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# VALU 2010 - SVT exit poll survey parliamentary election 2010(VALU10) 

VALU 2010-SVT:s vallokalsundersökning riksdagsvalet 2010

| Overview | SVT exit poll surveys |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type | SND0885-001 |
| Identification | Production Date: 2014-09-05 <br> 2.0 |
| Version | In Valu - Swedish exit poll surveys - voters leaving polling stations are asked by public <br> service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) to fill in a questionnaire and put it <br> anonymously in a sealed box. After collecting and processing the responses, Sveriges <br> Television is able to present an election forecast and an analysis of the reasons underlying <br> the outcome of the election in it's Election Night broadcast. The surveys are referred to as <br> VALU, an abbreviation for vallokalsundersökning, Swedish for exit poll survey. VALU has <br> been carried out by the public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) in connection <br> with all national elections since 1991; parliamentary elections, referendums, and elections <br> to the European Parliament. The first exit poll survey in Sweden was carried out by SVT in <br> collaboration with Stockholm University and University of Gothenburg. Since 1994, SVT <br> has carried out the studies in collaboration with University of Gothenburg and the Royal <br> Institute of Technology in Stockholm (KTH). SVT's main aim with VALU is to obtain an <br> analytical basis for SVT's election night broadcast and for SVT's and other professional <br> analysts' post-election analyses. Another aim is to be able to forecast the result of the election <br> at an early stage during election night. VALU is carried out in geographical regions centred <br> around the largest university cities. A university lecturer is appointed in each of the regions <br> as regional survey leader. Together, these survey leaders are responsible for the field workers <br> who carry out the survey where voting takes place before election day and outside polling <br> stations during election day. Survey leaders and field workers are recruited from departments <br> of statistics at the respective university. Thus, all survey leaders and field workers are familiar <br> with statistical methods. |
| ner |  |


#### Abstract

This exit poll survey was carried out in connection with the parliamentary election on September 19, 2010. In VALU - Swedish exit poll surveys - voters leaving polling stations are asked by public service broadcaster Sveriges Television (SVT) to fill in a questionnaire and put it anonymously in a sealed box. After collecting and processing the responses, Sveriges Television is able to present an election forecast and an analysis of the reasons underlying the outcome of the election in it's Election Night broadcast. The surveys are referred to as VALU, an abbreviation for vallokalsundersökning, Swedish for exit poll survey. The first exit poll survey in Sweden was carried out at the parliamentary election in 1991. Since then similar studies have been carried out in connection with all Parliamentary elections, referendums and elections to the European Parliament. Voters leaving the polling stations were asked to fill in a questionnaire including questions on: party voted for in the general and local elections; time for decision how to vote; own political opinion in agreement with the opinion of political party voted for; preferred formation of the government after the election; party voted for in the general election 2006; opinion on the Swedish EU membership; placement on a political left-right scale; confidence in Swedish politicians; gender, age, occupational group, union membership and church attendance. The respondents also had to indicate how important a number of items were for the decision how to vote, as well as the importance of the party leader.


| Kind of Data | Voting data: Exit polls |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unit of Analysis | Individual |

## Scope \& Coverage

| Keywords | trust, local government elections, trade union membership, party identification, political <br> leaders, political participation, political party, government, religious attendance, parliamentary <br> elections, voting, elections, election data, electoral issues, exit polls, voting behaviour |
| :--- | :--- |
| Topics | mass political behaviour, attitudes/opinion, elections |
| Time Period(s) | 2010 |
| Countries | Sweden |
| Universe <br> Swedish electorate |  |


| Producers \& Sponsors |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Primary <br> Investigator(s) | Näsman, Per, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Division of Safety Research <br> Holmberg, Sören, University of Gothenburg, Department of Political Science <br> Wännström, Kent, Sveriges Television |
| Other Producer(s) | Sveriges Television |

## Sampling

## Sampling Procedure

In VALU a selection is made of a number of electoral districts and in this way their respective polling station. The number of electoral districts to be selected for VALU is allocated among the different regions according to the size of the electorate. Within each region, the electoral districts are then selected to be included in VALU. This selection is based on the size and geographical location of the electoral district.

## Data Collection

| Data Collection <br> Dates | start 2010-09-06 <br> end 2010-09-19 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time Period(s) |  |
| Data Collection <br> Mode | Fixed form self-administred questionnaire: paper |

## Data Collection Notes

Andelen som vägrade fylla i enkäten vid de förtida röstningslokalerna var cirka $30 \%$. Siffran baserar sig helt på bedömningar av fältombuden då ingen kontroll av antalet vägrare eller kartläggning av deras bakgrundsvariabler eller motiv för vägran gjordes. Spontant uppgav huvuddelen av vägrarna tidsbriust som motivet för vägran. Andelen vägrare under valdagen bedöms av fältombuden som genomgående lägre än vid de förtida röstningsställena.

| Accessibility |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Distributor(s) | Swedish National Data Service |

## File Description(s)

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

| VALU 2010 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cases | 11889 |
| Variable(s) | 60 |

## Variable Group(s)

## Dataset contains 2 group(s)

| Studieinfo |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\#$ | Name | Label | Question |  |
| 1 | SND_studie | SND study 0885 | - |  |
| 2 | SND_dataset | SND dataset $0885-001$ | - |  |
| 3 | SND_version | SND version 2.0 | - |  |
| 4 | ort | Central location | - |  |
| 5 | typ | Vote in advance or vote at poll station | - |  |


| Vallokalundersökningen |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Name | Label | Question |
| 1 | f1 | Q. 1 Party voted for in the 2010 parliamentary election | What party did you vote for today in the parliamentary election? |
| 2 | f2 | Q. 2 Party voted for in the 2010 local government election | What party did you vote for today in the local government election? |
| 3 | f3 | Q. 3 Marked a candidate on the ballot in the parliament election | Did you use the possibility to vote for a person by marking a candidate on your ballot in the parliament election? |
| 4 | f4 | Q. 4 Marked a candidate on the ballot in the local government election | Did you use the possibility to vote for a person by marking a candidate on your ballot in the local government election? |
| 5 | f5 | Q. 5 Time for party choice | When did you decide how to vote in the parliamentary election this year? |
| 6 | f6 | Q. 6 Party voted for in the 2006 Parliamentary election | What party did you vote for in the last parliamentary election in 2006? |
| 7 | f7 | Q. 7 Trust in Swedish politicians | Generally speaking, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians? |
| 8 | f81 | Q.8_1 Parties forming the government: Moderate Party | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Moderate Party |
| 9 | 882 | Q.8_2 Parties forming the government: Centre Party | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Centre Party |
| 10 | f83 | Q.8_3 Parties forming the government: Liberal Party | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Liberal Party |
| 11 | f84 | Q.8_4 Parties forming the government: Christian Democrats | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Christian Democrats |
| 12 | f85 | Q.8_5 Parties forming the government: Social Democrats | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Social Democrats |
| 13 | ${ }^{\text {f86 }}$ | Q.8_6 Parties forming the government: Left Party | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Left Party |
| 14 | f87 | Q.8_7 Parties forming the government: Green Party | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Green Party |
| 15 | 888 | Q.8_8 Parties forming the government: Sweden Democrats | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Sweden Democrats |
| 16 | 889 | Q.8_9 Parties forming the government: No opinion | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - No opinion |
| 17 | f9 | Q. 9 Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale | Sometimes people talk of political opinions in terms of leftright. Where would you place yourself? |


| \# | Name | Label | Question |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | f10 | Q.10 Gender | Q.11 Year of birth | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Qre you a woman or a man? }\end{array}\right]$| What year were you born in? |
| :--- |


| \# | Name | Label | Question |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | f34 | Q.34 Important issue for choice of party: <br> Energy and nuclear power | How important were the following issues for your choice <br> of party today in the parliamentary election? - Energy and <br> nuclear power |
| 43 | f35 | Q.35 Important issue for choice of party: <br> Elderly care | How important were the following issues for your choice of <br> party today in the parliamentary election? - Elderly care |
| 44 | f36 | Q.36 Important issue for choice of party: <br> Child care | How important were the following issues for your choice of <br> party today in the parliamentary election? - Child care |
| 45 | f37 | Q.37 Important issue for choice of party: <br> Social welfare | How important were the following issues for your choice of <br> party today in the parliamentary election? - Social welfare |
| 46 | f38 | Q.38 Important issue for choice of party: <br> Pensions | How important were the following issues for your choice of <br> party today in the parliamentary election? - Pensions |
| 47 | f39 | Q.39 Important issue for choice of party: <br> The issue on Swedish troops in Afghanistan | How important were the following issues for your choice <br> of party today in the parliamentary election? - The issue on <br> Swedish troops in Afghanistan |
| 48 | f40 | Q.40 Political bloc with best politics: <br> Swedish economy | Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on the <br> Swedish economy? |
| 49 | f41 | Q.41 Political bloc with best politics: <br> Employment | Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on <br> employment? |
| 50 | f42 | Q.42 Political bloc with best politics: | Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on <br> environment? |
| 53 | f45 | f43 | f46 |

## Variables Description

Dataset contains 60 variable(s)

## File : VALU 2010



| \# SND_dataset: SND dataset 0885-001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SND-dataset 0885-001: VALU 2010 - SVT:s vallokalundersökning riksdagsvalet 2010 |  |  |  |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 1 | SND 0885-001 |  | 11889 |  | 100.0\% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-1] [Missing: *] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | $\text { [Valid: } 11889 \text { /-] [Invalid: } 0 / \text { /-] }$ |  |  |  |


| \# SND_version: SND version 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SND version 1.1, juli 2014 |  |  |  |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 2 | SND 2.0 |  | 11889 |  | 100.0\% |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 2-2] [Missing: *] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11889 /-] [Invalid: 0/-] |  |  |  |


| \# ort: Central location |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Central location |  |  |  |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 1 | Stockholm |  | 4146 |  | 34.9\% |
| 2 | Lund |  | 2380 | 20.0\% |  |
| 3 | Göteborg |  | 3060 |  |  |
| 4 | Sundsvall |  | 2303 | 19.4\% |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-4] [Missing: *] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11889 /-] [Invalid: $0 /$ /] |  |  |  |


| \# typ: Vote in advance or vote at poll station |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vote in advance or vote at poll station |  |  |  |  |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 1 | Vote in advance | 3986 | 33.5\% |  |
| 2 | Vote at poll station | 7903 |  | 66.5\% |

## File : VALU 2010

| \# typ: Vote in advance or vote at poll station <br> Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-2] [Missing: *] |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid: $11889 /-]$ [Invalid: $0 /-]$ |

## \# f1: Q. 1 Party voted for in the $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ parliamentary election



| \# f2: Q. 2 Party voted for in the 2010 local government election |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | What party did you vote for today in the local government election? |  |  |  |
| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 | Moderate Party | 2634 |  | 23.4\% |  |
| 2 | Centre Party | 915 | 8.1\% |  |  |
| 3 | Liberal Party | 1091 | 9.7\% |  |  |
| 4 | Christian Democrats | 457 | 4.1\% |  |  |
| 5 | Social Democrats | 3349 |  |  | 29.7\% |
| 6 | Left Party | 802 | 7.1\% |  |  |
| 7 | Green Party | 1097 | 9.7\% |  |  |
| 81 | Sweden Democrats | 406 | 3.6\% |  |  |
| 82 | Feminist Initiative | 69 | 0.6\% |  |  |
| 83 | Pirate Party | 42 | 0.4\% |  |  |
| 84 | Other party | 274 | 2.4\% |  |  |
| 85 | Blank | 89 | 0.8\% |  |  |

## File : VALU 2010

## \# f2: Q. 2 Party voted for in the 2010 local government election

| Value | Label |  | Cases |  | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86 | Did not vote |  | 45 | 0.4\% |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 619 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-86] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11270 /-] [Invalid: 619 /-] |  |  |  |


\# f4: Q. 4 Marked a candidate on the ballot in the local government election

| Literal question |  | Did you use the possibility to vote for a person by marking a candidate on your ballot in the local government election? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 1 | Yes |  | 4402 | 38.8\% |  |
| 2 | No |  | 6951 |  | 61.2\% |
| 999 | NA |  | 536 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11353 /-] [Invalid: 536/-] |  |  |  |



## File : VALU 2010



| \# f7: Q. 7 Trust in Swedish politicians |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question | Generally speaking, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians? |  |  |
| Value Label | Cases | Percentage |  |
| Very high | 1249 | 10.7\% |  |
| 2 Quite high | 6948 |  | 59.5\% |
| 3 Quite low | 2828 | 24.2\% |  |
| 4 Very low | 649 | 5.6\% |  |
| 999 NA | 215 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |
| Information | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-4] [Missing: */999] |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | $\text { [Valid: } 11674 \text { /-] [Invalid: } 215 /- \text { ] }$ |  |  |

\# f81: Q.8_1 Parties forming the government: Moderate Party

| Literal question |  | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Moderate Party |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 0 | Not marked |  | 6302 |  |  | 53.6\% |
| 1 | Moderate Party |  | 5461 |  | 46.4 |  |
| Sysmiss |  |  | 126 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0-1] [Missing: *] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: $126 /-]$ |  |  |  |  |

## File : VALU 2010

| Literal question |  | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Centre Party |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value L | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 0 N | Not marked |  | 8151 |  | 69.3\% |
| 1 C | Centre Party |  | 3612 | 30.7\% |  |
| Sysmiss |  |  | 126 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0-1] [Missing: *] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-] |  |  |  |


| \# f83: Q.8_3 Parties forming the government: Liberal Party |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Liberal Party |  |  |  |
| Value L | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 0 N | Not marked |  | 7560 |  | 64.3\% |
| 1 Li | Liberal Party |  | 4203 | 35.7\% |  |
| Sysmiss |  |  | 126 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpeted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0-1] [Missing: *] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: $126 /$ /] |  |  |  |


| \# f84: Q.8_4 Parties forming the government: Christian Democrats |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Christian Democrats |  |  |  |
| Value La | Label |  | Cases |  |  |
| 0 No | Not marked |  | 8873 |  | 75.4\% |
| $1 \quad \mathrm{Ch}$ | Christian Democrats |  | 2890 | 24.6\% |  |
| Sysmiss |  |  | 126 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0-1] [Missing: *] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: $126 /-]$ |  |  |  |


| \# f85: Q.8_5 Parties forming the government: Social Democrats |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Social Democrats |  |  |  |
| Value L | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 0 N | Not marked |  | 6925 |  | 58.9\% |
| 1 So | Social Democrats |  | 4838 | 41.1\% |  |
| Sysmiss |  |  | 126 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0-1] [Missing: *] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: $126 /-]$ |  |  |  |

## File : VALU 2010

| Literal question |  | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Left Party |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value L | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 0 N | Not marked |  | 9022 |  | 76.7\% |
| 1 L | Left Party |  | 2741 | 23.3\% |  |
| Sysmiss |  |  | 126 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0-1] [Missing: *] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: 126 /-] |  |  |  |

\# f87: Q.8_7 Parties forming the government: Green Party

| Literal question |  | Which party or parties would you prefer to form the government after the election today? - Green Party |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 0 | Not marked |  | 7513 |  | 63.9\% |
| 1 | Green Party |  | 4250 | 36.1\% |  |
| Sysmiss |  |  | 126 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0-1] [Missing: *] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11763 /-] [Invalid: $126 /-]$ |  |  |  |




## File : VALU 2010

## \# f9: Q. 9 Subjective placement on ideological left-right scale

| Literal |  | Sometimes people talk of political opinions in terms of left-right. Where would you place yourself? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 1 | Clearly to the left |  | 2147 | 18.8\% |  |
| 2 | Somewhat to the left |  | 2227 | 19.5\% |  |
| 3 | Neither left nor right |  | 2246 | 19.6\% |  |
| 4 | Somewhat to the right |  | 2922 | 25.6\% |  |
| 5 | Clearly to the right |  | 1894 | 16.6\% |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 453 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11436 /-] [Invalid: 453 /-] |  |  |  |


| \# f10: Q. 10 Gender |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question | Are you a woman or a man? |  |  |  |
| Value Label | Label | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 1 Woman | Woman | 5847 |  | 51.4\% |
| 2 Man | Man | 5534 |  | 48.6\% |
| 999 NA | NA | 508 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |
| Information | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid: $11381 /-]$ [Invalid: $508 /$-] |  |  |  |


| Literal question |  | What year were you born in? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |
| 1911 | 1911 |  | 4 | 0.0\% |
| 1914 | 1914 |  | 2 | 0.0\% |
| 1915 | 1915 |  | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 1917 | 1917 |  | 1 | 0.0\% |
| 1918 | 1918 |  | 6 | 0.1\% |
| 1919 | 1919 |  | 9 | 0.1\% |
| 1920 | 1920 |  | 5 | 0.0\% |
| 1921 | 1921 |  | 13 | 0.1\% |
| 1922 | 1922 |  | 10 | 0.1\% |
| 1923 | 1923 |  | 8 | 0.1\% |
| 1924 | 1924 |  | 22 | 0.2\% |
| 1925 | 1925 |  | 23 | 0.2\% |
| 1926 | 1926 |  | 23 | 0.2\% |
| 1927 | 1927 |  | 20 | 0.2\% |
| 1928 | 1928 |  | 26 | 0.2\% |
| 1929 | 1929 |  | 29 | 0.2\% |
| 1930 | 1930 |  | 38 | 0.3\% |

## File : VALU 2010

## \# f11: Q. 11 Year of birth

| Value | Label | Cases | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1931 | 1931 | 41 | 0.4\% |
| 1932 | 1932 | 46 | 0.4\% |
| 1933 | 1933 | 54 | 0.5\% |
| 1934 | 1934 | 45 | 0.4\% |
| 1935 | 1935 | 51 | 0.4\% |
| 1936 | 1936 | 72 | 0.6\% |
| 1937 | 1937 | 80 | 0.7\% |
| 1938 | 1938 | 107 | 0.9\% |
| 1939 | 1939 | 88 | 0.8\% |
| 1940 | 1940 | 105 | 0.9\% |
| 1941 | 1941 | 127 | 1.1\% |
| 1942 | 1942 | 174 | 1.5\% |
| 1943 | 1943 | 151 | 1.3\% |
| 1944 | 1944 | 176 | 1.5\% |
| 1945 | 1945 | 205 | 1.8\% |
| 1946 | 1946 | 180 | 1.5\% |
| 1947 | 1947 | 197 | 1.7\% |
| 1948 | 1948 | 204 | 1.8\% |
| 1949 | 1949 | 199 | 1.7\% |
| 1950 | 1950 | 213 | 1.8\% |
| 1951 | 1951 | 167 | 1.4\% |
| 1952 | 1952 | 169 | 1.5\% |
| 1953 | 1953 | 187 | 1.6\% |
| 1954 | 1954 | 167 | 1.4\% |
| 1955 | 1955 | 191 | 1.6\% |
| 1956 | 1956 | 199 | 1.7\% |
| 1957 | 1957 | 205 | 1.8\% |
| 1958 | 1958 | 209 | 1.8\% |
| 1959 | 1959 | 173 | 1.5\% |
| 1960 | 1960 | 188 | 1.6\% |
| 1961 | 1961 | 198 | 1.7\% |
| 1962 | 1962 | 206 | 1.8\% |
| 1963 | 1963 | 197 | 1.7\% |
| 1964 | 1964 | 232 | 2.0\% |
| 1965 | 1965 | 197 | 1.7\% |
| 1966 | 1966 | 227 | 1.9\% |
| 1967 | 1967 | 230 | 2.0\% |
| 1968 | 1968 | 216 | 1.9\% |
| 1969 | 1969 | 196 | 1.7\% |
| 1970 | 1970 | 205 | 1.8\% |
| 1971 | 1971 | 215 | 1.8\% |
| 1972 | 1972 | 229 | 2.0\% |
| 1973 | 1973 | 235 | 2.0\% |

## File : VALU 2010

| \# f11: Q. 11 Year of birth |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 1974 | 1974 |  | 213 |  | 1.8\% |
| 1975 | 1975 |  | 220 |  | 1.9\% |
| 1976 | 1976 |  | 217 |  | 1.9\% |
| 1977 | 1977 |  | 209 |  | 1.8\% |
| 1978 | 1978 |  | 203 |  | 1.7\% |
| 1979 | 1979 |  | 235 |  | 2.0\% |
| 1980 | $1980$ |  | 228 |  | 2.0\% |
| 1981 | 1981 |  | 253 |  | 2.2\% |
| 1982 | 1982 |  | 200 |  | .7\% |
| 1983 | 1983 |  | 228 |  | 2.0\% |
| 1984 | 1984 |  | 255 |  | 2.2\% |
| 1985 | 1985 |  | 225 |  | 1.9\% |
| 1986 | 1986 |  | 228 |  | 2.0\% |
| 1987 | 1987 |  | 239 |  | 2.1\% |
| 1988 | 1988 |  | 247 |  | 2.1\% |
| 1989 | 1989 |  | 247 |  | 2.1\% |
| 1990 | 1990 |  | 220 |  | 1.9\% |
| 1991 | 1991 |  | 220 |  | 1.9\% |
| 1992 | $1992$ |  | 171 | 1.5\% |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 238 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1911-1992] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  |  |  |  |  |



| \# f13: Q. 13 Area/country of upbringing: Your father |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Literal question | Where did you, your father and your mother for the most part live as a child? - Father |

## File : VALU 2010





| File : VALU 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# f16: Q. 16 Labour market situation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literal question |  | Which of these groups do you belong to? |  |  |  |
| Value | Label |  | Cases |  |  |
| 1 | Gainfully employed (including on sick leave, parental leave) |  | 6376 |  | 56.6\% |
| 2 | Participating in labour market policy measures |  | 1019 | 9.0\% |  |
| 3 | Unemployed |  | 477 | 4.2\% |  |
| 4 | Old age/early retirement contractual pensioner |  | 1771 | 15.7\% |  |
| 5 | Have sickness/activity compensation |  | 320 | 2.8\% |  |
| 6 | Homeworker |  | 67 | 0.6\% |  |
| 7 | Student |  | 1231 | 10.9\% |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 628 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-7] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: $11261 /-]$ [Invalid: 628 /-] |  |  |  |




## File : VALU 2010

## \# f18: Q. 18 Employment sector

| Information | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: $1-4]$ [Missing: */999] |
| :--- | :--- |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid: $11031 /-$ ] [Invalid: $858 /-]$ |


| \# f19: Q. 19 Union membership |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | Are you a member of a trade union? |  |  |  |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 1 | Yes, a LO union |  | 2390 | 21.7\% |  |
| 2 | Yes, a TCO union |  | 1708 | 15.5\% |  |
| 3 | Yes, a SACO union |  | 1601 | 14.5\% |  |
| 4 | No |  | $5306$ |  | 48.2\% |
| 999 | NA |  | 884 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-4] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11005 /-] [Invalid: 884 /-] |  |  |  |


| \# f20: Q. 20 Importance for choice of party: Party leader |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | What importance had the party leader for your choice of party today? |  |  |  |  |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 | Very great |  | 3079 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Fairly great |  | 3929 |  |  | 34.4\% |
| 3 | Neither great nor small |  | 2501 |  | 21.9\% |  |
| 4 | Fairly small |  | 1033 | 9.1\% |  |  |
| 5 | Very small |  | 864 | 7.6\% |  |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 483 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 11406 /-] [Invalid: 483 /-] |  |  |  |  |

\# f21: Q. 21 Important issue for choice of party: Taxes


## File : VALU 2010

## \# f22: Q. 22 Important issue for choice of party: Environment



## \# f23: Q. 23 Important issue for choice of party: Employment

| Literal |  | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Employment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 | Very great importance |  | 5596 |  |  | 52.9\% |
| 2 | Fairly great importance |  | 3764 |  | 35.6\% |  |
| 3 | Neither great nor small importance |  | 969 | 9.2\% |  |  |
| 4 | Fairly small importance |  | 147 | 1.4\% |  |  |
| 5 | Very small importance |  | 109 | 1.0\% |  |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 1304 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10585 /-] [Invalid: 1304 /-] |  |  |  |  |


| \# f24: Q. 24 Important issue for choice of party: Swedish economy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Swedish economy |  |  |  |  |
| Value L | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 V | Very great importance |  | 5576 |  |  | 52.9\% |
| 2 F | Fairly great importance |  | 3575 |  | 33.9\% |  |
| 3 N | Neither great nor small importance |  | 1121 | 10.6\% |  |  |
| 4 F | Fairly small importance |  | 172 | 1.6\% |  |  |
| 5 V | Very small importance |  | 106 | 1.0\% |  |  |
| 999 NA | NA |  | 1339 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | $\text { [Valid: } 10550 \text { /-] [Invalid: } 1339 \text { /-] }$ |  |  |  |  |


| \# $\mathbf{f 2 5}:$ Q. 25 Important issue for choice of party: Your own private economy |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Literal question | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Your own <br> private economy |

## File : VALU 2010

| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Very great importance |  | 4299 |  |  |  | 40.9\% |
| 2 | Fairly great importance |  | 3355 |  |  | 31.9\% |  |
| 3 | Neither great nor small importance |  | 1943 |  | 18.5\% |  |  |
| 4 | Fairly small importance |  | 580 | 5.5\% |  |  |  |
| 5 | Very small importance |  | 338 | 3.2\% |  |  |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 1374 |  |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10515 /-] [Invalid: 1374 /-] |  |  |  |  |  |

\# f26: Q. 26 Important issue for choice of party: Swedish foreign and security policy

| Literal question |  | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Swedish foreign and security policy |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 | Very great importance |  | 2023 |  | 19.4\% |  |
| 2 | Fairly great importance |  | 3585 |  |  | 34.4\% |
| 3 | Neither great nor small importance |  | 3373 |  |  | 32.3\% |
| 4 | Fairly small importance |  | 1015 | 9.7\% |  |  |
| 5 | Very small importance |  | 439 | 4.2\% |  |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 1454 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10435 /-] [Invalid: 1454 /-] |  |  |  |  |


| \# f27: Q. 27 Important issue for choice of party: Law and order |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Law and order |  |  |  |  |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 | Very great importance |  | 3395 |  |  | 32.4\% |
| 2 | Fairly great importance |  | 3686 |  |  | 35.2\% |
| 3 | Neither great nor small importance |  | 2406 |  | 23.0\% |  |
| 4 | Fairly small importance |  | 725 | 6.9\% |  |  |
| 5 | Very small importance |  | 271 | 2.6\% |  |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 1406 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10483 /-] [Invalid: $1406 /$-] |  |  |  |  |


| \# f28: Q. 28 Important issue for choice of party: Refugees/immigration |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Literal question | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Refugees/ <br> immigration |

## File : VALU 2010


\# f29: Q. 29 Important issue for choice of party: EU/euro

| Literal |  | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - EU/euro |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 | Very great importance |  | 1617 | 15.7\% |  |  |
| 2 | Fairly great importance |  | 2513 | 24.4\% |  |  |
| 3 | Neither great nor small importance |  | 3792 |  |  | 36.8\% |
| 4 | Fairly small importance |  | 1390 | 13.5\% |  |  |
| 5 | Very small importance |  | 997 | 9.7\% |  |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 1580 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10309 /-] [Invalid: 1580 /-] |  |  |  |  |


\# f31: Q. 31 Important issue for choice of party: Equality between women and men

| Literal question | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Equality |
| :--- | :--- | between women and men

## File : VALU 2010

| \# f31: Q. 31 Important issue for choice of party: Equality between women and men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |  |
| 1 | Very great importance |  | 3890 |  |  |  | 36.7\% |
| 2 | Fairly great importance |  | 3253 |  |  | 30.7\% |  |
| 3 | Neither great nor small importance |  | 2402 |  | 22.6\% |  |  |
| 4 | Fairly small importance |  | 634 | 6.0\% |  |  |  |
| 5 | Very small importance |  | 427 | 4.0\% |  |  |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 1283 |  |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: $10606 /-]$ [Invalid: 1283 /-] |  |  |  |  |  |

\# f32: Q. 32 Important issue for choice of party: Schools and education

| Literal |  | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Schools and education |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 | Very great importance |  | 5801 |  |  | 54.4\% |
| 2 | Fairly great importance |  | 3430 |  | $32.1 \%$ |  |
| 3 | Neither great nor small importance |  | 1101 | 10.3\% |  |  |
| 4 | Fairly small importance |  | 212 | 2.0\% |  |  |
| 5 | Very small importance |  | 127 | 1.2\% |  |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 1218 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10671 /-] [Invalid: 1218 /-] |  |  |  |  |



| \# f34: Q. 34 Important issue for choice of party: Energy and nuclear power |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Literal question | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Energy and <br> nuclear power |

## File : VALU 2010

| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Very great importance |  | 3141 |  | 30.0\% |  |
| 2 | Fairly great importance |  | 3829 |  |  | 36.6\% |
| 3 | Neither great nor small importance |  | 2621 |  | 25.0\% |  |
| 4 | Fairly small importance |  | 604 | 5.8\% |  |  |
| 5 | Very small importance |  | 278 | 2.7\% |  |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 1416 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10473 /-] [Invalid: 1416/-] |  |  |  |  |


| \# f35: Q. 35 Important issue for choice of party: Elderly care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Elderly care |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value L | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |  |
| 1 V | Very great importance |  | 4309 |  |  |  | 40.2\% |
| 2 F | Fairly great importance |  | 3517 |  |  | 32.8\% |  |
| 3 N | Neither great nor small importance |  | 2084 |  | 19.4\% |  |  |
| 4 F | Fairly small importance |  | 543 | 5.1\% |  |  |  |
| 5 V | Very small importance |  | 264 | 2.5\% |  |  |  |
| 999 N | NA |  | 1172 |  |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10717 /-] [Invalid: 1172 /-] |  |  |  |  |  |



| \# f37: Q. 37 Important issue for choice of party: Social welfare |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Literal question | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - Social <br> welfare |

## File : VALU 2010

## \# f37: Q. 37 Important issue for choice of party: Social welfare




## \# f39: Q. 39 Important issue for choice of party: The issue on Swedish troops in Afghanistan

| Literal question |  | How important were the following issues for your choice of party today in the parliamentary election? - The issue on Swedish troops in Afghanistan |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |
| 1 | Very great importance |  | 1303 | 12.5\% |  |
| 2 | Fairly great importance |  | 1873 | 18.0\% |  |
| 3 | Neither great nor small importance |  | 3633 |  | 34.9\% |
| 4 | Fairly small importance |  | 1514 | 14.5\% |  |
| 5 | Very small importance |  | 2084 | 20.0\% |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 1482 |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10407 /-] [Invalid: 1482 /-] |  |  |  |


| \# f40: Q. 40 Political bloc with best politics: Swedish economy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on the Swedish economy? |  |  |  |  |
| Value L | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 T | The red-green Left/Soc/Green |  | 3479 | $32.2 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 T | The alliance Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod |  | 6094 |  |  | 56.4\% |
| 3 N | No opinion |  | 1230 | 11.4\% |  |  |
| 999 NA | NA |  | 1086 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-3] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10803 /-] [Invalid: 1086 /-] |  |  |  |  |


| \# f41: Q. 41 Political bloc with best politics: Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question | Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on employment? |  |  |  |  |
| Value | Label | Cases |  |  |  |
| 1 | The red-green Left/Soc/Green | 4142 |  | 38.7\% |  |
| 2 | The alliance Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod | 5500 |  |  | 51.3\% |
| 3 | No opinion | 1072 | 10.0\% |  |  |


| \# f41: Q. 41 Political bloc with best politics: Employment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |
| 999 | NA |  | 1175 |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-3] [Missing: */999] |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10714/-] [Invalid: 1175 /-] |  |  |


| \# f42: Q. 42 Political bloc with best politics: Environment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question | Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on environment? |  |  |  |  |
| Value L | Label | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 Th | The red-green Left/Soc/Green | 6058 |  |  | 56.7\% |
| 2 Th | The alliance Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod | 3290 |  | 30.8\% |  |
| 3 No | No opinion | 1338 | 12.5\% |  |  |
| 999 N | NA | 1203 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpeted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-3] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] | [Valid: 10686 /-] [Invalid: 1203 /-] |  |  |  |  |


| \# f43: Q. 43 Political bloc with best politics: Health care |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Literal question |  | Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on health care? |  |  |  |  |
| Value L | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 T | The red-green Left/Soc/Green |  | 5421 |  |  | 50.5\% |
| 2 T | The alliance Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod |  | 4140 |  | 38.6\% |  |
| 3 No | No opinion |  | 1172 | 10.9\% |  |  |
| 999 N | NA |  | 1156 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-3] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: $10733 /$-] [Invalid: $1156 /-$ ] |  |  |  |  |



| \# f45: Q.45 Political bloc with best politics: Schools and education |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Literal question | Which political bloc do you think has the best politics on schools and education? |


| \# f45: Q. 45 Political bloc with best politics: Schools and education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | Label |  | Cases | Percentage |  |  |
| 1 | The red-green Left/Soc/Green |  | 4266 |  | 39.9\% |  |
| 2 | The alliance Cen/Lib/ChD/Mod |  | 5482 |  |  | 51.2\% |
| 3 | No opinion |  | 950 | 8.9\% |  |  |
| 999 | NA |  | 1191 |  |  |  |
| Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information |  | [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-3] [Missing: */999] |  |  |  |  |
| Statistics [NW/ W] |  | [Valid: 10698 /-] [Invalid: 1191 /-] |  |  |  |  |




