-8 times/day	3		0.1%
7	9-20 times/day	4		0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	10		0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	107		3.0%
98	Several responses given	2		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	363		10.2%
96	Question not asked	1629		
Sysmiss		160645		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10g: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Mobile information services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=163981 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Mobile information services
Notes	2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	1335		71.8%

je10g: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Mobile information services

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Once/a few times/month	123	6.6%
3	About once/week	41	2.2%
4	A few times/week	32	1.7%
5	1-3 times/day	15	0.8%
6	4-8 times/day	5	0.3%
7	9-20 times/day	1	0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	5	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	76	4.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	226	12.2%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		162165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10h: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use news services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3482 /-] [Invalid=162358 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use news services
Notes	2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2838	81.5%
2	Once/a few times/month	169	4.9%
3	About once/week	55	1.6%
4	A few times/week	33	0.9%
5	1-3 times/day	17	0.5%
6	4-8 times/day	4	0.1%
7	9-20 times/day	1	0.0%
8	More than 20 times/day	4	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	187	5.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	174	5.0%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		159005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10i: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3634 /-] [Invalid=162206 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Play games
Notes	2003, 2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2489	68.5%
2	Once/a few times/month	439	12.1%
3	About once/week	100	2.8%
4	A few times/week	68	1.9%

je10i: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	1-3 times/day	29	0.8%
6	4-8 times/day	18	0.5%
7	9-20 times/day	5	0.1%
8	More than 20 times/day	9	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	213	5.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	7.2%
96	Question not asked	3540	
Sysmiss		158666	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je10j: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1283	75.2%
2	Once/a few times/month	104	6.1%
3	About once/week	39	2.3%
4	A few times/week	52	3.0%
5	1-3 times/day	34	2.0%
6	4-8 times/day	11	0.6%
7	9-20 times/day	7	0.4%
8	More than 20 times/day	6	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	33	1.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	137	8.0%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		162504	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20a: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/receive phone calls

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18453 /-] [Invalid=147387 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	372	2.0%
2	At most a few times/month	1261	6.8%
3	Once/a few times/week	3857	20.9%
4	1-3 times/day	5855	31.7%
5	4-10 times/day	4305	23.3%
6	11-20 times/day	1277	6.9%
7	More than 20 times/day	821	4.4%

je20a: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/receive phone calls

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	52	0.3%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	638	3.5%
96	Question not asked	40751	
Sysmiss		106636	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20b: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18453 /-] [Invalid=147387 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1961	10.6%
2	At most a few times/month	1971	10.7%
3	Once/a few times/week	4113	22.3%
4	1-3 times/day	4549	24.7%
5	4-10 times/day	3240	17.6%
6	11-20 times/day	909	4.9%
7	More than 20 times/day	734	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	331	1.8%
98	Several responses given	7	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	638	3.5%
96	Question not asked	40751	
Sysmiss		106636	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20c: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive e-mails

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18453 /-] [Invalid=147387 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	10221	55.4%
2	At most a few times/month	1308	7.1%
3	Once/a few times/week	1901	10.3%
4	1-3 times/day	1851	10.0%
5	4-10 times/day	1184	6.4%
6	11-20 times/day	468	2.5%
7	More than 20 times/day	488	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	379	2.1%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	638	3.5%
96	Question not asked	40751	
Sysmiss		106636	

je20c: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive e-mails

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20d1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send photos/pictures

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=164071 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send photos/pictures
Notes	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	985		55.7%
2	At most a few times/month	457		25.8%
3	Once/a few times/week	144		8.1%
4	1-3 times/day	26		1.5%
5	4-10 times/day	8		0.5%
6	11-20 times/day	2		0.1%
7	More than 20 times/day	1		0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	40		2.3%
98	Several responses given	1		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	105		5.9%
96	Question not asked	1666		
Sysmiss		162405		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20d2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive MMS/photos

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11592 /-] [Invalid=154248 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive MMS/photos
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	4178		36.0%
2	At most a few times/month	3902		33.7%
3	Once/a few times/week	2034		17.5%
4	1-3 times/day	514		4.4%
5	4-10 times/day	156		1.3%
6	11-20 times/day	41		0.4%
7	More than 20 times/day	55		0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	263		2.3%
98	Several responses given	3		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	446		3.8%
96	Question not asked	19212		
Sysmiss		135036		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20e: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=164071 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos
Notes	2007 (National)

je20e: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	767	43.4%
2	At most a few times/month	491	27.8%
3	Once/a few times/week	276	15.6%
4	1-3 times/day	56	3.2%
5	4-10 times/day	22	1.2%
6	11-20 times/day	11	0.6%
7	More than 20 times/day	4	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	37	2.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	105	5.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		162405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20f: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11708 /-] [Invalid=154132 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf the internet
Notes	2007-2009, 2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	7017	59.9%
2	At most a few times/month	959	8.2%
3	Once/a few times/week	909	7.8%
4	1-3 times/day	885	7.6%
5	4-10 times/day	674	5.8%
6	11-20 times/day	300	2.6%
7	More than 20 times/day	257	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	249	2.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	452	3.9%
96	Question not asked	15858	
Sysmiss		138274	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20g: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Fact-finding/information searches

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11679 /-] [Invalid=154161 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Fact-finding/information searches
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4720	40.4%
2	At most a few times/month	1121	9.6%
3	Once/a few times/week	2239	19.2%
4	1-3 times/day	1706	14.6%
5	4-10 times/day	887	7.6%

je20g: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Fact-finding/information searches

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	11-20 times/day	289	2.5%
7	More than 20 times/day	160	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	236	2.0%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	315	2.7%
96	Question not asked	35905	
Sysmiss		118256	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20h: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Hear/read the latest news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16796 /-] [Invalid=149044 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Hear/read the latest news
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	9462	56.3%
2	At most a few times/month	1376	8.2%
3	Once/a few times/week	1811	10.8%
4	1-3 times/day	1880	11.2%
5	4-10 times/day	908	5.4%
6	11-20 times/day	237	1.4%
7	More than 20 times/day	164	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	335	2.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	622	3.7%
96	Question not asked	42408	
Sysmiss		106636	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20i1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=164071 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV
Notes	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1556	88.0%
2	At most a few times/month	26	1.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	10	0.6%
4	1-3 times/day	14	0.8%
5	4-10 times/day	12	0.7%
6	11-20 times/day	4	0.2%
7	More than 20 times/day	6	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	35	2.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	105	5.9%

je20i1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		162405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20i2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/moving pictures

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=162500 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/moving pictures
Notes	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2646	79.2%
2	At most a few times/month	197	5.9%
3	Once/a few times/week	131	3.9%
4	1-3 times/day	53	1.6%
5	4-10 times/day	30	0.9%
6	11-20 times/day	9	0.3%
7	More than 20 times/day	11	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	88	2.6%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	174	5.2%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		159146	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20i3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/videos

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10026 /-] [Invalid=155814 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/videos
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5524	55.1%
2	At most a few times/month	1538	15.3%
3	Once/a few times/week	1460	14.6%
4	1-3 times/day	655	6.5%
5	4-10 times/day	265	2.6%
6	11-20 times/day	81	0.8%
7	More than 20 times/day	82	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	187	1.9%
98	Several responses given	18	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	216	2.2%
96	Question not asked	32551	
Sysmiss		123263	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20j1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Chat using MSN, etc.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

je20j1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Chat using MSN, etc.

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1661 /-] [Invalid=164179 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Chat using MSN, etc.
Notes	2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1439	86.6%
2	At most a few times/month	31	1.9%
3	Once/a few times/week	14	0.8%
4	1-3 times/day	8	0.5%
5	4-10 times/day	4	0.2%
6	11-20 times/day	3	0.2%
7	More than 20 times/day	3	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	127	7.6%
96	Question not asked	1598	
Sysmiss		162581	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20j2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use social media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11679 /-] [Invalid=154161 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	6276	53.7%
2	At most a few times/month	479	4.1%
3	Once/a few times/week	882	7.6%
4	1-3 times/day	1304	11.2%
5	4-10 times/day	1164	10.0%
6	11-20 times/day	553	4.7%
7	More than 20 times/day	471	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	224	1.9%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	315	2.7%
96		35905	
Sysmiss		118256	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je20k: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8506 /-] [Invalid=157334 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio
Notes	2007, 2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5364	63.1%

je20k: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	At most a few times/month	1235	14.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	825	9.7%
4	1-3 times/day	434	5.1%
5	4-10 times/day	125	1.5%
6	11-20 times/day	34	0.4%
7	More than 20 times/day	73	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	159	1.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	254	3.0%
96	Question not asked	22958	
Sysmiss		134376	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90a1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make phone calls

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=160368 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10a1. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make phone calls
Notes	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	95	1.7%
2	At most a few times/month	840	15.4%
3	Once/a few times/week	2057	37.6%
4	1-3 times/day	1146	20.9%
5	4-20 times/day	718	13.1%
6	More than 20 times/day	64	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	245	4.5%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		160368	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90a2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive phone calls

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=160368 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10a2. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20).

je90a2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive phone calls

	4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive phone calls
Notes	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	168	3.1%
2	At most a few times/month	635	11.6%
3	Once/a few times/week	1865	34.1%
4	1-3 times/day	1117	20.4%
5	4-20 times/day	963	17.6%
6	More than 20 times/day	93	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	327	6.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	303	5.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		160368	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90a3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Make/receive phone calls

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18418 /-] [Invalid=147422 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10a3 (2006) and je20a (2007-). Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Make/receive phone calls
Notes	2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	353	1.9%
2	At most a few times/month	1325	7.2%
3	Once/a few times/week	4019	21.8%
4	1-3 times/day	5721	31.1%
5	4-20 times/day	5403	29.3%
6	More than 20 times/day	791	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	52	0.3%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	740	4.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		147422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90b1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

je90b1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send texts

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=160368 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10b1. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send texts
Notes	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	1485		27.1%
2	At most a few times/month	950		17.4%
3	Once/a few times/week	1366		25.0%
4	1-3 times/day	592		10.8%
5	4-20 times/day	337		6.2%
6	More than 20 times/day	32		0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	403		7.4%
98	Several responses given	4		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	303		5.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0		
Sysmiss		160368		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90b2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive texts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5472 /-] [Invalid=160368 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10a3 (2006) and je20a (2007-). Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Receive texts
Notes	2003-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	1101		20.1%
2	At most a few times/month	1162		21.2%
3	Once/a few times/week	1468		26.8%
4	1-3 times/day	628		11.5%
5	4-20 times/day	363		6.6%
6	More than 20 times/day	34		0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	410		7.5%
98	Several responses given	3		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	303		5.5%

#**je90b2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Receive texts**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		160368	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90b3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive texts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18418 /-] [Invalid=147422 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10b3 (2006) and je20b (2007-). Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive texts
Notes	2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2156	11.7%
2	At most a few times/month	2124	11.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	4284	23.3%
4	1-3 times/day	4308	23.4%
5	4-20 times/day	3792	20.6%
6	More than 20 times/day	666	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	342	1.9%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	740	4.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		147422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90c: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive e-mails

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18486 /-] [Invalid=147354 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10c (2005) and je20c (2007-). Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive e-mails
Notes	2005, 2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	11112	60.1%
2	At most a few times/month	1211	6.6%
3	Once/a few times/week	1659	9.0%
4	1-3 times/day	1544	8.4%
5	4-20 times/day	1374	7.4%
6	More than 20 times/day	428	2.3%
97	No response - part of the question	505	2.7%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%

je90c: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive e-mails

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	640	3.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		147354	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90d1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send photos/pictures

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8948 /-] [Invalid=156892 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10d (2003-2006) and je20d1 (2007). The item wording has changed. 2003-2006 (National): "Send pictures with MMS technology"; 2007 (National): "Send photos/pictures". Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send photos/pictures
Notes	2003-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	6075	67.9%
2	At most a few times/month	1186	13.3%
3	Once/a few times/week	457	5.1%
4	1-3 times/day	72	0.8%
5	4-20 times/day	28	0.3%
6	More than 20 times/day	10	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	573	6.4%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	545	6.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		156892	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90d2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive MMS/photos

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11592 /-] [Invalid=154248 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10d2. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Send/receive MMS/photos
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4178	36.0%

je90d2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Send/receive MMS/photos

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	At most a few times/month	3902	33.7%
3	Once/a few times/week	2034	17.5%
4	1-3 times/day	514	4.4%
5	4-20 times/day	197	1.7%
6	More than 20 times/day	55	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	263	2.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	446	3.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		154248	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90e: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Take photos

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5251 /-] [Invalid=160589 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10e (2005-2006) and je20e (2007). Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Take photos
Notes	2005-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2717	51.7%
2	At most a few times/month	1197	22.8%
3	Once/a few times/week	647	12.3%
4	1-3 times/day	118	2.2%
5	4-20 times/day	60	1.1%
6	More than 20 times/day	11	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	220	4.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	279	5.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		160589	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90f: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15274 /-] [Invalid=150566 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10f (2003, 2006) and je20f (2007-). The item wording has changed. 2003 (National): "Mobile internet"; 2006-2007 (National): "Surf/use WAP"; 2008-2009, 2011- (National): "Surf the internet". Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20).

je90f: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Surf the internet

	3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Surf the internet
Notes	2003, 2006-2009, 2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	9829	64.4%
2	At most a few times/month	1121	7.3%
3	Once/a few times/week	999	6.5%
4	1-3 times/day	898	5.9%
5	4-20 times/day	981	6.4%
6	More than 20 times/day	267	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	356	2.3%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	815	5.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		150566	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90g: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Hear/read the latest news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18536 /-] [Invalid=147304 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je20h. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Hear/read the latest news
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	11662	62.9%
2	At most a few times/month	1382	7.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	1588	8.6%
4	1-3 times/day	1535	8.3%
5	4-20 times/day	974	5.3%
6	More than 20 times/day	138	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	495	2.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	761	4.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		147304	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90h: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

je90h: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Play games

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3634 /-] [Invalid=162206 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je10i. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Play games
Notes	2003, 2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2489	68.5%
2	At most a few times/month	439	12.1%
3	Once/a few times/week	168	4.6%
4	1-3 times/day	29	0.8%
5	4-20 times/day	23	0.6%
6	More than 20 times/day	9	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	213	5.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	263	7.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		162206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90i1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=164071 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je20i1. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV
Notes	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1556	88.0%
2	At most a few times/month	26	1.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	10	0.6%
4	1-3 times/day	14	0.8%
5	4-20 times/day	16	0.9%
6	More than 20 times/day	6	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	35	2.0%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	105	5.9%

je90i1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		164071	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90i2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/moving pictures

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=162500 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je20i2. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/moving pictures
Notes	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	2646		79.2%
2	At most a few times/month	197	5.9%	
3	Once/a few times/week	131	3.9%	
4	1-3 times/day	53	1.6%	
5	4-20 times/day	39	1.2%	
6	More than 20 times/day	11	0.3%	
97	No response - part of the question	88	2.6%	
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%	
99	No response - entire question battery	174	5.2%	
96	Frågan ej ställd	0		
Sysmiss		162500		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90i3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/videos

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8284 /-] [Invalid=157556 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je20i3. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Watch TV/videos
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	4589		55.4%
2	At most a few times/month	1284	15.5%	
3	Once/a few times/week	1175	14.2%	

je90i3: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Watch TV/videos

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	1-3 times/day	536	6.5%
5	4-20 times/day	281	3.4%
6	More than 20 times/day	69	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	154	1.9%
98	Several responses given	15	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	181	2.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		157556	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90j1: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Chat using MSN, etc.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1661 /-] [Invalid=164179 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je20j1. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.
Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Chat using MSN, etc.
Notes	2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1439	86.6%
2	At most a few times/month	31	1.9%
3	Once/a few times/week	14	0.8%
4	1-3 times/day	8	0.5%
5	4-20 times/day	7	0.4%
6	More than 20 times/day	3	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	127	7.6%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		164179	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90j2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use social media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9937 /-] [Invalid=155903 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on je20j2. Recoding: 1 'Never' is unchanged. 2 'At most a few times/month'='Once/a few times/month (je10) och 'At most a few times/month' (je20). 3 'Once/a few times/week'='About once/week', 'A few times/week' (je10) och 'Once/a few times/week' (je20). 4 '1-3 times/day' is unchanged. 5 '4-20 times/day'= '4-8 times/day', '9-20 times/day' (je10) och '4-10 times/day', '11-20 times/day' (je20). 6 'More than 20 times/day' is unchanged.

je90j2: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Use social media

Literal question	How often do you usually use your mobile phone to do the following? - Use social media (e.g. Facebook, Twitter)		
Notes	2010- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5479	55.1%
2	At most a few times/month	410	4.1%
3	Once/a few times/week	735	7.4%
4	1-3 times/day	1033	10.4%
5	4-20 times/day	1394	14.0%
6	More than 20 times/day	399	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	197	2.0%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	2.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		155903	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

je90k: How often do you use your mobile phone to: Listen to radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8471 /-] [Invalid=157369 /-]
Literal question	Hur ofta brukar du använda mobiltelefonen till följande? - Lyssna på radio
Notes	2006-2007, 2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5585	65.9%
2	At most a few times/month	1060	12.5%
3	Once/a few times/week	741	8.7%
4	1-3 times/day	364	4.3%
5	4-20 times/day	137	1.6%
6	More than 20 times/day	61	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	163	1.9%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	356	4.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		157369	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jf10a: Past 12 months: Read a book

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=152601 /-] [Invalid=13239 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Read a book
Notes	1988- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	22408	14.7%
2	Maybe once or so in the last year	16012	10.5%

jf10a: Past 12 months: Read a book

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	About once every quarter	11196	7.3%
4	About once every month	16280	10.7%
5	About once a week	24804	16.3%
6	Several times a week	19351	12.7%
7	Flera gånger i veckan	36902	24.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2542	1.7%
98	Several responses given	277	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2829	1.9%
96		9944	
Sysmiss		3295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10a: How often listen to/view: Local news on P4 radio station

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1986-1993 (National): "News in local radio"; 1994-1997 (National): "Local radio news"; 1998- (National): "Local news on P4 radio station". The question wording has changed. 1986-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Local news on P4 radio station
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	47899	28.9%
2	5-6 times/week	10541	6.4%
3	3-4 times/week	13533	8.2%
4	1-2 times/week	14866	9.0%
5	More seldom	34655	20.9%
6	Never	30871	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	11972	7.2%
98	Several responses given	420	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1083	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10b: How often listen to/view: "Ekonyheterna" on radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1986-1996 (National): "National radio news "Ekot"; 1997- (National): "'Ekonyheterna' on radio". The question wording has changed. 1986-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take

jh10b: How often listen to/view: "Ekonyheterna" on radio

	part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - "Ekonyheterna" on radio
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Daily	31128		18.8%
2	5-6 times/week	10603		6.4%
3	3-4 times/week	14084		8.5%
4	1-2 times/week	15335		9.2%
5	More seldom	37307		22.5%
6	Never	35843		21.6%
97	No response - part of the question	20183		12.2%
98	Several responses given	274		0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1083		0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10c: How often listen to/view: Aktuellt

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=45575 /-] [Invalid=120265 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "'Aktuellt' on TV"; 1991 (National): "'Aktuellt' on TV channel 1"; 1992-1995 (National): "'Aktuellt' on channel 1"; 1996-1998 (National): "'Aktuellt' on SVT1"; 1999-2004 (National): "'Aktuellt' on SVT". The question wording has changed. 1986-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2004 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Aktuellt
Notes	1986-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Daily	11244		24.7%
2	5-6 times/week	6994		15.3%
3	3-4 times/week	9898		21.7%
4	1-2 times/week	8457		18.6%
5	More seldom	4877		10.7%
6	Never	1114		2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2665		5.8%
98	Several responses given	76		0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	250		0.5%
Sysmiss		120265		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10d: How often listen to/view: Rapport

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=45575 /-] [Invalid=120265 /-]

jh10d: How often listen to/view: Rapport

Definition	Itemnamnet har varierat över tid. 1986-1990 (National): "Rapport i TV"; 1991-1995 (National): "Rapport i TV2"; 1996-1998 (National): "Rapport i SVT2"; 1999-2004 (National): "Rapport i SVT". The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "'Rapport' on TV"; 1991-1995 (National): "'Rapport' on TV2"; 1996-1998 (National): "'Rapport' on SVT2"; 1999-2004 (National): "'Rapport' on SVT". The question wording has changed. 1986-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2004 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Rapport
Notes	1986-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	12341	27.1%
2	5-6 times/week	7195	15.8%
3	3-4 times/week	9308	20.4%
4	1-2 times/week	8031	17.6%
5	More seldom	5107	11.2%
6	Never	1191	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	2058	4.5%
98	Several responses given	94	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	250	0.5%
Sysmiss		120265	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10e: How often listen to/view: Aktuellt/Rapport on SVT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=120265 /-] [Invalid=45575 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 2005-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Aktuellt/Rapport on SVT
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	42676	35.5%
2	5-6 times/week	14721	12.2%
3	3-4 times/week	15807	13.1%
4	1-2 times/week	16217	13.5%
5	More seldom	16458	13.7%
6	Never	7821	6.5%
97	No response - part of the question	5242	4.4%
98	Several responses given	490	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	833	0.7%
Sysmiss		45575	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10f: How often listen to/view: Regional news on SVT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "Regional news on TV"; 1991-1995 (National): "Regional news on TV2"; 1996-1998 (National): "Regional news on SVT2"; 1999- (National): "Regional news on SVT". The question wording has changed. 1986-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Regional news on SVT
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	44392	26.8%
2	5-6 times/week	19316	11.6%
3	3-4 times/week	23576	14.2%
4	1-2 times/week	24563	14.8%
5	More seldom	28322	17.1%
6	Never	12689	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	11330	6.8%
98	Several responses given	569	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1083	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10g: How often listen to/view: TV4 "Nyheterna" [news on TV4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=157742 /-] [Invalid=8098 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1991-2008 (National): "'Nyheterna' on TV4"; 2009- (National): "TV4 "Nyheterna". The question wording has changed. 1991-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - TV4 "Nyheterna" [news on TV4]
Notes	1991- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	32093	20.3%
2	5-6 times/week	16712	10.6%
3	3-4 times/week	27482	17.4%
4	1-2 times/week	28027	17.8%
5	More seldom	28381	18.0%
6	Never	13530	8.6%
97	No response - part of the question	9992	6.3%
98	Several responses given	469	0.3%

jh10g: How often listen to/view: TV4 "Nyheterna" [news on TV4]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	1056	0.7%
Sysmiss		8098	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10h: How often listen to/view: Update/news on TV3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44135 /-] [Invalid=121705 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1991-1995 (National): "TV3 news 'Tre minuter'"; 1996-2002 (National): "'TV3 Direkt'"; 2003 (National): "'Metronyheter' on TV3"; 2005-2007 (National): "'Update' on TV3". The question wording has changed. 1991-1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003, 2005-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television? - Update/news on TV3
Notes	1991-2003, 2005-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1069	2.4%
2	5-6 times/week	1061	2.4%
3	3-4 times/week	2560	5.8%
4	1-2 times/week	3682	8.3%
5	More seldom	7874	17.8%
6	Never	22866	51.8%
97	No response - part of the question	4752	10.8%
98	Several responses given	29	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	242	0.5%
Sysmiss		121705	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10i: How often listen to/view: TV4 local news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=76978 /-] [Invalid=88862 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - TV4 local news
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	10117	13.1%
2	5-6 times/week	6230	8.1%
3	3-4 times/week	11254	14.6%
4	1-2 times/week	12868	16.7%

jh10i: How often listen to/view: TV4 local news

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	More seldom	17850	23.2%
6	Never	11805	15.3%
97	No response - part of the question	6169	8.0%
98	Several responses given	208	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	477	0.6%
Sysmiss		88862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10j: How often listen to/view: "Nyhetsmorgon" on TV4 [morning news on TV4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=76978 /-] [Invalid=88862 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1992 (National): "'Frukost-TV' on TV4"; 1993-2002 (National): "'Morgon-TV' on TV4"; 2003- (National): "'Nyhetsmorgon' on TV4". The question wording has changed. 1992 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - "Nyhetsmorgon" on TV4 [morning news on TV4]
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	6084	7.9%
2	5-6 times/week	3164	4.1%
3	3-4 times/week	5322	6.9%
4	1-2 times/week	8587	11.2%
5	More seldom	19563	25.4%
6	Never	26722	34.7%
97	No response - part of the question	6963	9.0%
98	Several responses given	96	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	477	0.6%
Sysmiss		88862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10k: How often listen to/view: Morning news on SVT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=75089 /-] [Invalid=90751 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "'Morgon-TV' on TV2"; 1996-2000 (National): "'Morgon-TV' on SVT2"; 2001-2002 (National): "'Morgon-TV' on SVT; 2003-2004 (National): "'SVT Morgon'"; 2005- (National): "Morning news on SVT". formulerats som angivet ovan. The question wording has changed. 1993-1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?"

jh10k: How often listen to/view: Morning news on SVT

	Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').		
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - Morning news on SVT		
Notes	1993- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	5657	7.5%
2	5-6 times/week	2710	3.6%
3	3-4 times/week	4420	5.9%
4	1-2 times/week	6997	9.3%
5	More seldom	20221	26.9%
6	Never	26551	35.4%
97	No response - part of the question	7959	10.6%
98	Several responses given	105	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	469	0.6%
Sysmiss		90751	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10l: How often listen to/view: News on private local stations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=48606 /-] [Invalid=117234 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 1999-2002 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following types of programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2003-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"; 2010- (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes?" Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on private local stations
Notes	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	3806	7.8%
2	5-6 times/week	1626	3.3%
3	3-4 times/week	2510	5.2%
4	1-2 times/week	3066	6.3%
5	More seldom	8966	18.4%
6	Never	21913	45.1%
97	No response - part of the question	6351	13.1%
98	Several responses given	63	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	305	0.6%
Sysmiss		117234	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10m: How often listen to/view: News on teletext

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18455 /-] [Invalid=147385 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 2005-2007 (National): "How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes on Radio or Television?"; 2008-2009 (National): "How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television?"

jh10m: How often listen to/view: News on teletext

	Note that between 1991 and 2006 the alternatives refered to times a week rather than days a week (e.g. '5-6 times/week' instead of '5-6 days/week').
Literal question	How often do you usually take part of the following news programmes on Radio and Television? - News on teletext
Notes	2005-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	2931	15.9%
2	5-6 times/week	980	5.3%
3	3-4 times/week	1221	6.6%
4	1-2 times/week	1536	8.3%
5	More seldom	4415	23.9%
6	Never	5924	32.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1325	7.2%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	111	0.6%
Sysmiss		147385	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10n: How often listen to/view: News on commercial radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17612 /-] [Invalid=148228 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on commercial radio
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	1218	6.9%
2	5-6 times/week	762	4.3%
3	3-4 times/week	1402	8.0%
4	1-2 times/week	1772	10.1%
5	More seldom	4382	24.9%
6	Never	5694	32.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2253	12.8%
98	Several responses given	23	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	106	0.6%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jh10p: How often listen to/view: News on p3 radio station

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=72315 /-] [Invalid=93525 /-]
Literal question	How often do you usually watch or listen to the following news programmes? - News on P3 radio station.
Notes	2015-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Daily	3747	5.2%
2	5-6 times/week	1994	2.8%
3	3-4 times/week	3548	4.9%
4	1-2 times/week	5798	8.0%

jh10p: How often listen to/view: News on p3 radio station

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	More seldom	20504	28.4%
6	Never	22641	31.3%
97	No response - part of the question	13421	18.6%
98	Several responses given	108	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	554	0.8%
Sysmiss		93525	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10a: Access to equipment in household: Tv

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31539 /-] [Invalid=134301 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 1986-1988, 1993-2001, 2005 (National): "TV set"; 2006 (National): "Tv".
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv
Notes	1986-1988, 1993-2001, 2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	1117	3.5%
1	Ticked	29892	94.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	530	1.7%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		130948	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10b: Access to equipment in household: Extra tv set

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=160902 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Extra tv set
Notes	1986-1988, 2005-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	2971	60.2%
1	Ticked	1879	38.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	88	1.8%
Sysmiss		160902	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10c: Access to equipment in household: Large screen TV/home theatre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3482 /-] [Invalid=162358 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Large screen TV/home theatre

jj10c: Access to equipment in household: Large screen TV/home theatre

Notes	2005-2006 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	2956	84.9%
1	Ticked	482	13.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	44	1.3%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		159005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10d: Access to equipment in household: Telephone (stationary)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=40850 /-] [Invalid=124990 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 1993-2000 (National): "Telephone"; 2001-2006 (National): "Telephone (stationary)".
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)
Notes	1993-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	1433	3.5%
1	Ticked	38756	94.9%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	661	1.6%
Sysmiss		124990	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10e: Access to equipment in household: Telephone answering machine

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12432 /-] [Invalid=153408 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Which type of equipment do you at the moment have at your disposal in your home? - Telephone answering machine
Notes	1993-1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	8656	69.6%
1	Ticked	3650	29.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	126	1.0%
Sysmiss		153408	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10f: Access to equipment in household: Mobile telephone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=40850 /-] [Invalid=124990 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). Note that two mobile telephone items were given in 2004: "Mobile telephone" and "Mobile phone with 3G".

jj10f: Access to equipment in household: Mobile telephone

Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone
Notes	1993-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	10894		26.7%
1	Ticked	29296		71.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	660		1.6%
Sysmiss		124990		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10g: Access to equipment in household: Mobile phone with WAP

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7184 /-] [Invalid=158656 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile phone with WAP
Notes	2000-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	6646		92.5%
1	Ticked	343		4.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	195		2.7%
Sysmiss		158656		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10h: Access to equipment in household: IP telephony

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	1560		91.4%
1	Ticked	115		6.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	1		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	31		1.8%
96	Question not asked	1629		
Sysmiss		162504		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10i: Access to equipment in household: Teletext

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=50781 /-] [Invalid=115059 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).

jj10i: Access to equipment in household: Teletext

Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Teletext		
Notes	1986-2006 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	12885	25.4%
1	Ticked	36976	72.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	920	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		113430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10j: Access to equipment in household: Video

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=50781 /-] [Invalid=115059 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Video
Notes	1986-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	13422	26.4%
1	Ticked	36439	71.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	920	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		113430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10k: Access to equipment in household: Cable tv

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=34679 /-] [Invalid=131161 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Cable tv
Notes	1986-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	21785	62.8%
1	Ticked	12204	35.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	690	2.0%
Sysmiss		131161	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10l: Access to equipment in household: Digital tv/Set Top Box

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28643 /-] [Invalid=137197 /-]

jj10l: Access to equipment in household: Digital tv/Set Top Box

Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Digital tv/Set Top Box
Notes	1998-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	23342	81.5%
1	Ticked	4805	16.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	496	1.7%
Sysmiss		137197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10m: Access to equipment in household: Central antenna

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=160902 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Central antenna
Notes	1986-1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	3113	63.0%
1	Ticked	1737	35.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	88	1.8%
Sysmiss		160902	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10n: Access to equipment in household: Household satellite dish

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26581 /-] [Invalid=139259 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 1991-1999 (National): "TV satellite dish"; 2000-2001 (National): "Household satellite dish".
Literal question	Which types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Household satellite dish
Notes	1991-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	20952	78.8%
1	Ticked	5133	19.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	496	1.9%
Sysmiss		139259	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10o: Access to equipment in household: Personal computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=49074 /-] [Invalid=116766 /-]

jj10o: Access to equipment in household: Personal computer

Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "Home or personal computer"; 1991-2005 (National): "Personal computer"
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer
Notes	1986-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	25543	52.0%
1	Ticked	22642	46.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	889	1.8%
Sysmiss		116766	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10p: Access to equipment in household: Desktop computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3336 /-] [Invalid=162504 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Desktop computer
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	1024	30.7%
1	Ticked	2248	67.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	64	1.9%
Sysmiss		162504	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10q: Access to equipment in household: Portable computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3336 /-] [Invalid=162504 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Portable computer
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	2066	61.9%
1	Ticked	1206	36.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	64	1.9%
Sysmiss		162504	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10r: Access to equipment in household: Fax machine

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]

jj10r: Access to equipment in household: Fax machine

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25008 /-] [Invalid=140832 /-]			
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).			
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Fax machine			
Notes	1992-2001 (National)			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	20935		83.7%
1	Ticked	3624		14.5%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	449		1.8%
Sysmiss		140832		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10s: Access to equipment in household: Handheld computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9122 /-] [Invalid=156718 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Handheld computer
Notes	2002-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	8046		88.2%
1	Ticked	942		10.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	134		1.5%
96		1774		
Sysmiss		154944		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10t: Access to equipment in household: Printer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10896 /-] [Invalid=154944 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Printer
Notes	2002-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	3875		35.6%
1	Ticked	6857		62.9%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	164		1.5%
Sysmiss		154944		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10u: Access to equipment in household: Broadband

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6974 /-] [Invalid=158866 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband
Notes	2001, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	4276		61.3%
1	Ticked	2523		36.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	175		2.5%
Sysmiss		158866		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10v: Access to equipment in household: Cd player

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=52410 /-] [Invalid=113430 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "Compact Disc (CD player)". 1991-1993 (National): "Cd player (Compact Disc)"; 1994-2006 (National): "Cd player".
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Cd player
Notes	1986-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	18080		34.5%
1	Ticked	33377		63.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	953		1.8%
Sysmiss		113430		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10x: Access to equipment in household: Freestyle/Walkman

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3314 /-] [Invalid=162526 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Freestyle/Walkman
Notes	1987-1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	2059		62.1%
1	Ticked	1200		36.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	55		1.7%
Sysmiss		162526		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10y: Access to equipment in household: Video camera

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3462 /-] [Invalid=162378 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Video camera
Notes	1991-1992 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	2796		80.8%
1	Ticked	568		16.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	98		2.8%
Sysmiss		162378		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10z: Access to equipment in household: DAB radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10687 /-] [Invalid=155153 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - DAB radio
Notes	1999-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	10116		94.7%
1	Ticked	299		2.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	272		2.5%
Sysmiss		155153		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10aa: Access to equipment in household: Dvd player

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28418 /-] [Invalid=137422 /-]
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Dvd player
Notes	1999-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	17830		62.7%
1	Ticked	10053		35.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	535		1.9%
Sysmiss		137422		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj10ab: Access to equipment in household: Mp3 player

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]

jj10ab: Access to equipment in household: Mp3 player

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17757 /-] [Invalid=148083 /-]		
Definition	See also jj20, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 2001-2003 (National): "Portable mp3 player"; 2005-2006 (National): "Mp3 player".		
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player		
Notes	2001-2003, 2005-2006 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	14287	80.5%
1	Ticked	3147	17.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	323	1.8%
Sysmiss		148083	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj20a: Access to equipment in household: Modem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5310 /-] [Invalid=160530 /-]		
Definition	See also jj10, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The question wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"		
Literal question	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Modem		
Notes	1995-1997 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	3858	72.7%
1	Ticked	762	14.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	690	13.0%
Sysmiss		160530	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj20b: Access to equipment in household: Internet connection

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15920 /-] [Invalid=149920 /-]		
Definition	See also jj10, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The question wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997-2000 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"		
Literal question	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Internet connection		
Notes	1995-2000 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	8441	53.0%
1	Ticked	5353	33.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	2126	13.4%
Sysmiss		149920	

jj20b: Access to equipment in household: Internet connection

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj20c: Access to equipment in household: CD-ROM unit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5310 /-] [Invalid=160530 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The question wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"
Literal question	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - CD-ROM unit
Notes	1995-1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	3708	69.8%
1	Ticked	912	17.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	690	13.0%
Sysmiss		160530	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj20d: Access to equipment in household: Personal e-mail address

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15920 /-] [Invalid=149920 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The question wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997-2000 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"
Literal question	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Personal e-mail address
Notes	1995-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	9122	57.3%
1	Ticked	4672	29.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	2126	13.4%
Sysmiss		149920	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj20e: Access to equipment in household: Personal homepage

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12364 /-] [Invalid=153476 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj30, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - Personal homepage
Notes	1997-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	10041	81.2%
1	Ticked	687	5.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	

jj20e: Access to equipment in household: Personal homepage

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1636	13.2%
Sysmiss		153476	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj21: Access to equipment in household: There is no personal computer in the household

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14143 /-] [Invalid=151697 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997-2000 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"
Literal question	If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have? - There is no personal computer in the household
Notes	1996-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	7073	50.0%
1	Ticked	4949	35.0%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	2121	15.0%
Sysmiss		151697	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj30a: Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18016 /-] [Invalid=147824 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at home
Notes	2001-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	5566	30.9%
1	Ticked	12024	66.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	426	2.4%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		144471	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj30b: Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at work/in school

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18016 /-] [Invalid=147824 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). Note that "at work" and "in school" were two separate response alternatives in 2001 (these have been merged for easier comparison).
Literal question	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at work/in school
Notes	2001-2006 (National)

jj30b: Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at work/in school

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	9162	50.9%
1	Ticked	8428	46.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	426	2.4%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		144471	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj30c: Access to the Internet in household: Yes, at another place

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18016 /-] [Invalid=147824 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Do you have access to the Internet? - Yes, at another place
Notes	2001-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	16298	90.5%
1	Ticked	1292	7.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	426	2.4%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		144471	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj30d: Access to the Internet in household: No

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18016 /-] [Invalid=147824 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj40 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Do you have access to the Internet? - No
Notes	2001-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	13599	75.5%
1	Ticked	3989	22.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	426	2.4%
96	Question not asked	3353	
Sysmiss		144471	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40a: Access to media technology in household: Telephone (stationary)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13370 /-] [Invalid=152470 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).

jj40a: Access to media technology in household: Telephone (stationary)

Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	11118	83.2%
2	No	1763	13.2%
3	Do not know	57	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	215	1.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	215	1.6%
96	Question not asked	20869	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40b: Access to media technology in household: Mobile telephone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13370 /-] [Invalid=152470 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12599	94.2%
2	No	317	2.4%
3	Do not know	32	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	204	1.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	215	1.6%
96	Question not asked	20869	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40c: Access to media technology in household: IP telephony

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5117 /-] [Invalid=160723 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
Notes	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	557	10.9%
2	No	3459	67.6%
3	Do not know	424	8.3%
97	No response - part of the question	606	11.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	70	1.4%
96	Question not asked	6503	
Sysmiss		154220	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40d: Access to media technology in household: Mp3 player

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6783 /-] [Invalid=159057 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player
Notes	2007-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3357	49.5%
2	No	2709	39.9%
3	Do not know	95	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	520	7.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	100	1.5%
96	Question not asked	4837	
Sysmiss		154220	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40e: Access to media technology in household: Cd player

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5096 /-] [Invalid=160744 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Cd player
Notes	2007-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4375	85.9%
2	No	419	8.2%
3	Do not know	32	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	187	3.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	81	1.6%
96	Question not asked	1598	
Sysmiss		159146	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40f: Access to media technology in household: Video

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3430 /-] [Invalid=162410 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video
Notes	2007-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2503	73.0%
2	No	684	19.9%
3	Do not know	24	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	165	4.8%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%

jj40f: Access to media technology in household: Video

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	51	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3264	
Sysmiss		159146	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40g: Access to media technology in household: Dvd player

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5096 /-] [Invalid=160744 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Dvd player
Notes	2007-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4047	79.4%
2	No	717	14.1%
3	Do not know	31	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	220	4.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	81	1.6%
96	Question not asked	1598	
Sysmiss		159146	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40h: Access to media technology in household: Large screen TV (37" or larger)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=164071 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Large screen TV (37" or larger)
Notes	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	358	20.2%
2	No	1267	71.6%
3	Do not know	25	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	94	5.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.4%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		162405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40i: Access to media technology in household: Home cinema system

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=164071 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Home cinema system
Notes	2007 (National)

jj40i: Access to media technology in household: Home cinema system

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	346	19.6%
2	No	1280	72.4%
3	Do not know	14	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	104	5.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	25	1.4%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		162405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40j: Access to media technology in household: Desktop computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3435 /-] [Invalid=162405 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Desktop computer
Notes	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2330	67.8%
2	No	860	25.0%
3	Do not know	20	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	170	4.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	55	1.6%
Sysmiss		162405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40k: Access to media technology in household: Portable computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3435 /-] [Invalid=162405 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Portable computer
Notes	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1483	43.2%
2	No	1656	48.2%
3	Do not know	24	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	217	6.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	55	1.6%
Sysmiss		162405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40l: Access to media technology in household: Personal computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14817 /-] [Invalid=151023 /-]

jj40l: Access to media technology in household: Personal computer

Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer		
Notes	2008- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12103	81.7%
2	No	1865	12.6%
3	Do not know	121	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	497	3.4%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	229	1.5%
96	Question not asked	15987	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40m: Access to media technology in household: Internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18252 /-] [Invalid=147588 /-]		
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Internet		
Notes	2007- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15035	82.4%
2	No	2271	12.4%
3	Do not know	90	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	570	3.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	284	1.6%
96	Question not asked	15987	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40n: Access to media technology in household: Broadband

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13318 /-] [Invalid=152522 /-]		
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).		
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband		
Notes	2007-2010 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9833	73.8%
2	No	2660	20.0%
3	Do not know	147	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	476	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	202	1.5%
96	Question not asked	3309	

jj40n: Access to media technology in household: Broadband

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40o: Access to media technology in household: GPS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8229 /-] [Invalid=157611 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - GPS
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2895	35.2%
2	No	4126	50.1%
3	Do not know	177	2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	899	10.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	131	1.6%
96	Question not asked	15972	
Sysmiss		141639	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40p: Access to media technology in household: Blue-ray player

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8229 /-] [Invalid=157611 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Blue-ray player
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	912	11.1%
2	No	5843	71.0%
3	Do not know	330	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1011	12.3%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	131	1.6%
96	Question not asked	12733	
Sysmiss		144878	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40q: Access to media technology in household: Video game console

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6568 /-] [Invalid=159272 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video game console
Notes	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2072	31.5%

jj40q: Access to media technology in household: Video game console

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	3472	52.9%
3	Do not know	134	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	783	11.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	105	1.6%
96	Question not asked	14374	
Sysmiss		144898	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40r: Access to media technology in household: Tablet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=159253 /-]
Definition	See also jj10, jj20, jj30 (corresponding questions) and jj100 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 2010 (National): "Electronic pad for e-books"; 2011- (National): "Tablet".
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tablet
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1093	16.6%
2	No	4580	69.5%
3	Do not know	128	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	669	10.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	115	1.7%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40s: Access to media technology in household: Tv set

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3337 /-] [Invalid=162503 /-]
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv set
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3114	93.3%
2	No	135	4.0%
3	Do not know	14	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	42	1.3%
96	Question not asked	9555	
Sysmiss		152948	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj40t: Access to media technology in household: Radio set

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3337 /-] [Invalid=162503 /-]

jj40t: Access to media technology in household: Radio set

Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Radio set
Notes	2012 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2877	86.2%
2	No	350	10.5%
3	Do not know	11	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	57	1.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	42	1.3%
96	Question not asked	9555	
Sysmiss		152948	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100a: Access to media technology in household: Teletext

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=50781 /-] [Invalid=115059 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj10i.
Literal question	What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household? - Teletext
Notes	1986-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	36976	72.8%
2	No/do not know	12885	25.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	920	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		113430	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100b: Access to media technology in household: Personal computer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=91350 /-] [Invalid=74490 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj10o (1986-2005), jj10p and jj10q (2006), jj40j and jj40k (2007) and jj40l (2008-). The item wording has changed. 1986-1990 (National): "Home or personal computer"; 1991-2005 (National): "Personal computer"; 2006-2007 (National): "Desktop computer" och "Portable compute"; 2008- (National): "Personal computer". Note that a distinction was made between desktop and portable computer 2006-2007. These have been merged here. Note also that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Personal computer
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	58549	64.1%
2	No/do not know	30768	33.7%
98	Several responses given	6	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2027	2.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	47080	
Sysmiss		27410	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100c: Access to media technology in household: Video

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=54211 /-] [Invalid=111629 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj10j (1986-2006) and jj40f (2007-2008). Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video
Notes	1986-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	38942	71.8%
2	No/do not know	14295	26.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	971	1.8%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		110000	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100d: Access to media technology in household: Mobile telephone

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=54220 /-] [Invalid=111620 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj10f (1993-2006) and jj40b (2007-). The question wording has changed. 1993-2006 (National): "What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household?"; 2007- (National): "What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household?" Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)). Note also that two mobile telephone items were given in 2004: "Mobile telephone" and "Mobile phone with 3G" (here they are merged).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mobile telephone
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	41895	77.3%
2	No/do not know	11374	21.0%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	948	1.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		111620	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100e: Access to media technology in household: Internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=72876 /-] [Invalid=92964 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj20b (1995-2000), jj30a (2001-2006) and jj40m (2007-). The question wording has changed. 1995-1996 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what equipment do you have?"; 1997-2000 (National): "If you have a personal computer in your household, what else do you have?"; 2001-2006 (National): "Do you have access to the Internet?"; 2007- (National): "What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household?" Note also that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Internet
Notes	1995- (National)

jj100e: Access to media technology in household: Internet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	51039	70.0%
2	No/do not know	18039	24.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	3793	5.2%
96	Question not asked	50433	
Sysmiss		42531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100f: Access to media technology in household: Broadband

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32963 /-] [Invalid=132877 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj10u (2001, 2006), jj40n (2007-). The responses from 2002-2005 are based on a separate question regarding types of Internet connection (a follow-up question to jj30a-d). The question wording has changed. 2001, 2006 (National): "What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household?"; 2002-2005 (National): "Do you have access to the Internet?"; 2007- (National): "What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household?" In 2002-2005, affirmative responses to the following response alternatives are considered as access to broadband: 2002 (National): "Yes, at home where I have access with fixed rate monthly fee"; 2003 (Natioal); "Yes, at home where I have access with a fixed subscription fee"; 2004-2005 (National): "Yes, at home where I have access to broadband". Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Broadband
Notes	2001-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	16362	49.6%
2	No/do not know	15801	47.9%
98	Several responses given	173	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	627	1.9%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		131153	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100g: Access to media technology in household: Mp3 player

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24540 /-] [Invalid=141300 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj10ab (2001-2003, 2005-2006) and jj40d (2007-2009). The question wording has changed. 2001-2003, 2005-2006 (National): "What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household?"; 2007- (National): "What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household?" Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Mp3 player
Notes	2001-2003, 2005-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6504	26.5%
2	No/do not know	17611	71.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	423	1.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	

jj100g: Access to media technology in household: Mp3 player

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		141300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100h: Access to media technology in household: IP telephony

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6824 /-] [Invalid=159016 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj10h (2006) and jj40c (2007-2009). The question wording has changed. 2006 (National): "What types of equipment etc. do you currently have access to in your household?"; 2007-2009 (National): "What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household?" Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - IP telephony
Notes	2006-2009 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	672	9.8%
2	No/do not know	6049	88.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	101	1.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		159016	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100i: Access to media technology in household: GPS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8229 /-] [Invalid=157611 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj40o. Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - GPS
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2895	35.2%
2	No/do not know	4777	58.1%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	556	6.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		157611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100j: Access to media technology in household: Blue-ray player

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8229 /-] [Invalid=157611 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj40p. Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Blue-ray player
Notes	2008- (National)

jj100j: Access to media technology in household: Blue-ray player

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	912	11.1%
2	No/do not know	6684	81.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	631	7.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		157611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100k: Access to media technology in household: Video game console

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6568 /-] [Invalid=159272 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj40q. Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Video game console
Notes	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2072	31.5%
2	No/do not know	3927	59.8%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	567	8.6%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		159272	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100l: Access to media technology in household: Tablet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27275 /-] [Invalid=138565 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj40r. Note that a "do not know" alternative was added in 2007 (here merged with the "Not ticked" code (1986-2006) and the "No" alternative (2007-)).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tablet
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	13051	47.8%
2	No/do not know	12263	45.0%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1957	7.2%
96	Frågan ej ställd	47080	
Sysmiss		91485	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100m: Access to media technology in household: Telephone (stationary)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=54220 /-] [Invalid=111620 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj10d (1986-2006) och jj40a (2007-).

jj100m: Access to media technology in household: Telephone (stationary)

Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Telephone (stationary)
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	49874		92.0%
2	No/do not know	3350		6.2%
98	Several responses given	2		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	994		1.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0		
Sysmiss		111620		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

jj100n: Access to media technology in household: Tv set

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=34876 /-] [Invalid=130964 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on jj10a (1986-2006) och jj40s (2007-).
Literal question	What types of media technologies do you currently have access to in your household? - Tv set
Notes	1986-1988, 1993-2001, 2005-2006, 2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	33006	94.6%
2	No/do not know	1266	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	604	1.7%
96	Frågan ej ställd	3353	
Sysmiss		127611	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10a: Importance of content in newspapers: Sports

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Sport
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	1071	10.2%
2	Rather unimportant	1059	10.1%
3	Somewhat unimportant	800	7.7%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	733	7.0%
5	Somewhat important	1770	16.9%
6	Rather important	2334	22.3%
7	Very important	1982	19.0%
97	No response - part of the question	347	3.3%
98	Several responses given	22	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10b: Importance of content in newspapers: Family news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Family news
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1996-1998 (West)

ka10b: Importance of content in newspapers: Family news

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	463	4.4%
2	Rather unimportant	771	7.4%
3	Somewhat unimportant	665	6.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1013	9.7%
5	Somewhat important	2257	21.6%
6	Rather important	2858	27.4%
7	Very important	1806	17.3%
97	No response - part of the question	267	2.6%
98	Several responses given	18	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10c: Importance of content in newspapers: Letters to the editor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Letters to the editor
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	350	3.3%
2	Rather unimportant	595	5.7%
3	Somewhat unimportant	664	6.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1154	11.0%
5	Somewhat important	2560	24.5%
6	Rather important	2933	28.1%
7	Very important	1491	14.3%
97	No response - part of the question	350	3.3%
98	Several responses given	21	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10d: Importance of content in newspapers: National news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - National news
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

ka10d: Importance of content in newspapers: National news

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	214	2.0%
2	Rather unimportant	208	2.0%
3	Somewhat unimportant	148	1.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	320	3.1%
5	Somewhat important	1041	10.0%
6	Rather important	3208	30.7%
7	Very important	4627	44.3%
97	No response - part of the question	339	3.2%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10e: Importance of content in newspapers: Economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "Economy and trade"; 2007 (National): "Economy". The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Economy
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	280	2.7%
2	Rather unimportant	433	4.1%
3	Somewhat unimportant	582	5.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1062	10.2%
5	Somewhat important	2306	22.1%
6	Rather important	3093	29.6%
7	Very important	1948	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	394	3.8%
98	Several responses given	20	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10f: Importance of content in newspapers: Parliament and government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"

ka10f: Importance of content in newspapers: Parliament and government

	Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Parliament and government
	Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	323	3.1%
2	Rather unimportant	399	3.8%
3	Somewhat unimportant	550	5.3%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1049	10.0%
5	Somewhat important	2268	21.7%
6	Rather important	3095	29.6%
7	Very important	2075	19.9%
97	No response - part of the question	342	3.3%
98	Several responses given	17	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10g: Importance of content in newspapers: Union issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]	
Definition	-	
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Union issues	
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	521	6.0%
2	Rather unimportant	661	7.6%
3	Somewhat unimportant	835	9.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1420	16.4%
5	Somewhat important	2180	25.1%
6	Rather important	1715	19.8%
7	Very important	694	8.0%
97	No response - part of the question	353	4.1%
98	Several responses given	21	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10h: Importance of content in newspapers: Accidents and crime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]	
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"	

ka10h: Importance of content in newspapers: Accidents and crime

Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Accidents and crime
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1995-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	213	2.0%
2	Rather unimportant	388	3.7%
3	Somewhat unimportant	482	4.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	784	7.5%
5	Somewhat important	2627	25.1%
6	Rather important	3620	34.6%
7	Very important	1697	16.2%
97	No response - part of the question	288	2.8%
98	Several responses given	19	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10i: Importance of content in newspapers: Editorials and comments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Editorials and comments
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	457	4.4%
2	Rather unimportant	589	5.6%
3	Somewhat unimportant	685	6.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1504	14.4%
5	Somewhat important	2439	23.3%
6	Rather important	2537	24.3%
7	Very important	1492	14.3%
97	No response - part of the question	399	3.8%
98	Several responses given	16	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10j: Importance of content in newspapers: International news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important

ka10j: Importance of content in newspapers: International news

	you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - International news
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	229	2.2%
2	Rather unimportant	320	3.1%
3	Somewhat unimportant	360	3.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	602	5.8%
5	Somewhat important	1819	17.4%
6	Rather important	3269	31.3%
7	Very important	3159	30.2%
97	No response - part of the question	334	3.2%
98	Several responses given	26	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10k: Importance of content in newspapers: Culture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "Cultural articles"; 2007 (National): "Culture". The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Culture
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	595	5.7%
2	Rather unimportant	755	7.2%
3	Somewhat unimportant	918	8.8%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1504	14.4%
5	Somewhat important	2423	23.2%
6	Rather important	2217	21.2%
7	Very important	1264	12.1%
97	No response - part of the question	427	4.1%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10l: Importance of content in newspapers: Op-ed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "Op-ed articles"; 2007 (National): "Op-ed".

ka10l: Importance of content in newspapers: Op-ed

	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Op-ed
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1996-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	449	4.3%
2	Rather unimportant	597	5.7%
3	Somewhat unimportant	756	7.2%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1512	14.5%
5	Somewhat important	2493	23.9%
6	Rather important	2527	24.2%
7	Very important	1349	12.9%
97	No response - part of the question	427	4.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10m: Importance of content in newspapers: Environmental issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Environmental issues
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	215	2.5%
2	Rather unimportant	251	2.9%
3	Somewhat unimportant	346	4.0%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	742	8.5%
5	Somewhat important	1724	19.9%
6	Rather important	2600	30.0%
7	Very important	2257	26.0%
97	No response - part of the question	258	3.0%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10n: Importance of content in newspapers: Local news

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=164071 /-]
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Local news

ka10n: Importance of content in newspapers: Local news

Notes	2007 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	29	1.6%
2	Rather unimportant	17	1.0%
3	Somewhat unimportant	18	1.0%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	54	3.1%
5	Somewhat important	169	9.6%
6	Rather important	539	30.5%
7	Very important	850	48.0%
97	No response - part of the question	39	2.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	51	2.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		162405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10o: Importance of content in newspapers: Local labour market

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local labour market
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	286	3.3%
2	Rather unimportant	318	3.7%
3	Somewhat unimportant	357	4.1%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	853	9.8%
5	Somewhat important	1410	16.2%
6	Rather important	2455	28.3%
7	Very important	2413	27.8%
97	No response - part of the question	303	3.5%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10p: Importance of content in newspapers: Organisations and associations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Organisations and associations
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

ka10p: Importance of content in newspapers: Organisations and associations

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	314	3.6%
2	Rather unimportant	480	5.5%
3	Somewhat unimportant	699	8.1%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1427	16.4%
5	Somewhat important	2410	27.8%
6	Rather important	2013	23.2%
7	Very important	685	7.9%
97	No response - part of the question	366	4.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10q: Importance of content in newspapers: Famous locals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Famous locals
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	839	9.7%
2	Rather unimportant	863	9.9%
3	Somewhat unimportant	918	10.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1365	15.7%
5	Somewhat important	2090	24.1%
6	Rather important	1462	16.8%
7	Very important	580	6.7%
97	No response - part of the question	276	3.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10r: Importance of content in newspapers: Local politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local politics
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

ka10r: Importance of content in newspapers: Local politics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	273	3.1%
2	Rather unimportant	347	4.0%
3	Somewhat unimportant	419	4.8%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	905	10.4%
5	Somewhat important	1882	21.7%
6	Rather important	2607	30.0%
7	Very important	1666	19.2%
97	No response - part of the question	291	3.4%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10s: Importance of content in newspapers: Local workplaces

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Local workplaces
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	251	2.9%
2	Rather unimportant	359	4.1%
3	Somewhat unimportant	458	5.3%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1138	13.1%
5	Somewhat important	2058	23.7%
6	Rather important	2397	27.6%
7	Very important	1324	15.3%
97	No response - part of the question	406	4.7%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10t: Importance of content in newspapers: School issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - School issues
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

ka10t: Importance of content in newspapers: School issues

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	250	2.9%
2	Rather unimportant	289	3.3%
3	Somewhat unimportant	360	4.1%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	830	9.6%
5	Somewhat important	1660	19.1%
6	Rather important	2481	28.6%
7	Very important	2158	24.9%
97	No response - part of the question	366	4.2%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10u: Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment and local events

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Entertainment and local events
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	244	2.8%
2	Rather unimportant	280	3.2%
3	Somewhat unimportant	361	4.2%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	666	7.7%
5	Somewhat important	2100	24.2%
6	Rather important	2729	31.4%
7	Very important	1714	19.7%
97	No response - part of the question	303	3.5%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10v: Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=164071 /-]
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Entertainment
Notes	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	41	2.3%
2	Rather unimportant	76	4.3%

ka10v: Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	112	6.3%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	212	12.0%
5	Somewhat important	471	26.6%
6	Rather important	513	29.0%
7	Very important	221	12.5%
97	No response - part of the question	65	3.7%
98	Several responses given	7	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	51	2.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		162405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10w: Importance of content in newspapers: Cartoons

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Cartoons
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National); 1996-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	1429	16.5%
2	Rather unimportant	954	11.0%
3	Somewhat unimportant	894	10.3%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1497	17.2%
5	Somewhat important	1491	17.2%
6	Rather important	911	10.5%
7	Very important	822	9.5%
97	No response - part of the question	394	4.5%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10x: Importance of content in newspapers: Short stories, serials

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6821 /-] [Invalid=159019 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Short stories, serials
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	2168	31.8%
2	Rather unimportant	1110	16.3%

ka10x: Importance of content in newspapers: Short stories, serials

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	741	10.9%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1107	16.2%
5	Somewhat important	712	10.4%
6	Rather important	260	3.8%
7	Very important	136	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	349	5.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		157316	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10y: Importance of content in newspapers: Music and theater

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5021 /-] [Invalid=160819 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Music and theater
Notes	1987, 1991 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		301	6.0%
2		372	7.4%
3		515	10.3%
4		635	12.6%
5		1279	25.5%
6		986	19.6%
7		517	10.3%
97	No response - part of the question	246	4.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	170	3.4%
Sysmiss		160819	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10z: Importance of content in newspapers: Music

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5436 /-] [Invalid=160404 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Music
Notes	1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	328	6.0%
2	Rather unimportant	415	7.6%
3	Somewhat unimportant	564	10.4%

ka10z: Importance of content in newspapers: Music

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1028	18.9%
5	Somewhat important	1335	24.6%
6	Rather important	936	17.2%
7	Very important	412	7.6%
97	No response - part of the question	240	4.4%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	172	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		156885	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10aa: Importance of content in newspapers: Relational issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Relational issues
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	524	6.0%
2	Rather unimportant	662	7.6%
3	Somewhat unimportant	908	10.5%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1769	20.4%
5	Somewhat important	2210	25.5%
6	Rather important	1430	16.5%
7	Very important	508	5.9%
97	No response - part of the question	384	4.4%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ab: Importance of content in newspapers: About radio and tv programs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - About radio and tv programs
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1992-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	275	2.6%
2	Rather unimportant	299	2.9%

ka10ab: Importance of content in newspapers: About radio and tv programs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	315	3.0%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	685	6.6%
5	Somewhat important	1948	18.6%
6	Rather important	3202	30.6%
7	Very important	3066	29.3%
97	No response - part of the question	313	3.0%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ac: Importance of content in newspapers: Interior design

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6821 /-] [Invalid=159019 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Interior design
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	901	13.2%
2	Rather unimportant	794	11.6%
3	Somewhat unimportant	745	10.9%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1124	16.5%
5	Somewhat important	1419	20.8%
6	Rather important	922	13.5%
7	Very important	362	5.3%
97	No response - part of the question	315	4.6%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		157316	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ad: Importance of content in newspapers: Cooking

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6821 /-] [Invalid=159019 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Cooking
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	718	10.5%
2	Rather unimportant	703	10.3%

ka10ad: Importance of content in newspapers: Cooking

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	724	10.6%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	957	14.0%
5	Somewhat important	1511	22.2%
6	Rather important	1156	16.9%
7	Very important	550	8.1%
97	No response - part of the question	263	3.9%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		157316	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ae: Importance of content in newspapers: Fashion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6821 /-] [Invalid=159019 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Fashion
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	1013	14.9%
2	Rather unimportant	774	11.3%
3	Somewhat unimportant	738	10.8%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1035	15.2%
5	Somewhat important	1355	19.9%
6	Rather important	951	13.9%
7	Very important	427	6.3%
97	No response - part of the question	293	4.3%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		157316	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10af: Importance of content in newspapers: Consumer information

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Consumer information
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	264	3.0%
2	Rather unimportant	283	3.3%

ka10af: Importance of content in newspapers: Consumer information

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	343	4.0%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	850	9.8%
5	Somewhat important	1958	22.6%
6	Rather important	2744	31.6%
7	Very important	1618	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	330	3.8%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ag: Importance of content in newspapers: Crossword puzzles and competitions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Crossword puzzles and competitions
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	1107	12.8%
2	Rather unimportant	911	10.5%
3	Somewhat unimportant	897	10.3%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1445	16.6%
5	Somewhat important	1748	20.1%
6	Rather important	1220	14.1%
7	Very important	743	8.6%
97	No response - part of the question	321	3.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ah: Importance of content in newspapers: Popular science

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Popular science
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	433	5.0%
2	Rather unimportant	468	5.4%

ka10ah: Importance of content in newspapers: Popular science

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	619	7.1%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1758	20.3%
5	Somewhat important	2073	23.9%
6	Rather important	1929	22.2%
7	Very important	720	8.3%
97	No response - part of the question	397	4.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ai: Importance of content in newspapers: Computers/IT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3659 /-] [Invalid=162181 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Computers/IT
Notes	1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	341	9.3%
2	Rather unimportant	259	7.1%
3	Somewhat unimportant	308	8.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	944	25.8%
5	Somewhat important	822	22.5%
6	Rather important	552	15.1%
7	Very important	167	4.6%
97	No response - part of the question	153	4.2%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	110	3.0%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		158662	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10aj: Importance of content in newspapers: Local business advertisments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10449 /-] [Invalid=155391 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Local business advertisments
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003, 2007 (National); 1996-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	440	4.2%

ka10aj: Importance of content in newspapers: Local business advertisments

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Rather unimportant	455	4.4%
3	Somewhat unimportant	530	5.1%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1187	11.4%
5	Somewhat important	2341	22.4%
6	Rather important	2899	27.7%
7	Very important	1937	18.5%
97	No response - part of the question	323	3.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	331	3.2%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		150206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ak: Importance of content in newspapers: Weekly advertisments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5021 /-] [Invalid=160819 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Weekly advertisments
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		361	7.2%
2		246	4.9%
3		294	5.9%
4		612	12.2%
5		1102	21.9%
6		1198	23.9%
7		811	16.2%
97	No response - part of the question	227	4.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	170	3.4%
Sysmiss		160819	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10al: Importance of content in newspapers: Advertisements by chain/department stores

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6821 /-] [Invalid=159019 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Advertisements by chain stores/department stores
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	454	6.7%
2	Rather unimportant	424	6.2%

ka10al: Importance of content in newspapers: Advertisements by chain/department stores

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	420	6.2%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	708	10.4%
5	Somewhat important	1495	21.9%
6	Rather important	1665	24.4%
7	Very important	1202	17.6%
97	No response - part of the question	219	3.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	3.4%
96	Question not asked	1703	
Sysmiss		157316	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10am: Importance of content in newspapers: National and local government advertisments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5021 /-] [Invalid=160819 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - National and local government advertisments
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		275	5.5%
2		315	6.3%
3		395	7.9%
4		858	17.1%
5		1215	24.2%
6		1027	20.5%
7		540	10.8%
97	No response - part of the question	226	4.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	170	3.4%
Sysmiss		160819	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10an: Importance of content in newspapers: Job advertisments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Job advertisments
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	616	7.1%
2	Rather unimportant	345	4.0%
3	Somewhat unimportant	385	4.4%

ka10an: Importance of content in newspapers: Job advertisments

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	990	11.4%
5	Somewhat important	1527	17.6%
6	Rather important	2128	24.5%
7	Very important	2028	23.4%
97	No response - part of the question	376	4.3%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ao: Importance of content in newspapers: Real estate advertisments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5021 /-] [Invalid=160819 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Real estate advertisments
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		361	7.2%
2		246	4.9%
3		294	5.9%
4		612	12.2%
5		1102	21.9%
6		1198	23.9%
7		811	16.2%
97	No response - part of the question	227	4.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	170	3.4%
Sysmiss		160819	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ap: Importance of content in newspapers: Housing advertisements

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5428 /-] [Invalid=160412 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Housing advertisements
Notes	1999, 2003, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	281	5.2%
2	Rather unimportant	284	5.2%
3	Somewhat unimportant	281	5.2%

ka10ap: Importance of content in newspapers: Housing advertisements

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	749	13.8%
5	Somewhat important	1190	21.9%
6	Rather important	1436	26.5%
7	Very important	848	15.6%
97	No response - part of the question	195	3.6%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	161	3.0%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		155227	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10aq: Importance of content in newspapers: Entertainment advertisements

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5428 /-] [Invalid=160412 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1999, 2003 (National): "A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it."; 2007 (National): "How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be?"
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Entertainment advertisements
Notes	1999, 2003, 2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	203	3.7%
2	Rather unimportant	256	4.7%
3	Somewhat unimportant	293	5.4%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	690	12.7%
5	Somewhat important	1416	26.1%
6	Rather important	1462	26.9%
7	Very important	753	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	185	3.4%
98	Several responses given	9	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	161	3.0%
96	Question not asked	5185	
Sysmiss		155227	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10ar: Importance of content in newspapers: Car and motor advertisements

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1859 /-] [Invalid=163981 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Car and motor advertisements
Notes	2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	110	5.9%
2	Rather unimportant	104	5.6%

ka10ar: Importance of content in newspapers: Car and motor advertisements

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat unimportant	124	6.7%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	393	21.1%
5	Somewhat important	437	23.5%
6	Rather important	410	22.1%
7	Very important	181	9.7%
97	No response - part of the question	51	2.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	48	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1816	
Sysmiss		162165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10as: Importance of content in newspapers: Classified advertisments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8680 /-] [Invalid=157160 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	A newspaper contains very different kinds of content. How important do you personally consider the following types of content. Mark for each content type how important you consider it to be that your local newspaper particularly contain it. - Classified advertisments
Notes	1987, 1991, 1995, 1999, 2003 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	443	5.1%
2	Rather unimportant	459	5.3%
3	Somewhat unimportant	522	6.0%
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	1224	14.1%
5	Somewhat important	2123	24.5%
6	Rather important	2197	25.3%
7	Very important	1103	12.7%
97	No response - part of the question	328	3.8%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	280	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3519	
Sysmiss		153641	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka10at: Importance of content in newspapers: Private small advertisements

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=164071 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	How important do you personally consider the following parts of a local morning newspaper to be? - Private small advertisements
Notes	2007 (National); 1996-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very unimportant	96	5.4%
2	Rather unimportant	127	7.2%
3	Somewhat unimportant	136	7.7%

ka10at: Importance of content in newspapers: Private small advertisements

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Neither important nor unimportant/do not know	356	20.1%
5	Somewhat important	439	24.8%
6	Rather important	347	19.6%
7	Very important	159	9.0%
97	No response - part of the question	58	3.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	51	2.9%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		162405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka100: Have you considered cancelling newspaper subscription?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28447 /-] [Invalid=137393 /-]
Literal question	Have you considered cancelling one or several of your daily newspaper subscriptions, or has this been discussed within the family during the last 6 months?
Notes	1993-2006, 2008 (National); 1993- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	14748	51.8%
2	Yes, once or so	3771	13.3%
3	Yes, several times	2090	7.3%
4	Unsure, do not know	413	1.5%
5	Dont subscribe to a morning newspaper	5378	18.9%
97	No response - part of the question	87	0.3%
98	Several responses given	52	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1908	6.7%
96	Question not asked	21951	
Sysmiss		115442	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101a: Cancelling subscription: Everything has become so expensive that it´s not affordable

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=140999 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
Literal question	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Everything has become so expensive that it is not affordable
Notes	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	21084	84.9%
1	Ticked	1890	7.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%
96	Question not asked	18449	

ka101a: Cancelling subscription: Everything has become so expensive that it´s not affordable

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		122550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101b: Cancelling subscription: Increase in the cost of the subscription

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=140999 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
Literal question	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Increase in the cost of the subscription
Notes	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	21325	85.8%
1	Ticked	1649	6.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%
96	Question not asked	18449	
Sysmiss		122550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101c: Cancelling subscription: I get local information through radio and tv

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=140999 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
Literal question	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I get local information through radio and tv
Notes	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	22129		89.1%
1	Ticked	845	3.4%	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%	
96	Question not asked	18449		
Sysmiss		122550		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101d: Cancelling subscription: Badly organized newspaper distribution

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=140999 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
Literal question	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Badly organized newspaper distribution
Notes	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	22513		90.6%
1	Ticked	461	1.9%	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%	
96	Question not asked	18449		
Sysmiss		122550		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101e: Cancelling subscription: I do not have the time to read it

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=140999 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
Literal question	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I do not have the time to read it
Notes	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	21776		87.7%
1	Ticked	1198	4.8%	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		

ka101e: Cancelling subscription: I do not have the time to read it

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%
96	Question not asked	18449	
Sysmiss		122550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101f: Cancelling subscription: I have access to the newspaper at work/school

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23298 /-] [Invalid=142542 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
Literal question	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I have access to the newspaper at work/school
Notes	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	20715	88.9%
1	Ticked	841	3.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1742	7.5%
96		13703	
Sysmiss		128839	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101g: Cancelling subscription: I can borrow it from a friend/neighbour

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16233 /-] [Invalid=149607 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100.
Literal question	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can borrow it from a friend/neighbour
Notes	1993-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	15237	93.9%
1	Ticked	114	0.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	882	5.4%
96		6886	
Sysmiss		142721	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101h: Cancelling subscription: I do not like the politics of the newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18092 /-] [Invalid=147748 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100.
Literal question	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I do not like the politics of the newspaper
Notes	1993-2001, 2003 (National)

ka101h: Cancelling subscription: I do not like the politics of the newspaper

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	16975	93.8%
1	Ticked	177	1.0%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	940	5.2%
96		8702	
Sysmiss		139046	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101i: Cancelling subscription: Poor content in the newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24841 /-] [Invalid=140999 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
Literal question	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Poor content in the newspaper
Notes	1993-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	21947	88.3%
1	Ticked	1027	4.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1867	7.5%
96	Question not asked	18449	
Sysmiss		122550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101j: Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has too few advertisments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14334 /-] [Invalid=151506 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100.
Literal question	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has too few advertisments
Notes	1993-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	13479	94.0%
1	Ticked	54	0.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	801	5.6%
96		5147	
Sysmiss		146359	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101k: Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has too many advertisments

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10895 /-] [Invalid=154945 /-]

ka101k: Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has too many advertisments

Definition	Follow-up question to ka100.
Literal question	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has too many advertisments
Notes	1996-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	10176	93.4%
1	Ticked	163	1.5%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	556	5.1%
96		6886	
Sysmiss		148059	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101l: Cancelling subscription: I moved to another community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14334 /-] [Invalid=151506 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100.
Literal question	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I moved to another community
Notes	1993-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	13505	94.2%
1	Ticked	28	0.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	801	5.6%
96		5147	
Sysmiss		146359	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101m: Cancelling subscription: The newspaper has changed drastically

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16233 /-] [Invalid=149607 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100.
Literal question	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The newspaper has changed drastically
Notes	1993-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	15186	93.6%
1	Ticked	165	1.0%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	882	5.4%
96		6886	
Sysmiss		142721	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101n: Cancelling subscription: Poor coverage of what is happening in my municipality

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16233 /-] [Invalid=149607 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100.
Literal question	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Poor coverage of what is happening in my municipality
Notes	1993-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	15047		92.7%
1	Ticked	304	1.9%	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	882	5.4%	
96		6886		
Sysmiss		142721		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101o: Cancelling subscription: I can read the newspaper on the Internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17724 /-] [Invalid=148116 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 1997-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
Literal question	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can read the newspaper on the Internet
Notes	1997-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	15832		89.3%
1	Ticked	507	2.9%	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	1385	7.8%	
96	Question not asked	18449		
Sysmiss		129667		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101p: Cancelling subscription: I can read the newpaper when travelling by PT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5463 /-] [Invalid=160377 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100.
Literal question	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I can read the newpaper when travelling by public transport (bus, train etc.)
Notes	1998-2000 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not ticked	5186		94.9%
1	Ticked	76	1.4%	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	201	3.7%	

ka101p: Cancelling subscription: I can read the newpaper when travelling by PT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96		5147	
Sysmiss		155230	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101q: Cancelling subscription: I get the news I need from a free paper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12349 /-] [Invalid=153491 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100. The question wording has changed. 2000-2001, 2003-2004, 2006 (National): "For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"; 2008 (National): "If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription?"
Literal question	If yes, for what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - I get the news I need from a free paper
Notes	2000-2001, 2003-2004, 2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	10991	89.0%
1	Ticked	204	1.7%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1154	9.3%
96	Question not asked	13302	
Sysmiss		140189	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101r: Cancelling subscription: The paper has adopted a format that doesn´t suit me

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3545 /-] [Invalid=162295 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100.
Literal question	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - The paper has adopted a format that doesn´t suit me
Notes	2004, 2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	3214	90.7%
1	Ticked	22	0.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	309	8.7%
96		3403	
Sysmiss		158892	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka101v: Cancelling subscription: Other reason

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5310 /-] [Invalid=160530 /-]
Definition	Follow-up question to ka100.
Literal question	For what reason have you considered cancelling your subscription? - Other reason
Notes	1995-1997 (National)

ka101v: Cancelling subscription: Other reason

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	4769	89.8%
1	Ticked	148	2.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	393	7.4%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		160530	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200a: General impression of TV channels: SVT1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=41940 /-] [Invalid=123900 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000- (National): "SVT1".
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT1
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	8425	20.1%
2	Rather positive	20121	48.0%
3	Neither positive nor negative	8160	19.5%
4	Rather negative	1676	4.0%
5	Very negative	631	1.5%
6	No opinion	1495	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	371	0.9%
98	Several responses given	26	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1035	2.5%
96	Question not asked	74304	
Sysmiss		49596	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200b: General impression of TV channels: SVT2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=41940 /-] [Invalid=123900 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000- (National): "SVT2".
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT2
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	7308	17.4%
2	Rather positive	19682	46.9%
3	Neither positive nor negative	8999	21.5%
4	Rather negative	1909	4.6%
5	Very negative	735	1.8%
6	No opinion	1740	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	502	1.2%

ka200b: General impression of TV channels: SVT2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	30	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1035	2.5%
96	Question not asked	74304	
Sysmiss		49596	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200c: General impression of TV channels: TV3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=41940 /-] [Invalid=123900 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV3
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	2381	5.7%
2	Rather positive	9463	22.6%
3	Neither positive nor negative	10755	25.6%
4	Rather negative	5326	12.7%
5	Very negative	1890	4.5%
6	No opinion	8828	21.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2234	5.3%
98	Several responses given	28	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1035	2.5%
96	Question not asked	74304	
Sysmiss		49596	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200d: General impression of TV channels: TV4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=41940 /-] [Invalid=123900 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV4
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	7118	17.0%
2	Rather positive	19851	47.3%
3	Neither positive nor negative	8705	20.8%
4	Rather negative	2378	5.7%
5	Very negative	750	1.8%
6	No opinion	1576	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	489	1.2%
98	Several responses given	38	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1035	2.5%
96	Question not asked	74304	
Sysmiss		49596	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200e: General impression of TV channels: Kanal 5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ka200e: General impression of TV channels: Kanal 5

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=41940 /-] [Invalid=123900 /-]		
Definition	The item wording has changed. 1993 (National): "TV5 Nordic"; 1994 (National): "'Femman' (TV5)"; 1995 (National): "'Femman'"; 1996-1999 (National): "Kanal 5 - 'Femman'"; 2000- (National): "Kanal 5".		
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kanal 5		
Notes	1993- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	2696	6.4%
2	Rather positive	9042	21.6%
3	Neither positive nor negative	10354	24.7%
4	Rather negative	3477	8.3%
5	Very negative	1327	3.2%
6	No opinion	11827	28.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2145	5.1%
98	Several responses given	37	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1035	2.5%
96	Question not asked	74304	
Sysmiss		49596	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200f: General impression of TV channels: ZTV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16093 /-] [Invalid=149747 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - ZTV
Notes	1994-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	361	2.2%
2	Rather positive	1180	7.3%
3	Neither positive nor negative	2514	15.6%
4	Rather negative	994	6.2%
5	Very negative	486	3.0%
6	No opinion	8698	54.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1388	8.6%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	468	2.9%
96	Question not asked	8553	
Sysmiss		141194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200g: General impression of TV channels: TV6

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=40109 /-] [Invalid=125731 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV6
Notes	1994-2001, 2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	1269	3.2%
2	Rather positive	4811	12.0%

ka200g: General impression of TV channels: TV6

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither positive nor negative	9960	24.8%
4	Rather negative	3010	7.5%
5	Very negative	1205	3.0%
6	No opinion	16599	41.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2228	5.6%
98	Several responses given	34	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	993	2.5%
96	Question not asked	72526	
Sysmiss		53205	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200h: General impression of TV channels: TV21

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7014 /-] [Invalid=158826 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV21
Notes	1994-1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	25	0.4%
2	Rather positive	80	1.1%
3	Neither positive nor negative	489	7.0%
4	Rather negative	232	3.3%
5	Very negative	337	4.8%
6	No opinion	5075	72.4%
97	No response - part of the question	606	8.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	170	2.4%
Sysmiss		158826	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200i: General impression of TV channels: TV8

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7372 /-] [Invalid=158468 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV8
Notes	1998-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	67	0.9%
2	Rather positive	263	3.6%
3	Neither positive nor negative	773	10.5%
4	Rather negative	149	2.0%
5	Very negative	136	1.8%
6	No opinion	4991	67.7%
97	No response - part of the question	722	9.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	266	3.6%
96	Question not asked	6924	

ka200i: General impression of TV channels: TV8

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		151544	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200j: General impression of TV channels: Viasat Nature/Action

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7303 /-] [Invalid=158537 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Viasat Nature/Action
Notes	2002-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	232	3.2%
2	Rather positive	682	9.3%
3	Neither positive nor negative	787	10.8%
4	Rather negative	127	1.7%
5	Very negative	51	0.7%
6	No opinion	4707	64.5%
97	No response - part of the question	528	7.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	182	2.5%
96	Question not asked	7092	
Sysmiss		151445	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200k: General impression of TV channels: SVT B Barnkanalen

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25893 /-] [Invalid=139947 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 2003 (National): "Barnkanalen"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT B Barnkanalen
Notes	2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	1836	7.1%
2	Rather positive	3928	15.2%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4065	15.7%
4	Rather negative	360	1.4%
5	Very negative	216	0.8%
6	No opinion	13815	53.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1080	4.2%
98	Several responses given	26	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	567	2.2%
96	Question not asked	65782	
Sysmiss		74165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200l: General impression of TV channels: SVT24

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18883 /-] [Invalid=146957 /-]

ka200l: General impression of TV channels: SVT24

Definition	The item wording has changed. 2003 (National): "24"; 2004- (National): "SVT24".
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - SVT24
Notes	2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	859	4.5%
2	Rather positive	3998	21.2%
3	Neither positive nor negative	4641	24.6%
4	Rather negative	445	2.4%
5	Very negative	195	1.0%
6	No opinion	7462	39.5%
97	No response - part of the question	788	4.2%
98	Several responses given	36	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	459	2.4%
96	Question not asked	29478	
Sysmiss		117479	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200m: General impression of TV channels: TV4 Plus

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15546 /-] [Invalid=150294 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - TV4 Plus
Notes	2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	742	4.8%
2	Rather positive	3554	22.9%
3	Neither positive nor negative	3888	25.0%
4	Rather negative	579	3.7%
5	Very negative	182	1.2%
6	No opinion	5584	35.9%
97	No response - part of the question	636	4.1%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	366	2.4%
96	Question not asked	19923	
Sysmiss		130371	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200n: General impression of TV channels: Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25695 /-] [Invalid=140145 /-]
Definition	The item wording has changed. 2004-2006, 2008-2010 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2006 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2011- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)".
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)
Notes	2004- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	3449	13.4%
2	Rather positive	6498	25.3%

ka200n: General impression of TV channels: Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither positive nor negative	4742	18.5%
4	Rather negative	313	1.2%
5	Very negative	206	0.8%
6	No opinion	9100	35.4%
97	No response - part of the question	813	3.2%
98	Several responses given	22	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	552	2.1%
96	Question not asked	65564	
Sysmiss		74581	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka200o: General impression of TV channels: Kanal 9

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11704 /-] [Invalid=154136 /-]
Literal question	What is your general impression of the Swedish TV channels? - Kanal 9
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	296	2.5%
2	Rather positive	1412	12.1%
3	Neither positive nor negative	3414	29.2%
4	Rather negative	565	4.8%
5	Very negative	213	1.8%
6	No opinion	5068	43.3%
97	No response - part of the question	441	3.8%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	287	2.5%
96	Question not asked	22535	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250a: TV channel with the best programs: Children´s programs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=127004 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Children's programs
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	7658	19.7%

ka250a: TV channel with the best programs: Children´s programs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	SVT2	959	2.5%
3	SVT1/SVT2	918	2.4%
4	TV3	919	2.4%
5	TV4	1068	2.8%
6	Kanal 5	119	0.3%
7	TV6	5	0.0%
8	ZTV	11	0.0%
9	TV8	2	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	8	0.0%
11	SVT24	4	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	97	0.2%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	2905	7.5%
30	Other channel	1184	3.0%
31	No opinion	19892	51.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1875	4.8%
98	Several responses given	152	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		88862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250b: TV channel with the best programs: News

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=127004 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - News
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	9621	24.8%
2	SVT2	9249	23.8%
3	SVT1/SVT2	2058	5.3%
4	TV3	273	0.7%
5	TV4	12073	31.1%
6	Kanal 5	8	0.0%
7	TV6	3	0.0%
8	ZTV	2	0.0%
9	TV8	0	
10	Viasat Nature/Action	1	0.0%

ka250b: TV channel with the best programs: News

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	SVT24	84	0.2%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	9	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	8	0.0%
30	Other channel	237	0.6%
31	No opinion	2485	6.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1079	2.8%
98	Several responses given	586	1.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		88862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250c: TV channel with the best programs: Sports

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=127004 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Sports
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	4462	11.5%
2	SVT2	4370	11.3%
3	SVT1/SVT2	861	2.2%
4	TV3	946	2.4%
5	TV4	6953	17.9%
6	Kanal 5	55	0.1%
7	TV6	19	0.0%
8	ZTV	5	0.0%
9	TV8	16	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	4	0.0%
11	SVT24	54	0.1%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	11	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	3	0.0%
30	Other channel	4386	11.3%
31	No opinion	13651	35.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1664	4.3%
98	Several responses given	316	0.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	

ka250c: TV channel with the best programs: Sports

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		88862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250d: TV channel with the best programs: Light entertainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=33902 /-] [Invalid=131938 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Light entertainment
Notes	1992-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	2648	7.8%
2	SVT2	3492	10.3%
3	SVT1/SVT2	745	2.2%
4	TV3	2580	7.6%
5	TV4	11705	34.5%
6	Kanal 5	1879	5.5%
7	TV6	10	0.0%
8	ZTV	48	0.1%
9	TV8	6	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	6	0.0%
11	SVT24	14	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	13	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	4	0.0%
30	Other channel	697	2.1%
31	No opinion	7056	20.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1800	5.3%
98	Several responses given	253	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	946	2.8%
96	Question not asked	25464	
Sysmiss		106474	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250e: TV channel with the best programs: Entertainment programs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4934 /-] [Invalid=160906 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Entertainment programs
Notes	2011- (National)

ka250e: TV channel with the best programs: Entertainment programs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	1008	20.4%
2	SVT2	199	4.0%
3	SVT1/SVT2	0	
4	TV3	239	4.8%
5	TV4	1503	30.5%
6	Kanal 5	362	7.3%
7	TV6	0	
8	ZTV	0	
9	TV8	0	
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	0	
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	16	0.3%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	2	0.0%
30	Other channel	279	5.7%
31	No opinion	960	19.5%
97	No response - part of the question	161	3.3%
98	Several responses given	91	1.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	114	2.3%
96	Question not asked	12678	
Sysmiss		148228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250f: TV channel with the best programs: Nature programs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=127004 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Nature programs
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	8966	23.1%
2	SVT2	6170	15.9%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1374	3.5%
4	TV3	289	0.7%
5	TV4	3096	8.0%
6	Kanal 5	274	0.7%
7	TV6	371	1.0%
8	ZTV	9	0.0%
9	TV8	47	0.1%

ka250f: TV channel with the best programs: Nature programs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Viasat Nature/Action	368	0.9%
11	SVT24	14	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	705	1.8%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	12	0.0%
30	Other channel	5286	13.6%
31	No opinion	8841	22.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1629	4.2%
98	Several responses given	325	0.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		88862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250g: TV channel with the best programs: Public affairs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=127004 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Public affairs
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	7968	20.5%
2	SVT2	8281	21.3%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1802	4.6%
4	TV3	589	1.5%
5	TV4	3657	9.4%
6	Kanal 5	69	0.2%
7	TV6	6	0.0%
8	ZTV	6	0.0%
9	TV8	19	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	2	0.0%
11	SVT24	63	0.2%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	470	1.2%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	9	0.0%
30	Other channel	653	1.7%
31	No opinion	11527	29.7%
97	No response - part of the question	2364	6.1%
98	Several responses given	291	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%

ka250g: TV channel with the best programs: Public affairs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		88862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250h: TV channel with the best programs: Theatre, opera, concert

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=127004 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Theatre, opera, concert
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	4699	12.1%
2	SVT2	3604	9.3%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1016	2.6%
4	TV3	124	0.3%
5	TV4	841	2.2%
6	Kanal 5	35	0.1%
7	TV6	4	0.0%
8	ZTV	76	0.2%
9	TV8	9	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	9	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	60	0.2%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	4	0.0%
30	Other channel	1024	2.6%
31	No opinion	23822	61.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2282	5.9%
98	Several responses given	167	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		88862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250i: TV channel with the best programs: TV series

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12581 /-] [Invalid=153259 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen".

ka250i: TV channel with the best programs: TV series

	"TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - TV series
Notes	1992-1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	1269	10.1%
2	SVT2	1290	10.3%
3	SVT1/SVT2	0	
4	TV3	1023	8.1%
5	TV4	3595	28.6%
6	Kanal 5	134	1.1%
7	TV6	0	
8	ZTV	2	0.0%
9	TV8	2	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	0	
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	0	
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	0	
30	Other channel	375	3.0%
31	No opinion	3816	30.3%
97	No response - part of the question	762	6.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	313	2.5%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		151519	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250j: TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5541 /-] [Invalid=160299 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Swedish TV series
Notes	1999-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	954	17.2%
2	SVT2	667	12.0%

ka250j: TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	SVT1/SVT2	0	
4	TV3	178	3.2%
5	TV4	1195	21.6%
6	Kanal 5	60	1.1%
7	TV6	3	0.1%
8	ZTV	0	
9	TV8	0	
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	0	
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	0	
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	0	
30	Other channel	9	0.2%
31	No opinion	1842	33.2%
97	No response - part of the question	311	5.6%
98	Several responses given	62	1.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	260	4.7%
96	Question not asked	5146	
Sysmiss		155153	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250k: TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series/drama/comedy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20714 /-] [Invalid=145126 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Swedish TV series/drama/comedy
Notes	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	4511	21.8%
2	SVT2	1252	6.0%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1660	8.0%
4	TV3	444	2.1%
5	TV4	4190	20.2%
6	Kanal 5	329	1.6%
7	TV6	0	
8	ZTV	2	0.0%
9	TV8	1	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	7	0.0%

ka250k: TV channel with the best programs: Swedish TV series/drama/comedy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	8	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	8	0.0%
30	Other channel	239	1.2%
31	No opinion	6398	30.9%
97	No response - part of the question	866	4.2%
98	Several responses given	312	1.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	487	2.4%
96	Question not asked	31256	
Sysmiss		113870	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250l: TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5541 /-] [Invalid=160299 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Foreign TV series
Notes	1999-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	202	3.6%
2	SVT2	314	5.7%
3	SVT1/SVT2	0	
4	TV3	568	10.3%
5	TV4	1278	23.1%
6	Kanal 5	504	9.1%
7	TV6	6	0.1%
8	ZTV	7	0.1%
9	TV8	3	0.1%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	0	
11	SVT24	0	
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	0	
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	0	
30	Other channel	63	1.1%
31	No opinion	1929	34.8%
97	No response - part of the question	357	6.4%
98	Several responses given	50	0.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	260	4.7%
96	Question not asked	5146	
Sysmiss		155153	

ka250l: TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250m: TV channel with the best programs: Foreign TV series/drama/comedy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20714 /-] [Invalid=145126 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Foreign TV series/drama/comedy
Notes	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	1333	6.4%
2	SVT2	583	2.8%
3	SVT1/SVT2	533	2.6%
4	TV3	1676	8.1%
5	TV4	3513	17.0%
6	Kanal 5	3236	15.6%
7	TV6	0	
8	ZTV	13	0.1%
9	TV8	1	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	2	0.0%
11	SVT24	8	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	15	0.1%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	6	0.0%
30	Other channel	1299	6.3%
31	No opinion	6773	32.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1024	4.9%
98	Several responses given	212	1.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	487	2.4%
96	Question not asked	31256	
Sysmiss		113870	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250n: TV channel with the best programs: Feature films

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=127004 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007

ka250n: TV channel with the best programs: Feature films

	(National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Feature films
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	2360	6.1%
2	SVT2	1908	4.9%
3	SVT1/SVT2	559	1.4%
4	TV3	3937	10.1%
5	TV4	8557	22.0%
6	Kanal 5	2047	5.3%
7	TV6	22	0.1%
8	ZTV	73	0.2%
9	TV8	3	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	14	0.0%
11	SVT24	12	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	8	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	12	0.0%
30	Other channel	4982	12.8%
31	No opinion	11105	28.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1838	4.7%
98	Several responses given	339	0.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		88862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250o: TV channel with the best programs: Popular music

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38836 /-] [Invalid=127004 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Popular music
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	1729	4.5%
2	SVT2	1725	4.4%
3	SVT1/SVT2	351	0.9%
4	TV3	316	0.8%
5	TV4	2629	6.8%

ka250o: TV channel with the best programs: Popular music

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Kanal 5	120	0.3%
7	TV6	8	0.0%
8	ZTV	1415	3.6%
9	TV8	15	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	2	0.0%
11	SVT24	7	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	16	0.0%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	5	0.0%
30	Other channel	6331	16.3%
31	No opinion	20813	53.6%
97	No response - part of the question	2204	5.7%
98	Several responses given	90	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1060	2.7%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		88862	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250p: TV channel with the best programs: Youth/teen programs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36947 /-] [Invalid=128893 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Youth/teen programs
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	2507	6.8%
2	SVT2	1372	3.7%
3	SVT1/SVT2	466	1.3%
4	TV3	1627	4.4%
5	TV4	2864	7.8%
6	Kanal 5	1225	3.3%
7	TV6	4	0.0%
8	ZTV	352	1.0%
9	TV8	1	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	1	0.0%
11	SVT24	7	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	144	0.4%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	254	0.7%
30	Other channel	894	2.4%

ka250p: TV channel with the best programs: Youth/teen programs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31	No opinion	21794	59.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2291	6.2%
98	Several responses given	113	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	1031	2.8%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		90751	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250q: TV channel with the best programs: Arts and culture programs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36947 /-] [Invalid=128893 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Arts and culture programs
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	5587	15.1%
2	SVT2	5881	15.9%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1382	3.7%
4	TV3	149	0.4%
5	TV4	1098	3.0%
6	Kanal 5	43	0.1%
7	TV6	8	0.0%
8	ZTV	14	0.0%
9	TV8	15	0.0%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	4	0.0%
11	SVT24	27	0.1%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	483	1.3%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	11	0.0%
30	Other channel	617	1.7%
31	No opinion	17869	48.4%
97	No response - part of the question	2524	6.8%
98	Several responses given	204	0.6%
99	No response - entire question battery	1031	2.8%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		90751	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka250r: TV channel with the best programs: Documentaries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ka250r: TV channel with the best programs: Documentaries

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31609 /-] [Invalid=134231 /-]
Definition	The response alternatives available have changed. 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1"; 1992-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2"; 2006-2007 (National): "SVT1/SVT2"; 1998- (National): "Kanal 5"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV6"; 1998-2003 (National): "ZTV"; 1998-2002 (National): "TV8"; 2002-2003 (National): "Viasat Nature/Action"; 2004-2007 (National): "SVT24"; 2004- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)"; 2005- (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen". "TV3", "TV4", "Other channel" och "No opinion" has not changed. Changes: "SVT1": 1992-1995 (National): "Channel 1"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT1 - 'Ettan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT1". "SVT2": 1992-1995 (National): "TV2"; 1996-1999 (National): "SVT2 - 'Tvåan'"; 2000-2005, 2008- (National): "SVT2". "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)": 2004-2006, 2008 (National): "SVT/UR Kunskapskanalen"; 2007 (National): "SVT Kunskapskanalen"; 2009- (National): "Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)". "SVT B Barnkanalen": 2005-2007 (National): "SVT B Barnkanalen"; 2008- (National): "SVT Barnkanalen". "Other channel": 1992-1997 (National): "Other TV channel"; 1998- (National): "Other channel".
Literal question	In your opinion, which TV channel has the best programs regarding: - Documentaries
Notes	1996- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SVT1	4795	15.2%
2	SVT2	4459	14.1%
3	SVT1/SVT2	1293	4.1%
4	TV3	566	1.8%
5	TV4	3495	11.1%
6	Kanal 5	397	1.3%
7	TV6	46	0.1%
8	ZTV	4	0.0%
9	TV8	94	0.3%
10	Viasat Nature/Action	17	0.1%
11	SVT24	13	0.0%
12	Kunskapskanalen (SVT/UR)	879	2.8%
13	SVT B Barnkanalen	15	0.0%
30	Other channel	3353	10.6%
31	No opinion	9371	29.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1573	5.0%
98	Several responses given	329	1.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	910	2.9%
96	Question not asked	38142	
Sysmiss		96089	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka300: Is the television licence you pay for Sveriges television worth the price?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1889 /-] [Invalid=163951 /-]
Definition	Includes a "do not know" response.
Literal question	In your opinion, is the television licence fee you pay for Sveriges television worth the price or not?
Notes	1992 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	194	10.3%
2	Generally worth the price	791	41.9%
3	Not really worth the price	520	27.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	223	11.8%
5	Unsure, do not know	150	7.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	11	0.6%
Sysmiss		163951	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka301: Is the television licence you pay for Sveriges television worth the price?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=47198 /-] [Invalid=118642 /-]
Literal question	In your opinion, is the television licence fee you pay for Sveriges television worth the price or not?
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	5697	12.1%
2	Generally worth the price	18754	39.7%
3	Not really worth the price	13468	28.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	7893	16.7%
98	Several responses given	20	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1366	2.9%
96	Question not asked	101664	
Sysmiss		16978	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka500a: Trust in media: The local morning press

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5260 /-] [Invalid=160580 /-]
Definition	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-). The question wording has changed. 1990 (National): "How much confidence do you have in Swedish mass media?"; 1993, 1998 (National): "To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below?"
Literal question	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The local morning press
Notes	1990, 1993, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	417	7.9%
2	Quite high trust	2496	47.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1644	31.3%
4	Quite low trust	241	4.6%
5	Very low trust	108	2.1%

ka500a: Trust in media: The local morning press

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	267	5.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		158840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka500b: Trust in media: The morning press in Stockholm

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5260 /-] [Invalid=160580 /-]
Definition	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-). The question wording has changed. 1990 (National): "How much confidence do you have in Swedish mass media?"; 1993, 1998 (National): "To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below?"
Literal question	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The morning press in Stockholm
Notes	1990, 1993, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	184	3.5%
2	Quite high trust	1110	21.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2247	42.7%
4	Quite low trust	326	6.2%
5	Very low trust	329	6.3%
97	No response - part of the question	977	18.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		158840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka500c: Trust in media: The evening press

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5260 /-] [Invalid=160580 /-]
Definition	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-). The question wording has changed. 1990 (National): "How much confidence do you have in Swedish mass media?"; 1993, 1998 (National): "To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below?"
Literal question	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - The evening press
Notes	1990, 1993, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	74	1.4%
2	Quite high trust	659	12.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1738	33.0%
4	Quite low trust	1306	24.8%
5	Very low trust	926	17.6%
97	No response - part of the question	470	8.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	1740	

ka500c: Trust in media: The evening press

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss			158840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka500d: Trust in media: Swedish Televison (SVT1, SVT2)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5260 /-] [Invalid=160580 /-]
Definition	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-). The item wording has changed. 1990 (National): "Swedish Television"; 1993 (National): "Swedish Television (Channel 1/ TV2)"; 1998 (National): "Swedish Televison (SVT1, SVT2)". The question wording has changed. 1990 (National): "How much confidence do you have in Swedish mass media?"; 1993, 1998 (National): "To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below?"
Literal question	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - Swedish Televison (SVT1, SVT2)
Notes	1990, 1993, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	670	12.7%
2	Quite high trust	2872	54.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1294	24.6%
4	Quite low trust	149	2.8%
5	Very low trust	66	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	122	2.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		158840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka500e: Trust in media: TV4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3678 /-] [Invalid=162162 /-]
Definition	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - TV4
Notes	1993, 1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	349	9.5%
2	Quite high trust	1765	48.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1102	30.0%
4	Quite low trust	160	4.4%
5	Very low trust	64	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	176	4.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	62	1.7%
96	Question not asked	1740	
Sysmiss		160422	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka500f: Trust in media: National Radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ka500f: Trust in media: National Radio

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3439 /-] [Invalid=162401 /-]
Definition	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-). The question wording has changed. 1990 (National): "How much confidence do you have in Swedish mass media?"; 1993 (National): "To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below?"
Literal question	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - National Radio
Notes	1990, 1993 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	568	16.5%
2	Quite high trust	1800	52.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	801	23.3%
4	Quite low trust	86	2.5%
5	Very low trust	41	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	94	2.7%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	49	1.4%
Sysmiss		162401	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka500g: Trust in media: Radio channel P4 (former local radio)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3439 /-] [Invalid=162401 /-]
Definition	See also ka501 (1999-2008) and ka502 (2010-). The item wording has changed. 1990 (National): "Local radio"; 1994 (National): "Radio channel P4 (former local radio)".
Literal question	To what extent do you trust the Swedish mass media named below? - Radio channel P4 (former local radio)
Notes	1990, 1993 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	359	10.4%
2	Quite high trust	1346	39.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1231	35.8%
4	Quite low trust	210	6.1%
5	Very low trust	113	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	131	3.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	49	1.4%
Sysmiss		162401	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501a: Trust in media content: Swedish Television

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16212 /-] [Invalid=149628 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Swedish Television
Notes	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2984	18.4%
2	Quite high trust	8171	50.4%

ka501a: Trust in media content: Swedish Television

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither high nor low trust	3169	19.5%
4	Quite low trust	298	1.8%
5	Very low trust	155	1.0%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	468	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	265	1.6%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	4.2%
96	Question not asked	15465	
Sysmiss		134163	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501b: Trust in media content: TV4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16212 /-] [Invalid=149628 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV4
Notes	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1878	11.6%
2	Quite high trust	7807	48.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4236	26.1%
4	Quite low trust	640	3.9%
5	Very low trust	192	1.2%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	381	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	382	2.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	4.2%
96	Question not asked	15465	
Sysmiss		134163	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501c: Trust in media content: Other private tv channels

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16212 /-] [Invalid=149628 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Other private tv channels
Notes	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	271	1.7%
2	Quite high trust	2035	12.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5820	35.9%
4	Quite low trust	1808	11.2%
5	Very low trust	809	5.0%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	3752	23.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1020	6.3%

ka501c: Trust in media content: Other private tv channels

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	4.2%
96	Question not asked	15465	
Sysmiss		134163	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501d: Trust in media content: Radio Sweden´s national channels (P1, P2, P3)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17755 /-] [Invalid=148085 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden´s national channels (P1, P2, P3)
Notes	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	3524	19.8%
2	Quite high trust	6293	35.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3397	19.1%
4	Quite low trust	517	2.9%
5	Very low trust	232	1.3%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	2291	12.9%
97	No response - part of the question	742	4.2%
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	747	4.2%
96	Question not asked	20211	
Sysmiss		127874	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501e: Trust in media content: Radio Sweden´s local channels (P4)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17755 /-] [Invalid=148085 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden´s local channels (P4)
Notes	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2879	16.2%
2	Quite high trust	6700	37.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3996	22.5%
4	Quite low trust	573	3.2%
5	Very low trust	209	1.2%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	2009	11.3%
97	No response - part of the question	629	3.5%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	747	4.2%
96	Question not asked	20211	
Sysmiss		127874	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501f: Trust in media content: Private local radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17755 /-] [Invalid=148085 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Private local radio
Notes	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1114	6.3%
2	Quite high trust	2216	12.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4837	27.2%
4	Quite low trust	1653	9.3%
5	Very low trust	841	4.7%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	5395	30.4%
97	No response - part of the question	941	5.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	747	4.2%
96	Question not asked	20211	
Sysmiss		127874	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501g: Trust in media content: The local morning paper in your area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17755 /-] [Invalid=148085 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - The local morning paper in your area
Notes	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	3216	18.1%
2	Quite high trust	7294	41.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3562	20.1%
4	Quite low trust	675	3.8%
5	Very low trust	205	1.2%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	1527	8.6%
97	No response - part of the question	511	2.9%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	747	4.2%
96	Question not asked	20211	
Sysmiss		127874	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501h: Trust in media content: Stockholm´s daily papers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14381 /-] [Invalid=151459 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Stockholm´s daily papers (Dagens Nyheter, Svenska Dagbladet)
Notes	1999-2001, 2003-2006, 2008 (National)

ka501h: Trust in media content: Stockholm´s daily papers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust	1556		10.8%
2	Quite high trust	2777		19.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2178		15.1%
4	Quite low trust	260		1.8%
5	Very low trust	399		2.8%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	5959		41.4%
97	No response - part of the question	632		4.4%
98	Several responses given	22		0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	598		4.2%
96	Question not asked	13687		
Sysmiss		137772		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501i: Trust in media content: Dagens Nyheter

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1831 /-] [Invalid=164009 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Dagens Nyheter
Notes	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust	175		9.6%
2	Quite high trust	389		21.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	342		18.7%
4	Quite low trust	43		2.3%
5	Very low trust	35		1.9%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	642		35.1%
97	No response - part of the question	116		6.3%
98	Several responses given	2		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87		4.8%
96	Question not asked	1778		
Sysmiss		162231		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501j: Trust in media content: Svenska Dagbladet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1831 /-] [Invalid=164009 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Svenska Dagbladet
Notes	2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high trust	128		7.0%
2	Quite high trust	302		16.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	356		19.4%
4	Quite low trust	49		2.7%
5	Very low trust	51		2.8%

ka501j: Trust in media content: Svenska Dagbladet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	742	40.5%
97	No response - part of the question	114	6.2%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	4.8%
96	Question not asked	1778	
Sysmiss		162231	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501k: Trust in media content: Tabloids (Aftonbladet, Expressen, GT, Kvällsposten)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16212 /-] [Invalid=149628 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Tabloids (Aftonbladet, Expressen, GT, Kvällsposten)
Notes	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	673	4.2%
2	Quite high trust	2762	17.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4612	28.4%
4	Quite low trust	3169	19.5%
5	Very low trust	1728	10.7%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	2058	12.7%
97	No response - part of the question	510	3.1%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	4.2%
96	Question not asked	15465	
Sysmiss		134163	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501l: Trust in media content: News services on the internet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16212 /-] [Invalid=149628 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - News services on the internet
Notes	1999-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	548	3.4%
2	Quite high trust	2876	17.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4495	27.7%
4	Quite low trust	744	4.6%
5	Very low trust	505	3.1%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	5489	33.9%
97	No response - part of the question	855	5.3%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	685	4.2%

ka501l: Trust in media content: News services on the internet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	15465	
Sysmiss		134163	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka501m: Trust in media content: The daily free newspapers in large cities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8840 /-] [Invalid=157000 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka502 (2010-). The question wording has changed. 1999-2000 (Riks): "Hur stort förtroende har du för innehållet i följande massmedier?" 2001-2003, 2006 och 2008 formulerades frågan som angivet ovan.
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - The daily free newspapers in large cities (Metro, Stockholm City)
Notes	2003-2006, 2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	298	3.4%
2	Quite high trust	1370	15.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2396	27.1%
4	Quite low trust	564	6.4%
5	Very low trust	297	3.4%
6	Never listen to/watch/read it	3232	36.6%
97	No response - part of the question	351	4.0%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	323	3.7%
96	Question not asked	8541	
Sysmiss		148459	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502a: Trust in media content: Swedish Television (SVT)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20516 /-] [Invalid=145324 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Swedish Television (SVT)
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	6330	30.9%
2	Quite high trust	8617	42.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2712	13.2%
4	Quite low trust	584	2.8%
5	Very low trust	509	2.5%
6	No opinion	988	4.8%
97	No response - part of the question	358	1.7%
98	Several responses given	27	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	391	1.9%
96	Question not asked	81294	
Sysmiss		64030	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502b: Trust in media content: TV3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18774 /-] [Invalid=147066 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV3
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	409	2.2%
2	Quite high trust	2368	12.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5839	31.1%
4	Quite low trust	2869	15.3%
5	Very low trust	1643	8.8%
6	No opinion	4244	22.6%
97	No response - part of the question	989	5.3%
98	Several responses given	29	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	384	2.0%
96	Question not asked	76160	
Sysmiss		70906	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502c: Trust in media content: TV4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20516 /-] [Invalid=145324 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - TV4
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2406	11.7%
2	Quite high trust	8104	39.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5336	26.0%
4	Quite low trust	1299	6.3%
5	Very low trust	739	3.6%
6	No opinion	1568	7.6%
97	No response - part of the question	617	3.0%
98	Several responses given	56	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	391	1.9%
96	Question not asked	81294	
Sysmiss		64030	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502d: Trust in media content: Kanal 5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18774 /-] [Invalid=147066 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Kanal 5
Notes	2010- (National)

ka502d: Trust in media content: Kanal 5

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	321	1.7%
2	Quite high trust	1849	9.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5631	30.0%
4	Quite low trust	2680	14.3%
5	Very low trust	1567	8.3%
6	No opinion	5041	26.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1282	6.8%
98	Several responses given	19	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	384	2.0%
96	Question not asked	76160	
Sysmiss		70906	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502e: Trust in media content: Radio Sweden (SR)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18774 /-] [Invalid=147066 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Radio Sweden (SR)
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	6118	32.6%
2	Quite high trust	6522	34.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2288	12.2%
4	Quite low trust	467	2.5%
5	Very low trust	440	2.3%
6	No opinion	1764	9.4%
97	No response - part of the question	659	3.5%
98	Several responses given	132	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	384	2.0%
96	Question not asked	76160	
Sysmiss		70906	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502f: Trust in media content: Mix Megapol [private local radio]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18774 /-] [Invalid=147066 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Mix Megapol [private local radio]
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	266	1.4%
2	Quite high trust	1401	7.5%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4622	24.6%
4	Quite low trust	2276	12.1%
5	Very low trust	1962	10.5%

ka502f: Trust in media content: Mix Megapol [private local radio]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	No opinion	6754	36.0%
97	No response - part of the question	1095	5.8%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	384	2.0%
96	Question not asked	76160	
Sysmiss		70906	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502g: Trust in media content: Rix FM [private local radio]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18774 /-] [Invalid=147066 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Rix FM [private local radio]
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	282	1.5%
2	Quite high trust	1478	7.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	4652	24.8%
4	Quite low trust	2276	12.1%
5	Very low trust	1967	10.5%
6	No opinion	6662	35.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1053	5.6%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	384	2.0%
96	Question not asked	76160	
Sysmiss		70906	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502h: Trust in media content: Aftonbladet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20516 /-] [Invalid=145324 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Aftonbladet
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	692	3.4%
2	Quite high trust	3556	17.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5963	29.1%
4	Quite low trust	3569	17.4%
5	Very low trust	2661	13.0%
6	No opinion	2884	14.1%
97	No response - part of the question	782	3.8%
98	Several responses given	18	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	391	1.9%
96	Question not asked	81294	

ka502h: Trust in media content: Aftonbladet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		64030	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502i: Trust in media content: Expressen

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20516 /-] [Invalid=145324 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Expressen
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	445	2.2%
2	Quite high trust	2735	13.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	5964	29.1%
4	Quite low trust	3658	17.8%
5	Very low trust	2743	13.4%
6	No opinion	3634	17.7%
97	No response - part of the question	915	4.5%
98	Several responses given	31	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	391	1.9%
96	Question not asked	81294	
Sysmiss		64030	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502j: Trust in media content: Dagens Nyheter

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20516 /-] [Invalid=145324 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Dagens Nyheter
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	2575	12.6%
2	Quite high trust	5799	28.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	3651	17.8%
4	Quite low trust	795	3.9%
5	Very low trust	620	3.0%
6	No opinion	5653	27.6%
97	No response - part of the question	999	4.9%
98	Several responses given	33	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	391	1.9%
96	Question not asked	81294	
Sysmiss		64030	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502k: Trust in media content: Metro

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ka502k: Trust in media content: Metro

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=159253 /-]	
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).	
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Metro	
Notes	2010- (National)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	122	1.9%
2	Quite high trust	906	13.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2045	31.0%
4	Quite low trust	618	9.4%
5	Very low trust	334	5.1%
6	No opinion	2174	33.0%
97	No response - part of the question	157	2.4%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502l: Trust in media content: aftonbladet.se

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=159253 /-]	
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).	
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - aftonbladet.se	
Notes	2010- (National)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	161	2.4%
2	Quite high trust	874	13.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1702	25.8%
4	Quite low trust	844	12.8%
5	Very low trust	601	9.1%
6	No opinion	2005	30.4%
97	No response - part of the question	175	2.7%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502m: Trust in media content: expressen.se

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=159253 /-]	
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).	
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - expressen.se	
Notes	2010- (National)	

ka502m: Trust in media content: expressen.se

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	86	1.3%
2	Quite high trust	616	9.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1689	25.6%
4	Quite low trust	845	12.8%
5	Very low trust	621	9.4%
6	No opinion	2323	35.3%
97	No response - part of the question	177	2.7%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502n: Trust in media content: svt.se

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=159253 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - svt.se
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	928	14.1%
2	Quite high trust	1766	26.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1025	15.6%
4	Quite low trust	122	1.9%
5	Very low trust	71	1.1%
6	No opinion	2249	34.1%
97	No response - part of the question	195	3.0%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502o: Trust in media content: dn.se

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=159253 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - dn.se
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	456	6.9%
2	Quite high trust	1394	21.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1242	18.9%
4	Quite low trust	203	3.1%
5	Very low trust	105	1.6%

ka502o: Trust in media content: dn.se

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	No opinion	2767	42.0%
97	No response - part of the question	194	2.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502p: Trust in media content: sverigesradio.se

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=159253 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - sverigesradio.se
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	909	13.8%
2	Quite high trust	1569	23.8%
3	Neither high nor low trust	982	14.9%
4	Quite low trust	86	1.3%
5	Very low trust	72	1.1%
6	No opinion	2551	38.7%
97	No response - part of the question	183	2.8%
98	Several responses given	11	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502q: Trust in media content: tv4.se

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6587 /-] [Invalid=159253 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - tv4.se
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	259	3.9%
2	Quite high trust	1378	20.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	1586	24.1%
4	Quite low trust	296	4.5%
5	Very low trust	154	2.3%
6	No opinion	2483	37.7%
97	No response - part of the question	203	3.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	224	3.4%
96	Question not asked	16032	

ka502q: Trust in media content: tv4.se

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502r: Trust in media content: newsmill.se

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=164187 /-]
Definition	See also ka500 (1990, 1993, 1998) and ka501 (1999-2008).
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - newsmill.se
Notes	2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	3	0.2%
2	Quite high trust	34	2.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	150	9.1%
4	Quite low trust	21	1.3%
5	Very low trust	23	1.4%
6	No opinion	1299	78.6%
97	No response - part of the question	58	3.5%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	64	3.9%
96	Question not asked	3354	
Sysmiss		160833	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka502t: Trust in media content: Local morningpaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13929 /-] [Invalid=151911 /-]
Literal question	How much trust do you have in the contents of the following mass media? - Local morningpaper
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	1893	13.6%
2	Quite high trust	4994	35.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	2749	19.7%
4	Quite low trust	543	3.9%
5	Very low trust	344	2.5%
6	No opinion	2563	18.4%
97	No response - part of the question	647	4.6%
98	Several responses given	29	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	167	1.2%
96	Question not asked	65262	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ka901a: Proposal: Prohibit all forms of pornography

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6517 /-] [Invalid=159323 /-]

ka901a: Proposal: Prohibit all forms of pornography

Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Prohibit all forms of pornography
Notes	2011-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	1337	20.5%
2	Rather good proposal	840	12.9%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	1376	21.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	1021	15.7%
5	Very bad proposal	1118	17.2%
6	No opinion	510	7.8%
97	No response - part of the question	89	1.4%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	217	3.3%
96	Question not asked	24176	
Sysmiss		135147	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

kb10: Are you positive or negative towards commercials on tv?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=42041 /-] [Invalid=123799 /-]
Literal question	Are you positive or negative towards commercials on tv?
Notes	1992- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	736	1.8%
2	Rather positive	5321	12.7%
3	Neither positive nor negative	9986	23.8%
4	Rather negative	14226	33.8%
5	Very negative	10922	26.0%
98	Several responses given	16	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	834	2.0%
96	Question not asked	65296	
Sysmiss		58503	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

la10: Past 12 months: Gone to the cinema

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=112375 /-] [Invalid=53465 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?" West: The question wording has changed. 1993-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the cinema
Notes	1988- (National); 1993- (West)

la10: Past 12 months: Gone to the cinema

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	40216	35.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	23044	20.5%
3	About once every half year	15637	13.9%
4	About once every quarter	17963	16.0%
5	About once every month	10006	8.9%
6	About once a week	1000	0.9%
7	Several times a week	205	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2061	1.8%
98	Several responses given	75	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2168	1.9%
96		50170	
Sysmiss		3295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb10a: Past 12 months: Gone to the theatre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=101700 /-] [Invalid=64140 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1989-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?" West: The question wording has changed. 1993-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the theatre
Notes	1989- (National); 1993-2006, 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	57657	56.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	21311	21.0%
3	About once every half year	9638	9.5%
4	About once every quarter	6746	6.6%
5	About once every month	2100	2.1%
6	About once a week	193	0.2%
7	Several times a week	162	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	1916	1.9%
98	Several responses given	55	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1922	1.9%
96		59202	
Sysmiss		4938	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb10b: Past 12 months: Gone to a rock/pop concert

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=60895 /-] [Invalid=104945 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1999-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"

lb10b: Past 12 months: Gone to a rock/pop concert

	West: The question wording has changed. 1999-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a rock/pop concert
Notes	1999- (National); 1999- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	40493	66.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	10291	16.9%
3	About once every half year	4261	7.0%
4	About once every quarter	2436	4.0%
5	About once every month	735	1.2%
6	About once a week	78	0.1%
7	Several times a week	64	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1174	1.9%
98	Several responses given	56	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1307	2.1%
96		71125	
Sysmiss		33820	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb10c: Past 12 months: Gone to a musical

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14940 /-] [Invalid=150900 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a musical
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	10147	67.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2667	17.9%
3	About once every half year	980	6.6%
4	About once every quarter	472	3.2%
5	About once every month	93	0.6%
6	About once a week	15	0.1%
7	Several times a week	16	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	255	1.7%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	293	2.0%
96		19299	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb10d: Past 12 months: Gone to the ballet, dance performance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25480 /-] [Invalid=140360 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to the ballet, dance performance
Notes	2007- (National)

lb10d: Past 12 months: Gone to the ballet, dance performance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	21988	86.3%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1603	6.3%
3	About once every half year	539	2.1%
4	About once every quarter	285	1.1%
5	About once every month	104	0.4%
6	About once a week	25	0.1%
7	Several times a week	24	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	419	1.6%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	478	1.9%
96		65230	
Sysmiss		75130	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb10e: Past 12 months: Gone to a classical concert, opera

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=43176 /-] [Invalid=122664 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a classical concert, opera
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	34793	80.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3636	8.4%
3	About once every half year	1472	3.4%
4	About once every quarter	1060	2.5%
5	About once every month	539	1.2%
6	About once a week	72	0.2%
7	Several times a week	46	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	644	1.5%
98	Several responses given	40	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	874	2.0%
96		60426	
Sysmiss		62238	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1010a: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Classical theatre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical theatre
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Enjoy it a great deal	215	12.6%
2	Enjoy it some	372	21.8%
3	Do not enjoy it much	287	16.8%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	321	18.8%
5	No opinion	423	24.8%

lb1010a: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Classical theatre

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		162504	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1010b: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Modern theatre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Modern theatre
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Enjoy it a great deal	131	7.7%
2	Enjoy it some	348	20.4%
3	Do not enjoy it much	373	21.9%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	324	19.0%
5	No opinion	434	25.4%
97	No response - part of the question	41	2.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		162504	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1010c: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Society orientated / political theatre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Society orientated / political theatre
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Enjoy it a great deal	105	6.2%
2	Enjoy it some	308	18.0%
3	Do not enjoy it much	384	22.5%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	373	21.9%
5	No opinion	434	25.4%
97	No response - part of the question	47	2.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	56	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		162504	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1010d: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Comedy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Comedy
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Enjoy it a great deal	433	25.4%
2	Enjoy it some	618	36.2%
3	Do not enjoy it much	174	10.2%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	124	7.3%

lb1010d: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Comedy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
5	No opinion	270		15.8%
97	No response - part of the question	31		1.8%
98	Several responses given	1		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56		3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629		
Sysmiss		162504		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1010e: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Farce

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Farce
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Enjoy it a great deal	296		17.3%
2	Enjoy it some	486		28.5%
3	Do not enjoy it much	304		17.8%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	222		13.0%
5	No opinion	303		17.8%
97	No response - part of the question	40		2.3%
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	56		3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629		
Sysmiss		162504		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1010f: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Musical

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Musical
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Enjoy it a great deal	471		27.6%
2	Enjoy it some	505		29.6%
3	Do not enjoy it much	217		12.7%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	166		9.7%
5	No opinion	258		15.1%
97	No response - part of the question	32		1.9%
98	Several responses given	2		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56		3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629		
Sysmiss		162504		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1010g: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Opera

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

lb1010g: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Opera

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]			
Literal question	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Opera			
Notes	2006 (National)			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Enjoy it a great deal	149		8.7%
2	Enjoy it some	225		13.2%
3	Do not enjoy it much	330		19.3%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	515		30.2%
5	No opinion	386		22.6%
97	No response - part of the question	46		2.7%
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	56		3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629		
Sysmiss		162504		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1010h: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Classical music

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]			
Literal question	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical music			
Notes	2006 (National)			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Enjoy it a great deal	187		11.0%
2	Enjoy it some	287		16.8%
3	Do not enjoy it much	296		17.3%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	458		26.8%
5	No opinion	377		22.1%
97	No response - part of the question	45		2.6%
98	Several responses given	1		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56		3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629		
Sysmiss		162504		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1010i: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Classical ballet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]			
Literal question	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical ballet			
Notes	2006 (National)			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Enjoy it a great deal	89		5.2%
2	Enjoy it some	181		10.6%
3	Do not enjoy it much	339		19.9%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	592		34.7%
5	No opinion	395		23.1%

lb1010i: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Classical ballet

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
97	No response - part of the question	54		3.2%
98	Several responses given	1		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56		3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629		
Sysmiss		162504		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1010j: Enjoy watching theatre/performing arts: Other dance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]
Literal question	To what extent do you enjoy watching theatre and other performing arts? - Other dance
Notes	2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Enjoy it a great deal	133		7.8%
2	Enjoy it some	343		20.1%
3	Do not enjoy it much	310		18.2%
4	Do not enjoy it at all	384		22.5%
5	No opinion	420		24.6%
97	No response - part of the question	60		3.5%
98	Several responses given	1		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	56		3.3%
96	Question not asked	1629		
Sysmiss		162504		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1011a: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical theatre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=159051 /-]
Literal question	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical theatre
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very interested	636		9.4%
2	Rather interested	1616		23.8%
3	Not particularly interested	2038		30.0%
4	Not at all interested	2240		33.0%
97	No response - part of the question	105		1.5%
98	Several responses given	1		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	153		2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838		
Sysmiss		149213		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1011b: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Modern theatre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=159051 /-]
Literal question	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Modern theatre

lb1011b: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Modern theatre

	Notes		2007-2010 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very interested	451		6.6%
2	Rather interested	1650		24.3%
3	Not particularly interested	2294		33.8%
4	Not at all interested	2108		31.1%
97	No response - part of the question	131		1.9%
98	Several responses given	2		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	153		2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838		
Sysmiss		149213		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1011c: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Society orientated/polit theatre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=159051 /-]
Literal question	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Society orientated / political theatre
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very interested	374		5.5%
2	Rather interested	1453		21.4%
3	Not particularly interested	2439		35.9%
4	Not at all interested	2199		32.4%
97	No response - part of the question	165		2.4%
98	Several responses given	6		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	153		2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838		
Sysmiss		149213		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1011d: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Comedy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=159051 /-]
Literal question	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Comedy
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very interested	1285		18.9%
2	Rather interested	3092		45.5%
3	Not particularly interested	1290		19.0%
4	Not at all interested	879		12.9%
97	No response - part of the question	83		1.2%
98	Several responses given	7		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	153		2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838		
Sysmiss		149213		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1011e: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Farce

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=159051 /-]
	Literal question	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Farce
	Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	859	12.7%
2	Rather interested	2128	31.3%
3	Not particularly interested	1945	28.6%
4	Not at all interested	1561	23.0%
97	No response - part of the question	140	2.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1011f: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Musical

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=159051 /-]
	Literal question	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Musical
	Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	1487	21.9%
2	Rather interested	2572	37.9%
3	Not particularly interested	1319	19.4%
4	Not at all interested	1166	17.2%
97	No response - part of the question	87	1.3%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1011g: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Opera

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=159051 /-]
	Literal question	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Opera
	Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	474	7.0%
2	Rather interested	1068	15.7%
3	Not particularly interested	2109	31.1%
4	Not at all interested	2857	42.1%
97	No response - part of the question	123	1.8%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%

lb1011g: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Opera

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1011h: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical music

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=159051 /-]
Literal question	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical music
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	686	10.1%
2	Rather interested	1472	21.7%
3	Not particularly interested	1932	28.5%
4	Not at all interested	2428	35.8%
97	No response - part of the question	112	1.6%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1011i: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Classical ballet

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=159051 /-]
Literal question	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Classical ballet
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	319	4.7%
2	Rather interested	727	10.7%
3	Not particularly interested	2001	29.5%
4	Not at all interested	3454	50.9%
97	No response - part of the question	132	1.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lb1011j: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Other dance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6789 /-] [Invalid=159051 /-]
Literal question	How interested are you in watching theatre and other performing arts? - Other dance
Notes	2007-2010 (National)

lb1011j: Interested in watching theatre/performing arts: Other dance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	626	9.2%
2	Rather interested	1810	26.7%
3	Not particularly interested	1888	27.8%
4	Not at all interested	2179	32.1%
97	No response - part of the question	131	1.9%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	153	2.3%
96	Question not asked	9838	
Sysmiss		149213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lc10a: Past 12 months: Gone to see football/ice hockey

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26277 /-] [Invalid=139563 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1999-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?" West: The question wording has changed. 1999-2001 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2005-2006, 2008 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to see football/ice hockey
Notes	1999- (National); 1999-2001, 2005-2006, 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	15939	60.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2477	9.4%
3	About once every half year	1314	5.0%
4	About once every quarter	1566	6.0%
5	About once every month	1826	6.9%
6	About once a week	1164	4.4%
7	Several times a week	493	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	889	3.4%
98	Several responses given	48	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	561	2.1%
96		23488	
Sysmiss		116075	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lc20a: Senaste 12 mÃ¥n: BesÃ¶kt idrottsevenemang

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27190 /-] [Invalid=138650 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ingen gÃ¥ng	11988	44.1%
2	NÃ¥gon gÃ¥ng	3894	14.3%
3	NÃ¥gon gÃ¥ng i halvÃ¥ret	2419	8.9%
4	NÃ¥gon gÃ¥ng i kvartalet	2952	10.9%
5	NÃ¥gon gÃ¥ng i mÃ¥naden	2958	10.9%

lc20a: Senaste 12 mÃ¥n: BesÃ¶kt idrottsevenemang

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	NÃ¥gon gÃ¥ng i veckan	1389	5.1%
7	Flera gÃ¥nger i veckan	488	1.8%
97	Ej svar - del av frÃ¥ga	590	2.2%
98	Dubbelkryss	34	0.1%
99	Ej svar - hela frÃ¥gan	478	1.8%
96	FrÃ¥gan ej stÃ¤lld	58604	
Sysmiss		80046	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ld10a: Past 12 months: Gone to a museum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28705 /-] [Invalid=137135 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a museum
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	14099	49.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	6070	21.1%
3	About once every half year	3396	11.8%
4	About once every quarter	2752	9.6%
5	About once every month	1129	3.9%
6	About once a week	133	0.5%
7	Several times a week	52	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	498	1.7%
98	Several responses given	21	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	555	1.9%
96		68021	
Sysmiss		69114	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ld10b: Past 12 months: Gone to an art exhibition

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28699 /-] [Invalid=137141 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to an art exhibition
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	17013	59.3%
2	About once in the last 12 months	5118	17.8%
3	About once every half year	2493	8.7%
4	About once every quarter	1937	6.7%
5	About once every month	912	3.2%
6	About once a week	128	0.4%
7	Several times a week	45	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	476	1.7%
98	Several responses given	34	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	543	1.9%

ld10b: Past 12 months: Gone to an art exhibition

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96		74903	
Sysmiss		62238	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ld10c: Past 12 months: Visited historical monument/building

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20410 /-] [Invalid=145430 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited historical monument/building
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	7305	35.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4683	22.9%
3	About once every half year	3452	16.9%
4	About once every quarter	2772	13.6%
5	About once every month	1208	5.9%
6	About once a week	185	0.9%
7	Several times a week	58	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	353	1.7%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	383	1.9%
96		55269	
Sysmiss		90161	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ld10d: Past 12 months: Visited ancient monument

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20410 /-] [Invalid=145430 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited ancient monument
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	10999	53.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4462	21.9%
3	About once every half year	2121	10.4%
4	About once every quarter	1411	6.9%
5	About once every month	494	2.4%
6	About once a week	80	0.4%
7	Several times a week	39	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	407	2.0%
98	Several responses given	14	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	383	1.9%
96		55269	
Sysmiss		90161	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ld10e: Past 12 months: Visited nature reserve/national park

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

ld10e: Past 12 months: Visited nature reserve/national park

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20411 /-] [Invalid=145429 /-]		
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Visited nature reserve/national park		
Notes	2008- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	8411	41.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4772	23.4%
3	About once every half year	2578	12.6%
4	About once every quarter	2040	10.0%
5	About once every month	1285	6.3%
6	About once a week	374	1.8%
7	Several times a week	202	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	350	1.7%
98	Several responses given	16	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	383	1.9%
96		55268	
Sysmiss		90161	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

le10a: Past 12 months: Sang in a choir

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16794 /-] [Invalid=149046 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Sang in a choir
Notes	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	15020	89.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	275	1.6%
3	About once every half year	84	0.5%
4	About once every quarter	95	0.6%
5	About once every month	151	0.9%
6	About once a week	416	2.5%
7	Several times a week	101	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	294	1.8%
98	Several responses given	37	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	321	1.9%
96		24280	
Sysmiss		124766	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

le10b: Past 12 months: Played a musical instrument

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16537 /-] [Invalid=149303 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played a musical instrument
Notes	2007-

le10b: Past 12 months: Played a musical instrument

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	12585	76.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	831	5.0%
3	About once every half year	376	2.3%
4	About once every quarter	399	2.4%
5	About once every month	566	3.4%
6	About once a week	489	3.0%
7	Several times a week	639	3.9%
97	No response - part of the question	266	1.6%
98	Several responses given	42	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	344	2.1%
96		17702	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

le10c: Past 12 months: Drawn, painted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44563 /-] [Invalid=121277 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Drawn, painted
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	29830	66.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4212	9.5%
3	About once every half year	2174	4.9%
4	About once every quarter	1997	4.5%
5	About once every month	2461	5.5%
6	About once a week	1423	3.2%
7	Several times a week	875	2.0%
97	No response - part of the question	698	1.6%
98	Several responses given	32	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	861	1.9%
96		55604	
Sysmiss		65673	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

le10d: Past 12 months: Written in diary/written poetry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44563 /-] [Invalid=121277 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Written in diary/written poetry
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	33421	75.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2047	4.6%
3	About once every half year	993	2.2%
4	About once every quarter	932	2.1%
5	About once every month	1387	3.1%

le10d: Past 12 months: Written in diary/written poetry

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	About once a week	1324	3.0%
7	Several times a week	2838	6.4%
97	No response - part of the question	696	1.6%
98	Several responses given	67	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	858	1.9%
96		55604	
Sysmiss		65673	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

le10e: Past 12 months: Played theater, participated in LARP etc.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27077 /-] [Invalid=138763 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played theater, participated in LARP etc.
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	25047	92.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	452	1.7%
3	About once every half year	152	0.6%
4	About once every quarter	156	0.6%
5	About once every month	128	0.5%
6	About once a week	77	0.3%
7	Several times a week	69	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	456	1.7%
98	Several responses given	26	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	514	1.9%
96		63633	
Sysmiss		75130	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

le10f: Past 12 months: Sung in (a) choir(s) or played (a) musical instrument(s)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=49921 /-] [Invalid=115919 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? Sung in (a) choir(s) or played (a) musical instrument(s)
Notes	2014-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	38753	77.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1959	3.9%
3	About once every half year	855	1.7%
4	About once every quarter	879	1.8%
5	About once every month	1445	2.9%
6	About once a week	2322	4.7%
7	Several times a week	2060	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	714	1.4%
98	Several responses given	111	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	823	1.6%

le10f: Past 12 months: Sung in (a) choir(s) or played (a) musical instrument(s)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	29270	
Sysmiss		86649	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma10a: Past 12 months: Socialized with friends

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=148942 /-] [Invalid=16898 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1996-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has changed. 1996-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Socialized with friends
Notes	1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2114	1.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2758	1.9%
3	About once every half year	2701	1.8%
4	About once every quarter	7779	5.2%
5	About once every month	39028	26.2%
6	About once a week	50721	34.1%
7	Several times a week	38584	25.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2035	1.4%
98	Several responses given	213	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3009	2.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		16898	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma10b: Past 12 months: Socialized with neighbors

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=66338 /-] [Invalid=99502 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1996-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has changed. 1996-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Socialized with neighbors
Notes	1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	17148	25.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	6311	9.5%
3	About once every half year	4523	6.8%
4	About once every quarter	6947	10.5%
5	About once every month	12906	19.5%

ma10b: Past 12 months: Socialized with neighbors

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	About once a week	10375	15.6%
7	Several times a week	5343	8.1%
97	No response - part of the question	1255	1.9%
98	Several responses given	55	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1475	2.2%
96		3413	
Sysmiss		96089	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma10c: Past 12 months: Helped/recieved help from neighbor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16372 /-] [Invalid=149468 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2006 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has changed. 1998-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004-2007, 2009-2010 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Helped/recieved help from neighbor
Notes	1998-2006 (National); 1998-2007, 2009-2010 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4266	26.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2236	13.7%
3	About once every half year	1617	9.9%
4	About once every quarter	2214	13.5%
5	About once every month	3243	19.8%
6	About once a week	1363	8.3%
7	Several times a week	578	3.5%
97	No response - part of the question	477	2.9%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	365	2.2%
96		15607	
Sysmiss		133861	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma20a: Past 12 months: Done garden work

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31744 /-] [Invalid=134096 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has changed. 1998-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Done garden work
Notes	1988-1995, 1998-2007 (National); 1994-1995, 1999-2007 (West)

ma20a: Past 12 months: Done garden work

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5882	18.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2615	8.2%
3	About once every half year	1920	6.0%
4	About once every quarter	2203	6.9%
5	About once every month	5822	18.3%
6	About once a week	7452	23.5%
7	Several times a week	4623	14.6%
97	No response - part of the question	702	2.2%
98	Several responses given	23	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	502	1.6%
96		17273	
Sysmiss		116823	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma20b: Past 12 months: Gardening/balcony farming

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8156 /-] [Invalid=157684 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gardening/balcony farming
Notes	2009- (National); 2009- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1623	19.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	538	6.6%
3	About once every half year	560	6.9%
4	About once every quarter	688	8.4%
5	About once every month	1485	18.2%
6	About once a week	1792	22.0%
7	Several times a week	1133	13.9%
97	No response - part of the question	142	1.7%
98	Several responses given	18	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	177	2.2%
96		19389	
Sysmiss		138295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma20c: Past 12 months: Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=45003 /-] [Invalid=120837 /-]
Definition	National: The item wording has changed over time. 1998-2002 (National): "Done repair work on car/motorcycle"; 2003- (National): "Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped". The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The item wording has changed over time. 1998-2009 (National): "Done repair work on car/motorcycle"; 2010- (National): "Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped". The question wording has changed. 1998-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"

ma20c: Past 12 months: Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped

Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Done repair work on car/motorcycle/moped
Notes	1998- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	21012	46.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3634	8.1%
3	About once every half year	3097	6.9%
4	About once every quarter	4483	10.0%
5	About once every month	7041	15.6%
6	About once a week	2649	5.9%
7	Several times a week	1150	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	935	2.1%
98	Several responses given	97	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	905	2.0%
96		63187	
Sysmiss		57650	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma20d: Past 12 months: Baked/pickled/preserved/made fruit-syrup

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23702 /-] [Invalid=142138 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Baked/pickled/preserved/made fruit-syrup
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	7376	31.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2171	9.2%
3	About once every half year	1938	8.2%
4	About once every quarter	2834	12.0%
5	About once every month	5327	22.5%
6	About once a week	2347	9.9%
7	Several times a week	860	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	375	1.6%
98	Several responses given	30	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	444	1.9%
96		64763	
Sysmiss		77375	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30a: Past 12 months: Done individual exercises or practised athletics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13603 /-] [Invalid=152237 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-1995 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?" West: Question wording has not changed.
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Done individual exercises or practised athletics

ma30a: Past 12 months: Done individual exercises or practised athletics

	Notes		1988-1995 (National); 1993-1995 (West)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2002	14.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1182	8.7%
3	About once every half year	500	3.7%
4	About once every quarter	760	5.6%
5	About once every month	1895	13.9%
6	About once a week	3548	26.1%
7	Several times a week	3094	22.7%
97	No response - part of the question	491	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	131	1.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		152237	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30b: Past 12 months: Exercised or practised athletics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3533 /-] [Invalid=162307 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Exercised or practised athletics
Notes	1996-1997 (National); 1996-1997 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	372	10.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	252	7.1%
3	About once every half year	133	3.8%
4	About once every quarter	194	5.5%
5	About once every month	490	13.9%
6	About once a week	936	26.5%
7	Several times a week	945	26.7%
97	No response - part of the question	122	3.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	89	2.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		162307	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30c: Past 12 months: Practised sports/athletics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=51655 /-] [Invalid=114185 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The item wording has changed over time. 1998 (West): "Practised athletics/sports"; 1999-2001, 2005- (National): "Practised sports/athletics". The question wording has changed. 1998-2001 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Practised sports/athletics

ma30c: Past 12 months: Practised sports/athletics

	Notes		1998- (National); 1998-2001, 2005- (West)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	18534		35.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3616		7.0%
3	About once every half year	1759		3.4%
4	About once every quarter	1974		3.8%
5	About once every month	4478		8.7%
6	About once a week	8194		15.9%
7	Several times a week	10094		19.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1831		3.5%
98	Several responses given	96		0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1079		2.1%
96		14563		
Sysmiss		99622		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30d: Past 12 months: Exercised/engaged in outdoor activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29626 /-] [Invalid=136214 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has changed. 1998-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/engaged in outdoor activities
Notes	1998-2005, 2007- (National); 1998-2005, 2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	2878		9.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1827		6.2%
3	About once every half year	987		3.3%
4	About once every quarter	1521		5.1%
5	About once every month	4428		14.9%
6	About once a week	7748		26.2%
7	Several times a week	8525		28.8%
97	No response - part of the question	1100		3.7%
98	Several responses given	34		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	578		2.0%
96		20364		
Sysmiss		115850		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30e: Past 12 months: Worked-out (strength training, aerobics, etc.)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25113 /-] [Invalid=140727 /-]
Definition	National: The item wording has changed over time. 1998 (National): "Tränat på gym (styrketräning/ workout etc)"; 2005- (National): "Tränat på gym (styrketräning, aerobics etc.)".

ma30e: Past 12 months: Worked-out (strength training, aerobics, etc.)

	The question wording has changed. 1998 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2005- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The item wording has changed over time. 1999-2004 (West): "Tränat på gym (aerobics/workout etc.)"; 2005 (West): "Tränat på gym (styrketräning/aerobics etc.)"; 2006-2007 (West): "Tränat på gym (styrketräning, aerobics etc.)". The question wording has changed. 1998-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?" Sedan 2004 har frågan formulerats som angivet ovan.
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Worked-out (strength training, aerobics, etc.)
Notes	1998, 2005- (National); 1999-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	13963	55.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1440	5.7%
3	About once every half year	673	2.7%
4	About once every quarter	926	3.7%
5	About once every month	1558	6.2%
6	About once a week	2605	10.4%
7	Several times a week	2559	10.2%
97	No response - part of the question	836	3.3%
98	Several responses given	108	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	445	1.8%
96		6630	
Sysmiss		134097	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30f: Past 12 months: Exercised (jogging, swimming, Nordic walking, skiing, etc.)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11703 /-] [Invalid=154137 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised (jogging, swimming, Nordic walking, skiing, etc.)
Notes	2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1978	16.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	662	5.7%
3	About once every half year	472	4.0%
4	About once every quarter	600	5.1%
5	About once every month	1652	14.1%
6	About once a week	2814	24.0%
7	Several times a week	2934	25.1%
97	No response - part of the question	343	2.9%
98	Several responses given	19	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	229	2.0%
96		12980	
Sysmiss		141157	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30g1: Past 12 months: Engaged in outdoor activities (forest, sea or lake)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17136 /-] [Invalid=148704 /-]

ma30g1: Past 12 months: Engaged in outdoor activities (forest, sea or lake)

Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-1997 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Engaged in outdoor activities (forest, sea or lake)
Notes	1988-1997 (National); 1993-1997 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	980	5.7%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1831	10.7%
3	About once every half year	1104	6.4%
4	About once every quarter	1738	10.1%
5	About once every month	4250	24.8%
6	About once a week	3938	23.0%
7	Several times a week	2538	14.8%
97	No response - part of the question	537	3.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	220	1.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		148704	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30g: Past 12 months: Engaged in outdoor activities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=88967 /-] [Invalid=76873 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Engaged in outdoor activities
Notes	2006- (National); 2006, 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	3041	3.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2925	3.3%
3	About once every half year	2663	3.0%
4	About once every quarter	5247	5.9%
5	About once every month	17144	19.3%
6	About once a week	25137	28.3%
7	Several times a week	29111	32.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1884	2.1%
98	Several responses given	122	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1693	1.9%
96	Question not asked	20923	
Sysmiss		55950	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30h: Past 12 months: Exercised/practised athletics/physical training

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4890 /-] [Invalid=160950 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/practised athletics/physical training
Notes	2009- (National)

ma30h: Past 12 months: Exercised/practised athletics/physical training

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	921	18.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	268	5.5%
3	About once every half year	137	2.8%
4	About once every quarter	187	3.8%
5	About once every month	504	10.3%
6	About once a week	1205	24.6%
7	Several times a week	1471	30.1%
97	No response - part of the question	114	2.3%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	79	1.6%
96		9763	
Sysmiss		151187	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30i: Past 12 months: Gone out for a long walk

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8170 /-] [Invalid=157670 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone out for a long walk
Notes	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	364	4.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	288	3.5%
3	About once every half year	208	2.5%
4	About once every quarter	468	5.7%
5	About once every month	1691	20.7%
6	About once a week	2395	29.3%
7	Several times a week	2448	30.0%
97	No response - part of the question	125	1.5%
98	Several responses given	11	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	172	2.1%
96		19375	
Sysmiss		138295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30j: Past 12 months: Gone hunting

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16179 /-] [Invalid=149661 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone hunting
Notes	2004, 2006, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	14485	89.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	260	1.6%
3	About once every half year	119	0.7%
4	About once every quarter	116	0.7%

ma30j: Past 12 months: Gone hunting

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	About once every month	223	1.4%
6	About once a week	177	1.1%
7	Several times a week	146	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	299	1.8%
98	Several responses given	26	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	328	2.0%
96		16476	
Sysmiss		133185	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30k: Past 12 months: Gone fishing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9707 /-] [Invalid=156133 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone fishing
Notes	2004, 2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	6527		67.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1054	10.9%	
3	About once every half year	635	6.5%	
4	About once every quarter	535	5.5%	
5	About once every month	441	4.5%	
6	About once a week	130	1.3%	
7	Several times a week	63	0.6%	
97	No response - part of the question	134	1.4%	
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%	
99	No response - entire question battery	184	1.9%	
96		19612		
Sysmiss		136521		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma30l: Past 12 months: Exercised/worked out

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=92043 /-] [Invalid=73797 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Exercised/worked out
Notes	2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Never	6876	7.5%	
2	About once in the last 12 months	3383	3.7%	
3	About once every half year	1982	2.2%	
4	About once every quarter	3082	3.3%	
5	About once every month	9976	10.8%	
6	About once a week	23435	25.5%	
7	Several times a week	39956		43.4%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	1462	1.6%	
98	Dubbelkryss	116	0.1%	
99	Ej svar - hela frågan	1775	1.9%	
96	Frågan ej ställd	40		
Sysmiss		73757		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40a: Past 12 months: Smoked/used snuff

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35904 /-] [Invalid=129936 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2004 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"

ma40a: Past 12 months: Smoked/used snuff

	West: Itemnamnet har varierat över tid. 1993-1997, 1999, 2004, 2010- (West): "Smoked/used snuff"; 1998, 2007 (West): "Smoked or used snuff". The question wording has changed. 1993-1999, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Smoked/used snuff
Notes	1992-2004 (National); 1993-1999, 2004, 2007, 2010- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	21120	58.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1012	2.8%
3	About once every half year	322	0.9%
4	About once every quarter	446	1.2%
5	About once every month	841	2.3%
6	About once a week	966	2.7%
7	Several times a week	9682	27.0%
97	No response - part of the question	730	2.0%
98	Several responses given	54	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	731	2.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		129936	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40b: Past 12 months: Smoked cigarettes/cigar/pipe

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=39600 /-] [Invalid=126240 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question has not changed.
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Smoked cigarettes/cigar/pipe
Notes	2005- (National); 2005-2006, 2008-2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	28732	72.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1416	3.6%
3	About once every half year	559	1.4%
4	About once every quarter	777	2.0%
5	About once every month	1114	2.8%
6	About once a week	999	2.5%
7	Several times a week	4585	11.6%
97	No response - part of the question	633	1.6%
98	Several responses given	27	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	758	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	8350	
Sysmiss		117890	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40c: Past 12 months: Consumed spirits/wine/strong beer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=120555 /-] [Invalid=45285 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has changed. 1993-2001, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed spirits/wine/strong beer
Notes	1992- (National); 1993-2001, 2004- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	15952	13.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	7113	5.9%
3	About once every half year	4628	3.8%
4	About once every quarter	9090	7.5%
5	About once every month	31639	26.2%
6	About once a week	34372	28.5%
7	Several times a week	10102	8.4%
97	No response - part of the question	5282	4.4%
98	Several responses given	129	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2248	1.9%
96		29011	
Sysmiss		16274	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40d: Past 12 months: Eaten fast food

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29817 /-] [Invalid=136023 /-]
Definition	National: The item wording has changed over time. 1998-2008 (National): "Eaten fast food (hamburgers/pizza/kebab)"; 2009-(National): "Eaten fast food". The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: Item wording has not changed over time. 1999-2001 (National): "Eaten fast food (hamburgers/pizza/kebab)". Question wording has not changed over time. 1998-2001, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten fast food
Notes	1998- (National); 1999-2001 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	3622	12.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2702	9.1%
3	About once every half year	2344	7.9%
4	About once every quarter	4508	15.1%
5	About once every month	10438	35.0%
6	About once a week	4177	14.0%
7	Several times a week	717	2.4%

ma40d: Past 12 months: Eaten fast food

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	656	2.2%
98	Several responses given	51	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	602	2.0%
96		23509	
Sysmiss		112514	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40e: Past 12 months: Eaten vegan food

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17697 /-] [Invalid=148143 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten vegan food
Notes	2001-2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	11856	67.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1360	7.7%
3	About once every half year	647	3.7%
4	About once every quarter	736	4.2%
5	About once every month	1103	6.2%
6	About once a week	620	3.5%
7	Several times a week	405	2.3%
97	No response - part of the question	467	2.6%
98	Several responses given	123	0.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	380	2.1%
96		7107	
Sysmiss		141036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40f: Past 12 months: Eaten meat

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38415 /-] [Invalid=127425 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten meat
Notes	2002- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	981	2.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	425	1.1%
3	About once every half year	193	0.5%
4	About once every quarter	300	0.8%
5	About once every month	1941	5.1%
6	About once a week	12295	32.0%
7	Several times a week	20721	53.9%
97	No response - part of the question	717	1.9%
98	Several responses given	61	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	781	2.0%
96		13555	
Sysmiss		113870	

ma40f: Past 12 months: Eaten meat

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40g: Past 12 months: Consumed spirits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=156072 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed spirits
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2547	26.1%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1222	12.5%
3	About once every half year	908	9.3%
4	About once every quarter	1338	13.7%
5	About once every month	2250	23.0%
6	About once a week	989	10.1%
7	Several times a week	176	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	140	1.4%
98	Several responses given	20	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	178	1.8%
96		21036	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40h: Past 12 months: Used Swedish snuff (snus)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=51733 /-] [Invalid=114107 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used Swedish snuff (snus)
Notes	2005- (National); 2005-2006 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	41527	80.3%
2	About once in the last 12 months	899	1.7%
3	About once every half year	328	0.6%
4	About once every quarter	383	0.7%
5	About once every month	529	1.0%
6	About once a week	488	0.9%
7	Several times a week	5715	11.0%
97	No response - part of the question	821	1.6%
98	Several responses given	57	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	986	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	68532	
Sysmiss		45575	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40i: Past 12 months: Consumed wine

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6513 /-] [Invalid=159327 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed wine
Notes	2010- (National)

ma40i: Past 12 months: Consumed wine

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1255	19.3%
2	About once in the last 12 months	529	8.1%
3	About once every half year	351	5.4%
4	About once every quarter	567	8.7%
5	About once every month	1557	23.9%
6	About once a week	1572	24.1%
7	Several times a week	452	6.9%
97	No response - part of the question	82	1.3%
98	Several responses given	9	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	139	2.1%
96		16106	
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40j: Past 12 months: Consumed strong beer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6513 /-] [Invalid=159327 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed strong beer
Notes	2010- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2119	32.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	538	8.3%
3	About once every half year	383	5.9%
4	About once every quarter	617	9.5%
5	About once every month	1432	22.0%
6	About once a week	1014	15.6%
7	Several times a week	186	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	81	1.2%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	139	2.1%
96		16106	
Sysmiss		143221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40k: Past 12 months: Intoxicated yourself

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20263 /-] [Invalid=145577 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Intoxicated yourself
Notes	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	11057	54.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2714	13.4%
3	About once every half year	1458	7.2%
4	About once every quarter	1722	8.5%
5	About once every month	1980	9.8%

ma40k: Past 12 months: Intoxicated yourself

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	About once a week	602	3.0%
7	Several times a week	92	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	240	1.2%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	378	1.9%
96		79597	
Sysmiss		65980	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma40l: Past 12 months: Consumed strong beer/wine/spirits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6499 /-] [Invalid=159341 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Consumed strong beer/wine/spirits
Notes	2012 (Riks)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	860	13.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	336	5.2%
3	About once every half year	250	3.8%
4	About once every quarter	433	6.7%
5	About once every month	1631	25.1%
6	About once a week	2050	31.5%
7	Several times a week	680	10.5%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	70	1.1%
98	Dubbelkryss	9	0.1%
99	Ej svar - hela frågan	180	2.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	6393	
Sysmiss		152948	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma50a: Past 12 months: Eaten breakfast outside your home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30732 /-] [Invalid=135108 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 2001-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Eaten breakfast outside your home
Notes	2001- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	8447	27.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4282	13.9%
3	About once every half year	3625	11.8%
4	About once every quarter	4198	13.7%
5	About once every month	4239	13.8%
6	About once a week	2231	7.3%
7	Several times a week	2381	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	620	2.0%

ma50a: Past 12 months: Eaten breakfast outside your home

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	25	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	684	2.2%
96		24876	
Sysmiss		110232	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma50b: Past 12 months: Gone to a restaurant at night

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=164197 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a restaurant at night
Notes	1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	447	27.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	301	18.3%
3	About once every half year	247	15.0%
4	About once every quarter	281	17.1%
5	About once every month	228	13.9%
6	About once a week	28	1.7%
7	Several times a week	6	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	88	5.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	17	1.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		164197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma50c: Past 12 months: Gone to a restaurant/pub/bar at night

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=144094 /-] [Invalid=21746 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has changed. 1993-2003, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004- (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a restaurant/pub/bar at night
Notes	1991- (National); 1993- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	26282	18.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	18372	12.8%
3	About once every half year	16721	11.6%
4	About once every quarter	27677	19.2%
5	About once every month	35848	24.9%
6	About once a week	11225	7.8%

ma50c: Past 12 months: Gone to a restaurant/pub/bar at night

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Several times a week	2579	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2408	1.7%
98	Several responses given	266	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2716	1.9%
96		13648	
Sysmiss		8098	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma50d: Past 12 months: Danced

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26899 /-] [Invalid=138941 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Danced
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	15651	58.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3504	13.0%
3	About once every half year	1967	7.3%
4	About once every quarter	1648	6.1%
5	About once every month	1652	6.1%
6	About once a week	994	3.7%
7	Several times a week	474	1.8%
97	No response - part of the question	453	1.7%
98	Several responses given	26	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	530	2.0%
96		73268	
Sysmiss		65673	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma60a: Past 12 months: Played cards/tabletop games

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16306 /-] [Invalid=149534 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 2000-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2004 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Played cards/tabletop games
Notes	2000-2004 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4006	24.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2290	14.0%
3	About once every half year	1719	10.5%
4	About once every quarter	2536	15.6%
5	About once every month	3088	18.9%
6	About once a week	1213	7.4%
7	Several times a week	545	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	441	2.7%
98	Several responses given	32	0.2%

ma60a: Past 12 months: Played cards/tabletop games

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	436	2.7%
96		1774	
Sysmiss		147760	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma60b: Past 12 months: Solved crosswords/sudoku

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29535 /-] [Invalid=136305 /-]
Definition	National: The item wording has changed over time. 1999-2007 (National): "Solved crosswords"; 2007-2011 (National): "Solved crosswords/sudoku". The question wording has changed. 1999-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2011 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The item wording has changed over time. 1999-2001 (National): "Solved crosswords"; 2008 (National): "Solved crosswords/sudoku". The question wording has changed. 1999-2001, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2008 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Solved crosswords/sudoku
Notes	1999-2011 (National); 1999-2001, 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	9324	31.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2858	9.7%
3	About once every half year	1746	5.9%
4	About once every quarter	2052	6.9%
5	About once every month	3377	11.4%
6	About once a week	3552	12.0%
7	Several times a week	5480	18.6%
97	No response - part of the question	482	1.6%
98	Several responses given	47	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	617	2.1%
96		9716	
Sysmiss		126589	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma60c: Past 12 months: Handcrafted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=42027 /-] [Invalid=123813 /-]
Definition	National: The item and question wording has not changed over time. West: The item wording has changed over time. 2005 (West): "Handcrafted (e.g. knitted, carved or thrown pottery)"; 2006-2007 (West): "Handcrafted".
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Handcrafted
Notes	2007- (National); 2005-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	20737	49.3%
2	About once in the last 12 months	4053	9.6%

ma60c: Past 12 months: Handcrafted

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	About once every half year	2547	6.1%
4	About once every quarter	2703	6.4%
5	About once every month	4112	9.8%
6	About once a week	2938	7.0%
7	Several times a week	3437	8.2%
97	No response - part of the question	672	1.6%
98	Several responses given	59	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	769	1.8%
96		71403	
Sysmiss		52410	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma60d: Past 12 months: Participated in study circles/courses

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=53352 /-] [Invalid=112488 /-]
Definition	National: The item wording has changed over time. 1988 (National): "Participated in study circles or courses"; 2007- (National): "Participated in study circles/courses" The question wording has changed. 1988 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 2007- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The item and question wording has not changed over time.
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Participated in study circles/courses
Notes	1988, 2007- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	37544	70.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3696	6.9%
3	About once every half year	1782	3.3%
4	About once every quarter	1610	3.0%
5	About once every month	2216	4.2%
6	About once a week	2937	5.5%
7	Several times a week	1310	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1227	2.3%
98	Several responses given	78	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	952	1.8%
96		61721	
Sysmiss		50767	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma60f: Past 12 months: Photographed/filmed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28527 /-] [Invalid=137313 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Photographed/filmed
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	8043	28.2%

ma60f: Past 12 months: Photographed/filmed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	About once in the last 12 months	1769	6.2%
3	About once every half year	1517	5.3%
4	About once every quarter	2418	8.5%
5	About once every month	6444	22.6%
6	About once a week	4673	16.4%
7	Several times a week	2518	8.8%
97	No response - part of the question	566	2.0%
98	Several responses given	28	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	551	1.9%
96		71640	
Sysmiss		65673	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma60g: Past 12 months: Gone to a meeting/been active in an association/organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11470 /-] [Invalid=154370 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gone to a meeting/been active in an association/ organization
Notes	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5673	49.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1064	9.3%
3	About once every half year	735	6.4%
4	About once every quarter	912	8.0%
5	About once every month	1597	13.9%
6	About once a week	694	6.1%
7	Several times a week	301	2.6%
97	No response - part of the question	252	2.2%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	232	2.0%
96		3183	
Sysmiss		151187	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma70a: Past 12 months: Travelled abroad

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44823 /-] [Invalid=121017 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2005- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question has not changed: 1994-1998 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled abroad
Notes	1991-1999, 2005- (National); 1994-1998 (West)

ma70a: Past 12 months: Travelled abroad

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
1	Never	16948		37.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	14763		32.9%
3	About once every half year	6871		15.3%
4	About once every quarter	3615		8.1%
5	About once every month	838		1.9%
6	About once a week	145		0.3%
7	Several times a week	118		0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	720		1.6%
98	Several responses given	55		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	750		1.7%
96		69874		
Sysmiss		51143		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma70b: Past 12 months: Driven a car

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=116423 /-] [Invalid=49417 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Driven a car
Notes	2000- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
1	Never	17651		15.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2008		1.7%
3	About once every half year	1306		1.1%
4	About once every quarter	2157		1.9%
5	About once every month	5311		4.6%
6	About once a week	12583		10.8%
7	Several times a week	71516		61.4%
97	No response - part of the question	1438		1.2%
98	Several responses given	283		0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2170		1.9%
96		12094		
Sysmiss		37323		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma70c: Past 12 months: Used public transportation (buses, trains, etc.)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28350 /-] [Invalid=137490 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 2000-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003-2007 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The item wording has changed over time. 2000-2001 (West): "Used public transportation"; 2002 (West): "Used public transportation (buses, trams, trains)"; 2003-2007 (West): "Used public transportation (buses/trams/trains)". The question wording has changed. 2000-2003, (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2004-2007 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used public transportation (buses, trains, etc.)
Notes	2000-2007 (National); 2000-2007 (West)

ma70c: Past 12 months: Used public transportation (buses, trains, etc.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	6131	21.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	3970	14.0%
3	About once every half year	2289	8.1%
4	About once every quarter	2897	10.2%
5	About once every month	4004	14.1%
6	About once a week	2355	8.3%
7	Several times a week	5293	18.7%
97	No response - part of the question	681	2.4%
98	Several responses given	72	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	658	2.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		137490	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma70d: Past 12 months: Used public transportation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=82841 /-] [Invalid=82999 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Used public transportation
Notes	2008- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	20609	24.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	10155	12.3%
3	About once every half year	6656	8.0%
4	About once every quarter	8480	10.2%
5	About once every month	11794	14.2%
6	About once a week	6807	8.2%
7	Several times a week	15478	18.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1209	1.5%
98	Several responses given	181	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	1472	1.8%
96		17326	
Sysmiss		65673	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma70e: Past 12 months: Travelled by plane

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6363 /-] [Invalid=159477 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled by plane
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2576	40.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1722	27.1%
3	About once every half year	926	14.6%
4	About once every quarter	616	9.7%
5	About once every month	274	4.3%

ma70e: Past 12 months: Travelled by plane

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	About once a week	35	0.6%
7	Several times a week	38	0.6%
97	No response - part of the question	81	1.3%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.4%
96		11549	
Sysmiss		147928	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma70f: Past 12 months: Travelled by train

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6363 /-] [Invalid=159477 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelled by train
Notes	2008- (National); 2010- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2511	39.5%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1311	20.6%
3	About once every half year	792	12.4%
4	About once every quarter	701	11.0%
5	About once every month	504	7.9%
6	About once a week	135	2.1%
7	Several times a week	231	3.6%
97	No response - part of the question	80	1.3%
98	Several responses given	11	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.4%
96		11549	
Sysmiss		147928	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma80a: Past 12 months: Worked overtime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=50196 /-] [Invalid=115644 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1988 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1996-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has not changed over time. 1996-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Worked overtime
Notes	1988, 1996- (National); 1996-2003 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	20007	39.9%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2933	5.8%
3	About once every half year	1964	3.9%
4	About once every quarter	3247	6.5%

ma80a: Past 12 months: Worked overtime

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	About once every month	7743	15.4%
6	About once a week	6207	12.4%
7	Several times a week	5383	10.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1602	3.2%
98	Several responses given	37	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1073	2.1%
96		21198	
Sysmiss		94446	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma80b: Past 12 months: Travelling on official business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25993 /-] [Invalid=139847 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Travelling on official business
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	17056	65.6%
2	About once in the last 12 months	2311	8.9%
3	About once every half year	1554	6.0%
4	About once every quarter	1746	6.7%
5	About once every month	1506	5.8%
6	About once a week	538	2.1%
7	Several times a week	308	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	429	1.7%
98	Several responses given	20	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	525	2.0%
96		4811	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma190a: Past 12 months: Donated money to or helped an aid organization in any other way

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19673 /-] [Invalid=146167 /-]
Definition	National: The question wording has changed. 1998-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?" West: The question wording has not changed over time. 1996-2003 (West): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Donated money to or helped an aid organization in any other way
Notes	1998-2003 (National); 1999-2001 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5859	29.8%
2	About once in the last 12 months	5025	25.5%
3	About once every half year	2458	12.5%

ma190a: Past 12 months: Donated money to or helped an aid organization in any other way

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	About once every quarter	2400	12.2%
5	About once every month	2594	13.2%
6	About once a week	344	1.7%
7	Several times a week	95	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	432	2.2%
98	Several responses given	15	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	451	2.3%
96		1859	
Sysmiss		144308	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma190b: Past 12 months: Donated money to an aid organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=85265 /-] [Invalid=80575 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Donated money to an aid organization
Notes	2004- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	25763	30.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	16823	19.7%
3	About once every half year	8658	10.2%
4	About once every quarter	8929	10.5%
5	About once every month	19579	23.0%
6	About once a week	1863	2.2%
7	Several times a week	734	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1262	1.5%
98	Several responses given	95	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1559	1.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	20523	
Sysmiss		60052	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ma190c: Past 12 months: Been busy w. activities/errands outside your home in the evening

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10609 /-] [Invalid=155231 /-]
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Been busy with activities/errands outside the home in the evening
Notes	2003-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1715	16.2%
2	About once in the last 12 months	525	4.9%
3	About once every half year	400	3.8%
4	About once every quarter	715	6.7%
5	About once every month	2115	19.9%
6	About once a week	2641	24.9%
7	Several times a week	2035	19.2%

ma190c: Past 12 months: Been busy w. activities/errands outside your home in the evening

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	240	2.3%
98	Several responses given	13	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	210	2.0%
96	Question not asked	10207	
Sysmiss		145024	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb40a: Membership/active in: Sports or outdoor organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6516 /-] [Invalid=159324 /-]
Definition	See also mb50 (1990-2008), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Sports or outdoor organisation
Notes	1986-1989 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Member	1526	23.4%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	290	4.5%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	502	7.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3063	47.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1135	17.4%
Sysmiss		159324	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb40b: Membership/active in: Labor union

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=160902 /-]
Definition	See also mb50 (1990-2008), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Labor union
Notes	1986-1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Member	2035	41.2%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	357	7.2%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	277	5.6%
97	No response - part of the question	1596	32.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	673	13.6%
Sysmiss		160902	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb40c: Membership/active in: HSB or any other homeowners´ association [condos only]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3295 /-] [Invalid=162545 /-]
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - HSB or any other homeowners' association [condominiums only]

mb40c: Membership/active in: HSB or any other homeowners´ association [condos only]

Notes	1986-1987 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Member	333	10.1%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	77	2.3%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	38	1.2%
97	No response - part of the question	2415	73.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	432	13.1%
Sysmiss		162545	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb40d: Membership/active in: Tenants´ or house-owners´ association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3295 /-] [Invalid=162545 /-]
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Tenants' or house-owners' association
Notes	1986-1987 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Member	424	12.9%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	55	1.7%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	33	1.0%
97	No response - part of the question	2351	71.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	432	13.1%
Sysmiss		162545	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb40e: Membership/active in: Trade/business or farmers´ association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4938 /-] [Invalid=160902 /-]
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Trade/business or farmers' association
Notes	1986-1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Member	220	4.5%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	29	0.6%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	22	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	3995	80.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	672	13.6%
Sysmiss		160902	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb40f: Membership/active in: Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6516 /-] [Invalid=159324 /-]
Definition	See also mb50 (1990-2008), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).

mb40f: Membership/active in: Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)

Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)
Notes	1986-1989 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Member	497	7.6%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	123	1.9%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	189	2.9%
97	No response - part of the question	4572	70.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1135	17.4%
Sysmiss		159324	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb40g: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3221 /-] [Invalid=162619 /-]
Definition	See also mb50 (1990-2008), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations? - Environmental organisation
Notes	1988-1989 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Member	268	8.3%
2	Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year	11	0.3%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	24	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	2215	68.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	703	21.8%
Sysmiss		162619	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50a: Membership/active in: Sports or outdoor organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=50927 /-] [Invalid=114913 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Sports or outdoor organisation

mb50a: Membership/active in: Sports or outdoor organisation

Notes	1990-2008 (National); 1996-2007 (West)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	29773	58.5%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	8839	17.4%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	4032	7.9%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	4489	8.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2144	4.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1650	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		113252	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50b: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=50927 /-] [Invalid=114913 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Environmental organisation
Notes	1990-2008 (National); 1996-2001 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	43569	85.6%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	2409	4.7%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	270	0.5%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	171	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2858	5.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1650	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		113252	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50e: Membership/active in: Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=49148 /-] [Invalid=116692 /-]
Definition	National:

mb50e: Membership/active in: Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)

	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The item wording has changed over time. 1996, 1998-2005 (West): "Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)"; 2006-2007 (West): "Political party (including women´s or youth organisation)". The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)
Notes	1990-1995, 1997-2008 (National); 1996-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	40639	82.7%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	2279	4.6%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	885	1.8%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	930	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	2832	5.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1583	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		115031	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50f: Membership/active in: Labor union/organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=40545 /-] [Invalid=125295 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Labor union/organization
Notes	1996-2008 (National); 1996-2007 (West)

mb50f: Membership/active in: Labor union/organization

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	17779	43.9%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	14136	34.9%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	3709	9.1%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	1734	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1847	4.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1340	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		123634	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50g: Membership/active in: Tenants´ association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3533 /-] [Invalid=162307 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Tenants' association
Notes	1996-1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	2672	75.6%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	448	12.7%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	99	2.8%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	38	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	140	4.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	136	3.8%
Sysmiss		162307	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50h: Membership/active in: Tenants´ association, home or house-owners´ association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25144 /-] [Invalid=140696 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Residents' association, housing cooperative or house-owners' association

mb50h: Membership/active in: Tenants´ association, home or house-owners´ association

Notes	1998-2004 (National); 1996-2005 (West)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	14265	56.7%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	5454	21.7%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	2243	8.9%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	935	3.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1367	5.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	880	3.5%
Sysmiss		140696	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50i: Membership/active in: Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=36936 /-] [Invalid=128904 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The item wording has changed over time. 1996-1997, 2003-2004 (West): "Cultural society (music, dance etc.)"; 1998-2002, 2005-2007 (West): "Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)". The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc)
Notes	1996-2001, 2003-2008 (National); 1996-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	28576	77.4%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	1781	4.8%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	2098	5.7%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	1231	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2068	5.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1182	3.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		127243	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50j: Membership/active in: Pensioners association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35449 /-] [Invalid=130391 /-]

mb50j: Membership/active in: Pensioners association

Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'. West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Pensioners association
Notes	1996-2007 (National); 1996-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	28830	81.3%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	1578	4.5%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	1709	4.8%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	534	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1625	4.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	1173	3.3%
96	Question not asked	3498	
Sysmiss		126893	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50k: Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26402 /-] [Invalid=139438 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Humanitarian aid organization
Notes	2001-2008 (National); 1996-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	20894	79.1%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	2642	10.0%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	494	1.9%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	401	1.5%
97	No response - part of the question	1094	4.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	877	3.3%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		137777	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50l: Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/org

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16147 /-] [Invalid=149693 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization
Notes	2006-2007 (National); 2003 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	7265	45.0%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	5522	34.2%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	1629	10.1%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	645	4.0%
97	No response - part of the question	563	3.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	523	3.2%
96	Question not asked	3295	
Sysmiss		146398	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50p: Membership/active in: Consumer cooperative

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

mb50p: Membership/active in: Consumer cooperative

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3533 /-] [Invalid=162307 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). The response alternatives have changed over time: 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'. West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Consumer cooperative
Notes	1996-1997 (National); 1996-2003 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	2052	58.1%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	1082	30.6%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	87	2.5%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	27	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	149	4.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	136	3.8%
Sysmiss		162307	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50s: Membership/active in: Temperance organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13642 /-] [Invalid=152198 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Temperance organization
Notes	2004-2008 (National); 2004-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	12487	91.5%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	149	1.1%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	68	0.5%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	61	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	479	3.5%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	398	2.9%
96	Question not asked	3499	
Sysmiss		148699	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50t: Membership/active in: Immigrant organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11868 /-] [Invalid=153972 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: See also mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variable). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Immigrant organization
Notes	2005-2008 (National); 1996, 1998-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	11006	92.7%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	67	0.6%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	39	0.3%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	38	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	394	3.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	324	2.7%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		152311	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50v: Membership/active in: Disability organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8369 /-] [Invalid=157471 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Disability organization
Notes	2006-2008 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	7452	89.0%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	223	2.7%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	126	1.5%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	74	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	258	3.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	236	2.8%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		155810	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50w: Membership/active in: Hunting organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

mb50w: Membership/active in: Hunting organization

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=164133 /-]		
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables).		
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Hunting organization		
Notes	2006 (National); 2006 (West)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	1516	88.8%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	56	3.3%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	24	1.4%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	13	0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	62	3.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	36	2.1%
96	Question not asked	1629	
Sysmiss		162504	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50x: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5033 /-] [Invalid=160807 /-]		
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.		
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Local heritage/history association		
Notes	2007-2008 (National); 1998-2003, 2007 (West)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	4133	82.1%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	331	6.6%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	190	3.8%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	81	1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	146	2.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	152	3.0%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		159146	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb50z: Membership/active in: Community association (byalag)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1598 /-] [Invalid=164242 /-]	
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb60 (2008-) and mb99 (constructed variables). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: Question has not changed.	
Literal question	Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations - Community association (byalag)	

mb50z: Membership/active in: Community association (byalag)

Notes	2008 (National); 2002-2007 (West)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member	1297	81.2%
2	Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last 2 months	94	5.9%
3	Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months	85	5.3%
4	Member and have some type of assignment	40	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	38	2.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	44	2.8%
96	Question not asked	1661	
Sysmiss		162581	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60a: Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=91359 /-] [Invalid=74481 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 2008 (National): "Sports or outdoor organisation"; 2009- (National): "Sports/outdoor organisation". The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: See also mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The item wording has changed. 2008-2010 (National): "Sports or outdoor organisation"; 2011- (National): "Sports/outdoor organisation".
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Sports/outdoor organisation
Notes	2008- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	56057	61.4%
2	Yes	22050	24.1%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	5901	6.5%
4	…and I participate actively	1579	1.7%
97	No response - part of the question	3697	4.0%
98	Several responses given	56	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2019	2.2%
96	Question not asked	18636	
Sysmiss		55845	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60b: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=91359 /-] [Invalid=74481 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West:

mb60b: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation

	See also mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).			
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Environmental organisation			
Notes	2008- (National); 2009 (West)			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	78713		86.2%
2	Yes	4839		5.3%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	400		0.4%
4	…and I participate actively	64		0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	5283		5.8%
98	Several responses given	41		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2019		2.2%
96	Question not asked	18636		
Sysmiss		55845		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60c: Membership/active in: Political party/organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=91359 /-] [Invalid=74481 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: See also mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Political party/organisation
Notes	2008- (National); 2011- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	78459		85.9%
2	Yes	4354		4.8%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	1239		1.4%
4	…and I participate actively	101		0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	5151		5.6%
98	Several responses given	36		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	2019		2.2%
96	Question not asked	18636		
Sysmiss		55845		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60e: Membership/active in: Labor union/organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=91359 /-] [Invalid=74481 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West:

mb60e: Membership/active in: Labor union/organization

		See also mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).
Literal question		Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Labor union/organization
Notes		2008- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	49217	53.9%
2	Yes	33256	36.4%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	2256	2.5%
4	…and I participate actively	137	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	4408	4.8%
98	Several responses given	66	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2019	2.2%
96	Question not asked	18636	
Sysmiss		55845	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60f: Membership/active in: Cultural society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=91359 /-] [Invalid=74481 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). National: The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Cultural society
Notes	2008- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	72182	79.0%
2	Yes	9584	10.5%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	2008	2.2%
4	…and I participate actively	443	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	5067	5.5%
98	Several responses given	56	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2019	2.2%
96	Question not asked	18636	
Sysmiss		55845	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60g: Membership/active in: Community association (byalag)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=49243 /-] [Invalid=116597 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). National: The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Community association (byalag)
Notes	2008- (National); 2008- (West)

mb60g: Membership/active in: Community association (byalag)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	37769		76.7%
2	Yes	6261	12.7%	
3	…and I have some type of assignment	1408	2.9%	
97	No response - part of the question	2571	5.2%	
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%	
99	No response - entire question battery	1217	2.5%	
96	Question not asked	6526		
Sysmiss		110071		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60h: Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=91359 /-] [Invalid=74481 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).
	National:
	The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment').
	Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Humanitarian aid organization
Notes	2008- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	68997		75.5%
2	Yes	13699	15.0%	
3	…and I have some type of assignment	1019	1.1%	
4	…and I participate actively	202	0.2%	
97	No response - part of the question	5362	5.9%	
98	Several responses given	61	0.1%	
99	No response - entire question battery	2019	2.2%	
96	Question not asked	18636		
Sysmiss		55845		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60i: Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/org

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=45517 /-] [Invalid=120323 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).
	National:
	The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment').
	Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization
Notes	2008-2010 (National); 2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	23614		51.9%
2	Yes	17452		38.3%

mb60i: Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/org

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
3	…and I have some type of assignment	967		2.1%
4	…and I participate actively	359		0.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2132		4.7%
98	Several responses given	68		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	925		2.0%
96	Question not asked	21901		
Sysmiss		98422		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60j: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3234 /-] [Invalid=162606 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association
Notes	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	2655		82.1%
2	Yes	330		10.2%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	34		1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	133		4.1%
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	82		2.5%
96	Question not asked	6699		
Sysmiss		155907		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60k: Membership/active in: Immigrant organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27658 /-] [Invalid=138182 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). National: The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'). Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Immigrant organization
Notes	2009- (National); 2009- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	25014		90.4%
2	Yes	397		1.4%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	84		0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1437		5.2%
98	Several responses given	14		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	712		2.6%

mb60k: Membership/active in: Immigrant organization

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	24852	
Sysmiss		113330	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60l: Membership/active in: Temperance organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3359 /-] [Invalid=162481 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment')
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Temperance organization
Notes	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	3072		91.5%
2	Yes	43	1.3%	
3	…and I have some type of assignment	16	0.5%	
97	No response - part of the question	160	4.8%	
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	68	2.0%	
96	Question not asked	6574		
Sysmiss		155907		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60m: Membership/active in: Organization for patients and caregivers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11553 /-] [Invalid=154287 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment').
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Organization for patients and caregivers
Notes	2009- (National); 2009- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	10360		89.7%
2	Yes	330	2.9%	
3	…and I have some type of assignment	43	0.4%	
97	No response - part of the question	545	4.7%	
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%	
99	No response - entire question battery	274	2.4%	
96	Question not asked	31129		
Sysmiss		123158		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60n: Membership/active in: Disability organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9925 /-] [Invalid=155915 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables).

mb60n: Membership/active in: Disability organization

	The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment').	
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Disability organization	
Notes	2009- (National)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	8801		88.7%
2	Yes	342	3.4%	
3	…and I have some type of assignment	48	0.5%	
97	No response - part of the question	494	5.0%	
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%	
99	No response - entire question battery	238	2.4%	
96	Question not asked	26154		
Sysmiss		129761		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60o: Membership/active in: Hunting organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3234 /-] [Invalid=162606 /-]
Definition	See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment').
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Hunting organization
Notes	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	2854		88.2%
2	Yes	123	3.8%	
3	…and I have some type of assignment	32	1.0%	
97	No response - part of the question	143	4.4%	
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	82	2.5%	
96	Question not asked	6699		
Sysmiss		155907		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb60p: Membership/active in: Pensioners association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=78174 /-] [Invalid=87666 /-]
Definition	National: See also mb40 (1986-1989), mb50 (1990-2008) and mb99 (constructed variables). The alternatives have changed somewhat over time: 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 …with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'). West: Question has not changed.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Pensioners association
Notes	2011- (National); 2009- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	63141		80.8%

mb60p: Membership/active in: Pensioners association

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yes	8737	11.2%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	885	1.1%
4	…and I participate actively	263	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	3393	4.3%
98	Several responses given	61	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1694	2.2%
96	Question not asked	18629	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99a: Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=147224 /-] [Invalid=18616 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb40a (1986-1988), mb50a (1990-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60a (2008 (National-2), 2009-). Item wording has changed. 1986-2007, 2008 (National-1) (National): "Sports or outdoor organisation"; 2009- (National): "Sports/outdoor organisation". The question wording has changed. 1986-1988 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations?"; 1990-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1986-1988 (National): 1 'Member' 2 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 3 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1986-1988: 97=1; 1 and 2=2 (since the set of response alternatives of 1986-1988 lacked a "not member" alternative, an assumption has been made that "No response - part of the question" equals a non member response - code 1). 1990-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008 (R2), 2009-: no change. Note that responses from 1989 have been excluded from the constructed variable. The reason is a very nonresponse rate. Note also that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: Constructed variable based on mb50a (1996-2007) and mb60a (2008-). The item wording has changed. 1996-2010 (West): "Sports or outdoor organisation". 2011- (West) "Sports/outdoor organisation". The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3.

mb99a: Membership/active in: Sports/outdoor organisation

	2008- (West): no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Sports/outdoor organisation
Notes	1986-1988, 1990- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	88332	60.0%
2	Yes	36313	24.7%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	10762	7.3%
4	…and I participate actively	1579	1.1%
97	No response - part of the question	5841	4.0%
98	Several responses given	56	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	4341	2.9%
96	Question not asked	12063	
Sysmiss		6553	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99b: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=143929 /-] [Invalid=21911 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb40g (1986-1988), mb50b (1990-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60b (2008 (National-2), 2009-). The question wording has changed. 1986-1988 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations?"; 1990-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1986-1988 (National): 1 'Member' 2 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 3 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1986-1988: 97=1; 1 and 2=2 (since the set of response alternatives of 1986-1988 lacked a "not member" alternative, an assumption has been made that "No response - part of the question" equals a non member response - code 1). 1990-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008 (R2), 2009-: no change. Note that responses from 1989 have been excluded from the constructed variable. The reason is a very nonresponse rate. Note also that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: Constructed variable based on mb50b (1996-2001) and mb60b (2009-). The question wording has changed. 1996-2001 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2009- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2001 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'.

mb99b: Membership/active in: Environmental organisation

	Recoding: 1996-2001 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2009- (West): no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Environmental organisation
Notes	1988, 1990- (National); 1996-2001, 2009- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	123548		85.8%
2	Yes	7648		5.3%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	578		0.4%
4	…and I participate actively	64		0.0%
97	No response - part of the question	8141		5.7%
98	Several responses given	41		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	3909		2.7%
96	Question not asked	12063		
Sysmiss		9848		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99c: Membership/active in: Political party/organisation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=145445 /-] [Invalid=20395 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb40f (1986-1988), mb50e (1990-1995, 19987-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60b (2008 (National-2), 2009-). The item wording has changed. 1986-1988, 1990-1995, 1997-2007, 2008 (National-1) (National): "Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)"; 2008- (National): "Political party/organisation". The question wording has changed. 1986-1988 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations?"; 1990-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1986-1988 (National): 1 'Member' 2 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 3 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1990-1995 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1986-1988: 97=1; 1 and 2=2 (since the set of response alternatives of 1986-1988 lacked a "not member" alternative, an assumption has been made that "No response - part of the question" equals a non member response - code 1). 1990-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008 (R2), 2009-: no change. Note that responses from 1989 have been excluded from the constructed variable. The reason is a very nonresponse rate. Note also that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: Constructed variable based on mb50a (1996-2007) and mb60a (2008-). The item wording has changed. 1996-2005 (West): "Political party (also women´s or youth organisation)"; 2006-2007, 2010 (West): "Political party (including women´s or youth organisation)"; 2008-2009, 2011- (West): "Political party/organisation". The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed:

mb99c: Membership/active in: Political party/organisation

	1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008- (West): no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Political party/organisation
Notes	1986-1988, 1990-1995, 1997- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	122742		84.4%
2	Yes	7999		5.5%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	2310		1.6%
4	…and I participate actively	101		0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	7983		5.5%
98	Several responses given	36		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	4274		2.9%
96	Question not asked	12063		
Sysmiss		8332		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99d: Membership/active in: Cultural society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=128295 /-] [Invalid=37545 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb50i (1996-2001, 2003-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60f (2008 (National-2), 2009-). The item wording has changed. 1996-2001, 2003-2007, 2008 (National-1) (National): "Cultural society (music, dance, art, etc.)."; 2008- (National-2): "Cultural society". The question wording has changed. 1996-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008 (R2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1996-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008 (National-2), 2009-: no change. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: Constructed variable based on mb50i (1996-2007) and mb60f (2008-). The item wording has changed. 1996-1997, 2003-2004 (West): "Cultural society (music, dance etc.)"; 1998-2002, 2005-2007 (West): "Cultural society (music, dance, art etc.)"; 2008- (West): "Cultural society". The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment';

mb99d: Membership/active in: Cultural society

	1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008- (West): no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Cultural society
Notes	1996-2001, 2003- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	100758		78.5%
2	Yes	13463	10.5%	
3	…and I have some type of assignment	3239	2.5%	
4	…and I participate actively	443	0.3%	
97	No response - part of the question	7135	5.6%	
98	Several responses given	56	0.0%	
99	No response - entire question battery	3201	2.5%	
96	Question not asked	12063		
Sysmiss		25482		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99e: Membership/active in: Labor union/organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=136842 /-] [Invalid=28998 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb40b (1986-1988), mb50f (1996-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60e (2008 (National-2), 2009-). Item wording has changed. 1986-1988 (National): "Labor union"; 1996-: "Labor union/organization". The question wording has changed. 1986-1988 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations?"; 1996-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1986-1988 (National): 1 'Member' 2 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last half year' 3 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1986-1988: 97=1; 1 and 2=2 (since the set of response alternatives of 1986-1988 lacked a "not member" alternative, an assumption has been made that "No response - part of the question" equals a non member response - code 1). 1996-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008 (National-2), 2009-: no change. Note that responses from 1989 have been excluded from the constructed variable. The reason is a very nonresponse rate. Note also that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: Constructed variable based on mb50f (1996-2007) and mb60e (2008-). The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?" The response alternatives have changed:

mb99e: Membership/active in: Labor union/organization

	1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008- (West): no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Labor union/organization
Notes	1986-1988, 1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	68592		50.1%
2	Yes	53493		39.1%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	4267		3.1%
4	…and I participate actively	137		0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	6255		4.6%
98	Several responses given	66		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	4032		2.9%
96	Question not asked	12063		
Sysmiss		16935		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99f: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=50841 /-] [Invalid=114999 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb50z (2008 (National-1)) and mb60e (2008 (National-2), 2009-). The response alternatives have changed: 2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 2008 (R2), 2009-: no change. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: Constructed variable based on mb50z (2002-2007) and mb60g (2008-). The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 2008- (West): no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association
Notes	2008- (National); 2002- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not member/No	39066		76.8%
2	Member/Yes	6440		12.7%

mb99f: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Member and have some type of assignment	1448	2.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2609	5.1%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1261	2.5%
Sysmiss		114999	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99g: Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=117761 /-] [Invalid=48079 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb50k (2001-2007, 2008 (National-1)) and mb60h (2008 (National-2), 2009-). The question wording has changed. 1996-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 2001-2007, 2008 (National-1): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008 (National-2), 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 2001-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008 (R2), 2009-: no change. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: Constructed variable based on mb50k (2002-2007) and mb60h (2008-). The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008- (West): no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Humanitarian aid organization
Notes	2001- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No	89891	76.3%
2	Yes	16835	14.3%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	1420	1.2%
4	…and I participate actively	202	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	6456	5.5%
98	Several responses given	61	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2896	2.5%
96	Question not asked	12063	

mb99g: Membership/active in: Humanitarian aid organization

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		36016	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99h: Membership/active in: Church of Sw, free church or other religious community/org

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21148 /-] [Invalid=144692 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on mb50l (2002-2007) and mb60f (2008-2010). The question wording has changed. 1996-2007, 2008 (National-1): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2008 (National-2), 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 2002-2007: 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008-2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 2002-2007 (National), 2008 (National-1): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008 (National-2), 2009-: no change. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - The Church of Sweden, free church or other religious community or organization
Notes	2002-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member/No	9767	46.2%
2	Member/Yes	9158	43.3%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	788	3.7%
97	No response - part of the question	785	3.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	646	3.1%
Sysmiss		144692	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99i: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8267 /-] [Invalid=157573 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb50x (2007, 2008) and mb60j (2009-). The question wording has changed. 2007-2008 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 2007-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 2007-2008 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2009-: no change. Note that in 2008, mb50 (National-1) and mb60 (National-2) were asked in two different editions. West: Byggd variabel baserad på mb50z (2002-2007) och mb60g (2008-). Itemnamnet har varierat över tid. 2002-2007 (West): "Byalag, samhällsförening"; 2008- (West): "Lokal samhällsförening, byalag".

mb99i: Membership/active in: Local heritage/history association

	Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Local heritage/history association
	Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member/No	6788	82.1%
2	Member/Yes	851	10.3%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	115	1.4%
97	No response - part of the question	279	3.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	234	2.8%
Sysmiss		157573	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99j: Membership/active in: Immigrant organization

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=39526 /-] [Invalid=126314 /-]
	Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb50t (2005-2008) and mb60k (2009-). The question wording has changed. 2005-2008 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 2005-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 2005-2008 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2009-: no change. West: Constructed variable based on mb50t (1996, 1998-2007) and mb60g (2008-). The question wording has changed. 1996, 1998-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2008- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2008-2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1996, 1998-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2008- (West): no change.
	Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Immigrant organization
	Notes	2005- (National); 1996, 1998-2007, 2009- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member/No	36020	91.1%
2	Member/Yes	503	1.3%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	122	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	1831	4.6%
98	Several responses given	14	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	1036	2.6%

mb99j: Membership/active in: Immigrant organization

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		126314	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99k: Membership/active in: Temperance organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17001 /-] [Invalid=148839 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on mb50s (2004-2008) and mb60l (2009-2010). The question wording has changed. 2004-2008 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2009-2010: "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 2004-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 2004-2008 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2009-2010: no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Temperance organization
Notes	2004-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member/No	15559	91.5%
2	Member/Yes	260	1.5%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	77	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	639	3.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	466	2.7%
Sysmiss		148839	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99l: Membership/active in: Disability organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18294 /-] [Invalid=147546 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on mb50v (2006-2008) and mb60n (2009-). The question wording has changed. 2006-2008 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2009-: "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 2006-2008 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 2006-2008 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2009-: no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Disability organization
Notes	2006- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member/No	16253	88.8%
2	Member/Yes	691	3.8%

mb99l: Membership/active in: Disability organization

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Member and have some type of assignment	122	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	752	4.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	474	2.6%
Sysmiss		147546	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99m: Membership/active in: Hunting organization

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4941 /-] [Invalid=160899 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on mb50w (2006) and mb60o (2009-2010). The question wording has changed. 2006 (National): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2009-2010: "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 2006 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2009 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010 (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 2004-2008 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2009-2010: no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Hunting organization
Notes	2006, 2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member/No	4370	88.4%
2	Member/Yes	203	4.1%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	45	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	205	4.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	118	2.4%
Sysmiss		160899	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99n: Membership/active in: Pensioners association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=113623 /-] [Invalid=52217 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb50j (1996-2007) and mb60p (2011). The question wording has changed. 1996-2007: "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations."; 2011: "Are you a member of some type of association/organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1996-1997 (National): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007: 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2010- (National): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1996-2007 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2011: no change. West: Constructed variable based on mb50j (1996-2007) and mb60p (2009-).

mb99n: Membership/active in: Pensioners association

	The question wording has changed. 1996-2007 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2009- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2007 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1996-2007 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3. 2009- (West): no change.
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Pensioners association
Notes	1996-2007, 2011 (National); 1996-2007, 2009- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No	91971		80.9%
2	Yes	12024		10.6%
3	…and I have some type of assignment	1419		1.2%
4	…and I participate actively	263		0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	5018		4.4%
98	Several responses given	61		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2867		2.5%
96	Question not asked	15561		
Sysmiss		36656		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mb99o: Membership/active in: Residents´/house-owners´ association, housing cooperative

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=25144 /-] [Invalid=140696 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on mb50h (1998-2004). The response alternatives have changed: 1998-2007: 1 'Not member' 2 'Member but have not attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; Recoding: 1998-2004 (National): 1=1; 2 and 3=2; 4=3. West: Constructed variable based on mb50h (1996-2005) and mb60p (2009-). The question wording has changed. 1996-2005 (West): "Please state below which of the following organisations you are a member of and how active you are in these organisations"; 2009- (West): "Are you a member of some type of association/ organization?" The response alternatives have changed: 1996 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Medlem' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1997 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last year' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 1998-2005 (West): 1 'Not member' 2 'Member, but have not attended a meeting in the last year' 3 'Member and have attended a meeting in the last 12 months' 4 'Member and have some type of assignment'; 2009 (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…with some type of assignment'; 2010- (West): 1 'No' 2 'Yes' 3 '…and I have some type of assignment'. Recoding: 1996-2005 (West): 2 and 3=2; 4=3.

mb99o: Membership/active in: Residents´/house-owners´ association, housing cooperative

	2009- (West): no change.		
Literal question	Are you a member of some type of association/organization? - Residents' association, housing cooperative or house-owners' association		
Notes	1998-2004 (National); 1996-2005, 2009- (West)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not member/No	14265	56.7%
2	Member/Yes	7697	30.6%
3	Member and have some type of assignment	935	3.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1367	5.4%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	880	3.5%
Sysmiss		140696	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc10a: Past 12 months: Bought or sold stocks/fund shares

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=164197 /-]
Definition	See also mc10f (1996-1998) and mc10k (1999-) for similar items.
Literal question	In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities? - Bought or sold stocks/fund shares
Notes	1988 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1183	72.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	187	11.4%
3	About once every half year	57	3.5%
4	About once every quarter	43	2.6%
5	About once every month	48	2.9%
6	About once a week	2	0.1%
7	Several times a week	7	0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	99	6.0%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	17	1.0%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		164197	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc10c: Past 12 months: Gambled on the horses/lottery etc.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=148271 /-] [Invalid=17569 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1988-1990 (National): "In the last 12-month period, how often have you engaged in the following activities?"; 1991-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Gambled on the horses/lottery etc.
Notes	1988- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	58400	39.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	15237	10.3%

mc10c: Past 12 months: Gambled on the horses/lottery etc.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	About once every half year	7683	5.2%
4	About once every quarter	10762	7.3%
5	About once every month	20170	13.6%
6	About once a week	24915	16.8%
7	Several times a week	5577	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	2486	1.7%
98	Several responses given	191	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	2850	1.9%
96	Frågan ej ställd	14274	
Sysmiss		3295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc10f: Past 12 months: Traded securities/stocks/funds

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7094 /-] [Invalid=158746 /-]
Definition	See also mc10a (1988) and mc10k (1999-) for similar items.
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things? - Traded securities/stocks/funds
Notes	1996-1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4000	56.4%
2	About once in the last 12 months	1349	19.0%
3	About once every half year	441	6.2%
4	About once every quarter	387	5.5%
5	About once every month	475	6.7%
6	About once a week	64	0.9%
7	Several times a week	33	0.5%
97	No response - part of the question	236	3.3%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	109	1.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
Sysmiss		158746	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc10k: Past 12 months: Traded with shares/stocks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=71544 /-] [Invalid=94296 /-]
Definition	See also mc10a (1988) and mc10f (1996-1998) for similar items. The question wording has changed. 1999-2002 (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following things?"; 2003- (National): "During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following?"
Literal question	During the past 12 months, how often have you done the following? - Traded with shares/stocks
Notes	1999- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	50116	70.0%
2	About once in the last 12 months	7763	10.9%
3	About once every half year	3047	4.3%

mc10k: Past 12 months: Traded with shares/stocks

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	About once every quarter	3173	4.4%
5	About once every month	3198	4.5%
6	About once a week	737	1.0%
7	Several times a week	507	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	1487	2.1%
98	Several responses given	83	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	1433	2.0%
96		64971	
Sysmiss		29325	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc100a: Priceworthy: A paperback

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=164065 /-]
Literal question	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A paperback
Notes	2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	551	31.0%
2	Generally worth the price	773	43.5%
3	Not really worth the price	231	13.0%
4	Not at all worth the price	107	6.0%
97	No response - part of the question	64	3.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		162341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc100b: Priceworthy: A weekly magazine

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=164065 /-]
Literal question	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A weekly magazine
Notes	2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	89	5.0%
2	Generally worth the price	628	35.4%
3	Not really worth the price	736	41.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	243	13.7%
97	No response - part of the question	32	1.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		162341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc100c: Priceworthy: A cd

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=164065 /-]
	Literal question	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A cd
	Notes	2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	86	4.8%
2	Generally worth the price	622	35.0%
3	Not really worth the price	648	36.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	329	18.5%
97	No response - part of the question	42	2.4%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		162341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc100d: Priceworthy: A theater ticket

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=164065 /-]
	Literal question	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A theater ticket
	Notes	2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	168	9.5%
2	Generally worth the price	884	49.8%
3	Not really worth the price	420	23.7%
4	Not at all worth the price	169	9.5%
97	No response - part of the question	87	4.9%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		162341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc100e: Priceworthy: A cinema ticket

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=164065 /-]
	Literal question	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A cinema ticket
	Notes	2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	131	7.4%
2	Generally worth the price	887	50.0%
3	Not really worth the price	491	27.7%
4	Not at all worth the price	155	8.7%
97	No response - part of the question	58	3.3%
98	Several responses given	6	0.3%

mc100e: Priceworthy: A cinema ticket

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		162341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc100f: Priceworthy: A ticket to a fotball/hockey game

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=164065 /-]
Literal question	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A ticket to a fotball/hockey game
Notes	2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	115	6.5%
2	Generally worth the price	622	35.0%
3	Not really worth the price	513	28.9%
4	Not at all worth the price	370	20.8%
97	No response - part of the question	108	6.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		162341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc100g: Priceworthy: A restaurant visit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=164065 /-]
Literal question	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A restaurant visit
Notes	2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	168	9.5%
2	Generally worth the price	948	53.4%
3	Not really worth the price	447	25.2%
4	Not at all worth the price	128	7.2%
97	No response - part of the question	37	2.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		162341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc100h: Priceworthy: A ticket to a music concert

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=164065 /-]		
Literal question	How do you value the following in relation to what it costs? - A ticket to a music concert		
Notes	2005 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	221	12.5%
2	Generally worth the price	825	46.5%
3	Not really worth the price	433	24.4%
4	Not at all worth the price	167	9.4%
97	No response - part of the question	82	4.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	47	2.6%
96	Question not asked	1724	
Sysmiss		162341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101a: Priceworthy: Paperback

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11600 /-] [Invalid=154240 /-]		
Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Paperback		
Notes	2007- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	3157	27.2%
2	Generally worth the price	4019	34.6%
3	Not really worth the price	823	7.1%
4	Not at all worth the price	261	2.2%
5	No opinion	2843	24.5%
97	No response - part of the question	163	1.4%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	327	2.8%
96	Question not asked	22639	
Sysmiss		131601	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101b: Priceworthy: Cd

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8381 /-] [Invalid=157459 /-]		
Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Cd		
Notes	2007- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	388	4.6%
2	Generally worth the price	2556	30.5%
3	Not really worth the price	2385	28.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	1283	15.3%
5	No opinion	1387	16.5%

mc101b: Priceworthy: Cd

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	131	1.6%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	247	2.9%
96	Question not asked	12966	
Sysmiss		144493	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101c: Priceworthy: Cinema visit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9972 /-] [Invalid=155868 /-]
Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Cinema visit
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	670	6.7%
2	Generally worth the price	4263	42.7%
3	Not really worth the price	2323	23.3%
4	Not at all worth the price	658	6.6%
5	No opinion	1625	16.3%
97	No response - part of the question	135	1.4%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	291	2.9%
96	Question not asked	17664	
Sysmiss		138204	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101d: Priceworthy: Theatre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9972 /-] [Invalid=155868 /-]
Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Theatre
Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	754	7.6%
2	Generally worth the price	3600	36.1%
3	Not really worth the price	1362	13.7%
4	Not at all worth the price	529	5.3%
5	No opinion	3263	32.7%
97	No response - part of the question	169	1.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	291	2.9%
96	Question not asked	17664	
Sysmiss		138204	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101e: Priceworthy: Subscription to the daily paper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9972 /-] [Invalid=155868 /-]

mc101e: Priceworthy: Subscription to the daily paper

	Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Subscription to the daily paper
	Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	1021	10.2%
2	Generally worth the price	3526	35.4%
3	Not really worth the price	2297	23.0%
4	Not at all worth the price	1311	13.1%
5	No opinion	1410	14.1%
97	No response - part of the question	96	1.0%
98	Several responses given	20	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	291	2.9%
96	Question not asked	17664	
Sysmiss		138204	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101f: Priceworthy: Broadband subscriptions

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8381 /-] [Invalid=157459 /-]
	Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Broadband subscriptions
	Notes	2007- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	883	10.5%
2	Generally worth the price	3096	36.9%
3	Not really worth the price	1673	20.0%
4	Not at all worth the price	563	6.7%
5	No opinion	1767	21.1%
97	No response - part of the question	144	1.7%
98	Several responses given	8	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	247	2.9%
96	Question not asked	12966	
Sysmiss		144493	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101o: Priceworthy: Pay-TV channels

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6612 /-] [Invalid=159228 /-]
	Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Pay-TV channels
	Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	208	3.1%
2	Generally worth the price	1244	18.8%
3	Not really worth the price	1851	28.0%
4	Not at all worth the price	1388	21.0%
5	No opinion	1625	24.6%
97	No response - part of the question	90	1.4%
98	Several responses given	3	0.0%

mc101o: Priceworthy: Pay-TV channels

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	203	3.1%
96	Question not asked	11300	
Sysmiss		147928	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101p: Priceworthy: Designer clothes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9831 /-] [Invalid=156009 /-]
Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Designer clothes
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	254	2.6%
2	Generally worth the price	1827	18.6%
3	Not really worth the price	3106	31.6%
4	Not at all worth the price	2959	30.1%
5	No opinion	1302	13.2%
97	No response - part of the question	93	0.9%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	283	2.9%
96	Question not asked	20973	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101q: Priceworthy: KRAV-certified food [produced in an env friendly/ethical manner]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - KRAV-certified food [food produced in an environmental friendly and ethical manner]
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	418	8.3%
2	Generally worth the price	2083	41.5%
3	Not really worth the price	1161	23.1%
4	Not at all worth the price	466	9.3%
5	No opinion	681	13.6%
97	No response - part of the question	63	1.3%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	144	2.9%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101t: Priceworthy: Design furniture

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8170 /-] [Invalid=157670 /-]
Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Design furniture

mc101t: Priceworthy: Design furniture

	Notes	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	188	2.3%
2	Generally worth the price	1096	13.4%
3	Not really worth the price	2165	26.5%
4	Not at all worth the price	2632	32.2%
5	No opinion	1763	21.6%
97	No response - part of the question	82	1.0%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	239	2.9%
96	Question not asked	19375	
Sysmiss		138295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101u: Priceworthy: Body care treatment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8170 /-] [Invalid=157670 /-]
Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Body care treatment
Notes	2009- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	341	4.2%
2	Generally worth the price	2297	28.1%
3	Not really worth the price	2105	25.8%
4	Not at all worth the price	1167	14.3%
5	No opinion	1957	24.0%
97	No response - part of the question	60	0.7%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	239	2.9%
96	Question not asked	19375	
Sysmiss		138295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mc101v: Priceworthy: Fairtade labelled products

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1702 /-] [Invalid=164138 /-]
Literal question	How do you generally value the following in relation to what it costs? - Fairtade labelled products
Notes	2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Definitely worth the price	155	9.1%
2	Generally worth the price	664	39.0%
3	Not really worth the price	341	20.0%
4	Not at all worth the price	122	7.2%
5	No opinion	334	19.6%
97	No response - part of the question	20	1.2%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	66	3.9%

mc101v: Priceworthy: Fairtade labelled products

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	3305	
Sysmiss		160833	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md10: As a whole, how satsified are you with the life you are living?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=142421 /-] [Invalid=23419 /-]
Definition	See also md11 (11 point scale) and md12 (other 4 point scale). Note that in 2007, md10 (National-1) and md11 (National-2) were asked in two separate editions. Note also that in 2011, md10 (National-1, National-3) and md12 (National-2) were asked in two separate editions.
Literal question	As a whole, how satsified are you with the life you are living?
Notes	1996- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	49507	34.8%
2	Rather satisfied	77986	54.8%
3	Not particularly satisfied	9310	6.5%
4	Not at all satisfied	1573	1.1%
98	Several responses given	95	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3950	2.8%
96	Question not asked	6521	
Sysmiss		16898	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md11: As a whole, how satsified are you with the life you are living?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=164071 /-]
Definition	See also md10 (4 point scale) and md12 (other 4 point scale). Note that in 2007, md10 (National-1) and md11 (National-2) were asked in two separate editions.
Literal question	As a whole, how satsified are you with the life you are living?
Notes	2007 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied (1)	16	0.9%
1	(1)	10	0.6%
2	(2)	21	1.2%
3	(3)	37	2.1%
4	(4)	36	2.0%
5	(5)	112	6.3%
6	(6)	108	6.1%
7	(7)	255	14.4%
8	(8)	480	27.1%
9	(9)	324	18.3%
10	Completely satisfied (10)	334	18.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	35	2.0%
96	Question not asked	1666	
Sysmiss		162405	

md11: As a whole, how satsified are you with the life you are living?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md12: Overall, how satisfied are you with your life?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1597 /-] [Invalid=164243 /-]
Definition	See also md10 (other 4 point scale) and md11 (11 point scale). Note that in 2011, md10 (National-1, National-3) and md12 (National-2) were asked in two separate editions.
Literal question	Overall, how satisfied are you with your life?
Notes	2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	553	34.6%
2	Rather satisfied	930	58.2%
3	Rather dissatisfied	59	3.7%
4	Very dissatisfied	12	0.8%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	43	2.7%
96	Question not asked	3123	
Sysmiss		161120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md30: Overall, how happy would you say you are?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6971 /-] [Invalid=158869 /-]
Literal question	Overall, how happy would you say you are?
Notes	2004, 2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1738	24.9%
2	Rather satisfied	4437	63.6%
3	Not particularly satisfied	509	7.3%
4	Not at all satisfied	60	0.9%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	222	3.2%
96	Question not asked	6574	
Sysmiss		152295	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60a: Important for well-being: Good health

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good health
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	2417	48.1%
2	Very important	2206	43.9%
3	Rather important	269	5.4%
4	Not particularly important	20	0.4%

md60a: Important for well-being: Good health

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not at all important	2	0.0%
97	No response - part of the question	12	0.2%
98	Several responses given	7	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60b: Important for well-being: Good private economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good private economy
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	983	19.6%
2	Very important	2815	56.1%
3	Rather important	1013	20.2%
4	Not particularly important	60	1.2%
5	Not at all important	6	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	54	1.1%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60c: Important for well-being: Good friends

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good friends
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	1308	26.1%
2	Very important	2638	52.5%
3	Rather important	834	16.6%
4	Not particularly important	91	1.8%
5	Not at all important	14	0.3%
97	No response - part of the question	47	0.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60d: Important for well-being: Family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]

md60d: Important for well-being: Family

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Family
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Completely crucial	2835		56.5%
2	Very important	1728		34.4%
3	Rather important	255		5.1%
4	Not particularly important	44		0.9%
5	Not at all important	21		0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	46		0.9%
98	Several responses given	4		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87		1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172		
Sysmiss		152648		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60e: Important for well-being: An enriched leisure time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - An enriched leisure time
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Completely crucial	623		12.4%
2	Very important	2351		46.8%
3	Rather important	1612		32.1%
4	Not particularly important	238		4.7%
5	Not at all important	21		0.4%
97	No response - part of the question	84		1.7%
98	Several responses given	4		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87		1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172		
Sysmiss		152648		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60f: Important for well-being: Nature experiences

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Nature experiences
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Completely crucial	510		10.2%
2	Very important	1805		36.0%
3	Rather important	1842		36.7%
4	Not particularly important	597		11.9%
5	Not at all important	74		1.5%

md60f: Important for well-being: Nature experiences

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No response - part of the question	94	1.9%
98	Several responses given	11	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60g: Important for well-being: Excitement in life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Excitement in life
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	268	5.3%
2	Very important	876	17.5%
3	Rather important	1776	35.4%
4	Not particularly important	1645	32.8%
5	Not at all important	234	4.7%
97	No response - part of the question	128	2.5%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60h: Important for well-being: A meaningful job

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A meaningful job
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	848	16.9%
2	Very important	2334	46.5%
3	Rather important	1154	23.0%
4	Not particularly important	197	3.9%
5	Not at all important	204	4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	195	3.9%
98	Several responses given	1	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60i: Important for well-being: General knowledge

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]

md60i: Important for well-being: General knowledge

	Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - General knowledge
	Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	509	10.1%
2	Very important	2390	47.6%
3	Rather important	1635	32.6%
4	Not particularly important	244	4.9%
5	Not at all important	37	0.7%
97	No response - part of the question	99	2.0%
98	Several responses given	19	0.4%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60j: Important for well-being: Political involvement

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
	Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Political involvement
	Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	86	1.7%
2	Very important	407	8.1%
3	Rather important	1389	27.7%
4	Not particularly important	2248	44.8%
5	Not at all important	667	13.3%
97	No response - part of the question	123	2.5%
98	Several responses given	13	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60k: Important for well-being: Exercise/training

	Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
	Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Exercise/training
	Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	569	11.3%
2	Very important	1842	36.7%
3	Rather important	1803	35.9%
4	Not particularly important	533	10.6%
5	Not at all important	78	1.6%
97	No response - part of the question	105	2.1%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%

md60k: Important for well-being: Exercise/training

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60l: Important for well-being: A safe living environment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A safe living environment
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	1194	23.8%
2	Very important	2799	55.8%
3	Rather important	802	16.0%
4	Not particularly important	57	1.1%
5	Not at all important	11	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	68	1.4%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60m: Important for well-being: A loving relationship

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A loving relationship
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	1320	26.3%
2	Very important	2071	41.3%
3	Rather important	952	19.0%
4	Not particularly important	360	7.2%
5	Not at all important	122	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	104	2.1%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60n: Important for well-being: Good housing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Good housing

md60n: Important for well-being: Good housing

Notes	2008-2010 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	1148	22.9%
2	Very important	2871	57.2%
3	Rather important	790	15.7%
4	Not particularly important	50	1.0%
5	Not at all important	10	0.2%
97	No response - part of the question	55	1.1%
98	Several responses given	9	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60o: Important for well-being: To be well dressed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To be well dressed
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	230	4.6%
2	Very important	1047	20.9%
3	Rather important	2301	45.8%
4	Not particularly important	1128	22.5%
5	Not at all important	161	3.2%
97	No response - part of the question	62	1.2%
98	Several responses given	4	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60p: Important for well-being: To look good

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To look good
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	192	3.8%
2	Very important	857	17.1%
3	Rather important	2283	45.5%
4	Not particularly important	1327	26.4%
5	Not at all important	191	3.8%
97	No response - part of the question	81	1.6%
98	Several responses given	2	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%

md60p: Important for well-being: To look good

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60q: Important for well-being: A religious faith

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - A religious faith
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	193	3.8%
2	Very important	315	6.3%
3	Rather important	643	12.8%
4	Not particularly important	1648	32.8%
5	Not at all important	2024	40.3%
97	No response - part of the question	104	2.1%
98	Several responses given	6	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60r: Important for well-being: Social reputation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Social reputation
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	163	3.2%
2	Very important	1108	22.1%
3	Rather important	2182	43.5%
4	Not particularly important	1101	21.9%
5	Not at all important	249	5.0%
97	No response - part of the question	119	2.4%
98	Several responses given	11	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60s: Important for well-being: Inner harmony

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Inner harmony
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

md60s: Important for well-being: Inner harmony

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	1202	23.9%
2	Very important	2359	47.0%
3	Rather important	1029	20.5%
4	Not particularly important	166	3.3%
5	Not at all important	64	1.3%
97	No response - part of the question	105	2.1%
98	Several responses given	8	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60t: Important for well-being: To help others

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - To help others
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	509	10.1%
2	Very important	2266	45.1%
3	Rather important	1836	36.6%
4	Not particularly important	198	3.9%
5	Not at all important	46	0.9%
97	No response - part of the question	78	1.6%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

md60u: Important for well-being: Success in work/studies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5020 /-] [Invalid=160820 /-]
Literal question	How important do you consider the following to be for you? - Success in work/studies
Notes	2008-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completely crucial	417	8.3%
2	Very important	1779	35.4%
3	Rather important	1914	38.1%
4	Not particularly important	448	8.9%
5	Not at all important	218	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	157	3.1%
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	87	1.7%
96	Question not asked	8172	

md60u: Important for well-being: Success in work/studies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf10: State of health (personal assessment)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=126297 /-] [Invalid=39543 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 2002-2007 (National): "How do you rate your general state of health? Respond using the scale below, where 0 is 'very bad' and 10 is 'very bad'"; 2008- (National): "How do you rate your general health state?"
Literal question	How do you rate your general health state?
Notes	2002- (National); 2004-2011 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very bad	730	0.6%
1	(1)	689	0.5%
2	(2)	1966	1.6%
3	(3)	4178	3.3%
4	(4)	4622	3.7%
5	(5)	10404	8.2%
6	(6)	8885	7.0%
7	(7)	19688	15.6%
8	(8)	31822	25.2%
9	(9)	21686	17.2%
10	Very good	18131	14.4%
98	Several responses given	111	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3385	2.7%
96		4864	
Sysmiss		34679	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf30: State of health (personal assessment)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10805 /-] [Invalid=155035 /-]
Literal question	How do you rate your general health state?
Notes	1998-2001 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	My worst possible state (0)	80	0.7%
1	(1)	75	0.7%
2	(2)	198	1.8%
3	(3)	430	4.0%
4	(4)	497	4.6%
5	(5)	1039	9.6%
6	(6)	798	7.4%
7	(7)	1684	15.6%
8	(8)	2850	26.4%
9	(9)	1768	16.4%
10	My best possible state (10)	1046	9.7%

mf30: State of health (personal assessment)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Several responses given	12	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	328	3.0%
96		3443	
Sysmiss		151592	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf50a: Recurring health issues: Headache or dizziness

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=156072 /-]
Literal question	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Headache or dizziness
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1770	18.1%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	4005	41.0%
3	Once/a few times/month	2466	25.2%
4	Once/a few times/week	970	9.9%
5	Daily	240	2.5%
97	No response - part of the question	133	1.4%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf50b: Recurring health issues: Ache or pain in muscles/joints/connective tissues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=156072 /-]
Literal question	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Ache or pain in muscles/joints/connective tissues
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	1745	17.9%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	2899	29.7%
3	Once/a few times/month	1961	20.1%
4	Once/a few times/week	1371	14.0%
5	Daily	1466	15.0%
97	No response - part of the question	141	1.4%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf50c: Recurring health issues: Heart problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=156072 /-]

mf50c: Recurring health issues: Heart problems

	Literal question	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Heart problems
	Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	7675	78.6%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	1021	10.5%
3	Once/a few times/month	311	3.2%
4	Once/a few times/week	181	1.9%
5	Daily	183	1.9%
97	No response - part of the question	190	1.9%
98	Several responses given	27	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	17731	
Sysmiss		138341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf50d: Recurring health issues: Stomach problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=156072 /-]
Literal question	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Stomach problems
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	3453	35.4%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	3318	34.0%
3	Once/a few times/month	1595	16.3%
4	Once/a few times/week	742	7.6%
5	Daily	307	3.1%
97	No response - part of the question	157	1.6%
98	Several responses given	16	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf50e: Recurring health issues: Sleep difficulties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=156072 /-]
Literal question	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Sleep difficulties
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2752	28.2%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	2829	29.0%
3	Once/a few times/month	2026	20.7%
4	Once/a few times/week	1336	13.7%
5	Daily	523	5.4%
97	No response - part of the question	117	1.2%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%

mf50e: Recurring health issues: Sleep difficulties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf50f: Recurring health issues: Anxiety/depression

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=156072 /-]
Literal question	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Anxiety/depression
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	2527	25.9%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	3871	39.6%
3	Once/a few times/month	1881	19.3%
4	Once/a few times/week	831	8.5%
5	Daily	318	3.3%
97	No response - part of the question	155	1.6%
98	Several responses given	5	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf50g: Recurring health issues: Reduced physical mobility

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=156072 /-]
Literal question	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Reduced physical mobility
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	4864	49.8%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	2423	24.8%
3	Once/a few times/month	713	7.3%
4	Once/a few times/week	495	5.1%
5	Daily	888	9.1%
97	No response - part of the question	195	2.0%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf50h: Recurring health issues: Allergies

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=156072 /-]
Literal question	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Allergies

mf50h: Recurring health issues: Allergies

Notes	2008- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	6315	64.6%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	1919	19.6%
3	Once/a few times/month	627	6.4%
4	Once/a few times/week	307	3.1%
5	Daily	265	2.7%
97	No response - part of the question	151	1.5%
98	Several responses given	4	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	180	1.8%
96	Question not asked	21036	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf50i: Recurring health issues: Other type of health problem

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9768 /-] [Invalid=156072 /-]
Literal question	How often during the past 12 months have you experienced these types of reccuring health issues? - Other type of health problem
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never	5485	56.2%
2	Once/a few times during the past 12 months	1970	20.2%
3	Once/a few times/month	628	6.4%
4	Once/a few times/week	355	3.6%
5	Daily	643	6.6%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	503	5.1%
98	Dubbelkryss	4	0.0%
99	Ej svar - hela frågan	180	1.8%
96		21036	
Sysmiss		135036	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf100a: Height and weight: Length (in cm)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12-9999] [Missing=*/9996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26258 /-] [Invalid=139582 /-]
Literal question	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Length (in cm)
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9997	Ej svar - del av fråga	1648	73.9%
9998	Dubbelkryss	0	
9999	Ej svar - hela frågan	583	26.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf100b: Height and weight: Weight (in kg)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-9999] [Missing=*/9996]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26258 /-] [Invalid=139582 /-]
Literal question	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Weight (in kg)
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9997	Ej svar - del av fråga	1963	77.1%
9998	Dubbelkryss	0	
9999	Ej svar - hela frågan	583	22.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mf100c: Length and weight: Do not want to answer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=24489 /-] [Invalid=141351 /-]
Literal question	How tall are you and how much do you weigh? - Do not want to answer
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not ticked	22208	90.7%
1	Ticked	1699	6.9%
99	Information missing	582	2.4%
96		16585	
Sysmiss		124766	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mg900a: Proposal: Lower the alcohol tax

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30479 /-] [Invalid=135361 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Lower the alcohol tax
Notes	2004-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	2858	9.4%
2	Rather good proposal	4419	14.5%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	8520	28.0%
4	Rather bad proposal	6951	22.8%
5	Very bad proposal	6523	21.4%
97	No response - part of the question	512	1.7%
98	Several responses given	23	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	673	2.2%
96	Question not asked	89899	
Sysmiss		45462	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mg900b: Proposal: Raise the alcohol tax

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26805 /-] [Invalid=139035 /-]

mg900b: Proposal: Raise the alcohol tax

Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Raise the alcohol tax		
Notes	2010-		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	3875	14.5%
2	Rather good proposal	5307	19.8%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	8699	32.5%
4	Rather bad proposal	4722	17.6%
5	Very bad proposal	3169	11.8%
97	No response - part of the question	435	1.6%
98	Several responses given	25	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	573	2.1%
96	Question not asked	75005	
Sysmiss		64030	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mg901a: Proposal: Allow the sale of beer, wine and liquor in grocery stores

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37580 /-] [Invalid=128260 /-]
Literal question	Below is a number of proposals that have appeared in the political debate. What is your opinion on each of them? Allow the sale of beer, wine and liquor in grocery stores
Notes	2001-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	4753	12.6%
2	Rather good proposal	7670	20.4%
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	6034	16.1%
4	Rather bad proposal	6740	17.9%
5	Very bad proposal	10283	27.4%
6	No opinion	887	2.4%
97	No response - part of the question	213	0.6%
98	Several responses given	17	0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	983	2.6%
96	Question not asked	97219	
Sysmiss		31041	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ng10: Which weekday was it yesterday?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10701 /-] [Invalid=155139 /-]
Literal question	Which weekday was it yesterday?
Notes	2000-2006 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Monday	1010	9.4%
2	Tuesday	1154	10.8%
3	Wednesday	2380	22.2%
4	Thursday	2194	20.5%

ng10: Which weekday was it yesterday?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Friday	1489	13.9%
6	Saturday	1427	13.3%
7	Sunday	1047	9.8%
96	Question not asked	7092	
97	No response - part of the question	63	
98	Several responses given	5	
99	No response - entire question battery	275	
Sysmiss		147704	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lmsit1986: Labour market situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19799 /-] [Invalid=146041 /-]
Definition	National: See also lmsit1998 (1998-) and lmsit (constructed variable). Some codes include different response alternatives over time: 2 "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")": 1986-1993, 1995 (National): "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")"; 1994, 1996-1997 (National): "Public relief work/practical vocational experience for young". West: See also lmsit1998 (1998-) and lmsit (constructed variable). Some codes include different response alternatives over time: 2 "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")": 1992-1993 (National): "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")"; 1994-1997 (National): "Public relief work/practical vocational experience for young".
Literal question	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
Notes	1986-1997 (National); 1992-1997 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gainfully employed	11739	59.3%
2	Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")	181	0.9%
3	Participating in labour market training	168	0.8%
5	Unemployed	884	4.5%
6	Old age pensioner	3187	16.1%
7	Disability pensioner	872	4.4%
8	Homeworker	527	2.7%
9	Student	2241	11.3%
98	Several responses given	53	
99	No response - entire question battery	579	
Sysmiss		145409	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lmsit1998: Labour market situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=136673 /-] [Invalid=29167 /-]
Definition	National: See also lmsit1986 (1986-1997) and lmsit (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 "Gainfully employed": 1998-2001 (National): "Gainfully employed"; 2002- (National): "Gainfully employed (including sick and parental leave)". 2 "Participating in labour market policy measures": 1998-2007 (National): "Participating in labour market policy measures"; 2008- (National): "Participating in labour market policy measures/vocational training".

lmsit1998: Labour market situation

	3 "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS (the National Labour Market Board)": 1998-2003 (National): "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS"; 2004-2007 (National): "Participating in vocational training courses". As of 2008, this alternative is no longer available (see instead code 2). 4 "Participating in training within the Kunskapslyftet initiative": No change. As of 2002, this alternative is no longer available (see instead code 2 and 3). 5 "Unemployed": no change. 6 "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner": 1998-2002 (National): "Old age pensioner"; 2003- (National): "Old age/ early retirement contractual pensioner". 7 "Have sickness/activity compensation": 1998-2007 (National): "Disability pensioner"; 2008- (National): "Have sickness/ activity compensation". 8 "Homeworker": no change. As of 2003 this alternative is no longer available. 9 "Student": no change. 10 "Other": no change. West: See also lmsit1986 (1986-1997) and lmsit (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time. 1 "Gainfully employed": 1998-2001 (West): "Gainfully employed"; 2002- (West): "Gainfully employed (including sick and parental leave)". 2 "Participating in labour market policy measures": 1998-2007 (West): "Participating in labour market policy measures"; 2008- (West): "Participating in labour market policy measures/vocational training". 3 "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS (the National Labour Market Board)": 1998-2003 (West): "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS"; 2004-2007 (West): "Participating in vocational training courses". As of 2008, this alternative is no longer available (see instead code 2). 4 "Participating in training within the Kunskapslyftet initiative": No change. As of 2002, this alternative is no longer available (see instead code 2 and 3). 5 "Unemployed": no change. 6 "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner": 1998-2002 (West): "Old age pensioner"; 2003- (West): "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner". 7 "Have sickness/activity compensation": 1998-2007 (West): "Disablement pensioner"; 2008- (West): "Have sickness/ activity compensation". 8 "Homeworker": no change. As of 2003 this alternative is no longer available. 9 "Student": no change. 10 "Other": no change.
Literal question	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
Notes	1998- (National); 1998- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gainfully employed	74285	54.4%
2	Participating in labour market policy measures	1894	1.4%
3	Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS (the	85	0.1%
4	Participating in training within the Kunskapslyftet initiati	88	0.1%
5	Unemployed	4118	3.0%
6	Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner	38896	28.5%
7	Have sickness/activity compensation	4017	2.9%
8	Homeworker	193	0.1%
9	Student	11635	8.5%
10	Other	1462	1.1%
98	Several responses given	4500	
99	No response - entire question battery	4236	
Sysmiss		20431	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

lmsit: Labour market situation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=156472 /-] [Invalid=9368 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable. See also lmsit1986 (1986-1997) and lmsit1998 (1998-). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time:

lmsit: Labour market situation

	1 "Gainfully employed": 1986-2001 (National): "Gainfully employed"; 2002- (National): "Gainfully employed (including sick and parental leave)".
	2 "Labour market policy measures/labour market training": 1986-1993, 1995 (National): "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")"; 1986-1997, 2004-2007 (National): "Participating in vocational training courses"; 1994, 1996-1997 (National): "Public relief work/practical vocational experience for young"; 1998-2007 (National): "Participating in labour market policy measures"; 1998-2003 (National): "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS (the National Labour Market Board)"; 2008- (National): "Participating in labour market policy measures/vocational training". (Includes code 2 and 3 from lmsit1986 and lmsit1998.)
	3 "Unemployed": no change.
	4 "Old age pensioner/early retirement contractual pensioner": 1986-2002 (National): "Old age pensioner"; 2003- (National): "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner".
	5 "Disability pensioner/early retirement pensioner (medical reasons)": 1986-2007 (National): "Disability pensioner"; 2008- (National): "Have sickness/activity compensation".
	6 "Student": no change.
	7 "Other/homeworker": 1986-2002 (National): "Homeworker"; 2003- (National): "Other".
	West:
	Constructed variable. See also lmsit1986 (1986-1997) and lmsit1998 (1998-).
	Each code include the following response alternatives available over time:
	1 "Gainfully employed": 1992-2001 (West): "Gainfully employed"; 2002- (West): "Gainfully employed (including sick and parental leave)".
	2 "Labour market policy measures/labour market training": 1992-1993 (West): "Public relief work ("Beredskapsarbete")"; 1992-1997, 2004-2007 (West): "Participating in vocational training courses"; 1994-1997 (West): "Public relief work/practical vocational experience for young"; 1998-2007 (West): "Participating in labour market policy measures"; 1998-2003 (West): "Participating in labour market training arranged by AMS (the National Labour Market Board)"; 2008- (West): "Participating in labour market policy measures/vocational training". (Includes code 2 and 3 from lmsit1986 and lmsit1998.)
	3 "Unemployed": no change.
	4 "Old age pensioner/early retirement contractual pensioner": 1992-2002 (West): "Old age pensioner"; 2003- (West): "Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner".
	5 "Disability pensioner/early retirement pensioner (medical reasons)": 1992-2007 (West): "Disability pensioner"; 2008- (West): "Have sickness/activity compensation".
	6 "Student": no change.
	7 "Other/homeworker": 1992-2002 (West): "Homeworker"; 2003- (West): "Other".
Literal question	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gainfully employed	86024	55.0%
2	Labour market policy measures/labour market training	2416	1.5%
3	Unemployed	5002	3.2%
4	Old age pensioner/early retirement contractual pensioner	42083	26.9%
5	Disability pensioner/early retirement pensioner (medical rea	4889	3.1%
6	Student	13876	8.9%
7	Other/homeworker	2182	1.4%
98	Several responses given	4553	
99	No response - entire question battery	4815	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

working: Gainfully employed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on lmsit. Indicates whether the respondent is gainfully employed or not (lmsit code 1).
Literal question	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not gainfully employed	70448	42.5%
1	Gainfully employed	86024	51.9%

working: Gainfully employed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	4553	2.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	4815	2.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

unemployed: Unemployed/in labour market program/training

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on lmsit. Indicates whether the respondent participates in labour market policy measures/labour market training or is unemployed (lmsit code 2 and 3).
Literal question	Which of these groups do you currently belong to?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not unemployed/in labour market program/training	149054	89.9%
1	Unemployed/in labour market program/training	7418	4.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	4553	2.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	4815	2.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

occgr: Current or previous occupational group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	Which of these occupational groups do you/did you belong to?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992-2003 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	White-collar worker	43939	26.5%
2	White-collar worker with supervisory status	16768	10.1%
3	White-collar worker in a senior leadership position	5909	3.6%
4	Blue-collar worker	53599	32.3%
5	Blue-collar worker with supervisory status	8605	5.2%
6	Self-employed blue-collar worker	319	0.2%
7	Farmer: no employees	1175	0.7%
8	Farmer: one/several employees	287	0.2%
9	Self-employed: no employees	5790	3.5%
10	Self-employed: 1-9 employees	4732	2.9%
11	Self-employed: 10 or more employees	1704	1.0%
95	Never had paid work	3184	1.9%
98	Several responses given	5990	3.6%
99	No response	13839	8.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=49069 /-] [Invalid=116771 /-]
Definition	Open question. Coded using the Swedish National Election Studies occupational coding scheme. The triple digit code has the following logic: the two first digits shows the line of business and the third shows the "rank". If the stated occupation is ambiguous, the response is compared with certain background variables (e.g. education, occupational group and labor union). Note that the Swedish National Election Studies occupational coding scheme and the Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations (ssyk) both were used in 2005. Since 2006, only the latter has been used.
Literal question	What is/was your occupation?
Notes	1986-2005 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Svar saknas	1726	3.5%
3		1	0.0%
4		1	0.0%
5		4	0.0%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
10		1	0.0%
11	Företagare/företagsledare - tekn, kem, fysik arb	200	0.4%
12		1	0.0%
14	Chef för arkitektkontor, laboratorium, forskning, prod enhet	337	0.7%
15	Övrigt arkitekt-, 'egentligt ingenjörs-', forskningsarbete	1228	2.5%
16	Närmast under ing - förman, verkmästare, arbetsstudieman	1684	3.4%
17	Biträdespersonal åt ing - rit-, mät-, laboratoriebiträde	368	0.7%
18		1	0.0%
20		2	0.0%
21		3	0.0%
22	Sjukgymnast m egen praktik, vårdhemsinnehavare, homeopat	65	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
25	Farmakologiskt arb - apotekare (anställd)	60	0.1%
26	Närmast under läkare, tandläkare, apotekare	1365	2.8%
27	Biträdespersonal m rutinmässigt 'sjukvårdstekniskt' arb	1603	3.3%
28	Biträdespersonal vid sjukvårdsanstalt	1588	3.2%
32	Innehavare av bilskola, övriga konsulter och frilans inom	45	0.1%
34	Pedagogiskt arbete m chefsskap - rektor, professor	167	0.3%
35	Ämneslärare m akademisk utb i postgymnasial utbildning	1101	2.2%
36	Klasslärare (grundskolan) och övriga lärare	1414	2.9%
37		3	0.0%
42	Företagare i konstnärlig verksamhet	89	0.2%
43	Målare, konstnär, skulptör, författare	83	0.2%
44	Litterär/konstnärlig chef/avd chef/konstnärlig ledare	33	0.1%
45	Övriga m litterär/konstnärlig yrkesutövning	183	0.4%
47	Rutinmässigt arb inom litt/konst - textare, affärsdekoratör	84	0.2%
48		2	0.0%
51		6	0.0%
52	Kval biologiskt arb forskning, rådgivn , vård: veterinär,	53	0.1%
55		1	0.0%

occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
56	Biologiskt arb, närmast under företagare/befattningshavare	30	0.1%
61	Läkare/tandläkare/psykolog m egen praktik	56	0.1%
64	Kval medicinskt/psykologiskt arb såsom chef för enhet eller	49	0.1%
65	Läkare, tandläkare klinisk/industri-/skolpsykolog	298	0.6%
66	Socialvårdstjänstemän - assistent, kurator	347	0.7%
68		1	0.0%
70		1	0.0%
71		5	0.0%
74		5	0.0%
75	Personalman - personalchef, arbetsförmedl föreståndare m fl	119	0.2%
76	Personalman som är assistent el kurator i företag/myndighet	208	0.4%
80		1	0.0%
81	Aukt revisor/ företagsekonom m egen byrå/praktik	91	0.2%
82		2	0.0%
84	Kvalificerat arb inom revisions-, ekon, jur arb	120	0.2%
85	Revisor, systemman, taxeringstj m, ekon /jur utredare	578	1.2%
86	Programmerare	274	0.6%
87		5	0.0%
91	Advokat m egen byrå	26	0.1%
92		2	0.0%
94	Kval arb - ledande bef - länsåkl, länspolischef, kronofog	19	0.0%
95	Domstolsjur, åklagare, polismästare/-intendent m fl	73	0.1%
98		3	0.0%
99		13	0.0%
114		5	0.0%
115	Präst i statskyrkan	38	0.1%
116	Pastorer/predikanter i frikyrkosamfund/officer i frälsningsa	51	0.1%
117		1	0.0%
121	Företagare inom journalistik - företagsled chefredaktör	25	0.1%
123		1	0.0%
124	Journalistisk yrkesutöv som avd chef - redaktionschef	18	0.0%
125	Journalist, redaktör, korrekturläsare	223	0.5%
128		1	0.0%
132		1	0.0%
133	Översättare ej anställd	18	0.0%
134		24	0.0%
135	Arb inom forskning/arkiv-/beräkningsarb - bibliotekarie	267	0.5%
136	Biträde-/assistentarb inom forskning/arkiv-/beräkningsarb	80	0.2%
137	Biträdesarb (utan utbildning) inom yrkesgruppen	25	0.1%
154	Chefarb i stat/komm, inom hälso-/sjuk-/socialvård/utb	43	0.1%
155	Arb under chef i stat/komm - hälso-/sjuk-/socialvård/utb	213	0.4%
156	Rutinarb i stat/kommun inom hälso-/sjuk-/socialvård/utb	167	0.3%
161		1	0.0%
164	Adm arb som chef för förvalt mynd el avdelningsbyrå	106	0.2%
165	Adm arb närmast under avdelnings-/byråchef	302	0.6%

occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
166	Rutinmässigt adm arbete i stat /komm förvaltning	447	0.9%
170		1	0.0%
171	Adm företagare i näringsliv - VD, disponent, bankdirektör	354	0.7%
172		1	0.0%
174	Adm arb, enhetschef i större företag	315	0.6%
175	Kamrer, även ospec högutb projektledare i företag/org	280	0.6%
176	Ospec projektledare (lägre utb) i företag/org	8	0.0%
178		3	0.0%
180		1	0.0%
212		6	0.0%
215		1	0.0%
216	Kvalificerat kontorsarb inom bokförings/kassaarb	236	0.5%
217	Allmänt kontorsarb inom bokförings/kassaarb	204	0.4%
218		4	0.0%
222		3	0.0%
226	Kvalificerat kontorsarb inom stenografi/maskinskrivning	101	0.2%
227	Allmänt kontorsarb inom stenografi/maskinskrivning	1132	2.3%
228		13	0.0%
232	Egen företagare inom kontor (ospec inriktning)	28	0.1%
234		2	0.0%
235		2	0.0%
236	Kvalificerat arb inom kontorsområdet	1076	2.2%
237	Allmänt arb inom kontorsområdet	708	1.4%
238		14	0.0%
244		2	0.0%
246		2	0.0%
262		1	0.0%
276		1	0.0%
311	Företagare m större handelsföretag (> 10 anst)	32	0.1%
312	Företagare inom Parti- detaljhandel	250	0.5%
313		1	0.0%
314		16	0.0%
315		11	0.0%
316	Affärsföreståndare, arb ledare inom detaljhandel	42	0.1%
317	Säljare av kapitalvaror i detaljhandel	34	0.1%
318	Biträde, kassörska inom detaljhandel	46	0.1%
320		1	0.0%
322	Affärsbiträde m eget företag	36	0.1%
324	Försäljn/inköpsarb - chef - större enhet avd (affär)	14	0.0%
325	Försäljn/inköpsarb - nivå under chef - större avd - affär	3	0.0%
326	Arbetsledning som förman ex affärsföreståndare	82	0.2%
327	Detaljhandelsförsäljare	78	0.2%
328	Biträdesarbete i affär	1225	2.5%
331		1	0.0%
332		3	0.0%

occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
334		4	0.0%
335		5	0.0%
336	Arbetsledning inom partiförsäljning	29	0.1%
337	Säljare genom kundbesök, agenturarbete	20	0.0%
338		9	0.0%
341	Företagare inom övrig försäljning	40	0.1%
342	Företagare, mindre företag inom övrig försäljning	134	0.3%
343		6	0.0%
344	Enhetschef inom försäljning/inköp	206	0.4%
345	Försäljning-/inköp/marknadsföringsarb under chef	242	0.5%
346	Arb ledare inom övrig försäljning - affärsföreståndare	559	1.1%
347	Detaljhandelsförsäljare inom övrig försäljning	318	0.6%
348	Biträdesarb inom övrig försäljning	252	0.5%
411		3	0.0%
412	Företagare inom lantbruk/skogs-/trädgårdsarb	653	1.3%
415	Kval lantbruks/skogsbefälsarb ex agronom	23	0.0%
416	Arbetsledare inom lantbruk/skogs-/trädgårdsarb	68	0.1%
418	Manuellt arbete inom lantbruk	91	0.2%
421		1	0.0%
422	Företagare inom jordbruk/trädgårdsarb/djurskötsel	217	0.4%
425	Kval jord/skogsbruksarb jägmästare, agronom	4	0.0%
426	Arb ledare, tekniker inom jordbruk, skogsvaktare	44	0.1%
427		1	0.0%
428	Manuellt arb inom jordbruk/trädgårdsarb/djurskötsel	228	0.5%
429		1	0.0%
432		1	0.0%
435		6	0.0%
436		2	0.0%
438		1	0.0%
441		1	0.0%
442	Företagsledare inom skogsarb	21	0.0%
445		5	0.0%
446	Arb ledare/tekniker inom skogsarb	36	0.1%
448	Manuellt skogsarb	149	0.3%
449		1	0.0%
452	Fiskare m egen verksamhet/båt	21	0.0%
456		3	0.0%
458	Fiskeriarbete (manuellt)	8	0.0%
468		3	0.0%
478		2	0.0%
488		1	0.0%
502	Företagare inom gruv-/stenbrytning - brunnsborrare	5	0.0%
506	Förman inom gruv- och stenbrytning	4	0.0%
508	Manuellt arb inom gruv/stenbrytn , gruvarbetare	42	0.1%
512		1	0.0%

occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
599		1	0.0%
612	Motorfordonsförare m eget mindre företag	189	0.4%
616	Förman inom åkeri/spårvägen/taxirörelse	26	0.1%
618	Motorfordonsförare utan eget företag el förmansfunktion	785	1.6%
632	Företagare m mindre fartyg t ex skeppare m skuta	8	0.0%
635	Sjöbefäl m kval arb uppg sjökapten, styrman, maskinchef	52	0.1%
636	Övrigt sjöbefäl el arb ledare/tekniker inom sjöbefälsarb	26	0.1%
637		1	0.0%
638	Däcksmanskap inom sjöfart	52	0.1%
640		1	0.0%
644	Postmästare, stins (högre befattn förs till Adm arb)	43	0.1%
645		1	0.0%
646	Förman/arb ledare inom transport/kommunikation	155	0.3%
647	Telefonist, konduktör, brevbärare, lokförare	615	1.3%
648	Manuellt arb inom transport/kommunikation	143	0.3%
652	Företagare m mindre föret inom transport/kommunikationer	10	0.0%
656	Förman/arb ledare inom övrig transport/kommunikation	49	0.1%
658	Manuellt arb inom övrig transport/kommunikation	133	0.3%
660		1	0.0%
674		1	0.0%
684		1	0.0%
699		1	0.0%
712	Företagare m mindre företag inom textil/sömnadsarb	56	0.1%
713		2	0.0%
716	Förman/arb ledare inom textil/sömnadsarb	28	0.1%
717		4	0.0%
718	Manuellt arb inom textil/sömnadsarb	297	0.6%
722		6	0.0%
726		1	0.0%
728		13	0.0%
732	Företagare m mindre företag inom järnbruk/metall-/smide	15	0.0%
736	Förman inom järnbruk/metallverk/smides och gjuteriarbete	22	0.0%
738	Manuellt arb inom järnbruk/metall-/smide	297	0.6%
739		1	0.0%
740		1	0.0%
742	F tagare m mindre företag - verkstads-/byggnadsmetallarb	98	0.2%
746	Förman/arb ledare inom verkstads-/byggnadsmetallarb	148	0.3%
748	Manuellt arb inom verkstads-/byggnadsmetallarb	2127	4.3%
751		1	0.0%
752	Föret m mindre företag inom elektrobranschen	45	0.1%
756	Förman/arb ledare inom elektroarb	59	0.1%
758	Manuellt arb inom elektroarb	475	1.0%
759		1	0.0%
761		1	0.0%
762	Företagare m mindre företag inom träarb	77	0.2%

occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
766		52	0.1%
767		1	0.0%
768	Manuellt arb inom träarb	573	1.2%
772	Föret m mindre föret inom målning/lackning	46	0.1%
776	Förman inom målnings och lackeringsarbete	8	0.0%
778	Manuellt arb inom målnings-/lackeringsarb	216	0.4%
782	Företagare m mindre företag inom mureri-/betongarb mm	47	0.1%
786	Förman/arb led inom bygg, mureri-/betongbranschen	24	0.0%
787		1	0.0%
788	Manuellt arb inom mureri-/betongarb mm	470	1.0%
802	Företagare m mindre företag inom livsmedelsarb	18	0.0%
806	Förman/arb ledare inom livsmedelsarb	38	0.1%
808	Manuellt arb inom livsmedelsarb	296	0.6%
812	Företagare m mindre företag inom kem/cellulosatekn arb	2	0.0%
816		14	0.0%
817		4	0.0%
818	Manuellt arb inom kemisk och cellulosatekniskt arb	150	0.3%
822	Företagare m mindre företag inom övrigt tillverkningsarb	26	0.1%
823		1	0.0%
826	Förman/arb ledare inom övrigt tillverkningsarb	53	0.1%
828	Manuellt arb inom övrigt tillverkningsarb	377	0.8%
832		3	0.0%
836		18	0.0%
838	Manuellt arb inom grov- och diversearb	259	0.5%
842	Företagare inom maskin-/motorskötsel exv egen grävmaskin	50	0.1%
846	Förman/arb ledare inom maskin-/motorskötsel	49	0.1%
847		3	0.0%
848	Manuellt arb inom inom maskin-/motorskötsel	612	1.2%
852	Företagare m mindre företag inom finmek arbete	20	0.0%
856	Förman inom finmekaniskt arbete	16	0.0%
858	Manuellt arb inom inom finmekaniskt arb	48	0.1%
862	Företagare m mindre företag inom grafiskt arb	21	0.0%
866	Förman inom grafiskt arbete	36	0.1%
868	Manuellt arb inom inom grafiskt arb	187	0.4%
870		1	0.0%
872	Företagare m mindre föret inom packetering/emballage/lage	3	0.0%
873		1	0.0%
876	Arb ledare inom paketering/emballage/lager/förråd/stuveri	74	0.2%
878	Manuellt arb inom paketering/emballage/lager/förråd/stuveri	427	0.9%
888		128	0.3%
889		5	0.0%
912		1	0.0%
914		4	0.0%
915		4	0.0%
916	Förman/arb ledare inom bevaknings/skyddsarb	32	0.1%

occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
917	Brandman	56	0.1%
918	Ordningsvakt/väktare i enskild tjänst	61	0.1%
919		1	0.0%
922	Företagare m mindre företag inom husligt/portierarb	56	0.1%
924		1	0.0%
926	Förman/arb ledare inom husligt/portierarb	159	0.3%
927	Portier, trafik-/flygvärdinna, barnsköterska	162	0.3%
928	Hem-/köksbiträde, kallskänka, kock, diskare	964	2.0%
932	Företagare m mindre företag inom fastighetssköt/städn	11	0.0%
935		1	0.0%
936	Förman/arb ledare inom fastighetsskötsel/städning	43	0.1%
938	Fastighetsskötare, städare/erska, städhjälp	1013	2.1%
942	Företagare m mindre företag inom övrigt servicearb	169	0.3%
944		5	0.0%
945	Sportledare som är gymnastikdir	9	0.0%
946	Förman/arb ledare inom övrigt servicearb, även sportledare	41	0.1%
947	Fotograf	122	0.2%
948	Övrigt manuellt servicearb	398	0.8%
951		1	0.0%
954		1	0.0%
955	Kvalificerat polisbefälsarb - poliskommissarie	31	0.1%
956	Övrigt polis-/tullbevakningsbefäl, förman fångvårdsanstalt	61	0.1%
957	Tulluppsyningsman, polis-/vaktkonstapel	170	0.3%
958	Vaktpersonal i allmän tjänst (ej polis), tulltjänstemän	45	0.1%
966	Föreståndare för hem systrar	43	0.1%
967	Hemsyster, utbildad barnskötare vid dag-/barnhem	322	0.7%
968	Hemsyster, åldringsvårdare	931	1.9%
974		1	0.0%
976	Förman/arb ledare inom serveringsarb - hovmästare	29	0.1%
978	Serveringspersonal, även barbiträde	208	0.4%
984	Officerare m bef som överste eller högre befattning	4	0.0%
985	Officerare m lägre befattn än överste	160	0.3%
986	Underofficerare (kompaniofficerare)	20	0.0%
987	Underbefäl (plutonofficerare)	9	0.0%
988	Övrig militär personal	26	0.1%
991		7	0.0%
992		149	0.3%
993		4	0.0%
994	Mindre företagare, ospec inriktning, färre än 10 anställda	628	1.3%
995	Never had paid work	406	0.8%
996	Student	455	0.9%
997	Non-classifiable occupation	391	0.8%
998	Never had paid work	1540	3.1%
999	No response	1934	3.9%
Sysmiss		116771	

occupation1986: Occupation (Swedish National Election Studies occupational codes)

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=120265 /-] [Invalid=45575 /-]
Definition	Open question. Coded using Statistics Sweden's Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations (SSYK). The coding scheme organise occupations hierarchically using a four-digit code. It is based on two main concepts: the concept of kind of work performed - defined as a set of tasks or duties designed to be executed by one person - and the concept of skill, defined as the skill level - the degree of complexity of constituent tasks - and skill specialisation - the field of knowledge required for competent performance of the constituent tasks. The first digit shows the major branch of occuption (e.g. 4=Clerks), the second digit main subcategory (e.g. 42=Customer services clerks), the third show occupational group (e.g. 421=Cashiers, tellers and related clerks) and the fourth concerns occupational subgroup (e.g. 4212=Tellers and other counter clerks). If the stated occupation is ambiguous, the response is compared with certain background variables (e.g. education, occupational group and labor union). The question wording has changed. 2005 (National): "What is/was your occupation?"; 2006- (National): "What is/was your main occupation? (If you are not currently working, please state your latest occupation)". Note that the Swedish National Election Studies occupational coding scheme and the Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations (ssyk) both were used in 2005. Since 2006, only the latter has been used.
Literal question	What is/was your main occupation? (If you are not currently working, please state your latest occupation)
Notes	2005- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No response - part of the question	0	
99	No response - entire question	0	
100		3	0.0%
110		331	0.3%
112		1	0.0%
122		1	0.0%
192		1	0.0%
200		1	0.0%
210		7	0.0%
212		1	0.0%
222		2	0.0%
300		1	0.0%
310		79	0.1%
327		1	0.0%
522		1	0.0%
800		1	0.0%
831		1	0.0%
994		1273	1.1%
998		69	0.1%
1000		143	0.1%
1110		29	0.0%
1111		18	0.0%
1112		99	0.1%
1113		29	0.0%
1120		779	0.6%
1121		4	0.0%
1122		2	0.0%
1150		1	0.0%
1151		1	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1210		167	0.1%
1211		136	0.1%
1212		126	0.1%
1220		21	0.0%
1221		113	0.1%
1222		181	0.2%
1223		44	0.0%
1224		82	0.1%
1225		15	0.0%
1226		112	0.1%
1227		103	0.1%
1228		96	0.1%
1229		38	0.0%
1230		117	0.1%
1231		102	0.1%
1232		26	0.0%
1233		96	0.1%
1234		17	0.0%
1235		21	0.0%
1236		16	0.0%
1237		32	0.0%
1239		47	0.0%
1241		32	0.0%
1242		34	0.0%
1250		11	0.0%
1251		251	0.2%
1252		173	0.1%
1262		1	0.0%
1271		2	0.0%
1291		144	0.1%
1292		123	0.1%
1311		88	0.1%
1312		119	0.1%
1313		101	0.1%
1314		253	0.2%
1315		64	0.1%
1316		7	0.0%
1317		54	0.0%
1318		32	0.0%
1319		200	0.2%
1321		98	0.1%
1322		125	0.1%
1331		45	0.0%
1332		36	0.0%
1341		14	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1342		10	0.0%
1351		15	0.0%
1352		34	0.0%
1361		79	0.1%
1362		111	0.1%
1371		130	0.1%
1372		152	0.1%
1380		14	0.0%
1390		1	0.0%
1411		46	0.0%
1412		161	0.1%
1419		2	0.0%
1421		42	0.0%
1422		65	0.1%
1452		1	0.0%
1471		1	0.0%
1491		3	0.0%
1492		3	0.0%
1511		36	0.0%
1512		223	0.2%
1521		25	0.0%
1522		73	0.1%
1531		14	0.0%
1532		26	0.0%
1540		5	0.0%
1552		3	0.0%
1591		83	0.1%
1592		100	0.1%
1611		21	0.0%
1612		34	0.0%
1621		1	0.0%
1711		11	0.0%
1712		19	0.0%
1719		1	0.0%
1721		45	0.0%
1722		92	0.1%
1723		1	0.0%
1731		38	0.0%
1732		134	0.1%
1735		1	0.0%
1736		1	0.0%
1741		13	0.0%
1742		12	0.0%
1752		1	0.0%
1772		1	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1791		8	0.0%
1792		9	0.0%
1992		1	0.0%
2000		680	0.6%
2110		1	0.0%
2111		24	0.0%
2112		7	0.0%
2113		78	0.1%
2114		26	0.0%
2115		1	0.0%
2121		17	0.0%
2122		42	0.0%
2123		2	0.0%
2124		1	0.0%
2131		636	0.5%
2132		14	0.0%
2133		53	0.0%
2134		43	0.0%
2135		65	0.1%
2139		90	0.1%
2140		1185	1.0%
2141		190	0.2%
2142		308	0.3%
2143		203	0.2%
2144		207	0.2%
2145		123	0.1%
2146		47	0.0%
2147		33	0.0%
2148		20	0.0%
2149		108	0.1%
2152		1	0.0%
2154		1	0.0%
2161		160	0.1%
2162		28	0.0%
2163		43	0.0%
2164		31	0.0%
2171		29	0.0%
2172		141	0.1%
2173		41	0.0%
2179		48	0.0%
2180		1	0.0%
2181		58	0.0%
2182		34	0.0%
2183		136	0.1%
2191		3	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2210		1	0.0%
2211		715	0.6%
2212		38	0.0%
2213		27	0.0%
2214		10	0.0%
2219		8	0.0%
2221		1690	1.4%
2222		212	0.2%
2223		72	0.1%
2224		249	0.2%
2225		44	0.0%
2226		60	0.0%
2227		27	0.0%
2228		42	0.0%
2229		2	0.0%
2230		4	0.0%
2231		108	0.1%
2232		79	0.1%
2233		106	0.1%
2234		66	0.1%
2235		138	0.1%
2236		21	0.0%
2239		47	0.0%
2241		213	0.2%
2242		59	0.0%
2243		1	0.0%
2246		1	0.0%
2250		53	0.0%
2260		181	0.2%
2261		2	0.0%
2262		2	0.0%
2271		18	0.0%
2272		270	0.2%
2273		147	0.1%
2281		101	0.1%
2282		17	0.0%
2283		44	0.0%
2284		41	0.0%
2289		2	0.0%
2300		2721	2.3%
2310		266	0.2%
2311		94	0.1%
2312		174	0.1%
2313		7	0.0%
2314		93	0.1%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2319		108	0.1%
2320		386	0.3%
2321		190	0.2%
2322		78	0.1%
2323		175	0.1%
2324		1	0.0%
2330		764	0.6%
2331		4	0.0%
2339		1	0.0%
2340		112	0.1%
2341		776	0.6%
2342		208	0.2%
2343		1163	1.0%
2351		399	0.3%
2352		76	0.1%
2353		2	0.0%
2354		1	0.0%
2359		188	0.2%
2400		225	0.2%
2410		3	0.0%
2411		573	0.5%
2412		477	0.4%
2413		345	0.3%
2414		148	0.1%
2415		25	0.0%
2416		1	0.0%
2417		1	0.0%
2419		879	0.7%
2421		407	0.3%
2422		1221	1.0%
2423		465	0.4%
2429		52	0.0%
2431		361	0.3%
2432		352	0.3%
2433		2	0.0%
2434		1	0.0%
2440		1	0.0%
2441		16	0.0%
2442		16	0.0%
2443		23	0.0%
2444		61	0.1%
2450		1	0.0%
2451		290	0.2%
2452		48	0.0%
2453		40	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2454		1	0.0%
2455		30	0.0%
2456		80	0.1%
2459		1	0.0%
2460		42	0.0%
2470		355	0.3%
2473		1	0.0%
2480		79	0.1%
2490		3	0.0%
2491		114	0.1%
2492		314	0.3%
2511		127	0.1%
2512		1197	1.0%
2513		17	0.0%
2514		32	0.0%
2515		130	0.1%
2516		39	0.0%
2519		76	0.1%
2522		1	0.0%
2523		1	0.0%
2539		1	0.0%
2542		1	0.0%
2550		2	0.0%
2552		1	0.0%
2600		1	0.0%
2610		1	0.0%
2611		82	0.1%
2612		54	0.0%
2613		19	0.0%
2614		104	0.1%
2615		40	0.0%
2619		159	0.1%
2621		57	0.0%
2622		195	0.2%
2623		30	0.0%
2641		74	0.1%
2642		339	0.3%
2643		112	0.1%
2651		157	0.1%
2652		177	0.1%
2653		14	0.0%
2654		68	0.1%
2655		47	0.0%
2660		3	0.0%
2661		552	0.5%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2662		172	0.1%
2663		78	0.1%
2669		16	0.0%
2671		68	0.1%
2672		41	0.0%
2673		1	0.0%
2675		1	0.0%
2939		1	0.0%
3000		501	0.4%
3100		2	0.0%
3110		5	0.0%
3111		75	0.1%
3112		931	0.8%
3113		494	0.4%
3114		457	0.4%
3115		247	0.2%
3116		21	0.0%
3117		93	0.1%
3118		48	0.0%
3119		389	0.3%
3121		644	0.5%
3122		184	0.2%
3125		1	0.0%
3131		54	0.0%
3132		33	0.0%
3133		25	0.0%
3134		39	0.0%
3141		18	0.0%
3142		38	0.0%
3143		17	0.0%
3144		10	0.0%
3145		23	0.0%
3148		1	0.0%
3151		56	0.0%
3152		135	0.1%
3153		58	0.0%
3154		24	0.0%
3155		19	0.0%
3170		1	0.0%
3171		1	0.0%
3172		1	0.0%
3211		43	0.0%
3212		255	0.2%
3213		67	0.1%
3214		44	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3215		90	0.1%
3220		1	0.0%
3221		81	0.1%
3222		54	0.0%
3223		12	0.0%
3224		9	0.0%
3225		37	0.0%
3226		133	0.1%
3227		14	0.0%
3228		48	0.0%
3229		19	0.0%
3230		19	0.0%
3231		19	0.0%
3232		29	0.0%
3233		12	0.0%
3234		17	0.0%
3235		22	0.0%
3236		1	0.0%
3239		669	0.6%
3240		120	0.1%
3250		84	0.1%
3252		1	0.0%
3310		663	0.6%
3311		16	0.0%
3312		612	0.5%
3313		651	0.5%
3314		87	0.1%
3315		1	0.0%
3317		1	0.0%
3319		4	0.0%
3320		55	0.0%
3321		179	0.1%
3322		770	0.6%
3323		326	0.3%
3324		27	0.0%
3329		1	0.0%
3331		104	0.1%
3332		44	0.0%
3333		189	0.2%
3334		85	0.1%
3335		161	0.1%
3339		74	0.1%
3341		85	0.1%
3342		60	0.0%
3343		84	0.1%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3349		2	0.0%
3351		49	0.0%
3352		43	0.0%
3353		224	0.2%
3354		71	0.1%
3355		80	0.1%
3359		231	0.2%
3360		325	0.3%
3411		280	0.2%
3412		134	0.1%
3413		144	0.1%
3414		23	0.0%
3415		507	0.4%
3416		194	0.2%
3417		38	0.0%
3418		355	0.3%
3419		102	0.1%
3421		28	0.0%
3422		237	0.2%
3423		299	0.2%
3424		50	0.0%
3429		36	0.0%
3431		575	0.5%
3432		82	0.1%
3433		297	0.2%
3434		1	0.0%
3439		40	0.0%
3440		1	0.0%
3441		50	0.0%
3442		15	0.0%
3443		101	0.1%
3449		114	0.1%
3450		176	0.1%
3451		38	0.0%
3452		54	0.0%
3461		98	0.1%
3462		141	0.1%
3471		120	0.1%
3472		12	0.0%
3473		29	0.0%
3474		1	0.0%
3475		50	0.0%
3476		11	0.0%
3480		30	0.0%
3499		1	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3511		135	0.1%
3512		251	0.2%
3513		67	0.1%
3514		95	0.1%
3515		28	0.0%
3521		28	0.0%
3522		64	0.1%
3531		1	0.0%
3542		1	0.0%
3551		1	0.0%
3660		1	0.0%
4000		298	0.2%
4111		626	0.5%
4112		682	0.6%
4113		6	0.0%
4114		90	0.1%
4115		66	0.1%
4116		44	0.0%
4117		368	0.3%
4118		1	0.0%
4119		2126	1.8%
4120		517	0.4%
4122		4	0.0%
4123		1	0.0%
4130		1	0.0%
4131		390	0.3%
4132		125	0.1%
4140		69	0.1%
4149		1	0.0%
4150		196	0.2%
4190		1012	0.8%
4192		1	0.0%
4199		1	0.0%
4211		243	0.2%
4212		116	0.1%
4213		15	0.0%
4215		21	0.0%
4220		8	0.0%
4221		132	0.1%
4222		442	0.4%
4223		255	0.2%
4224		171	0.1%
4225		164	0.1%
4226		19	0.0%
4231		1	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4233		2	0.0%
4241		1	0.0%
4242		1	0.0%
4280		1	0.0%
4290		1	0.0%
4292		2	0.0%
4312		1	0.0%
4320		1	0.0%
4321		39	0.0%
4322		458	0.4%
4323		188	0.2%
4330		1	0.0%
4332		4	0.0%
4371		1	0.0%
4410		103	0.1%
4411		1	0.0%
4415		2	0.0%
4420		329	0.3%
4423		1	0.0%
4430		43	0.0%
4990		2	0.0%
5111		87	0.1%
5112		74	0.1%
5113		71	0.1%
5119		1	0.0%
5120		694	0.6%
5121		61	0.1%
5122		373	0.3%
5123		165	0.1%
5125		1	0.0%
5130		1	0.0%
5131		966	0.8%
5132		1293	1.1%
5133		1497	1.2%
5134		363	0.3%
5135		103	0.1%
5139		66	0.1%
5140		1	0.0%
5141		457	0.4%
5142		37	0.0%
5143		45	0.0%
5144		38	0.0%
5149		30	0.0%
5150		1	0.0%
5151		105	0.1%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5152		719	0.6%
5153		46	0.0%
5159		13	0.0%
5161		35	0.0%
5169		7	0.0%
5200		1	0.0%
5210		1	0.0%
5211		2	0.0%
5212		1	0.0%
5215		1	0.0%
5220		1597	1.3%
5221		884	0.7%
5222		1282	1.1%
5223		997	0.8%
5224		35	0.0%
5225		59	0.0%
5226		45	0.0%
5227		163	0.1%
5230		353	0.3%
5232		1	0.0%
5241		17	0.0%
5242		43	0.0%
5300		6	0.0%
5311		1123	0.9%
5312		303	0.3%
5320		2078	1.7%
5321		584	0.5%
5322		49	0.0%
5323		214	0.2%
5324		40	0.0%
5325		35	0.0%
5326		29	0.0%
5330		1300	1.1%
5331		12	0.0%
5332		1	0.0%
5333		1	0.0%
5335		1	0.0%
5341		219	0.2%
5342		559	0.5%
5343		513	0.4%
5349		93	0.1%
5350		226	0.2%
5351		2	0.0%
5354		1	0.0%
5411		105	0.1%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5412		105	0.1%
5413		178	0.1%
5414		34	0.0%
5417		1	0.0%
5419		52	0.0%
5520		3	0.0%
5521		1	0.0%
5522		36	0.0%
5530		1	0.0%
6111		40	0.0%
6112		188	0.2%
6113		327	0.3%
6120		5	0.0%
6121		226	0.2%
6122		28	0.0%
6126		1	0.0%
6129		72	0.1%
6130		830	0.7%
6131		2	0.0%
6140		92	0.1%
6151		1	0.0%
6152		24	0.0%
6153		2	0.0%
6210		166	0.1%
6221		3	0.0%
6222		24	0.0%
6310		1	0.0%
6412		1	0.0%
6461		1	0.0%
7111		409	0.3%
7112		157	0.1%
7113		70	0.1%
7114		214	0.2%
7115		6	0.0%
7116		26	0.0%
7119		303	0.3%
7121		93	0.1%
7122		76	0.1%
7123		359	0.3%
7124		134	0.1%
7125		242	0.2%
7126		31	0.0%
7129		208	0.2%
7131		264	0.2%
7132		102	0.1%

# ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7133		34	0.0%
7134		36	0.0%
7135		131	0.1%
7136		112	0.1%
7137		294	0.2%
7139		12	0.0%
7141		144	0.1%
7142		19	0.0%
7143		20	0.0%
7152		1	0.0%
7191		1	0.0%
7200		163	0.1%
7211		13	0.0%
7212		344	0.3%
7213		174	0.1%
7214		55	0.0%
7215		29	0.0%
7216		2	0.0%
7221		62	0.1%
7222		83	0.1%
7223		372	0.3%
7224		51	0.0%
7230		1	0.0%
7231		620	0.5%
7232		16	0.0%
7233		342	0.3%
7236		4	0.0%
7240		83	0.1%
7241		143	0.1%
7242		181	0.2%
7243		51	0.0%
7300		343	0.3%
7311		87	0.1%
7312		20	0.0%
7313		11	0.0%
7319		47	0.0%
7321		72	0.1%
7322		96	0.1%
7323		17	0.0%
7324		8	0.0%
7330		18	0.0%
7332		3	0.0%
7341		43	0.0%
7342		3	0.0%
7343		2	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7344		4	0.0%
7410		1	0.0%
7411		197	0.2%
7412		558	0.5%
7413		41	0.0%
7414		1	0.0%
7420		309	0.3%
7421		26	0.0%
7422		1	0.0%
7431		37	0.0%
7432		3	0.0%
7433		4	0.0%
7434		4	0.0%
7435		10	0.0%
7441		2	0.0%
7442		7	0.0%
7512		4	0.0%
7519		1	0.0%
7521		3	0.0%
7522		414	0.3%
7523		59	0.0%
7531		65	0.1%
7532		9	0.0%
7533		15	0.0%
7534		14	0.0%
7611		39	0.0%
7612		94	0.1%
7613		5	0.0%
8000		583	0.5%
8111		45	0.0%
8112		9	0.0%
8113		13	0.0%
8114		26	0.0%
8115		12	0.0%
8116		12	0.0%
8120		7	0.0%
8121		45	0.0%
8122		15	0.0%
8123		8	0.0%
8124		6	0.0%
8125		14	0.0%
8129		25	0.0%
8130		13	0.0%
8131		10	0.0%
8132		9	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8139		1	0.0%
8140		1	0.0%
8141		68	0.1%
8142		62	0.1%
8143		25	0.0%
8144		42	0.0%
8150		18	0.0%
8151		44	0.0%
8159		58	0.0%
8160		75	0.1%
8161		32	0.0%
8162		16	0.0%
8163		26	0.0%
8169		26	0.0%
8170		6	0.0%
8171		8	0.0%
8172		59	0.0%
8173		86	0.1%
8181		18	0.0%
8189		9	0.0%
8190		1	0.0%
8191		136	0.1%
8192		27	0.0%
8193		46	0.0%
8199		6	0.0%
8200		5	0.0%
8210		855	0.7%
8211		602	0.5%
8212		76	0.1%
8213		219	0.2%
8214		15	0.0%
8219		2	0.0%
8221		9	0.0%
8222		5	0.0%
8223		32	0.0%
8224		7	0.0%
8230		1	0.0%
8231		8	0.0%
8232		27	0.0%
8233		1	0.0%
8240		54	0.0%
8241		1	0.0%
8251		57	0.0%
8252		17	0.0%
8253		27	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8261		2	0.0%
8262		9	0.0%
8263		46	0.0%
8264		27	0.0%
8265		4	0.0%
8269		5	0.0%
8270		7	0.0%
8271		32	0.0%
8272		30	0.0%
8273		7	0.0%
8274		24	0.0%
8276		1	0.0%
8278		5	0.0%
8279		2	0.0%
8281		95	0.1%
8282		45	0.0%
8283		41	0.0%
8284		10	0.0%
8285		3	0.0%
8290		307	0.3%
8292		1	0.0%
8311		141	0.1%
8312		29	0.0%
8320		256	0.2%
8321		460	0.4%
8322		195	0.2%
8323		341	0.3%
8329		103	0.1%
8330		2	0.0%
8331		442	0.4%
8332		779	0.6%
8333		39	0.0%
8334		216	0.2%
8340		33	0.0%
8341		94	0.1%
8342		246	0.2%
8343		43	0.0%
8344		512	0.4%
8350		38	0.0%
8382		1	0.0%
8433		1	0.0%
8463		1	0.0%
8510		1	0.0%
8831		1	0.0%
9110		3	0.0%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9111		976	0.8%
9112		2	0.0%
9114		1	0.0%
9115		2	0.0%
9119		18	0.0%
9120		29	0.0%
9121		15	0.0%
9122		626	0.5%
9123		5	0.0%
9130		321	0.3%
9132		1	0.0%
9140		2	0.0%
9141		58	0.0%
9142		93	0.1%
9143		6	0.0%
9150		48	0.0%
9190		14	0.0%
9201		1	0.0%
9210		58	0.0%
9211		1	0.0%
9230		1	0.0%
9310		31	0.0%
9320		134	0.1%
9322		1	0.0%
9330		62	0.1%
9331		19	0.0%
9332		71	0.1%
9411		75	0.1%
9412		525	0.4%
9413		117	0.1%
9414		1	0.0%
9421		2	0.0%
9520		10	0.0%
9529		1	0.0%
9610		51	0.0%
9612		1	0.0%
9621		70	0.1%
9622		122	0.1%
9629		33	0.0%
9888		1	0.0%
9991		1	0.0%
9993		9	0.0%
9994		17	0.0%
9995		40	0.0%
9996		242	0.2%

ssyk: Occupation (Swedish Standard Classification of Occupations)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9997		4770	4.0%
9998		1942	1.6%
9999	Ej svar - hela frågan	5355	4.5%
Sysmiss		45575	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

euroesec: Occupation (Esec)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=96025 /-] [Invalid=69815 /-]
Definition	Open question based ssyk and occgr.
Literal question	What is/was your main occupation? (If you are not currently working, please state your latest occupation)
Notes	2008- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Higher white-collar workers and leading position	16463	17.1%
2	Qualified white-collar workers	25856	26.9%
3	Other white-collar workers	11002	11.5%
4	Small-scale entrepreneurs excluding farmers	5121	5.3%
5	Farmers and others	1121	1.2%
6	Supervisors and technicians	5587	5.8%
7	Vocational training in trade/service/caring professions	13754	14.3%
8	Blue-collar worker with vocational training	6670	6.9%
9	Other blue-collar workers	10451	10.9%
998	No response	3222	
999	No SSYK code available/no response	10748	
Sysmiss		55845	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sector1986: Employment sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20431 /-] [Invalid=145409 /-]
Literal question	Are/were you employed by the state, local government or a private employer?
Notes	1986-1997 (National); 1992-1997 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	State	2670	13.1%
2	Local/regional government	4972	24.3%
3	Private	9894	48.4%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	56	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	2839	13.9%
Sysmiss		145409	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sector1998: Employment sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=38673 /-] [Invalid=127167 /-]

sector1998: Employment sector

Definition	-
Literal question	Are/were you employed by the state (central government), local government, regional government or by a private employer?
Notes	1998-2008 (National); 1998-2008 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	State	3814	9.9%
2	Local government	7400	19.1%
3	Regional government	2310	6.0%
4	Private	19458	50.3%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	688	1.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	5003	12.9%
Sysmiss		127167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sector2009: Employment sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=106736 /-] [Invalid=59104 /-]
Definition	-
Literal question	Are/were you employed by the state (central government), local government, regional government or by a private employer?
Notes	2009- (National); 2009 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	State	9691	9.1%
2	Local government	20513	19.2%
3	Regional government	6892	6.5%
4	Private	49748	46.6%
5	Non-profit organisation/foundation	2233	2.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	5089	4.8%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	2263	2.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	10307	9.7%
Sysmiss		59104	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sector: Employment sector

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164227 /-] [Invalid=1613 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on sector1986, sector1998 and sector2009. Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 "State": no change. 2 "Local/regional government": 1986-1997 (National): "Local/regional government"; 1998- (National): "Local government"; 1998- (National): "Regional government". 3 "Private": 1986-2008 (National): "Private"; 2009- (National): "Non-profit organisation/foundation". West: Constructed variable based on sector1986, sector1998 and sector2009. The question wording has changed. 1992-2009 (Väst): "Do/did you work in the public or private sector?"; 2010- (Väst): "Which of the following categories do you belong to? - I am/was...". Each code include the following response alternatives available over time:

sector: Employment sector

		1 "State": 1992-2009 (West): "State"; 2010- (West): "Employed in the state sector". 2 "Local/regional government": 1992-1997 (West): "Local/regional government"; 1998-2009 (West): "Local government"; 2010- (West): "Employed in the in local government sector"; 1998-2009 (West): "Regional government"; 2010- (West): "Employed in the regional government sector". 3 "Private": 1992-2009 (West): "Private"; 2010- (West): "Employed in the private sector"; 2009 (West): "Non-profit organisation/foundation"; 2010- (West): "Employed in the non-profit sector"; 2010- (West): "Self-employed".

Literal question	Do/did you work in the public or private sector?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	State	16175	9.8%
2	Local/regional government	42087	25.6%
3	Private	81333	49.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	3476	2.1%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	3007	1.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	18149	11.1%
Sysmiss		1613	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

empfp1986: Do/did you work full time or part time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17854 /-] [Invalid=147986 /-]
Literal question	Do/did you work full time or part time?
Notes	1986-1997 (National); 1992-1997 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time	13789	77.2%
2	Part time	4065	22.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	2577	
Sysmiss		145409	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

empfp1998: Do/did you work full time or part time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30798 /-] [Invalid=135042 /-]
Literal question	Do/did you work full time or part time?
Notes	1998-2007 (National); 1998-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time	24361	79.1%
2	Part time, at least 15 hours/week	5680	18.4%
3	Part time, less than 15 hours/week	757	2.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	116	
99	No response - entire question battery	4500	

empfp1998: Do/did you work full time or part time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		130426	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

empfp2011: Do/did you work full time or part time?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=27986 /-] [Invalid=137854 /-]
Literal question	Do/did you work full time or part time?
Notes	2011- (National); 2011- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full time	18180	65.0%
2	Part time	3547	12.7%
3	Varies	1669	6.0%
97	No response - part of the question	95	0.3%
98	Several responses given	71	0.3%
99	No response - entire question battery	4424	15.8%
96		68817	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

workhour: Average weekly working hours

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=63162 /-] [Invalid=102678 /-]
Literal question	What are/were your normal weekly working hours?
Notes	2008- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1-19 hours	1281	2.0%
2	20-34 hours	4679	7.4%
3	35-40 hours	18713	29.6%
4	41-50 hours	8996	14.2%
5	51 hours or more	2194	3.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	27299	43.2%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	0	
98	Several responses given	102	
99	No response - entire question battery	3317	
Sysmiss		99259	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

unionm1986: Union membership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23992 /-] [Invalid=141848 /-]
Definition	Se also unionm (collapsed scale).
Literal question	Are you a union member?
Notes	1986-1998 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, a union within the LO	7808	32.5%

unionm1986: Union membership

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yes, a union within the TCO	4529	18.9%
3	Yes, a union within the SACO	1707	7.1%
4	No	7369	30.7%
99	No response - entire question battery	2579	10.7%
Sysmiss		141848	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

unionm1999: Union membership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=140653 /-] [Invalid=25187 /-]
Definition	Se also unionm (collapsed scale).
Literal question	Are you a union member?
Notes	1999-2011 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LO (Landsorganisationen)	25703	18.3%
2	TCO (Tjänstemännens Centralorganisation)	25514	18.1%
3	Saco (Sveriges akademikers centralorganisation)	13130	9.3%
4	Other labor/trade union	4406	3.1%
5	No	62276	44.3%
97	Ej svar - del av fråga	2406	1.7%
98	Several responses given	148	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	7070	5.0%
Sysmiss		25187	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

unionm2012: Union membership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=92083 /-] [Invalid=73757 /-]
Definition	Se also unionm (collapsed scale).
Literal question	Are you a union member?
Notes	2012- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	44652	48.5%
2	No	42398	46.0%
97	No response - part of the question	740	0.8%
98	Several responses given	63	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	4230	4.6%
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

unionm: Union membership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165840 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Definition	unionm is a constructed variable based on unionm1986, unionm1999 and unionm2012. The codes includes the following response alternatives:

unionm: Union membership

	1 "Yes": 1986-1998 (National): "Yes, a union within the LO", "Yes, a union within the TCO", "Yes, a union within the SACO"; 1999-2011 (National): "LO (Landsorganisationen)", "TCO (Tjänstemännens Centralorganisation)", "Saco (Sveriges akademikers centralorganisation)", "Other labor/trade union", 2012- (National): "Yes". 2 "No": response alternatives has not changed.
Literal question	Are you a union member?
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	85307		51.4%
2	No	69473		41.9%
97	No response - part of the question	1200	0.7%	
98	Several responses given	211	0.1%	
99	No response - entire question battery	9649	5.8%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

unionm_open: Union membership (open question)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=92083 /-] [Invalid=73757 /-]
Literal question	Are you a union member?
Notes	2012-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Ej svar â€" del av frÃ¥gan	0	
81	Svenska PilotfÃ¶reningen	19	0.0%
82	Ledarna (tidigare TCO)	1355	1.5%
84	MedarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	8	0.0%
85	Svenska fÃ¶rfattarfÃ¶rbundet/ Sveriges fÃ¶rfattarfÃ¶rbund	15	0.0%
86	SÃ¤ljarnas	73	0.1%
87	BrandmÃ¤nnens RiksfÃ¶rbund (tillagd 2010)	25	0.0%
88	Kyrkomusikernas riksfÃ¶rbund	8	0.0%
89	Svensk lokfÃ¶rarfÃ¶rening	3	0.0%
90	Tingvalla Bro fackfÃ¶rening (TBF) (tillagd 2014)	7	0.0%
91	AlarmfÃ¶rbundet	6	0.0%
96	FrÃ¥gan ej stÃ¤lld	0	
100	LO utan uppgift om fÃ¶rbund (Ã¤ven FCO) (Obs! AnvÃ¤nds enbar	108	0.1%
103	Svenska ByggnadsarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	934	1.0%
104	Svenska ElektrikerfÃ¶rbundet	207	0.2%
106	FastighetsanstÃ¤lldas fÃ¶rbund	237	0.3%
109	HandelsanstÃ¤lldas fÃ¶rbund	1420	1.5%
110	Hotell och Restaurang Facket	272	0.3%
111	Svenska KommunalarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	5812	6.3%
113	Svenska LivsmedelsarbetarefÃ¶rbundet	248	0.3%
115	Svenska MusikerfÃ¶rbundet	26	0.0%
116	Svenska MÃ¥larefÃ¶rbundet	105	0.1%
117	Svenska PappersindustriarbetarefÃ¶rbundet	223	0.2%
118	GS â€" facket fÃ¶r skogs-, trÃ¤- och grafisk bransch	451	0.5%
120	SEKO - Facket fÃ¶r Service och Kommunikation (statsanstÃ¤lld	1231	1.3%
121	Svenska TransportarbetarefÃ¶rbundet	605	0.7%
122	Industrifacket Metall	2717	3.0%

unionm_open: Union membership (open question)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
198	Svenska HamnarbetarfÃ¶rbundet	11	0.0%
199	Sveriges Arbetares Centralorganisation (SAC), Ã¤ven syndikal	37	0.0%
200	TCO, utan uppgift om organisation (Obs! AnvÃ¤nds enbart om I	52	0.1%
202	FinansfÃ¶rbundet (bankmannafÃ¶rbundet)	429	0.5%
203	FarmacifÃ¶rbundet (apotekstjÃ¤nstemannafÃ¶rbundet)	30	0.0%
205	Svenska FolkhÃ¶gskolans lÃ¤rarfÃ¶rbund	17	0.0%
206	FÃ¶rsvarsfÃ¶rbundet (FÃ¶rsvarets civila tjÃ¤nstemannafÃ¶rbun	39	0.0%
207	FÃ¶rsÃ¤kringstjÃ¤nstemannafÃ¶rbundet	163	0.2%
209	VÃ¥rdfÃ¶rbundet (Svenska hÃ¤lso- och sjukvÃ¥rdens tjÃ¤nstema	1576	1.7%
211	(Svenska) JournalistfÃ¶rbundet	222	0.2%
212	Vision (tidigare Sveriges kommunaltjÃ¤nstemannafÃ¶rbund)	2510	2.7%
213	LÃ¤rarfÃ¶rbundet (facklÃ¤rarfÃ¶rbundet)	2912	3.2%
214	PolisfÃ¶rbundet	269	0.3%
215	Skogs- och LantbrukstjÃ¤nstemannafÃ¶rbundet	17	0.0%
216	StatstjÃ¤nstemannafÃ¶rbundet (PosttjÃ¤nstemÃ¤nnens fÃ¶rening	1095	1.2%
217	(Svenska) TeaterfÃ¶rbundet	108	0.1%
218	Tull-Kust	20	0.0%
219	Sveriges YrkesmusikerfÃ¶rbund	22	0.0%
222	Unionen	7514	8.2%
223	Tria	0	
300	Saco, utan uppgift om organisation (Obs! AnvÃ¤nds enbart om	539	0.6%
301		4	0.0%
302	Sveriges Arkitekter (ArkitektfÃ¶rbundet (AF))	161	0.2%
303	Civilekonomerna, TLI	276	0.3%
304	DIK-fÃ¶rbundet (Akademiker inom dokumentation, information o	326	0.4%
305	Naturvetarna	327	0.4%
306	Jusek (Jurist- och samhÃ¤llsvetarfÃ¶rbundet)	713	0.8%
307	Legitimerade sjukgymnasters riksfÃ¶rbund	190	0.2%
308	LÃ¤rarnas RiksfÃ¶rbund	1166	1.3%
309	Sveriges ReservofficersfÃ¶rbund (reservofficerarnas centralo	3	0.0%
310	SACO:s TjÃ¤nstemannafÃ¶rbund SRAT	183	0.2%
311	Sveriges SkolledarfÃ¶rbund	123	0.1%
312	Kyrkans AkademikerfÃ¶rbund	84	0.1%
314	Sveriges FarmacevtfÃ¶rbund	93	0.1%
316	SACO-fÃ¶rbundet Trafik och JÃ¤rnvÃ¤g	52	0.1%
317	Sveriges lÃ¤karfÃ¶rbund	597	0.6%
318		118	0.1%
319	Sveriges PsykologfÃ¶rbund	189	0.2%
320	AkademikerfÃ¶rbundet SSR (Sveriges Socionomers RiksfÃ¶rbund)	910	1.0%
321	Sveriges TandlÃ¤karefÃ¶rbund	65	0.1%
322	Sveriges UniversitetslÃ¤rarfÃ¶rbund	201	0.2%
323	Sveriges VeterinÃ¤rfÃ¶rbund	36	0.0%
324	FÃ¶rbundet Sveriges Arbetsterapeuter	109	0.1%
325	SjÃ¶befÃ¤lsfÃ¶rbundet	48	0.1%
326	OfficersfÃ¶rbundet	237	0.3%

unionm_open: Union membership (open question)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
327	Sveriges IngenjÃ¶rer	1941	2.1%
328	Svensk flygteknikerfÃ¶rening	5	0.0%
329		338	0.4%
400	FÃ¶retagare- eller arbetsgivarorganisationer (med eller utan	9	0.0%
402	FÃ¶retagarna (ny 2008)	21	0.0%
403	KFO (ny 2008)	1	0.0%
404	Svenskt nÃ¤ringsliv (ny 2008)	3	0.0%
405	Biltrafikens ArbetsgivarfÃ¶rbund (ny 2008)	2	0.0%
406	FÃ¶retagarfÃ¶rbundet (ny 2008)	2	0.0%
407	ALMEGA (ny 2008)	4	0.0%
408	Sveriges Hotell och RestaurangfÃ¶retagare (ny 2008)	7	0.0%
409	Svensk Byggindustri (ny 2008)	1	0.0%
410	SLA-Skogs och lantarbetsgivarefÃ¶rbundet (ny2008)	3	0.0%
411	FrisÃ¶rfÃ¶retagarna (ny 2008)	11	0.0%
412	HuvudmannafÃ¶rbundet (ny 2009)	0	
413	TrÃ¤- och mÃ¶belindustrifÃ¶rbundet â€" TMF (ny 2009)	3	0.0%
414	Bussarbetsgivarna â€" BuA (Ny 2010)	1	0.0%
415	Motorbranschens ArbetsgivarefÃ¶rbund â€" MAF (ny 2010)	0	
416	SmÃ¥fÃ¶retagarna	177	0.2%
417	Svensk Handel	10	0.0%
418	PlÃ¥tslagarnas riksfÃ¶rbund	0	
419	MÃ¥larmÃ¤starna	5	0.0%
420	Medie- och Informationsarbetsgivarna (MIA) (ny 2010)	0	
421	SVEMEK (Svetsmekaniska arbetsgivarfÃ¶rbundet) (ny 2010)	0	
422	Svensk Bensinhandel	1	0.0%
499	Foreign employers organization	2	0.0%
500	Icke-fackliga organisationer fÃ¶r fria yrkesutÃ¶vare och / e	26	0.0%
610	Lantarbetarnas RiksfÃ¶rbund. Ã„ven Jordbrukareungdomens fÃ¶r	131	0.1%
620	Organisationer inom jordbrukets ekonomiska fÃ¶reningsrÃ¶rels	7	0.0%
776	Independent workers organization	77	0.1%
777	Other non-union organization	581	0.6%
778	Independent unemployment fund	172	0.2%
800	Danish/Norwegian union/organization	85	0.1%
994	Several responses given	52	0.1%
997	No response - part of the question	43867	47.6%
998	Response that can not be coded	399	0.4%
999	No response	4231	4.6%
Sysmiss		73757	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu1986: Educational attainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/97]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1624 /-] [Invalid=164216 /-]
Definition	See also edu2 and edu3.
Literal question	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.

edu1986: Educational attainment

	Notes	1986 (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Primary school (SW: Folkskola)	405		24.9%
2	Comprehensive school (SW: Enhetsskola)	10	0.6%	
3	Compulsory school (grades 1-9)	136	8.4%	
4	Vocational school (SW: Yrkesskola)	157	9.7%	
5	2-year upper secondary (SW: Fackskola)	46	2.8%	
6	2-year upper secondary school	197	12.1%	
7	Folk high school (SW: Folkhögskola)	54	3.3%	
8	Girls school/junior secondary school (SW: Flickskola/realsko	91	5.6%	
9	3- or 4- year upper-secondary school	224	13.8%	
10	University/university college	214	13.2%	
99	No response	90	5.5%	
Sysmiss		164216		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu1988: Educational attainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8265 /-] [Invalid=157575 /-]
Definition	See also edu2 and edu3.
Literal question	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
Notes	1988-1992 (National); 1992 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Primary school (SW: Folkskola)	1827		22.1%
2	Comprehensive school (SW: Enhetsskola)	43	0.5%	
3	Compulsory school (grades 1-9)	728	8.8%	
4	Vocational school (SW: Yrkesskola)	772	9.3%	
5	2-year upper secondary school	1011	12.2%	
6	2-year upper secondary (SW: Fackskola)	370	4.5%	
7	At least 3-year upper-secondary school	796	9.6%	
8	Girls school/junior secondary school (SW: Flickskola/realsko	452	5.5%	
9	Folk high school (SW: Folkhögskola)	285	3.4%	
10	Upper-secondary degree (SW: Studentexamen)	361	4.4%	
11	University/university college	1490	18.0%	
99	No response	130	1.6%	
Sysmiss		157575		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu1993: Educational attainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5338 /-] [Invalid=160502 /-]
Definition	See also edu2 and edu3.
Literal question	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
Notes	1993-1995 (National); 1993-1995 (West)

edu1993: Educational attainment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary school (SW: Folkskola)	1057	19.8%
2	Comprehensive school (SW: Enhetsskola)	32	0.6%
3	Compulsory school (grades 1-9)	476	8.9%
4	Vocational school (SW: Yrkesskola)	427	8.0%
5	2-year upper-secondary school (SW: Tvåårigt gymnasium/facksk	635	11.9%
6	At least 3-year upper-secondary school	691	12.9%
7	Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (SW: Flicks	282	5.3%
8	Folk high school (SW: Folkhögskola)	171	3.2%
9	Upper-secondary degree (SW: Studentexamen)	206	3.9%
10	University/university college	1111	20.8%
99	No response	250	4.7%
Sysmiss		160502	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu1996: Educational attainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21390 /-] [Invalid=144450 /-]
Definition	National: See also edu2 and edu3. Some of the response alternatives have changed: 5 "At least 3-year upper-secondary school": 1996 (National): "Upper-secondary degree (Studentexamen)"; 1996-2001 (National): "At least 3-year upper-secondary school"; 2002 (National): "3-year upper-secondary school". The other alternatives have remained unchanged.
Literal question	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
Notes	1996-2002 (National); 1996-2002 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary school/comprehensive school (grades 1-9) (SW: Folksk	5975	27.9%
2	Folk high school (SW: Folkhögskola)	679	3.2%
3	2-year upper-secondary school (SW: Tvåårigt gymnasium/facksk	3270	15.3%
4	Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (SW: Flicks	984	4.6%
5	At least 3-year upper-secondary school	4037	18.9%
6	Studies at university/university college	1881	8.8%
7	Degree from university/university college	3869	18.1%
8	Third cycle degree	40	0.2%
99	No response	655	3.1%
Sysmiss		144450	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu2003: Educational attainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3675 /-] [Invalid=162165 /-]
Definition	National and West: See also edu2 and edu3. Note that the response alternative "Third cycle degree" was added in 2002.
Literal question	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
Notes	2003 (National); 2003 (West)

edu2003: Educational attainment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)	78	2.1%
2	Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)	910	24.8%
3	Upper-secondary school, folk high school (SW: folkhögskola)	1105	30.1%
4	Post upper-secondary education, not university or university	466	12.7%
5	Studies at university/university college	360	9.8%
6	Degree from university/university college	654	17.8%
7	Third cycle degree	35	1.0%
99	No response	67	1.8%
Sysmiss		162165	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu2004: Educational attainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=101327 /-] [Invalid=64513 /-]
Definition	National: See also edu2 and edu3. Some of the response alternatives have changed: 8 "Third cycle degree": 2004-2009 (National): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (National): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree". The other alternatives have remained unchanged. West: See also edu2 and edu3. Some of the response alternatives have changed: 8 "Third cycle degree": 2004-2009 (West): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (West): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree". The other alternatives have remained unchanged.
Literal question	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
Notes	2004-2019

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)	1219	1.2%
2	Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)	16821	16.6%
3	Studies at an upper-secondary school, folk high school (SW:	11930	11.8%
4	Degree from upper-secondary school, folk high school (SW: fo	18636	18.4%
5	Post upper-secondary education, not university or university	11919	11.8%
6	Studies at university/university college	10013	9.9%
7	Degree from university/university college	26361	26.0%
8	Third cycle degree	1793	1.8%
99	No response	2635	2.6%
Sysmiss		64513	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu2020: Educational attainment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22550 /-] [Invalid=143290 /-]
Literal question	What is your highest attained level of education?
Notes	2020-

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary/lower secondary education or equivalent, less than 9	1554	6.9%
2	Primary/lower secondary education or equivalent, 9 years or	1659	7.4%

edu2020: Educational attainment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Upper secondary education or equivalent, less than 3 years	2628	11.7%
4	Upper secondary education or equivalent, 3 years or more	3658	16.2%
5	Post-upper secondary education (other than university), less	2217	9.8%
6	Post-upper secondary education (other than university), 3 ye	564	2.5%
7	University, less than 3 years	2052	9.1%
8	University, 3 years or more but less than 4 years	2858	12.7%
9	University, 4 years or more	3941	17.5%
10	Postgraduate education	470	2.1%
99	No response	949	4.2%
Sysmiss		143290	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu3: Educational attainment (3 point scale)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=159393 /-] [Invalid=6447 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on edu1986, edu1988, edu1993, edu1996, edu2003 and edu2004. Each code include the following response alternatives available over time (alternatives here include the original Swedish term): 1 "Low (comprehensive school grades 1-9 or less)": 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Elementary school (Folkskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Comprehensive school (Enhetsskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Compulsory school (Grundskola)"; 1996-2002 (National): "Elementary/ Comprehensive/Compulsory school (Folkskola/Enhetsskola/Grundskola)"; 2003- (National): "Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)"; 2003- (National): "Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)". 2 "Medium (above comprehensive school grades 1-9 but not university/university college)": 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Vocational school (Yrkesskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Vocational training school (Fackskola)"; 1986, 1988-1992 (National): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium)"; 1986, 1988-2002 (National): "Folk high school (Folkhögskola)"; 1986, 1988-2002 (National): "Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (Flickskola/realexamen)"; 1986 (National): "3- or 4- year upper-secondary school (Tre- eller fyraårigt gymnasium)"; 1988-2001 (National): "At least 3-year upper-secondary school (Minst treårigt gymnasium)"; 1988-1995 (National): "Upper-secondary degree (Studentexamen)"; 1993-2002 (National): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium/ fackskola)"; 2002 (National): "3-year upper-secondary school (Treårigt gymnasium)"; 2003 (National): "Upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2003- (National) "Post upper-secondary education, not university or university college"; 2004- (National): "Studies at an upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2004- (National): "Degree from upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent". 3 "High (studies at/degree from university/university college)": 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "University/university college"; 1996-2010 (National): "Studies at university/university college"; 1996- (National): "Degree from university/university college"; 2002-2009 (National): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (National): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree"; 2011- (National): "Studies at university/university college". West: Constructed variable based on edu1986, edu1988, edu1993, edu1996, edu2003 and edu2004. Each code include the following response alternatives available over time (alternatives here include the original Swedish term): 1 "Low (comprehensive school grades 1-9 or less)": 1992-1995 (West): "Elementary school (Folkskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Comprehensive school (Enhetsskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Compulsory school (Grundskola)"; 1996-2002 (West): "Elementary/Comprehensive/Compulsory school (Folkskola/ Enhetsskola/Grundskola)"; 2003- (West): "Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)"; 2003- (West): "Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)". 2 "Medium (above comprehensive school grades 1-9 but not university/university college)": 1992-1995 (West): "Vocational school (Yrkesskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Vocational training school (Fackskola)"; 1992 (West): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium)"; 1992-2002 (West): "Folk high school (Folkhögskola)"; 1992-2002 (West): "Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (Flickskola/realexamen)"; 1992-2001 (National): "At least 3-year upper-secondary school (Minst treårigt gymnasium)"; 1992-1995 (National): "Upper-secondary degree (Studentexamen)"; 1993-2002 (West): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium/fackskola)"; 2002 (West): "3-year upper-secondary school (Treårigt gymnasium)"; 2003 (West): "Upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2003- (West) "Post upper-secondary education, not university or university college"; 2004- (West): "Studies at an upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2004- (West): "Degree from upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent". 3 "High (studies at/degree from university/university college)":

edu3: Educational attainment (3 point scale)

	1992-1995 (West): "University/university college"; 1996-2010 (West): "Studies at university/university college"; 1996- (West): "Degree from university/university college"; 2002-2009 (West): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (West): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree"; 2011- (West): "Studies at university/university college".		
Literal question	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.		
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Low (comprehensive school grades 1-9 or less)	32930	20.7%
2	Medium (above comprehensive school grades 1-9 but not univer	69321	43.5%
3	High (studies at/degree from university/university college)	57142	35.8%
99	No response	1782	
Sysmiss		4665	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu2: Educational attainment (2 point scale)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=159393 /-] [Invalid=6447 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on edu1986, edu1988, edu1993, edu1996, edu2003 and edu2004. Each code include the following response alternatives available over time (alternatives here include the original Swedish term): 1 "Low/medium": (Low): 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Elementary school (Folkskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Comprehensive school (Enhetsskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Compulsory school (Grundskola)"; 1996-2002 (National): "Elementary/ Comprehensive/Compulsory school (Folkskola/Enhetsskola/Grundskola)"; 2003- (National): "Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)"; 2003- (National): "Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)". (Medium): 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Vocational school (Yrkesskola)"; 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "Vocational training school (Fackskola)"; 1986, 1988-1992 (National): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium)"; 1986, 1988-2002 (National): "Folk high school (Folkhögskola)"; 1986, 1988-2002 (National): "Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (Flickskola/realexamen)"; 1986 (National): "3- or 4- year upper-secondary school (Tre- eller fyraårigt gymnasium)"; 1988-2001 (National): "At least 3-year upper-secondary school (Minst treårigt gymnasium)"; 1988-1995 (National): "Upper-secondary degree (Studentexamen)"; 1993-2002 (National): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium/fackskola)"; 2002 (National): "3-year upper-secondary school (Treårigt gymnasium)"; 2003 (National): "Upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2003- (National) "Post upper-secondary education, not university or university college"; 2004- (National): "Studies at an upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2004- (National): "Degree from upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent". 2 "High": 1986, 1988-1995 (National): "University/university college"; 1996-2010 (National): "Studies at university/university college"; 1996- (National): "Degree from university/university college"; 2002-2009 (National): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (National): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree"; 2011- (National): "Studies at university/university college". West: Constructed variable based on edu1986, edu1988, edu1993, edu1996, edu2003 and edu2004. Each code include the following response alternatives available over time (alternatives here include the original Swedish term): 1 "Low/medium": (Low): 1992-1995 (West): "Elementary school (Folkskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Comprehensive school (Enhetsskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Compulsory school (Grundskola)"; 1996-2002 (West): "Elementary/Comprehensive/Compulsory school (Folkskola/Enhetsskola/Grundskola)"; 2003- (West): "Not completed compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)"; 2003- (West): "Compulsory school (grades 1-9 or equivalent)". (Medium): 1992-1995 (West): "Vocational school (Yrkesskola)"; 1992-1995 (West): "Vocational training school (Fackskola)"; 1992 (West): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium)"; 1992-2002 (West): "Folk high school (Folkhögskola)"; 1992-2002 (West): "Girls school/junior secondary school certificate (Flickskola/realexamen)"; 1992-2001 (National): "At least 3-year upper-secondary school (Minst treårigt gymnasium)"; 1992-1995 (National): "Upper-secondary degree (Studentexamen)"; 1993-2002 (West): "2-year upper-secondary school (Tvåårigt gymnasium/fackskola)"; 2002 (West): "3-year upper-secondary school (Treårigt gymnasium)"; 2003 (West): "Upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2003- (West) "Post upper-secondary education, not university or university college"; 2004- (West): "Studies at an upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent"; 2004- (West): "Degree from upper-secondary school, folk high school (Folkhögskola) or equivalent". 2 "High":

edu2: Educational attainment (2 point scale)

	1992-1995 (West): "University/university college"; 1996-2010 (West): "Studies at university/university college"; 1996- (West): "Degree from university/university college"; 2002-2009 (West): "Third cycle degree"; 2010- (West): "Postgraduate studies/third cycle degree"; 2011- (West): "Studies at university/university college".
Literal question	What is your education? If you haven´t completed your education, please state the education you are currently pursuing.
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Low/medium	102251	64.2%
2	High	57142	35.8%
99	No response	0	
Sysmiss		6447	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pinc2009: Monthly personal income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3389 /-] [Invalid=162451 /-]
Literal question	What is your your monthly net personal income?
Notes	2009-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	10 000 or less	587	17.3%
2	10 000-14 999	540	15.9%
3	15 000-19 999	398	11.7%
4	20 000-24 999	592	17.5%
5	25 000-29 999	455	13.4%
6	30 000-34 999	263	7.8%
7	35 000-39 999	123	3.6%
8	40 000-44 999	90	2.7%
9	45 000-49 999	52	1.5%
10	More than 50 000	99	2.9%
98	Several responses given	3	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	187	5.5%
96		6544	
Sysmiss		155907	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pinc2011: Monthly personal income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=43635 /-] [Invalid=122205 /-]
Literal question	What is normally your your monthly net personal income?
Notes	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	10 000 or less	4684	10.7%
2	10 000-14 999	6119	14.0%
3	15 000-19 999	4728	10.8%
4	20 000-24 999	4830	11.1%
5	25 000-29 999	5561	12.7%
6	30 000-34 999	4836	11.1%

pinc2011: Monthly personal income

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	35 000-39 999	3322	7.6%
8	40 000-44 999	2231	5.1%
9	45 000-49 999	1467	3.4%
10	50 000-54 999	985	2.3%
11	55 000-59 999	628	1.4%
12	More than 60 000	1825	4.2%
98	Several responses given	90	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2329	5.3%
96		53168	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hinc1986: Household income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6516 /-] [Invalid=159324 /-]
Literal question	Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax.
Notes	1986-1989 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	60 000 or less	459	7.0%
2	61 000-90 000	557	8.5%
3	91 000-120 000	861	13.2%
4	121 000-150 000	771	11.8%
5	151 000-180 000	683	10.5%
6	181 000-210 000	764	11.7%
7	211 000-240 000	623	9.6%
8	241 000-270 000	516	7.9%
9	More than 270 000	918	14.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	364	5.6%
Sysmiss		159324	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hinc1990: Household income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5044 /-] [Invalid=160796 /-]
Literal question	Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax.
Notes	1990-1992 (National); 1992 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	120 000 or less	811	16.1%
2	121 000-150 000	545	10.8%
3	151 000-180 000	445	8.8%
4	181 000-210 000	434	8.6%
5	211 000-240 000	393	7.8%
6	241 000-270 000	443	8.8%
7	271 000-300 000	415	8.2%
8	301 000-330 000	353	7.0%

hinc1990: Household income

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	More than 330 000	904	17.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	301	6.0%
Sysmiss		160796	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hinc1993: Household income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12432 /-] [Invalid=153408 /-]
Literal question	Please place a cross in the box which is equivalent to the apporoximate yearly income, before tax, for all members of your household (including pension and study allowance).
Notes	1993-1998 (National); 1993-1998 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	100 000 or less.	1120	9.0%
2	101 000-150 000	1391	11.2%
3	151 000-200 000	1605	12.9%
4	201 000-250 000	1521	12.2%
5	251 000-300 000	1371	11.0%
6	301 000-350 000	1343	10.8%
7	351 000-400 000	1079	8.7%
8	401 000-450 000	750	6.0%
9	451 000-500 000	485	3.9%
10	More than 500 000	874	7.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	893	7.2%
Sysmiss		153408	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hinc1999: Household income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31853 /-] [Invalid=133987 /-]
Literal question	Please place a cross in the box which is equivalent to the apporoximate yearly income, before tax, for all members of your household (including pension and study allowance).
Notes	1999-2007 (National); 1999-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	100 000 or less.	2032	6.4%
2	101 000-200 000	4742	14.9%
3	201 000-300 000	5982	18.8%
4	301 000-400 000	5470	17.2%
5	401 000-500 000	4709	14.8%
6	501 000-600 000	2897	9.1%
7	601 000-700 000	1700	5.3%
8	More than 700 000	1998	6.3%
98	Several responses given	175	0.5%
99	No response - entire question battery	2148	6.7%
Sysmiss		133987	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hinc2008: Gross household income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11490 /-] [Invalid=154350 /-]
Literal question	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
Notes	2008-2010 (National); 2008-2010 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	100 000 or less.	544	4.7%
2	101 000 – 200 000	1200	10.4%
3	201 000 – 300 000	1734	15.1%
4	301 000 – 400 000	1762	15.3%
5	401 000 – 500 000	1418	12.3%
6	501 000 – 600 000	1432	12.5%
7	601 000 – 700 000	1007	8.8%
8	701 000 – 800 000	637	5.5%
9	More than 800 000	951	8.3%
98	Several responses given	17	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	788	6.9%
96	Question not asked	1702	
Sysmiss		152648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hinc2011: Gross household income

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=96698 /-] [Invalid=69142 /-]
Literal question	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
Notes	2011- (National); 2011- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	100 000 or less.	3719	3.8%
2	101 000 – 200 000	8470	8.8%
3	201 000 – 300 000	10608	11.0%
4	301 000 – 400 000	12195	12.6%
5	401 000 – 500 000	10288	10.6%
6	501 000 – 600 000	8653	8.9%
7	601 000 – 700 000	8329	8.6%
8	701 000 – 800 000	7701	8.0%
9	801 000 - 900 000	5875	6.1%
10	901 000 - 1 000 000	4137	4.3%
11	1 001 000 - 1 100 000	2724	2.8%
12	More than 1 100 000	6016	6.2%
98	Several responses given	188	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	7795	8.1%
96		105	
Sysmiss		69037	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hinc3rel: Gross household income (3 point scale)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/96/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=151364 /-] [Invalid=14476 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on hinc1986-hinc2011. The goal is to create three equally large categories (low, medium and high). The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax."; 1996- (National): "What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included)." Recoding: 1986-1987: Code 1-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-9 = high. 1988-1989: Code 1-3 = low; code 4-7 = medium; code 8-9 = high (codes 1986-1989: 1 '60 000 or less' 2 '61 000-90 000' 3 '91 000-120 000' 4 '121 000-150 000' 5 '151 000-180 000' 6 '181 000-210 000' 7 '211 000-240 000' 8 '241 000-270 000' 9 'More than 270 000'). 1990-1992: Code 1-2 = low; code 3-7 = medium; code 8-9 = high (codes 1990-1992: 1 '120 000 or less' 2 '121 000-150 000' 3 '151 000-180 000' 4 '181 000-210 000' 5 '211 000-240 000' 6 '241 000-270 000' 7 '271 000-300 000' 8 '301 000-330 000' 9 'More than 330 000'). 1993-1995: Code 1-2 = low; code 3-5 = medium; code 6-10 = high. 1996-1998: Code 1-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-10 = high (codes 1993-1998: 1 100 000 or less' 2 '101 000-150 000' 3 '151 000-200 000' 4 '201 000-250 000' 5 '251 000-300 000' 6 '301 000-350 000' 7 '351 000-400 000' 8 '401 000-450 000' 9 '451 000-500 000' 10 'More than 500 000'). 1999-2001: Code 1-2 = low; code 3-4 = medium; code 5-8 = high. 2002-2006: Code 1-2 = low; code 3-5 = medium; code 6-8 = high. 2007: Code 1-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-8 = high (codes 1999-2007: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000-200 000' 3 '201 000-300 000' 4 '301 000-400 000' 5 '401 000-500 000' 6 '501 000-600 000' 7 '601 000-700 000' 8 'More than 700 000'). 2008-2010: Kod 1-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-9 = high (codes 2008-2010: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000 – 200 000' 3 '201 000 – 300 000' 4 '301 000 – 400 000' 5 '401 000 – 500 000' 6 '501 000 – 600 000' 7 '601 000 – 700 000' 8 '701 000 – 800 000' 9 'More than 800 000'). 2011-: Code 1-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-12 = high (codes 2011-: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000 – 200 000' 3 '201 000 – 300 000' 4 '301 000 – 400 000' 5 '401 000 – 500 000' 6 '501 000 – 600 000' 7 '601 000 – 700 000' 8 '701 000 – 800 000' 9 '801 000 - 900 000' 10 '901 000 - 1 000 000' 11 '1 001 000 - 1 100 000' 12 'More than 1 100 000'). West: See notes above. The question has been identical in National-SOM and West-SOM.
Literal question	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Low	40176	26.5%
2	Medium	61239	40.5%
3	High	49949	33.0%
96	Question not asked	1807	
98	Several responses given	380	
99	No response - entire question battery	12289	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hinc5rel: Gross household income (5 point scale)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/96/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=151364 /-] [Invalid=14476 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on hinc1986-hinc2011. The goal is to create five equally large categories (very low, low, medium, high and very high). The question wording has changed. 1986-1995 (National): "Please mark the box which corresponds to the estimated total annual income in your household before tax."; 1996- (National): "What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included)." Recoding: 1986-1988: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-7 = high; code 8-9 = very high. 1989: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3-4 = low; code 5-6 = medium; code 7-8 = high; code 9 = very high (codes 1986-1989: 1 '60 000 or less' 2 '61 000-90 000' 3 '91 000-120 000' 4 '121 000-150 000' 5 '151 000-180 000' 6 '181 000-210 000' 7 '211 000-240 000' 8 '241 000-270 000' 9 'More than 270 000').

hinc5rel: Gross household income (5 point scale)

	1990: Code 1 = very low; code 2 = low; code 3-5 = medium; code 6-8 = high; code 9 = very high . 1991-1992: Code 1 = very low; code 2-3 = low; code 4-6 = medium; code 7-8 = high; code 9 = very high (codes 1990-1992: 1 '120 000 or less' 2 '121 000-150 000' 3 '151 000-180 000' 4 '181 000-210 000' 5 '211 000-240 000' 6 '241 000-270 000' 7 '271 000-300 000' 8 '301 000-330 000' 9 'More than 330 000'). 1993-1995: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-7 = high; code 8-10 = very high . 1996-1998: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3-4 = low; code 5-6 = medium; code 7-8 = high; code 9-10 = very high (codes 1993-1998: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000-150 000' 3 '151 000-200 000' 4 '201 000-250 000' 5 '251 000-300 000' 6 '301 000-350 000' 7 '351 000-400 000' 8 '401 000-450 000' 9 '451 000-500 000' 10 'More than 500 000'). 1999-2005: Code 1 = very low; code 2 = low; code 3-4 = medium; code 5-6 = high; code 7-8 = very high . 2006-2007: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-7 = high; code 8 = very high (codes 1999-2007: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000-200 000' 3 '201 000-300 000' 4 '301 000-400 000' 5 '401 000-500 000' 6 '501 000-600 000' 7 '601 000-700 000' 8 'More than 700 000'). 2008: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-8 = high; code 9 = very high . 2009-2010: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-7 = high; code 8-9 = very high (codes 2008-2010: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000 - 200 000' 3 '201 000 - 300 000' 4 '301 000 - 400 000' 5 '401 000 - 500 000' 6 '501 000 - 600 000' 7 '601 000 - 700 000' 8 '701 000 - 800 000' 9 'More than 800 000'). 2011-: Code 1-2 = very low; code 3 = low; code 4-5 = medium; code 6-7 = high; code 8-12 = very high (codes 2011-: 1 '100 000 or less' 2 '101 000 - 200 000' 3 '201 000 - 300 000' 4 '301 000 - 400 000' 5 '401 000 - 500 000' 6 '501 000 - 600 000' 7 '601 000 - 700 000' 8 '701 000 - 800 000' 9 '801 000 - 900 000' 10 '901 000 - 1 000 000' 11 '1 001 000 - 1 100 000' 12 'More than 1 100 000'). West: See notes above. The question has been identical in National-SOM and West-SOM.
Literal question	What is the approximate total annual income in SEK for all the persons in your household before taxes (pensions, student aid, etc. should be included).
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very low	21131	14.0%
2	Low	21758	14.4%
3	Medium	42408	28.0%
4	High	32134	21.2%
5	Very high	33933	22.4%
96	Question not asked	1807	
98	Several responses given	380	
99	No response - entire question battery	12289	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

oinc4rel: Monthly personal income (4 point scale)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11423 /-] [Invalid=154417 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Low	2413	21.1%
2	Medium low	2299	20.1%
3	Medium high	4248	37.2%
4	High	1665	14.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response	798	7.0%
Sysmiss		154417	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

oinc5rel: Monthly personal income (5 point scale)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11423 /-] [Invalid=154417 /-]

oinc5rel: Monthly personal income (5 point scale)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Low	2413	21.1%
2	Medium low	2299	20.1%
3	Medium	2449	21.4%
4	Medium high	1799	15.7%
5	High	1665	14.6%
96	Question not asked	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response	798	7.0%
Sysmiss		154417	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cityrur1986: Present residential area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20102 /-] [Invalid=145738 /-]
Definition	See also cityrur (constructed variable).
Literal question	How would you characterize the area where you live?
Notes	1986-1997 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area	3853	19.2%
2	Village	7213	35.9%
3	City/town	9036	45.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	329	
Sysmiss		145409	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cityrur1998: Present residential area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=47378 /-] [Invalid=118462 /-]
Definition	See also cityrur (constructed variable). The response alternatives have changed: 1998 (National): 'Rural area, far away from cities/larger towns', 'Rural area, fairly close to cities/larger towns', 'Village', 'City/ larger town, outer areas', 'City/larger town, central area', 'Large city, outer areas/suburbs', 'Large city: central area'; 1999-2010 (National): 'Rural area', 'Village', 'City/larger town, outer areas', 'City/larger town, central area', 'Large city, outer areas/suburbs', 'Large city, central area'; Recoding: 1998: 'Rural area, far away from cities/larger towns' and 'Rural area, fairly close to cities/larger towns' = 6 'Rural area'. The other alternatives have remained unchanged.
Literal question	In what type of area do you live?
Notes	1998-2010 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Large city: central area	4733		10.0%
2	Large city: outer areas/suburb	8405		17.7%
3	City/town: central area	7694		16.2%
4	City/town: outer areas	8027		16.9%
5	Village	10873		22.9%
6	Rural area	7646		16.1%
98	Several responses given	167		
99	No response - entire question battery	1061		
Sysmiss		117234		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cityrur2011: Present residential area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=96803 /-] [Invalid=69037 /-]
Definition	See also cityrur (constructed variable).
Literal question	In what type of area do you live?
Notes	2011- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Large city: central area	10323		10.7%
2	Large city: outer areas/suburb	17042		17.6%
3	City: central area	13921		14.4%
4	City: outer areas	14745		15.2%
5	Town	5966		6.2%
6	Village	17854		18.4%
7	Rural area	13663		14.1%
98	Several responses given	874		0.9%
99	No response - entire question battery	2415		2.5%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0		
Sysmiss		69037		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cityrur: Present residential area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=161786 /-] [Invalid=4054 /-]

cityrur: Present residential area

Definition	Constructed variable based on cityrur1986 (1986-1997), cityrur1998 (1998-2010) and cityrur2011 (2011-), as well as register data. Respondents who live in Stockholm, Gothenburg or Malmö have been recoded to the "Stockholm/Gothenburg/Malmö" category. Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1986-1997: no change. 1998-2010: 1 'Large city: central area', 2 'Large city: outer areas/suburb', 3 'City/town: central area' and 4 'City/town: outer areas' = 3 'City/town'. The other alternatives are unchanged. 2011-: 1 'Large city: central area', 2 'Large city: outer areas/suburb', 3 'City: central area', 4 'City: outer areas' och 5 'Town' = 3 'City/town'. The other alternatives are unchanged.
Literal question	In what type of area do you live?
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area	25162	15.6%
2	Village	35940	22.2%
3	City/town	74197	45.9%
4	Stockholm/Gothenburg/Malmö	26487	16.4%
98	Several responses given	902	
99	No response - entire question battery	3152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

natgeo: Region in Sweden Data from national population register

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165381 /-] [Invalid=459 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on lanskod2010 (county code).
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stockholm	33172	20.1%
2	East Mid-Sweden	28223	17.1%
3	Småland province and islands	15018	9.1%
4	South Sweden	23717	14.3%
5	West Sweden	33978	20.5%
6	North Mid-Sweden	14866	9.0%
7	Mid-North Sweden	6921	4.2%
8	Upper-North Sweden	9486	5.7%
99	No response	459	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

subclh1986: Subjective family class: at present

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=52638 /-] [Invalid=113202 /-]
Definition	National: See also subclh (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1986-2001 (National): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer´s home': 1986-2001 (National): 'Farmer´s family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Farmer´s home'. 3 'White-collar home': 1986-2001 (National): 'White-collar family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'White-collar home". 4 'Higher white-collar/academic home': 1986-2001 (National): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'. 5 'Entrepreneurial home': 1986-2000 (National): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (National): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1986-1989 (National): "If you were to describe your family (the family/household to which you currently belong), which of the following categories do you think that you belong to?"; 1990-2001 (National): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?";

subclh1986: Subjective family class: at present

	2002-2008 (National): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?" Note that in 2008, the "Higher white-collar/academic home" alternative was only available in one edition (National-2). West: See also subclh (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer´s home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Farmer´s family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Farmer´s home'. 3 'White-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'White-collar family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'White-collar home'. 4 'Higher white-collar/academic home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'. 5 'Entrepreneurial home": 1992-2000 (West): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (West): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1992-2001 (West): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002-2007 (West): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"	
Literal question	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home	
Notes	1986-2008 (National); 1992-2007 (West)	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Blue-collar home	24059	45.7%
2	Farmer´s home	1810	3.4%
3	White-collar home	14509	27.6%
4	Higher white-collar/academic home	7454	14.2%
5	Entrepreneurial home	4806	9.1%
96	Question not asked	1598	
97	No response - part of the question	1685	
98	Several responses given	658	
99	No response - entire question battery	2525	
Sysmiss		106736	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

subclh2008: Subjective family class: at present

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=99668 /-] [Invalid=66172 /-]
Definition	National: See also subclh (constructed variable). Note that in 2008, the "Higher white-collar/academic home" alternative was only available in one edition (National-2).
Literal question	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home
Notes	2008- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Blue-collar home	41470	41.6%
2	Farmer´s home	3054	3.1%
3	White-collar home	36624	36.7%
4	Higher white-collar home	10094	10.1%
5	Entrepreneurial home	8236	8.3%
95		190	0.2%
96	Question not asked	1661	
97	No response - part of the question	2273	
98	Several responses given	1724	

subclh2008: Subjective family class: at present

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	4669	
Sysmiss		55845	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

subclg1990: Subjective family class: during childhood

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=47424 /-] [Invalid=118416 /-]
Definition	National: See also subclg (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1990-2001 (National): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer´s home': 1990-2001 (National): 'Farmer´s family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Farmer´s home'. 3 'White-collar home': 1990-2001 (National): 'White-collar family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'White-collar home". 4 'Higher white-collar/academic home': 1990-2001 (National): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'. 5 'Entrepreneurial home': 1990-2000 (National): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (National): 'Entreprenurial family'; 2002-2008 (National): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1990-1989 (National): "If you were to describe your family (the family/household to which you currently belong), which of the following categories do you think that you belong to?"; 1990-2001 (National): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002-2008 (National): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?" Note that in 2008, the "Higher white-collar/academic home" alternative was only available in one edition (National-2). West: See also subclg (constructed variable). Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer´s home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Farmer´s family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Farmer´s home'. 3 'White-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'White-collar family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'White-collar home'. 4 'Higher white-collar/academic home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'. 5 'Entrepreneurial home": 1992-2000 (West): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (West): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1992-2001 (West): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002-2007 (West): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"
Literal question	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood
Notes	1990-2008 (National); 1992-2007 (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Blue-collar home	25628	54.0%
2	Farmer´s home	6471	13.6%
3	White-collar home	7631	16.1%
4	Higher white-collar/academic home	3325	7.0%
5	Entrepreneurial home	4369	9.2%
96	Question not asked	1598	
97	No response - part of the question	960	
98	Several responses given	605	
99	No response - entire question battery	2001	
Sysmiss		113252	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

subclg2008: Subjective family class: during childhood

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

subclg2008: Subjective family class: during childhood

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=99918 /-] [Invalid=65922 /-]
Definition	National: See also subclg (constructed variable). Note that in 2008, the "Higher white-collar/academic home" alternative was only available in one edition (National-2).
Literal question	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood
Notes	2008- (National); 2008- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Blue-collar home	54076		54.1%
2	Farmer´s home	10630		10.6%
3	White-collar home	20959		21.0%
4	Higher white-collar home	6164		6.2%
5	Entrepreneurial home	7899		7.9%
95		190		0.2%
96	Question not asked	1661		
97	No response - part of the question	2268		
98	Several responses given	1479		
99	No response - entire question battery	4669		
Sysmiss		55845		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

subclh: Subjective family class: at present

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=152306 /-] [Invalid=13534 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on subclh1986 and subclh2008. Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1986-2001 (National): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002- (National): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer´s home': 1986-2001 (National): 'Farmer´s family'; 2002- (National): 'Farmer´s home'. 3 'White-collar home/Higher white-collar home': 1986-2001 (National): 'White-collar family'; 2002- (National): 'White-collar home"; 1986-2001 (National): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2007 (National), 2008 (National-2): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'; 2008 (National-1); 2009- (National): 'Higher white-collar home'. 4 "Företagarhem": 1986-2000 (National): "Egen företagare"; 2001 (National): "Företagarfamilj"; 2002- (National): "Företagarhem". 4 'Entrepreneurial home': 1986-2000 (National): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (National): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002- (National): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1986-1989 (National): "If you were to describe your family (the family/household to which you currently belong), which of the following categories do you think that you belong to?"; 1990-2001 (National): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002- (National): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?" West: Constructed variable based on subclh1986 and subclh2008. Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-(West): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer´s home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Farmer´s family'; 2002- (West): 'Farmer´s home'. 3 "Tjänstemannahem/Högre tjänstemannahem": 1992-2001 (West): "Tjänstemannafamilj"; 2002- (West): "Tjänstemannahem"; 1992-2001 (West): "Högre tjänstemanna-/akademikerfamilj"; 2002-2007 (West) "Högre tjänstemanna-/akademikerhem"; 2008- (West): "Högre tjänstemannahem". (Notera att denna kod är en sammanslagning av två kategorier i subclh1986 och subclh2008). 3 'White-collar home/Higher white-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'White-collar family'; 2002- (West): 'White-collar home'; 1992-2001 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'; 2008- (West): 'Higher white-collar home' 4 'Entrepreneurial home": 1992-2000 (West): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (West): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002- (West): 'Entrepreneurial home'.

subclh: Subjective family class: at present

	The question wording has changed. 1992-2001 (West): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002- (West): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"		
Literal question	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Your current home		
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Blue-collar home	65529	43.0%
2	Farmer´s home	4864	3.2%
3	White-collar home/Higher white-collar home	68681	45.1%
4	Entrepreneurial home	13042	8.6%
95		190	0.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	No response - part of the question	3958	
98	Several responses given	2382	
99	No response - entire question battery	7194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

subclg: Subjective family class: during childhood

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=147342 /-] [Invalid=18498 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on subclg1986 and subclg2008. Each code include the following response alternatives available over time: 1 'Blue-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Blue-collar family'; 2002-(West): 'Blue-collar home'. 2 'Farmer´s home': 1992-2001 (West): 'Farmer´s family'; 2002- (West): 'Farmer´s home'. 3 "Tjänstemannahem/Högre tjänstemannahem": 1992-2001 (West): "Tjänstemannafamilj"; 2002- (West): "Tjänstemannahem"; 1992-2001 (West): "Högre tjänstemanna-/akademikerfamilj"; 2002-2007 (West) "Högre tjänstemanna-/akademikerhem"; 2008- (West): "Högre tjänstemannahem". (Notera att denna kod är en sammanslagning av två kategorier i subclh1986 och subclh2008). 3 'White-collar home/Higher white-collar home': 1992-2001 (West): 'White-collar family'; 2002- (West): 'White-collar home'; 1992-2001 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic family'; 2002-2007 (West): 'Higher white-collar/academic home'; 2008- (West): 'Higher white-collar home' 4 'Entrepreneurial home": 1992-2000 (West): 'Self-employed'; 2001 (West): 'Entrepreneurial family'; 2002- (West): 'Entrepreneurial home'. The question wording has changed. 1992-2001 (West): "If you describe your current family and the family during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"; 2002- (West): "If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate?"
Literal question	If you describe your current home and the home during your childhood, which of the categories below is most appropriate? - Home during your childhood
Notes	1990- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Blue-collar home	79704	54.1%
2	Farmer´s home	17101	11.6%
3	White-collar home/Higher white-collar home	38079	25.8%
4	Entrepreneurial home	12268	8.3%
95		190	0.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
97	No response - part of the question	3228	
98	Several responses given	2084	
99	No response - entire question battery	6670	
Sysmiss		6516	

subclg: Subjective family class: during childhood

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

growupp: Area/country of upbringing: Yourself

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/97/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=145024 /-] [Invalid=20816 /-]
Definition	National: A single question 1993-1995. Since 1996 asked in a question battery with growupf and growupm. The question wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "Where have you primarily grown up?"; 1996- (National): "Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up?" Note that the response alternatives 6 'Other European country' and 7 'Non-European country' where added in 1996. 1993-1995 the following alternative was given "Non-Nordic country" (code 8). West: The question wording has changed. 1993-1995 (West): "Where have you primarily grown up?"; 1996- (National): "Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up?" Note that the response alternatives 6 'Other European country' and 7 'Non-European country' where added in 1996. 1993-1995 the following alternative was given "Non-Nordic country" (code 8).
Literal question	Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Yourself
Notes	1993- (National); 1993- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area in Sweden	34566	23.8%
2	Village in Sweden	40403	27.9%
3	City/town in Sweden	34404	23.7%
4	Stockholm/Gothenburg/Malmö	23597	16.3%
5	Other Nordic country	3425	2.4%
6	Other European country	4475	3.1%
7	Non-European country	4007	2.8%
8	Non-Nordic country	147	0.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2001	
98	Several responses given	2991	
99	No response - entire question battery	4264	
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

growupf: Area/country of upbringing: Your father

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/97/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=139997 /-] [Invalid=25843 /-]
Literal question	Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Your father
Notes	1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rural area in Sweden	49321	35.2%
2	Village in Sweden	31414	22.4%
3	City/town in Sweden	23730	17.0%
4	Stockholm/Gothenburg/Malmö	16127	11.5%
5	Other Nordic country	6072	4.3%
6	Other European country	7359	5.3%
7	Non-European country	5974	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	2771	
98	Several responses given	2026	
99	No response - entire question battery	4148	

growupf: Area/country of upbringing: Your father

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		16898	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

growupm: Area/country of upbringing: Your mother

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/97/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=140458 /-] [Invalid=25382 /-]
Literal question	Where have you, your father and your mother primarily grown up? - Your mother
Notes	1996- (National); 1996- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Ren landsbygd i Sverige	48680		34.7%
2	Mindre tätort i Sverige	32341		23.0%
3	Stad/större tätort i Sverige	24087		17.1%
4	Sthlm/Gbg/Malmö	15636		11.1%
5	Annat land i Norden	7054		5.0%
6	Annat land i Europa	6968		5.0%
7	Land utanför Europa	5692		4.1%
97	No response - part of the question	2421		
98	Several responses given	1915		
99	No response - entire question battery	4148		
Sysmiss		16898		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

citizen1993: Swedish citizen

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26726 /-] [Invalid=139114 /-]
Literal question	Are you a citizen of Sweden?
Notes	1993-2002 (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	25206		94.3%
2	No	1026		3.8%
99	No response - entire question battery	494		1.8%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0		
98	Several responses given	2		
Sysmiss		139112		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

citizen2003: Citizenship

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=127541 /-] [Invalid=38299 /-]
Literal question	Are you…:
Notes	2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Swedish citizen	115688		90.7%
2	Citizen of other country	4391		3.4%
3	Citizen of both Sweden and other country	4760		3.7%

citizen2003: Citizenship

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	2702	2.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
98	Several responses given	11	
Sysmiss		38288	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

citizen: Swedish citizen

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=154267 /-] [Invalid=11573 /-]
Definition	Note that between 1993 and 2002, the "Citizen of both Sweden and other country" alternative was not available.
Literal question	Are you a citizen of Sweden?
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes/Swedish citizen	140894	91.3%
2	No/Citizen of other country	5417	3.5%
3	Citizen of both Sweden and other country	4760	3.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	3196	2.1%
96	Frågan ej ställd	0	
98	Several responses given	13	
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hhtypela: Type of household: Living by myself

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164147 /-] [Invalid=1693 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on several different questions (some years based on several question in the same questionnaire). These are the conditions for coding hhtypela as living by her/himself: 1986: if the respondent states living in a household with one person; 1988-1999: the same as in 1986, but adjusted for whether children are living in the household or not; 2000-2002: if the respondent states that the household is neither shared with adults nor children; 2003-: if the respondent states that they live by themselves. Note that the response alternative 'I live with others/regularly shares the household with:/No' was added in 2003. The following questions are used: 1986, 1988-1992 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of them are under age 16?" 1993 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"Do you have children in the following ages in your household?"; 1994-1995 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"Do you have children in the following ages?"; 1996 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of these persons are children in the following ages?"; 1997 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"Do you have children living in your household" 1998-1999 (National): "How many adult persons are included in your household and how many children/youths (include yourself)?"; 2000-2001 (National): "Do you share your household with one or more adults (people 20 years or older)?"/"How many children in the ages 0-19 live in your current household?"; 2002 (National): "Do you share your household with:"; 2003- (National): "What does your household look like?" Response alternatives: 1986, 1988-1992 (National): Open question/Open question 1993-1994 (National): Open question/'1-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-16 years', 'No children under age 16 in the household'; 1995 (National): Open question/'0-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-16 years', 'No children under age 16 in the household'; 1996 (National): Open question/'0-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-15 years', '16-20 years';

hhtypela: Type of household: Living by myself

	1997 (National): Open question/'Yes', 'No'; 1998-1999 (National): 'Number of adults:' (open question), 'Number of children/youths under age 18:' (open question); 2000-2001 (National): 'Yes', 'No'/'Number of children who live in your current household:', 'No children in the ages 0-19 live in the household'; 2002 (National): 'Adult/adults (persons who are 20 years or older)', 'Children'; 2003- (National): 'I live alone', 'I live with/regularly share the household with:', follow-up question to the latter alternative: 'One adult', 'Several adults', 'One or several children'. West: Questions and alternatives are identical in West-SOM and National-SOM. See above.
Literal question	What does your household look like?
Notes	1986, 1988- (National); 1992-1998, 2000- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes/ticked	31026		18.9%
2	I live with others/regularly shares the household with:/No	95975		58.5%
97	No response - part of the question	32449		19.8%
98	Several responses given	36		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	4661		2.8%
Sysmiss		1693		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hhtypeg: Type of household: Household shared with an adult

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=136240 /-] [Invalid=29600 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on several different questions (some years based on several question in the same questionnaire). These are the conditions for coding hhtypeg as sharing the household with an adult: 1986: if the respondent states that the number of persons living in the household is two more than the number of children in the household (the respondent plus another adult); 1998-1999, 2003-: if the respondent states that two adults live in the household; 1988-1997, 2000-2002: not possible to ascertain the number of adults or number of children living in the household. Note that the response alternative 'I live with others/regularly shares the household with:/No' was added in 2003. The following questions are used: 1986 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of them are under age 16?" 1998-1999 (National): "How many adult persons are included in your household and how many children/youths (include yourself)?"; 2003- (National): "What does your household look like?" Response alternatives: 1986 (National): Open question/Open question 1998-1999 (National): 'Number of adults:' (open question), 'Number of children/youths under age 18:' (open question); 2003- (National): 'I live alone', 'I live with/regularly share the household with:', follow-up question to the latter alternative: 'One adult', 'Several adults', 'One or several children'. West: Questions and alternatives are identical in West-SOM and National-SOM. See above.
Literal question	What does your household look like?
Notes	1986, 1998-1999, 2003- (National); 2003- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes/ticked	88553		65.0%
2	No	2938		2.2%
97	No response - part of the question	30898		22.7%
98	Several responses given	5		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	13846		10.2%
Sysmiss		29600		

hhtypeg: Type of household: Household shared with an adult

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hhtypegg: Type of household: Household shared with several adults

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=136240 /-] [Invalid=29600 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on several different questions (some years based on several question in the same questionnaire). These are the conditions for coding hhtypegg as sharing the household with several adults: 1986: if the respondent states that the number of persons living in the household are three (or above) more than the number of children living in the household (the respondent plus two or more adults); 1998-1999, 2003-: if the respondent states that the household is shared with several adults. 1988-1997, 2000-2002: not possible to ascertain the number of adults or number of children living in the household. Note that the response alternative 'I live with others/regularly shares the household with:/No' was added in 2003. The following questions are used: 1986 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of them are under age 16?" 1998-1999 (National): "How many adult persons are included in your household and how many children/youths (include yourself)?"; 2003- (National): "What does your household look like?" Response alternatives: 1986 (National): Open question/Open question 1998-1999 (National): 'Number of adults:' (open question), 'Number of children/youths under age 18:' (open question); 2003- (National): 'I live alone', 'I live with/regularly share the household with:', follow-up question to the latter alternative: 'One adult', 'Several adults', 'One or several children'. West: Questions and alternatives are identical in West-SOM and National-SOM. See above.
Literal question	What does your household look like?
Notes	1986, 1998-1999, 2003- (National); 2003- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes/ticked	13653		10.0%
2	No	21552		15.8%
97	No response - part of the question	87184		64.0%
98	Several responses given	5		0.0%
99	No response - entire question battery	13846		10.2%
Sysmiss		29600		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

hhtypec: Type of household: Household shared with one or several children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164169 /-] [Invalid=1671 /-]
Definition	National: Constructed variable based on several different questions (some years based on several question in the same questionnaire). These are the conditions for coding hhtypec as sharing the household with one or several children: 1986, 1988-: if the respondent states that one or more children are living in the household (16-20 year olds are no included). Note that the response alternative 'I live with others/regularly shares the household with:/No' was added in 2003. The following questions are used: 1986, 1988-1992 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of them are under age 16?" 1993 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"Do you have children in the following ages in your household?"; 1994-1995 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"Do you have children in the following ages?"; 1996 (National): "How many persons are included in your household (include yourself)?"/"How many of these persons are children in the following ages?"; 1997 (National): "Do you have children living in your household?" 1998-1999 (National): "How many adult persons are included in your household and how many children/youths (include yourself)?";

hhtypec: Type of household: Household shared with one or several children

	2000-2001 (National): "Do you share your household with one or more adults (people 20 years or older)?"/"How many children in the ages 0-19 live in your current household?"; 2002 (National): "Do you share your household with:"; 2003- (National): "What does your household look like?" Response alternatives: 1986, 1988-1992 (National): Open question/Open question 1993-1994 (National): Open question/'1-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-16 years', 'No children under age 16 in the household'; 1995 (National): Open question/'0-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-16 years', 'No children under age 16 in the household'; 1996 (National): Open question/'0-3 years', '4-6 years', '7-15 years', '16-20 years'; 1997 (National): 'Yes', 'No'; 1998-1999 (National): 'Number of adults:' (open question), 'Number of children/youths under age 18:' (open question); 2000-2001 (National): 'Yes', 'No'/'Number of children who live in your current household:', 'No children in the ages 0-19 live in the household'; 2002 (National): 'Adult/adults (persons who are 20 years or older)', 'Children'; 2003- (National): 'I live alone', 'I live with/regularly share the household with:', follow-up question to the latter alternative: 'One adult', 'Several adults', 'One or several children'. West: Questions and alternatives are identical in West-SOM and National-SOM. See above.
Literal question	What does your household look like?
Notes	1986, 1988- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes/ticked	54321		33.1%
2	No	13486		8.2%
97	No response - part of the question	81606		49.7%
98	Several responses given	5	0.0%	
99	No response - entire question battery	14751		9.0%
Sysmiss		1671		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

childha03: Number and age of children in household: 0-3 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=154280 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "Do you have children in the following ages in your household?"; 1996 (National): "How many of these persons are children in the following ages?"; 1997 (National): "Do you have children living in your household? If yes, what are their ages?"; 1998-1999 (National): "If there are children/youths in your household, what are their ages?"; 2000-2001 (National): "If there are children belonging to your household, what are their ages?"; 2002 (National): "If you have children in your household, how many are they and what are their ages?"; 2003- (National): "Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?" Note that between 1993 and 1995. the age category was 1-3 years (rather than 0-3 years).
Literal question	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Do not share household with	126737		82.1%
1	Share household with	12255	7.9%	
99	No response	15288	9.9%	
Sysmiss		11560		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

childha46: Number and age of children in household: 4-6 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=154280 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]

childha46: Number and age of children in household: 4-6 years

Definition	The question wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "Do you have children in the following ages in your household?"; 1996 (National): "How many of these persons are children in the following ages?"; 1997 (National): "Do you have children living in your household? If yes, what are their ages?"; 1998-1999 (National): "If there are children/youths in your household, what are their ages?"; 2000-2001 (National): "If there are children belonging to your household, what are their ages?"; 2002 (National): "If you have children in your household, how many are they and what are their ages?"; 2003- (National): "Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?"		
Literal question	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?		
Notes	1993- (National)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Do not share household with	127965	82.9%
1	Share household with	11027	7.1%
99	No response	15288	9.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

childha715: Number and age of children in household: 7-15 years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=154280 /-] [Invalid=11560 /-]
Definition	The question wording has changed. 1993-1995 (National): "Do you have children in the following ages in your household?"; 1996 (National): "How many of these persons are children in the following ages?"; 1997 (National): "Do you have children living in your household? If yes, what are their ages?"; 1998-1999 (National): "If there are children/youths in your household, what are their ages?"; 2000-2001 (National): "If there are children belonging to your household, what are their ages?"; 2002 (National): "If you have children in your household, how many are they and what are their ages?"; 2003- (National): "Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?" Note that between 1993 and 1995. the age category was 7-16 years (rather than 7-15 years).
Literal question	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?
Notes	1993- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Do not share household with	111284	72.1%
1	Share household with	27708	18.0%
99	No response	15288	9.9%
Sysmiss		11560	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

childhao16: Number and age of children in household: 16 years or older

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=127552 /-] [Invalid=38288 /-]
Definition	Early variants of this question (prior to 2003) has varied too much to be comparable.
Literal question	Please describe your household. If your household includes children on a regular basis, how many and how old are they?
Notes	2003- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Do not share household with	96304	75.5%
1	Share household with	17380	13.6%
99	No response	13868	10.9%
Sysmiss		38288	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mstatus: Marital status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164169 /-] [Invalid=1671 /-]
Definition	The question wording has varied over time; 1986-1992: Are you single or cohabitated/married? 1993-2004: Are you single, cohabitated ormarried? 2005-2018: What is your marital status? 2019-: Are you?
Literal question	Are you?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Single	41783		25.5%
2	In a relationship	2188		1.3%
3	Cohabitation	29874		18.2%
4	Married/partnership	80632		49.1%
5	Widow/widower	5174		3.2%
96	Question not asked	0		
97	No response - part of the question	0		
98	Several responses given	209		0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	4309		2.6%
Sysmiss		1671		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mstatus2: Marital status 2-cat

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9889 /-] [Invalid=155951 /-]
Literal question	Are you single or cohabitated/married?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Single	2960		29.9%
2	Cohabitation/Married	6642		67.2%
96	Question not asked	0		
97	No response - part of the question	0		
98	Several responses given	0		
99	No response - entire question battery	287		2.9%
Sysmiss		155951		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mstatus3: Marital status 3-cat

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30403 /-] [Invalid=135437 /-]
Literal question	Are you single, cohabitated ormarried?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Single	9247		30.4%
2	Cohabitation	5598		18.4%
3	Married	14753		48.5%
96	Question not asked	0		
97	No response - part of the question	0		
98	Several responses given	14		0.0%

mstatus3: Marital status 3-cat

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response - entire question battery	791	2.6%
Sysmiss		135437	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mstatus4: Marital status 4-cat

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=91259 /-] [Invalid=74581 /-]
Literal question	What is your marital status?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Single	22408	24.6%
2	Cohabitation	18292	20.0%
3	Married/partnership	44262	48.5%
4	Widow/widower	3914	4.3%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	146	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	2237	2.5%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		74581	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mstatus5: Marital status 5-cat

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/96]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=32618 /-] [Invalid=133222 /-]
Literal question	Are you?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Single	7168	22.0%
2	In a relationship	2188	6.7%
3	Cohabitation	5984	18.3%
4	Married/partnership	14975	45.9%
5	Widow/widower	1260	3.9%
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	49	0.2%
99	No response - entire question battery	994	3.0%
96	Question not asked	0	
Sysmiss		133222	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex: Gender (response, supplemented with data from the population register)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165718 /-] [Invalid=122 /-]
Definition	National: In the cases where there are no responses, population register data is used to supplement the responses. In 1986 and 1987 only register data was used (there was no question in the questionnaire). The question wording has changed. 1988-2002 (National): "Are you a man or a woman?". 2003- (National): "Are you a woman or a man?" West: Frågan är kompletterad med registerdata där svar saknas.

sex: Gender (response, supplemented with data from the population register)

	The question wording has changed. 1988-2002 (West): "Are you a man or a woman?". 2003- (West): "Are you a woman or a man?"
Literal question	Are you a woman or a man?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Woman	86089	51.9%
2	Man	79400	47.9%
3		229	0.1%
99	No response	122	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

yearofbirth: Year of birth (response, supplemented with data from the population register)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1911-1997] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165716 /-] [Invalid=124 /-] [Mean=1960.011 /-] [StdDev=19.242 /-]
Definition	Subjective age. In the cases where there are no responses, population register data is used to supplement the responses.
Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response	124	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

yearofbirthreg: Year of birth (data from the population register)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1911-1997] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=122010 /-] [Invalid=43830 /-] [Mean=1957.565 /-] [StdDev=18.872 /-]
Definition	Population register data.
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response	389	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: Age (based on yearofbirth, supplemented with data from the population register)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-85] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165717 /-] [Invalid=123 /-] [Mean=50.128 /-] [StdDev=39.146 /-]
Definition	The respondent state year of birth (yearofbirth) which is the recoded to age. In the cases where there are no responses, population register data is used to supplement the responses.
Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response	123	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

agereg: Age (data from the population register)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-85] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=122010 /-] [Invalid=43830 /-] [Mean=49.097 /-] [StdDev=18.241 /-]
Definition	Population register data.
Notes	1986- (National)

agereg: Age (data from the population register)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	No response	416	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age1675: Age (only those between 16 and 75)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 16-75] [Missing=*/95/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=151572 /-] [Invalid=14268 /-] [Mean=47.719 /-] [StdDev=39.827 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth. Excludes respondents who are younger than 16 and older than 75 (which corresponds to the lowest common denominator in terms of age sample frame: 1986-1991: 15-75 years; 1992-1997: 15-80 years; 1998: 16-80 years; 1999: 15-80 years; 2000-2008: 15-85 years; 2009-: 16-85 years).
Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		2003	1.3%
17		2072	1.4%
18		2138	1.4%
19		1898	1.3%
20		1896	1.3%
21		1780	1.2%
22		1817	1.2%
23		1878	1.2%
24		1871	1.2%
25		1910	1.3%
26		2034	1.3%
27		2123	1.4%
28		2189	1.4%
29		2222	1.5%
30		2179	1.4%
31		2242	1.5%
32		2314	1.5%
33		2320	1.5%
34		2426	1.6%
35		2393	1.6%
36		2378	1.6%
37		2424	1.6%
38		2417	1.6%
39		2438	1.6%
40		2519	1.7%
41		2473	1.6%
42		2506	1.7%
43		2677	1.8%
44		2710	1.8%
45		2697	1.8%
46		2740	1.8%
47		2702	1.8%
48		2840	1.9%

age1675: Age (only those between 16 and 75)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49		2904	1.9%
50		2783	1.8%
51		2881	1.9%
52		2826	1.9%
53		2873	1.9%
54		2835	1.9%
55		2915	1.9%
56		2876	1.9%
57		2887	1.9%
58		2877	1.9%
59		2829	1.9%
60		2887	1.9%
61		2862	1.9%
62		2920	1.9%
63		2913	1.9%
64		2991	2.0%
65		2967	2.0%
66		3005	2.0%
67		2865	1.9%
68		2900	1.9%
69		2864	1.9%
70		2813	1.9%
71		2778	1.8%
72		2707	1.8%
73		2562	1.7%
74		2505	1.7%
75		2319	1.5%
9999		2	0.0%
95	Younger/older than 16-75	14145	
99	No response/not available	118	
Sysmiss		5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age1680: Age (only those between 16 and 80)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 16-80] [Missing=*/95/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=160203 /-] [Invalid=5637 /-] [Mean=49.339 /-] [StdDev=39.331 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth. Excludes respondents who are younger than 16 and older than 80.
Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		2003	1.3%
17		2072	1.3%
18		2138	1.3%
19		1898	1.2%

age1680: Age (only those between 16 and 80)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		1896	1.2%
21		1780	1.1%
22		1817	1.1%
23		1878	1.2%
24		1871	1.2%
25		1910	1.2%
26		2034	1.3%
27		2123	1.3%
28		2189	1.4%
29		2222	1.4%
30		2179	1.4%
31		2242	1.4%
32		2314	1.4%
33		2320	1.4%
34		2426	1.5%
35		2393	1.5%
36		2378	1.5%
37		2424	1.5%
38		2417	1.5%
39		2438	1.5%
40		2519	1.6%
41		2473	1.5%
42		2506	1.6%
43		2677	1.7%
44		2710	1.7%
45		2697	1.7%
46		2740	1.7%
47		2702	1.7%
48		2840	1.8%
49		2904	1.8%
50		2783	1.7%
51		2881	1.8%
52		2826	1.8%
53		2873	1.8%
54		2835	1.8%
55		2915	1.8%
56		2876	1.8%
57		2887	1.8%
58		2877	1.8%
59		2829	1.8%
60		2887	1.8%
61		2862	1.8%
62		2920	1.8%
63		2913	1.8%
64		2991	1.9%

age1680: Age (only those between 16 and 80)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65		2967	1.9%
66		3005	1.9%
67		2865	1.8%
68		2900	1.8%
69		2864	1.8%
70		2813	1.8%
71		2778	1.7%
72		2707	1.7%
73		2562	1.6%
74		2505	1.6%
75		2319	1.4%
76		2064	1.3%
77		1880	1.2%
78		1778	1.1%
79		1526	1.0%
80		1383	0.9%
9999		2	0.0%
95	Younger/older than 16-80	5514	
99	No response/not available	118	
Sysmiss		5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age4a: Age category: (4 point scale)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164923 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth.
Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	16-29 years old	27831	16.9%
2	30-49 years old	50299	30.5%
3	50-64 years old	43155	26.2%
4	65--	43638	26.5%
99	No response	917	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age4b: Age category: (4 point scale)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164923 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth.
Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	16-29 years old	27831	16.9%
2	30-49 years old	50299	30.5%

age4b: Age category: (4 point scale)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	50-75 years old	73440	44.5%
4	76--	13353	8.1%
99	No response	917	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age8a: Age category: (8 point scale, ten year intervals)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164923 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth.
Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	16-19 years old	8111	4.9%
2	20-29 years old	19720	12.0%
3	30-39 years old	23531	14.3%
4	40-49 years old	26768	16.2%
5	50-59 years old	28582	17.3%
6	60-69 years old	29174	17.7%
7	70--	22932	13.9%
8	80--	6105	3.7%
99	No response	917	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age8b: Age category: (8 point scale)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164923 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth.
Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	16-19 years old	8111	4.9%
2	20-24 years old	9242	5.6%
3	25-29 years old	10478	6.4%
4	30-39 years old	23531	14.3%
5	40-49 years old	26768	16.2%
6	50-59 years old	28582	17.3%
7	60-75 years old	44858	27.2%
8	76---	13353	8.1%
99	No response	917	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age9: Age category: (9 point scale)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=164923 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on yearofbirth.

age9: Age category: (9 point scale)

Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	16-19 years old	8111	4.9%
2	20-24 years old	9242	5.6%
3	25-29 years old	10478	6.4%
4	30-39 years old	23531	14.3%
5	40-49 years old	26768	16.2%
6	50-59 years old	28582	17.3%
7	60-65 years old	17540	10.6%
8	66-75 years old	27318	16.6%
9	76--	13353	8.1%
99	No response	917	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

gen10t: Generation: 10-year cohorts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-2000] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=165468 /-] [Invalid=372 /-]
Definition	Constructed variable based on yearofbirthreg.
Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1708	1.0%
10	1910-1919	1529	0.9%
20	1920-1929	6662	4.0%
30	1930-1939	15464	9.3%
40	1940-1949	31253	18.9%
50	1950-1959	29255	17.7%
60	1960-1969	28214	17.1%
70	1970-1979	22902	13.8%
80	1980-1989	17280	10.4%
90	1990-1999	10533	6.4%
1930		1	0.0%
1940		1	0.0%
1950		2	0.0%
1960		9	0.0%
1980		2	0.0%
2000	2000-	651	0.4%
9999		2	0.0%
99	Uppgift saknas	0	
Sysmiss		372	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

genzukin: Cohort grouping (after Zukin et al 2006)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=161260 /-] [Invalid=4580 /-]

genzukin: Cohort grouping (after Zukin et al 2006)

Definition	Constructed variable based on yearofbirthreg. Divided into four categories in line with Zukin et al (2006) - Ketter, S., Zukin, C., Andolina, M., and Jenkins, K. (2002) "The Civic and Political Health of a Nation: A Generational Portrait." CIRCLE and The Pew Charitable Trusts. Respondents who are born before 1926 are excluded.
Literal question	What year were you born?
Notes	1986- (National); 1992- (West)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1926-1945	37075	23.0%
2	1946-1964	56869	35.3%
3	1965-1976	31051	19.3%
4	1977-1995	30841	19.1%
5	1996-	5422	3.4%
9999		2	0.0%
Sysmiss		4580	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cc11aw: Like/dislike: Märta Stenevi

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= -5-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11423 /-] [Invalid=154417 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
-5	Strongly dislike (-5)	246	2.2%
-4	(-4)	72	0.6%
-3	(-3)	61	0.5%
-2	(-2)	53	0.5%
-1	(-1)	50	0.4%
0	Neither like nor dislike (0)	398	3.5%
1	(+1)	53	0.5%
2	(+2)	53	0.5%
3	(+3)	46	0.4%
4	(+4)	31	0.3%
5	Strongly like (+5)	11	0.1%
9	I am not familiar with the person	335	2.9%
96	Question not asked	9805	85.8%
97	No response - part of the question	62	0.5%
98	Several responses given	10	0.1%
99	No response - entire question battery	137	1.2%
Sysmiss		154417	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab200f: To what extent involved in corruption: Aid to developing countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=165840 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	0	
2	(2)	0	
3	(3)	0	
4	(4)	0	

ab200f: To what extent involved in corruption: Aid to developing countries

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	(5)	0	
6	(6)	0	
7	To a very large extent (7)	0	
8	No opinion	0	
96	Question not asked	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		165840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ab200g: To what extent involved in corruption: The arms industry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=165840 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not at all (1)	0	
2	(2)	0	
3	(3)	0	
4	(4)	0	
5	(5)	0	
6	(6)	0	
7	To a very large extent (7)	0	
8	No opinion	0	
96	Question not asked	0	
97	No response - part of the question	0	
98	Several responses given	0	
99	No response - entire question battery	0	
Sysmiss		165840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ce900c

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=165840 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good proposal	0	
2	Rather good proposal	0	
3	Neither good nor bad proposal	0	
4	Rather bad proposal	0	
5	Very bad proposal	0	
Sysmiss		165840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SOM INSTITUTE 2022

SOCIETY OPINION MEDIA

The SOM-institute at Gothenburg University conducts yearly national and local surveys and organizes seminars on the theme Society, Opinion and Media

SOM-institute | Seminariegatan 1B | Box 710, 405 30 Gothenburg
031 786 3300 | info@som.gu.se | www.gu.se/som-institutet