



Institutional Trust 2008

Holmberg, Sören Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen

Weibull, Lennart

Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation

Metadata Production

Metadata Swedish national data service Producer(s) Swedish national data service	
Production Date	April 1, 2014
Identification	SND0958-001

Table of Contents

<u>Overview</u>
Scope & Coverage
Producers & Sponsors
Sampling
Data Collection
Accessibility
File Description(s)
Förtroendebarometer 2008.
Variable Group(s)
Study information
Background variables/constructed variables
Questions in web survey
Variables Description
Förtroendebarometer 2008

Institutional Trust 2008 Institutional Trust 2008

Overview

Identification

SND0958-001

<u>Abstract</u>

Since 1997, MedieAkademin has carried out an annual survey titled The Institutional Trust. The survey has focused on major social institutions, such as the parliament, big business, the daily press, and TV/radio, as well as some specific companies such as Sveriges Television, TV4, IKEA, Skandia, and Volvo. The number of institutions included has varied somewhat over the years. Some of the institutions and companies have been measured every year while others have been investigated more irregularly. The survey was carried out by TNS Gallup and involved 1000 individuals who answered a web survey between September 26 and October 3, 2008. The survey comprised 26 institutions/companies/media companies and political parties. The 2008 survey also included questions about the media coverage of the EU and different areas of Sweden, and how the media content influences people's knowledge, opinions, life styles, moods, and feelings.

Kind of Data	Surveydata: Oberoende undersökningar
Unit of Analysis	Individ

Scope & Coverage			
Keywords	förtroende, förtroende för regeringen, politisk åsikt, massmedia		
Topics	massmedia, POLITIK		
Time Period(s)	2008		
Countries	Countries Sverige		
<u>Universe</u> Personer i åldrarna 16-74 år			

Producers & Sponsors		
PrimaryHolmberg, Sören, Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionenInvestigator(s)Weibull, Lennart, Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation		
Other Producer(s)	Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen MedieAkademin TNS Gallup	

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

Sannolikhetsurval: obundet slumpmässigt urval (OSU)

Data Collection		
Data Collection	start 2008-09-26	
Dates	end 2008-10-03	

Data Collection Mode	Självadministrerat frågeformulär: Webb-baserat	
Data Collector(s)	TNS Gallup	

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Svensk nationell datatjänst

File Description(s)

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Förtroendebarometer 2008	
Cases	1000
Variable(s)	67

Variable Group(s)

Dataset contains 3 group(s)

Study information

•	-		
#	Name	Label	Question
1	SND_studie	SND-studie 0958	-
2	SND_dataset	SND-dataset 0958-001	-
3	SND_version	SND version 1.1	-
4	respnr	SERIAL ID	-

Background variables/constructed variables

-			
Name	Label	Question	
vikt	Weight	-	
age	Age	-	
gender	Sex	-	
region	Region	-	
utbildning	Education	-	
parti3	Political parties (merged)	-	
	vikt age gender region utbildning	viktWeightageAgegenderSexregionRegionutbildningEducation	

Questions in web survey

#	Name	Label	Question
1	riksdagen	F.1AA Confidence in: The Parliament	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - the Parliament
2	eukommissionen	F.1AB Confidence in: EU commission	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - EU commission
3	politiska_partierna	F.1AC Confidence in: The political parties	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The political parties
4	universitet_hgskolor	F.1AD Confidence in: Universities	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Universities
5	storfretagen	F.1AE Confidence in: Big business	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Big business
6	radiotv	F.1AF Confidence in: Radio and television	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio and television
7	dagspressen	F.1AG Confidence in: The daily press	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The daily press
8	fackliga_organisationerna	F.1AH Confidence in: The trade unions	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The trade unions
9	svenska_kyrkan	F.1AI Confidence in: The Church of Sweden	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The Church of Sweden
10	socialdemokraterna	F.1BA Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The Swedish Social Democratic Party

#	Name	Label	Question
11	moderaterna	F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Moderate Party
12	sverigedemokraterna	F.1BC Confidence in: Sweden Democrats	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Sweden Democrats
13	sveriges_radio	F.1CA Confidence in: Radio Sweden	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio Sweden
14	svt	F.1CB Confidence in: Swedish Television	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Swedish Television
15	tv4	F.1CC Confidence in: TV4	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV4
16	tv3	F.1CD Confidence in: TV3	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV3
17	dagens_nyheter	F.1CE Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Dagens Nyheter
18	aftonbladet	F.1CF Confidence in: Aftonbladet	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Aftonbladet
19	ikea	F.1DA Confidence in: IKEA	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - IKEA
20	volvo	F.1DB Confidence in: Volvo	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Volvo
21	ericsson	F.1DC Confidence in: Ericsson	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Ericsson
22	cocacola	F.1DD Confidence in: Coca-Cola	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Coca-Cola
23	skandia	F.1DE Confidence in: Skandia	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Skandia
24	astrazeneca	F.1DF Confidence in: Astra Zeneca	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Astra Zeneca
25	sas	F.1DG Confidence in: SAS	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - SAS
26	hm	F.1DH Confidence in: H&M	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - H&M
27	sahlin	F.2A Confidence in: Mona Sahlin	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Mona Sahlin
28	reinfeldt	F.2B Confidence in: Fredrik Reinfeldt	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Fredrik Reinfeldt
29	kesson	F.2C Confidence in: Jimmie Åkesson	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Jimmie Åkesson
30	westerberg	F.2D Confidence in: Per Westerberg	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Per Westerberg
31	hamilton	F.2E Confidence in: Eva Hamilton	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Eva Hamilton, Swedish Television

#	Name	Label	Question
32	brunnberg	F.2F Confidence in: Kerstin Brunnberg	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Kerstin Brunnberg
33	helin	F.2G Confidence in: Jan Helin	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Jan Helin, Aftonbladet
34	johansson	F.2H Confidence in: Leif Johansson	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Leif Johansson
35	kamprad	F.2I Confidence in: Ingvar Kamprad	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Ingvar Kamprad
36	svanberg	F.2J Confidence in: Carl-Henrik Svanberg	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Carl-Henrik Svanberg
37	persson	F.2K Confidence in: Stefan Persson	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Stefan Persson
38	wejryd	F.2L Confidence in: Anders Wejryd	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Anders Wejryd
39	rapp_om_landsbygd	F.3A Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Swedish countryside	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on the Swedish countryside?
40	rapp_om_storstad	F.3B Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Major Swedish cities	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on major Swedish cities?
41	rapp_om_boendeort	F.3C Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Place where you live	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting about the place where you live?
42	rapp_om_eu	F.3D Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: The EU	In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on the EU?
43	media_pv_kunskaper	F.4A Opinion on the influence of mass media content: Knowledge	How much influence do you think the content of the mass media has on people in Sweden when it comes to people's? - Knowledge
44	media_pv_sikter	F.4B Opinion on the influence of mass media content: Opinions	How much influence do you think the content of the mass media has on people in Sweden when it comes to people's? - Opinions
45	media_pv_livsstilar	F.4C Opinion on the influence of mass media content: Life styles	How much influence do you think the content of the mass media has on people in Sweden when it comes to people's? - Life styles
46	media_pv_humr	F.4D Opinion on the influence of mass media content: Mood	How much influence do you think the content of the mass media has on people in Sweden when it comes to people's? - Mood
47	media_pv_knslor	F.4E Opinion on the influence of mass media content: Feelings	How much influence do you think the content of the mass media has on people in Sweden when it comes to people's? - Feelings
48	posneg_pv_kunskaper	F.5A Positive or negative influence of mass media content: Knowledge	Do you consider the influence of the content in the mass media to be primarily positive or negative in terms of people's? - Knowledge

Institutional Trust 2008 - Variable Group(s)

#	Name	Label	Question
49	posneg_pv_sikter	F.5B Positive or negative influence of mass media content: Opinions	Do you consider the influence of the content in the mass media to be primarily positive or negative in terms of people's? - Opinions
50	posneg_pv_livsstilar	F.5C Positive or negative influence of mass media content: Life styles	Do you consider the influence of the content in the mass media to be primarily positive or negative in terms of people's? - Life styles
51	posneg_pv_humr	F.5D Positive or negative influence of mass media content: Mood	Do you consider the influence of the content in the mass media to be primarily positive or negative in terms of people's? - Mood
52	posneg_pv_knslor	F.5E Positive or negative influence of mass media content: Feelings	Do you consider the influence of the content in the mass media to be primarily positive or negative in terms of people's? - Feelings
53	parti1	F.6A Political party sympathy	Which party do you like best today?
54	parti2	F.6B Closest political party	Which political party do you lean towards?
55	familj	F.7 Current family category	If you had to describe your current family, which of the following categories do you think best applies?
56	individuell_inkomst	F.8 Income	What is your personal income per month?
57	hushllets_inkomst	F.9 Household's income	What is your household's income per month?

Institutional Trust 2008 - Variables Description

Variables Description

Dataset contains 67 variable(s)

File : Förtroendebarometer 2008

# SND_studie: SND-studie 0958					
		SND-studie 0958: Förtroendebarometer 2008			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
958	SND 0958		1000	100.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as su	mmary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 958- 958] [Missin	ng: *]	
Statistics [N	W/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# SND_da	ataset: SND-0	lataset 0958-001		
		SND-dataset 0958-001: Förtroendebarometer 2008		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	SND 0958-	001	1000	100.0%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as s	ummary stat	istics of the population of interest.
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-1] [M	lissing: *]	l
Statistics [N	W/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

# SND_version: SND version 1.1					
		SND version 1.0, februari 2014			
Notes		Lables, questions and response alternatives translate	ed into eng	lish	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	version 1.1		1000		100.0%
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as s	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-1] [M	fissing: *]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# respnr:	SERIAL ID			
		Respondent-ID		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
3			1	0.1%
5			1	0.1%
6			1	0.1%
7			1	0.1%
8			1	0.1%
9			1	0.1%
10			1	0.1%
11			1	0.1%
12			1	0.1%
13			1	0.1%
14			1	0.1%
15			1	0.1%
16			1	0.1%
17			1	0.1%

Value 18 19	Label		
	Laber	Cases	Percentage
0		1	0.1%
.9		1	0.1%
0		1	0.1%
1		1	0.1%
2		1	0.1%
3		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
6		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
0		1	0.1%
1		1	0.1%
2		1	0.1%
3		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
5		1	0.1%
6		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
0		1	0.1%
1		1	0.1%
3		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
5		1	0.1%
6		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
1		1	0.1%
2		1	0.1%
3		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
6		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
0		1	0.1%
1		1	0.1%
2		1	0.1%
3		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
5 7		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
58		1	0.1%	
59		1	0.1%	
70		1	0.1%	
71		1	0.1%	
/2		1	0.1%	
'3		1	0.1%	
4		1	0.1%	
5		1	0.1%	
6		1	0.1%	
8		1	0.1%	
9		1	0.1%	
0		1	0.1%	
1		1	0.1%	
2		1	0.1%	
33		1	0.1%	
4		1	0.1%	
5		1	0.1%	
6		1	0.1%	
7		1	0.1%	
8		1	0.1%	
91		1	0.1%	
02		1	0.1%	
3		1	0.1%	
94		1	0.1%	
5		1	0.1%	
6		1	0.1%	
7		1	0.1%	
8		1	0.1%	
9		1	0.1%	
00		1	0.1%	
01		1	0.1%	
02		1	0.1%	
03		1	0.1%	
04		1	0.1%	
05		1	0.1%	
06		1	0.1%	
07		1	0.1%	
08		1	0.1%	
09		1	0.1%	
10		1	0.1%	
11		1	0.1%	
12 13		1	0.1%	

ValueLabelCases114III<	
11511161117111811191120112111221123112411251126112711281130113111331134113511361137113811391140114411431144114511441145114611471148115111521153115411541	Percentage
116111711181119112011211122112311241125112611271128113011311132113311341135113611371138113911401144114511441145114411451151115211531154115411541	0.1%
11711181119112011211122112311241125112611271128113011311132113311341135113611371138113911441145114411451144114511441145114411451145114611471148115111511154115411541154115411541	0.1%
18 1 19 1 20 1 21 1 22 1 23 1 24 1 25 1 26 1 27 1 28 1 29 1 30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 44 1 45 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
19 1 20 1 21 1 22 1 23 1 24 1 25 1 26 1 27 1 28 1 29 1 30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 44 1 45 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
201211221231241251261271281301311321331341351361371381391401411421431441451441451471481491511531541	0.1%
21 1 22 1 23 1 24 1 25 1 26 1 27 1 28 1 30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 44 1 45 1 44 1 45 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 53 1	0.1%
22 1 23 1 24 1 25 1 26 1 27 1 28 1 29 1 30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 44 1 45 1 44 1 45 1 51 1 53 1	0.1%
23 1 24 1 25 1 26 1 27 1 28 1 29 1 30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 44 1 45 1 44 1 45 1 51 1 52 1 53 1	0.1%
24 1 25 1 26 1 27 1 28 1 29 1 30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 44 1 45 1 44 1 45 1 44 1 45 1 46 1 51 1 52 1 54 1	0.1%
25 1 26 1 27 1 28 1 29 1 30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 44 1 45 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
26 1 27 1 28 1 29 1 30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 41 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
27 1 28 1 29 1 30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
28 1 29 1 30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 44 1 45 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1	0.1%
291301311321331341351361371381391401421431441451471481491511531541	0.1%
30 1 31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
31 1 32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
32 1 33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
33 1 34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
34 1 35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
35 1 36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
36 1 37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
37 1 38 1 39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
38 1 39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
39 1 40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
40 1 42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
42 1 43 1 44 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
43 1 44 1 45 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
44 1 45 1 45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
45 1 47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
47 1 48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
48 1 49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
49 1 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
52 1 53 1 54 1	0.1%
53 1 54 1	0.1%
54 1	0.1%
	0.1%
55 1	0.1%
56 1	0.1%
57 1	0.1%
57 1	0.1%

ValueLabel160-161-161-162-163-164-165-166-167-168-170-171-172-173-174-175-176-178-179-180-181-182-184-183-184<	Cases Percentage 1	e 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
16116216211631164116511661167116811691170117111721173117411751176117711781180118111821184118511861187118811891190119111921193119411941	1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
162 Image: Sector S	1 1 <td< th=""><th>0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%</th></td<>	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
63 63 64 64 65 66 66 67 67 68 69 67 70 70 71 71 72 71 73 71 74 71 75 71 76 71 77 71 78 71 79 71 80 71 81 71 82 71 83 71 84 71 85 71 86 71 87 72 88 73 89 74 90 74 91 74 92 74 93 94	1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 90 91 92 93 94	1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
65 66 66 67 67 68 69 69 70 70 71 71 72 73 73 71 74 71 75 71 76 71 77 71 78 71 79 71 79 71 80 71 81 71 82 71 83 71 84 71 85 71 86 71 87 71 88 71 90 71 91 71 92 71 93 91 94 71	1 1 <t< td=""><td>0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%</td></t<>	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 90 91 92 93 94	1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
57 58 59 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94	1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
58 Image: Section of the section of	1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
59 I I 70 I I 71 I I 72 I I 73 I I 74 I I 75 I I 76 I I 77 I I 78 I I 79 I I 80 I I 81 I I 82 I I 83 I I 84 I I 85 I I 86 I I 87 I I 88 I I 90 I I 91 I I 92 I I 93 I I 94 I I I	1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 90 91 92 93 94	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
71 Image: Sector Se	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
71 Image: Sector Se	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
73 Image: Second Se	1 1 1 1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
74 Image: state stat	1 1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
75 Image: Second Se	1	0.1%
76 Image: Second Se	1	0.1%
77 78 79 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 90 91 92 93 94		
78 Image: state st	1	0.10/
78 Image: Second se		0.1%
79 Image: selection of the	1	0.1%
30 I 31 I 32 I 33 I 34 I 35 I 36 I 37 I 38 I 39 I 20 I 21 I 22 I 24 I	1	0.1%
81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 90 91 92 93 94	1	0.1%
32 Image: Second seco	1	0.1%
333 Image: Solution of the second of the s	1	0.1%
34 Image: state st	1	0.1%
35 Image: Second seco	1	0.1%
36 Image: Second seco	1	0.1%
37 38 39 90 91 92 93 94	1	0.1%
38 39 00 01 02 03 04	1	0.1%
89 90 91 92 93 94	1	0.1%
00 01 02 03 04 04	1	0.1%
01 02 03 04	1	0.1%
02 03 04	1	0.1%
93 94	1	0.1%
94	1	0.1%
	1	0.1%
	1	0.1%
96	1	0.1%
90 97	1	0.1%
97		0.1%
98 99		0.1%
	1	
00 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 0		0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
203		1	0.1%
204		1	0.1%
205		1	0.1%
206		1	0.1%
207		1	0.1%
208		1	0.1%
209		1	0.1%
210		1	0.1%
211		1	0.1%
212		1	0.1%
213		1	0.1%
214		1	0.1%
215		1	0.1%
216		1	0.1%
217		1	0.1%
218		1	0.1%
219		1	0.1%
220		1	0.1%
221		1	0.1%
222		1	0.1%
223		1	0.1%
224		1	0.1%
225		1	0.1%
226		1	0.1%
227		1	0.1%
228		1	0.1%
229		1	0.1%
230		1	0.1%
231		1	0.1%
232		1	0.1%
233		1	0.1%
234		1	0.1%
235		1	0.1%
237		1	0.1%
238		1	0.1%
239		1	0.1%
240		1	0.1%
241		1	0.1%
242		1	0.1%
243		1	0.1%
244		1	0.1%
245		1	0.1%
246		1	0.1%

[#] respnr: SERIAL ID				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
247		1	0.1%	
248		1	0.1%	
249		1	0.1%	
250		1	0.1%	
51		1	0.1%	
52		1	0.1%	
53		1	0.1%	
54		1	0.1%	
55		1	0.1%	
56		1	0.1%	
57		1	0.1%	
58		1	0.1%	
59		1	0.1%	
60		1	0.1%	
61		1	0.1%	
62		1	0.1%	
63		1	0.1%	
64		1	0.1%	
65		1	0.1%	
66		1	0.1%	
67		1	0.1%	
68		1	0.1%	
69		1	0.1%	
70		1	0.1%	
.71		1	0.1%	
.72		1	0.1%	
73		1	0.1%	
74		1	0.1%	
75		1	0.1%	
76		1	0.1%	
77		1	0.1%	
78		1	0.1%	
79		1	0.1%	
80		1	0.1%	
81		1	0.1%	
82		1	0.1%	
83		1	0.1%	
85		1	0.1%	
85 86		1	0.1%	
86 87			0.1%	
		1		
88		1	0.1%	
289		1	0.1%	

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
291		1	0.1%
292		1	0.1%
293		1	0.1%
294		1	0.1%
.95		1	0.1%
.96		1	0.1%
.97		1	0.1%
.99		1	0.1%
00		1	0.1%
801		1	0.1%
02		1	0.1%
03		1	0.1%
04		1	0.1%
05		1	0.1%
807		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
10		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
313		1	0.1%
314		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
316		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
324		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
26		1	0.1%
27		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
32 33		1	0.1%
33 34		1	0.1%
35 36		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
337		1	0.1%	
338		1	0.1%	
39		1	0.1%	
40		1	0.1%	
41		1	0.1%	
42		1	0.1%	
43		1	0.1%	
344		1	0.1%	
45		1	0.1%	
46		1	0.1%	
47		1	0.1%	
48		1	0.1%	
49		1	0.1%	
50		1	0.1%	
351		1	0.1%	
52		1	0.1%	
53		1	0.1%	
54		1	0.1%	
55		1	0.1%	
357		1	0.1%	
358		1	0.1%	
359		1	0.1%	
360		1	0.1%	
61		1	0.1%	
62		1	0.1%	
363		1	0.1%	
64		1	0.1%	
65		1	0.1%	
66		1	0.1%	
67		1	0.1%	
68		1	0.1%	
69		1	0.1%	
570		1	0.1%	
571		1	0.1%	
72		1	0.1%	
73		1	0.1%	
74		1	0.1%	
75		1	0.1%	
76		1	0.1%	
76 77		1	0.1%	
78		1	0.1%	
79 80		1	0.1%	

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
381		1	0.1%
382		1	0.1%
383		1	0.1%
86		1	0.1%
87		1	0.1%
88		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
96		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
.00		1	0.1%
01		1	0.1%
402		1	0.1%
403		1	0.1%
404		1	0.1%
405		1	0.1%
06		1	0.1%
07		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
-10		1	0.1%
-11		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
-13		1	0.1%
.14		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
-16		1	0.1%
.17		1	0.1%
-18		1	0.1%
.19		1	0.1%
-21		1	0.1%
-22		1	0.1%
.22		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
-26 -27		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
428		1	0.1%	
429		1	0.1%	
130		1	0.1%	
31		1	0.1%	
32		1	0.1%	
33		1	0.1%	
34		1	0.1%	
35		1	0.1%	
36		1	0.1%	
37		1	0.1%	
38		1	0.1%	
39		1	0.1%	
40		1	0.1%	
41		1	0.1%	
42		1	0.1%	
43		1	0.1%	
44		1	0.1%	
45		1	0.1%	
46		1	0.1%	
47		1	0.1%	
48		1	0.1%	
49		1	0.1%	
50		1	0.1%	
51		1	0.1%	
52		1	0.1%	
53		1	0.1%	
54		1	0.1%	
55		1	0.1%	
56		1	0.1%	
57		1	0.1%	
58		1	0.1%	
59		1	0.1%	
60		1	0.1%	
.61		1	0.1%	
63		1	0.1%	
64		1	0.1%	
65		1	0.1%	
65 66			0.1%	
		1		
67 68		1	0.1%	
68		1	0.1%	
69		1	0.1%	
70		1	0.1%	

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
472		1	0.1%
473		1	0.1%
174		1	0.1%
75		1	0.1%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
-81		1	0.1%
-82		1	0.1%
.83		1	0.1%
-84		1	0.1%
85		1	0.1%
-86		1	0.1%
87		1	0.1%
88		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
192		1	0.1%
193		1	0.1%
194		1	0.1%
195		1	0.1%
196		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
198		1	0.1%
.99		1	0.1%
00		1	0.1%
501		1	0.1%
502		1	0.1%
03		1	0.1%
504		1	0.1%
05		1	0.1%
606		1	0.1%
507		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
10		1	0.1%
11		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
515		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
516		1	0.1%	
517		1	0.1%	
18		1	0.1%	
19		1	0.1%	
20		1	0.1%	
21		1	0.1%	
22		1	0.1%	
23		1	0.1%	
24		1	0.1%	
25		1	0.1%	
26		1	0.1%	
27		1	0.1%	
28		1	0.1%	
529		1	0.1%	
30		1	0.1%	
31		1	0.1%	
532		1	0.1%	
33		1	0.1%	
34		1	0.1%	
535		1	0.1%	
536		1	0.1%	
537		1	0.1%	
38		1	0.1%	
39		1	0.1%	
540		1	0.1%	
541		1	0.1%	
542		1	0.1%	
43		1	0.1%	
44		1	0.1%	
545		1	0.1%	
46		1	0.1%	
40		1	0.1%	
548		1	0.1%	
549		1	0.1%	
50		1	0.1%	
50 51		1	0.1%	
52 53		1	0.1%	
53		1	0.1%	
54		1	0.1%	
55		1	0.1%	
56		1	0.1%	
57		1	0.1%	

# respnr: SERIAL ID				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
559		1	0.1%	
560		1	0.1%	
561		1	0.1%	
562		1	0.1%	
563		1	0.1%	
564		1	0.1%	
565		1	0.1%	
566		1	0.1%	
567		1	0.1%	
568		1	0.1%	
569		1	0.1%	
570		1	0.1%	
571		1	0.1%	
572		1	0.1%	
573		1	0.1%	
574		1	0.1%	
575		1	0.1%	
576		1	0.1%	
577		1	0.1%	
578		1	0.1%	
579		1	0.1%	
581		1	0.1%	
582		1	0.1%	
583		1	0.1%	
584		1	0.1%	
585		1	0.1%	
586		1	0.1%	
587		1	0.1%	
588		1	0.1%	
589		1	0.1%	
590		1	0.1%	
591		1	0.1%	
592		1	0.1%	
593		1	0.1%	
594		1	0.1%	
595		1	0.1%	
i96		1	0.1%	
97		1	0.1%	
i98		1	0.1%	
599		1	0.1%	
500		1	0.1%	
501 502		1	0.1%	

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
603		1	0.1%
504		1	0.1%
605		1	0.1%
506		1	0.1%
07		1	0.1%
508		1	0.1%
09		1	0.1%
510		1	0.1%
511		1	0.1%
512		1	0.1%
513		1	0.1%
514		1	0.1%
515		1	0.1%
516		1	0.1%
517		1	0.1%
518		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
520		1	0.1%
521		1	0.1%
522		1	0.1%
523		1	0.1%
524		1	0.1%
525		1	0.1%
526		1	0.1%
527		1	0.1%
528		1	0.1%
529		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
531		1	0.1%
532		1	0.1%
533		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
i36		1	0.1%
537 537		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
41		1	0.1%
543		1	0.1%
44		1	0.1%
45			0.1%
		1	
546 547		1	0.1%

Value Label 548 - 549 - 550 - 551 - 552 - 553 - 554 - 555 - 557 - 558 - 559 - 560 - 561 - 562 - 563 - 564 - 564 - 566 - 567 - 568 - 569 - 564 - 566 - 567 - 568 - 569 - 570 - 571 - 572 - 573 - 574 - 575 - 576 - 577	Cases 1	Percentage 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
549	I I I	0.1% 0.1%
550	I I I	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
551	1	0.1% 0.1%
552 553 554 555 557 558 559 560 561 563 564 566 567 568 569 564 566 567 568 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 579 579 579 579 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580	1	0.1% 0.1%
553 554 555 557 558 559 60 661 663 664 666 667 668 669 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 579 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580	I I I	0.1% 0.1%
54 55 57 58 59 60 61 63 64 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 80	I I I I	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
55 57 58 59 60 61 63 64 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 80	I I I I	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
57	I I I I	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
58	1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
59	1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
60	1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
61	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
63 63 64 66 66 66 67 67 68 69 69 60 70 70 71 71 72 73 74 71 75 76 76 77 78 79 80 61	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
64 64 66 66 67 68 68 69 69 69 70 70 71 70 72 70 73 71 74 71 75 71 76 71 77 72 78 73 79 80	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
66 66 67 67 68 69 69 69 70 70 71 70 72 71 73 71 74 71 75 71 76 71 77 73 78 79 80 10	1 1 1 1 1 1	0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 80	1	0.1%
68 69 69 70 70 70 71 70 72 70 73 70 74 70 75 70 76 71 78 79 80 80	1	0.1%
69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 80		
69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 80	1	
70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 80		0.1%
72 73 74 75 76 77 78 80	1	0.1%
72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80	1	0.1%
73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80	1	0.1%
74 75 76 77 78 79 80	1	0.1%
75 76 77 78 79 80	1	0.1%
76 77 78 79 80	1	0.1%
77 78 79 80	1	0.1%
78 79 80	1	0.1%
79 80	1	0.1%
80	1	0.1%
	1	0.1%
81	1	0.1%
82	1	0.1%
83	1	0.1%
84	1	0.1%
85	1	0.1%
86	1	0.1%
87	1	0.1%
88	1	0.1%
89	1	0.1%
90		0.1%
	1	
91 92	1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
694		1	0.1%
595		1	0.1%
596		1	0.1%
597		1	0.1%
598		1	0.1%
599		1	0.1%
700		1	0.1%
701		1	0.1%
702		1	0.1%
/03		1	0.1%
/04		1	0.1%
05		1	0.1%
'06		1	0.1%
'07		1	0.1%
708		1	0.1%
'09		1	0.1%
/10		1	0.1%
'11		1	0.1%
12		1	0.1%
/13		1	0.1%
714		1	0.1%
715		1	0.1%
718		1	0.1%
719		1	0.1%
720		1	0.1%
/21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
24		1	0.1%
25		1	0.1%
26		1	0.1%
27		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
'30		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
/33		1	0.1%
/34		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
36		1	0.1%
'37		1	0.1%
'38		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
739		1	0.1%
740		1	0.1%
741		1	0.1%
743		1	0.1%
744		1	0.1%
745		1	0.1%
746		1	0.1%
747		1	0.1%
748		1	0.1%
749		1	0.1%
750		1	0.1%
751		1	0.1%
752		1	0.1%
753		1	0.1%
754		1	0.1%
755		1	0.1%
756		1	0.1%
757		1	0.1%
759		1	0.1%
760		1	0.1%
761		1	0.1%
762		1	0.1%
763		1	0.1%
764		1	0.1%
766		1	0.1%
767		1	0.1%
768		1	0.1%
769		1	0.1%
770		1	0.1%
771		1	0.1%
772		1	0.1%
773		1	0.1%
774		1	0.1%
775		1	0.1%
776		1	0.1%
777		1	0.1%
778		1	0.1%
779		1	0.1%
780		1	0.1%
781		1	0.1%
782		1	0.1%
783		1	0.1%
784		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
785		1	0.1%
786		1	0.1%
87		1	0.1%
788		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
'90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
'97		1	0.1%
'98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
00		1	0.1%
301		1	0.1%
802		1	0.1%
303		1	0.1%
304		1	0.1%
805		1	0.1%
06		1	0.1%
807		1	0.1%
308		1	0.1%
309		1	0.1%
811		1	0.1%
812		1	0.1%
813		1	0.1%
314		1	0.1%
815		1	0.1%
316		1	0.1%
318		1	0.1%
320		1	0.1%
321		1	0.1%
322		1	0.1%
323		1	0.1%
324		1	0.1%
325		1	0.1%
26		1	0.1%
27		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
330		1	0.1%
31		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
836		1	0.1%
837		1	0.1%
339		1	0.1%
40		1	0.1%
41		1	0.1%
42		1	0.1%
43		1	0.1%
44		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
46		1	0.1%
47		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
50		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
60		1	0.1%
61		1	0.1%
62		1	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
65		1	0.1%
66		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
68		1	0.1%
69		1	0.1%
70		1	0.1%
71		1	0.1%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
74		1	0.1%
74 75		1	0.1%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78			0.1%
		1	0.1%
380 381		1	
21		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
882		1	0.1%
383		1	0.1%
384		1	0.1%
385		1	0.1%
86		1	0.1%
887		1	0.1%
888		1	0.1%
889		1	0.1%
390		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
394		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
396		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
398		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
000		1	0.1%
901		1	0.1%
902		1	0.1%
903		1	0.1%
904		1	0.1%
905		1	0.1%
906		1	0.1%
907		1	0.1%
08		1	0.1%
10		1	0.1%
011		1	0.1%
012		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
014		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
16		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
24		1	0.1%
025		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
926		1	0.1%
927		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
30		1	0.1%
931		1	0.1%
32		1	0.1%
033		1	0.1%
934		1	0.1%
35		1	0.1%
936		1	0.1%
037		1	0.1%
938		1	0.1%
940		1	0.1%
941		1	0.1%
942		1	0.1%
43		1	0.1%
944		1	0.1%
45		1	0.1%
946		1	0.1%
948		1	0.1%
949		1	0.1%
950		1	0.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
953		1	0.1%
954		1	0.1%
55		1	0.1%
956		1	0.1%
957		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
960		1	0.1%
961		1	0.1%
062		1	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
65		1	0.1%
66		1	0.1%
			0.1%
67		1	
68		1	0.1%
969 970		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
971		1	0.1%
972		1	0.1%
073		1	0.1%
074		1	0.1%
75		1	0.1%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
80		1	0.1%
81		1	0.1%
82		1	0.1%
83		1	0.1%
84		1	0.1%
85		1	0.1%
86		1	0.1%
88		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		1	0.1%
91		1	0.1%
92		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		1	0.1%
96		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
000		1	0.1%
001		1	0.1%
002		1	0.1%
002		1	0.1%
004		1	0.1%
005		1	0.1%
005		1	0.1%
000		1	0.1%
007		1	0.1%
008		1	0.1%
009		1	0.1%
010			0.1%
		1	
012		1	0.1%
013 015		1	0.1%

# respnr: SERIAL ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1017		1	0.1%
1018		1	0.1%
019		1	0.1%
020		1	0.1%
021		1	0.1%
.022		1	0.1%
1023		1	0.1%
1024		1	0.1%
025		1	0.1%
026		1	0.1%
027		1	0.1%
028		1	0.1%
029		1	0.1%
030		1	0.1%
031		1	0.1%
032		1	0.1%
033		1	0.1%
034		1	0.1%
035		1	0.1%
037		1	0.1%
038		1	0.1%
1039		1	0.1%
1040		1	0.1%
1041		1	0.1%
1042		1	0.1%
043		1	0.1%
044		1	0.1%
045		1	0.1%
047		1	0.1%
048		1	0.1%
049		1	0.1%
1050		1	0.1%
1051		1	0.1%
1052		1	0.1%
053		1	0.1%
054		1	0.1%
055		1	0.1%
1056		1	0.1%
1057		1	0.1%
1058		1	0.1%
arning: these	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file.	They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the p	opulation of interest.

File : Förtroendebarometer 2008

respnr: SERIAL ID

	Viktvariabel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.8044		24	2.4%
0.8176		22	2.2%
0.8378		30	3.0%
0.8419		29	2.9%
0.8558		46	4.6%
0.8591		9	0.9%
).8629		24	2.4%
0.8732		6	0.6%
).8768		26	2.6%
0.8771		9	0.9%
0.8792		18	1.8%
0.88		25	2.5%
0.8945		16	1.6%
0.8947		7	0.7%
0.8957		29	2.9%
0.8987		26	2.6%
0.914		12	1.2%
0.9165		30	3.0%
0.918		28	2.8%
0.9202		14	1.4%
0.9363		26	2.6%
0.939		6	0.6%
0.9431		11	1.1%
0.9514		15	1.5%
0.9616		27	2.7%
0.9618		8	0.8%
0.9621		11	1.1%
0.9779		7	0.7%
0.9958		12	1.2%
1.002		16	1.6%
1.005		13	1.3%
1.0064		30	3.0%
1.0161		5	0.5%
1.0206		13	1.3%
1.0215		7	0.7%
1.0236		17	1.7%
1.027		13	1.3%
1.0315		33	3.3%
1.0409		12	1.2%

# vikt: W	eight		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1.0467		13	1.3%
1.0515		10	1.0%
1.052		24	2.4%
1.0692		22	2.2%
1.0984		6	0.6%
1.1248		14	1.4%
1.1379		6	0.6%
1.1433		6	0.6%
1.1434		5	0.5%
1.1501		22	2.2%
1.1714		8	0.8%
1.1715		10	1.0%
1.1887		11	1.1%
1.1967		23	2.3%
1.2014		11	1.1%
1.2293		2	0.2%
1.2294		6	0.6%
1.2589		9	0.9%
1.2796		6	0.6%
1.3111		9	0.9%
1.3303		7	0.7%
1.3304		5	0.5%
1.3394		12	1.2%
1.3446		22	2.2%
1.376		6	0.6%
1.489		4	0.4%
1.5049		9	0.9%
Varning: these	figures indicate the number	ound in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.
nformation	ı [Ty	rete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0.804- 1.505] [Mi	issing: *]

# age: Age	[#] age: Age						
	Ålder						
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage				
16		5	0.5%				
17		8	0.8%				
18		6	0.6%				
19		12	1.2%				
20		15	1.5%				
21		10	1.0%				
22		20	2.0%				
23		18	1.8%				

[#] age: Age	e		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24		19	1.9%
25		18	1.8%
26		23	2.3%
27		15	1.5%
28		21	2.1%
29		28	2.8%
30		6	0.6%
31		11	1.1%
32		15	1.5%
33		20	2.0%
34		15	1.5%
35		20	2.0%
36		20	2.0%
37		24	2.4%
38		21	2.1%
39		14	1.4%
40		20	2.0%
41		17	1.7%
42		19	1.9%
43		24	2.4%
44		15	1.5%
45		34	3.4%
46		28	2.8%
47		27	2.7%
48		17	1.7%
49		22	2.2%
50		21	2.1%
51		15	1.5%
52		19	1.9%
53		21	2.1%
54		19	1.9%
55		17	1.7%
56		16	1.6%
57		10	1.0%
58		12	1.2%
59		20	2.0%
60		20	2.0%
61		31	3.1%
62		26	2.6%
53		20	2.0%
54		18	1.8%
65		16	1.6%

# age: Age					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
67			17	1.7%	
68			16	1.6%	
69			14	1.4%	
70			8	0.8%	
71			7	0.7%	
72			10	1.0%	
73			4	0.4%	
74			3	0.3%	
Warning: these f	igures indicate th	e number of cases found in the data file. They cann	ot be interpreted as summary statist	ics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 16-		[Range: 16- 74] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 44.732 /-]		58 /-1	

gender: Sex Kön Value Label Cases Percentage 51.4% 514 1 Man 2 Woman 486 48.6% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: *] Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

		Region			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Stockholm	urban area	191		19.1%
2	Eastern mid	dst of Sweden	175		17.5%
3	Småland (is	slands included)	87	8.7%	
4	Southern S	weden	158		15.8%
5	Western Sv	veden	201		20.1%
6	Northern m	nidst of Sweden	98	9.8%	
7	Middle and	northern Sweden	90	9.0%	
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	summary statistics of	of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-7] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# utbildning: Education					
Utbildningsnivå					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
1	Primary/Elementary school	82	8.2%		
2	Primary/Elementary school - training	51	5.1%		

# utbildning:	Educatio	n			
Value	Label	oel		Percentage	
3	Junior secondary school/Girls' school		21	2.1%	
4	Junior secondary school/Girls' school - training		20	2.0%	
5	2 year upper secondary school education/High school degree		110	11.1%	
6	3-4 year upper secondary school education/High school degree		260	26.2%	
7	Post-second	lary education/university/college	450		45.3%
9	Ej svar		6		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret			summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: dis		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-7] [N	Missing: *]]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 994 /-] [Invalid: 6 /-]			

		Konstruerad variabel: Sammanslagning av variabe	el Partisympa	ati och Närmaste parti	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Social Der	nocrats	327		32.7%
2	Moderate I	Party	254	2	25.4%
3	Center Par	ty	36	3.6%	
4	Liberal Par	rty	83	8.3%	
5	Christian I	Democrats	35	3.5%	
6	Left Party		56	5.6%	
7	Green Part	у	65	6.5%	
8	Sweden De	emocrats	46	4.6%	
9	Other party	/	21	2.1%	
10	None of th	e above	77	7.7%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statis	stics of the population of interest.	
Information	ı	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 10]	[Missing: *]]	
Statistics [N	W/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# riksdage	en: F.1AA C	onfidence in: The Parliament			
Literal ques	stion	How much confidence do you have in the way the	e following ins	stitutions and businesses do the	ir job? - the Parliament
Value	ie Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	rust	44	4.4%	
2	Quite high	trust	373		37.3%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	358		35.8%
4	Quite low t	rust	148	14.8%	
5	Very low the	ust	77	7.7%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	as summary statisti	ics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5]	[Missing: */6]		
Statistics [N	W/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

eukommissionen: F.1AB Confidence in: EU commission

Literal quest	tion	How much confidence do you have in the way the commission	following i	nstitutions and businesses do their job? - EU	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	21	2.1%	
2	Quite high trust		211	21.1%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	443		44.3%
4	Quite low t	rust	227	22.7%	
5	Very low the	rust	98	9.8%	
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Mi			Missing: */	6]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

politiska_partierna: F.1AC Confidence in: The political parties

Literal quest	ion	How much confidence do you have in the way the parties	ne following i	institutions and businesses do their job? - The political
Value	Label Cases Percentage		Percentage	
1	Very high trust		10	1.0%
2	Quite high trust		195	19.5%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	451	45.1%
4	Quite low t	rust	251	25.1%
5	Very low the	rust	93	9.3%
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:] [Missing: */	6]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

universitet_hgskolor: F.1AD Confidence in: Universities Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Universities Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very high trust 80 8.0% 2 Quite high trust 542 54.2% 3 Neither high nor low trust 316 31.6% 4 Quite low trust 52 5.2% 5 1.0% Very low trust 10 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [Missing: */6] Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

# storfretagen: F.1AE Confidence in: Big business					
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Big business					
Value	Label	Label		Percenta	age
1	Very high t	Very high trust		2.2%	
2	Quite high	trust	363		36.3%

# storfretagen: F.1AE Confidence in: Big business						
Value	Label	Cases Percentage		e		
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			42.2%	
4	Quite low t	Quite low trust		14.9%		
5	Very low tr	rust	44	4.4%		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# radiotv:	F.1AF Conf	idence in: Radio and television				
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way th television	e following ir	nstitutions and businesses d	o their job? - Radio and	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	54	5.4%		
2	Quite high	trust	463		46.3%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	359		35.9%	
4	Quite low t	rust	99	9.9%		
5	Very low the	rust	25	2.5%		
Warning: these f	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# dagspressen: F.1AG Confidence in: The daily press							
Literal question How much confidence do you have in			e following i	nstitutions and busi	nesses do their	job? - The	daily press
Value	Label	Label		Percentage			
1	Very high	Very high trust		2.3%			
2	Quite high	Quite high trust				31.8%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	406				40.6%
4	Quite low t	rust	197		19.7%		
5	Very low the	rust	56	5.6%			
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stati	istics of the population of	interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: */	6]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# fackliga	# fackliga_organisationerna: F.1AH Confidence in: The trade unions					
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and busine unions			nstitutions and businesses d	o their job? - The trade		
Value	Label	Label Cases Per		entage		
1	Very high	trust	30	3.0%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			26.1%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			33.8%	
4	Quite low	Quite low trust			27.0%	
5	Very low t	Very low trust		10.1%		

fackliga_organisationerna: F.1AH Confidence in: The trade unions

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]	
	Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]	

svenska_kyrkan: F.1AI Confidence in: The Church of Sweden

-•					
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the Sweden	following i	nstitutions and businesses do the	ir job? - The Church of
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high	Very high trust		5.2%	
2	Quite high	trust	289		28.9%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	386		38.6%
4	Quite low t	rust	167	16.7%	
5	Very low the	rust	106	10.6%	
Warning: these figu	res indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	stics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] []	Missing: */	6]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

socialdemokraterna: F.1BA Confidence in: The Swedish Social Democratic Party

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the Social Democratic Party	following in	stitutions and businesses do their job?	- The Swedish
Value	Label	Cases Pe		Percentage	
1	Very high	rust	55	5.5%	
2	Quite high	trust	308		30.8%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	324		32.4%
4	Quite low t	rust	208	20.8%	
5	Very low the	rust	105	10.5%	
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary statist	tics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Inva		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# moderaterna: F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party						
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Moderate Party				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	38	3.8%		
2	Quite high	trust	251		25.1%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	309		30.9%	
4	Quite low t	rust	236		23.6%	
5	Very low the	rust	166		16.6%	
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary statistic	cs of the population o	f interest.	
Information [7		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

moderaterna: F.1BB Confidence in: Moderate Party

sverigedemokraterna: F.1BC Confidence in: Sweden Democrats

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the Democrats	e following i	institutions and businesses do their job? - Sweden
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Very high	Very high trust		1.3%
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		3.0%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	127	12.7%
4	Quite low	rust	122	12.2%
5	Very low t	rust	708	70.8%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	is summary stati	istics of the population of interest.
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: nume		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5]	[Missing: */	6]
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

# sveriges_radio: F.1CA Confidence in: Radio Sweden						
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the wa			following i	nstitutions and busine	sses do their job? - Radio Sweden	
Value	Label			Percentage		
1	Very high t	Very high trust		20.2	2%	
2	Quite high	trust	562		56.2%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	181	18.19	%	
4	Quite low t	rust	43	4.3%		
5	Very low the	rust	12	1.2%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary state	istics of the population of int	terest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ram		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */	6]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# svt: F.10	CB Confiden	ce in: Swedish Television				
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Swedish Television				
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	Very high t	rust	162	16.2%		
2	Quite high	trust	570		57.0%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	197	19.7%		
4	Quite low t	rust	54	5.4%		
5	Very low the	rust	17	1.7%		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] []	Missing: */	6]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# tv4: F.1CC Confider	# tv4: F.1CC Confidence in: TV4				
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV4				

File : Förtroendebarometer 2008							
# tv4: F.1	CC Confider	nce in: TV4					
Value	Label Cases Percentage						
1	Very high t	rust	38	3.8%			
2	Quite high	trust	430		43.0%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	384		38.4%		
4	Quite low t	rust	123	12.3%			
5	Very low to	rust	25	2.5%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stati	stics of the population of int	erest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */	6]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# tv3: F.10	CD Confider	nce in: TV3				
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV3				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	13	1.3%		
2	Quite high	trust	160	16.0%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	446		44.6%	
4	Quite low t	rust	296	29.6%		
5	Very low the	rust	85	8.5%		
Warning: these f	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	is summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: */	/6]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Dagens Nyheter					
Value	Label	Cases Percer			Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		9.7%			
2	Quite high	trust	483		48.3%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	329		32.9%		
4	Quite low t	rust	74	7.4%			
5	Very low the	Very low trust		1.7%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of inter	rest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Form		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra	nge: 1- 5] [Missing: */6	6]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# aftonbladet: F.1CF Confidence in: Aftonbladet					
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Aftonbladet					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	rust	11	1.1%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		14.6%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust		34.8%	

File : Förtroendebarometer 2008					
# aftonbladet: F.1CF Confidence in: Aftonbladet					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
4	Quite low t	rust	324	32.4%	
5	Very low the	rust	171	17.1%	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
Information [Typ		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# ikea: F.	1DA Confide	ence in: IKEA			
Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way			following i	nstitutions and busines	ses do their job? - IKEA
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	Very high trust		20.6	%
2	Quite high	trust	578		57.8%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	195	19.5%	6
4	Quite low t	rust	15	1.5%	
5	Very low the	rust	6	0.6%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	s summary stat	istics of the population of inte	rest.
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */	6]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

Literal question H		How much confidence do you have in the way the	following i	nstitutions and b	ousinesses do their job?	· Volvo
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	98	9.8%		
2	Quite high	trust	523			52.3%
3	Neither hig	sh nor low trust	312		31.2%	
4	Quite low	trust	54	5.4%		
5	Very low t	rust	13	1.3%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary state	istics of the populatio	on of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */	6]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# ericsson: F.1DC Confidence in: Ericsson						
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Ericsson				
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	68	6.8%		
2	Quite high	trust	462		46.2%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	377		37.7%	
4	Quite low t	rust	79	7.9%		
5	Very low trust		14	1.4%		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-	5] [Missing: */6	5]		

ericsson: F.1DC Confidence in: Ericsson

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

cocacola: F.1DD Confidence in: Coca-Cola

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the	e following in	nstitutions and businesses do their job? - Coca-Cola	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very high t	Very high trust		3.4%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		18.8%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	443	44.3%	
4	Quite low t	rust	227	22.7%	
5	Very low the	rust	108	10.8%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted o	is summary stati	stics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: o		Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# skandia	: F.1DE Con	fidence in: Skandia				
Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Skandia				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	Very high trust		1.6%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		17.1	1%	
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	480			48.0%
4	Quite low t	rust	237		23.7%	
5	Very low the	rust	96	9.6%		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	tistics of the population of int	erest.	
Information [[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

Literal question		How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Astra Zeneca				
Value Label			Cases	Perce	entage	
1	Very high	trust	42	4.2%		
2	Quite high	trust	345		34.5%	
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	470		47.0%	
4	Quite low	trust	110	11.0%		
5	Very low t	rust	33	3.3%		
Warning: thes	e figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statis	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5] [ype: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				

# sas: F.1DG Confidence in: SAS			
Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - SAS		

File : I	File : Förtroendebarometer 2008						
# sas: F.1	DG Confider	ice in: SAS					
Value	Label	Label Cases Percentage					
1	Very high t	rust	27	2.7%			
2	Quite high	trust	288	28.8%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	492		49.2%		
4	Quite low t	rust	155	15.5%			
5	Very low the	rust	38	3.8%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		6] [Missing: */6	5]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# hm: F.1	DH Confide	nce in: H&M					
Literal question How		How much confidence do you have in the way the	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - H&M				
Value	Label		Cases	Perc	entage		
1	Very high t	rust	69	6.9%			
2	Quite high	trust	383		38.3%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	440		44.0%		
4	Quite low t	rust	82	8.2%			
5	Very low the	rust	26	2.6%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	is summary stat	istics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5]	[Missing: */	6]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

# sahlin:]	F.2A Confid	ence in: Mona Sahlin					
Literal question		Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Mona Sahlin					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	trust	58	6.0%			
2	Quite high	trust	261		27.0%		
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	278		28.7%		
4	Quite low	trust	183		18.9%		
5	Very low t	rust	188		19.4%		
6	No opinior	1	32				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They canno	ot be interpreted as summary statistics o	f the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range		Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [N	W/W]	[Valid: 968 /-] [Invalid: 32 /-]					

# reinfeldt: F.2B Confidence in: Fredrik Reinfeldt						
			ld like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Fredrik Reinfeldt			
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	ntage	
1	Very high	Very high trust		6.8%		
2	Quite high	trust	317		32.6%	

File : F	File : Förtroendebarometer 2008					
# reinfeld	t: F.2B Conf	idence in: Fredrik Reinfeldt				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	245	25.2%		
4	Quite low t	rust	185	19.0%		
5	Very low tr	rust	159	16.4%		
6	No opinion		28			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statist	ics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 972 /-] [Invalid: 28 /-]						

kesson: F.2C Confidence in: Jimmie Åkesson

Literal question		Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Jimmie Åkesson				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	rust	14	2.1%		
2	Quite high	trust	25	3.7%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	84	12.3%		
4	Quite low t	rust	72	10.6%		
5	Very low the	rust	487	71.49		
6	No opinion		318			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5		Missing: *]]			
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 682 /-] [Invalid: 318 /-]					

westerberg: F.2D Confidence in: Per Westerberg

Literal question		Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Per Westerberg					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very high	/ery high trust		3.5%			
2	Quite high	tite high trust 181		25.1%			
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	358			49.7%	
4	Quite low t	rust	76	10.6%			
5	Very low the	rust	80	11.1%			
6	No opinion		280				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statist	ics of the population of int	erest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]		1- 5] [Missing: *]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [V		[Valid: 720 /-] [Invalid: 280 /-]					

# hamilton: F.2E Confidence in: Eva Hamilton						
Literal question		Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Eva Hamilton, Swedish Television				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Very high	trust	22	2.8%		

	n. r.26 Com	idence in: Eva Hamilton				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentag	e	
2	Quite high	trust	297		38.0%	
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust				47.4%
4	Quite low t	rust	64	8.2%		
5	Very low the	rust	28	3.6%		
6	No opinion		218			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	s summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]		Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [V		[Valid: 782 /-] [Invalid: 218 /-]				

brunnberg: F.2F Confidence in: Kerstin Brunnberg

Literal ques	tion	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do				
Enter al ques	tion	now we would nee to also ask you to specify you		01	ay or working. It you do	
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	entage	
1	Very high	trust	30	4.7%		
2	Quite high	trust	256		39.8%	
3	Neither hig	sh nor low trust	302		46.9%	
4	Quite low	trust	33	5.1%		
5	Very low t	rust	23	3.6%		
6	No opinior	I Contraction of the second	356			
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	stics of the population of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 644 /-] [Invalid: 356 /-]		[Valid: 644 /-] [Invalid: 356 /-]				

helin: F.2G Confidence in: Jan Helin

Literal que	stion	Now we would like to also ask you to sp not know of them, you can also specify				ing. If you do
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Very high	trust	7	1.0%		
2	Quite high trust		63	9.4%		
3	Neither hig	gh nor low trust	299			44.7%
4	Quite low	trust	171		25.6%	
5	Very low t	rust	129		19.3%	
6	No opinior	No opinion				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary stat	istics of the population of in	terest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		ge: 1- 5] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [[Valid: 669 /-] [Invalid: 331 /-]				

johansson: F.2H Confidence in: Leif Johansson

-	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Leif Johansson

# johansson: F.2H Confidence in: Leif Johansson					
Value	Label	Cases Percentage			age
1	Very high t	rust	42	5.5%	
2	Quite high	Quite high trust			35.9%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust			45.3%
4	Quite low t	rust	63	8.3%	
5	Very low tr	ust	37	4.9%	
6	No opinion		243		
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] []	Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 757 /-] [Invalid: 243 /-]			

kamprad: F.2I Confidence in: Ingvar Kamprad

•			-					
Literal question		Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Ingvar Kamprad						
Value	Label	bel		Cases		Percentage	:	
1	Very high t	ery high trust		303		31.9%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		434				45.6%
3	Neither hig	Neither high nor low trust		171		18.0%		
4	Quite low t	rust		27	2.8%			
5	Very low the	rust		16	1.7%			
6	No opinion	I		49				
Warning: these J	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file.	They cannot be interpreted a	is summary stati	istics of the population	n of interest.		
Information	Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5		umeric] [Range: 1- 5]	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 951 /-] [Invalid: 49 /-]		/-]						

# svanberg	g: F.2J Conf	idence in: Carl-Henrik Svanberg				
Literal question		Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Carl-Henrik Svanberg				
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	Very high t	Very high trust		2.3%		
2	Quite high	Quite high trust		15.7%		
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	319	44.0%		
4	Quite low t	rust	142	19.6%		
5	Very low the	rust	133	18.3%		
6	No opinion		275			
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted of	as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5]	[Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 725 /-] [Invalid: 275 /-]				

# persson: F.2K Confidence in: Stefan Persson		
Literal question	Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Stefan Persson	

1			Cases Per			
	Very high t	rust	69	8.9%		
2 0	Quite high t	rust	271		35.1%	
3 N	Neither high nor low trust		334			43.3%
4 C	Quite low t	rust	68	8.8%		
5 1	Very low tr	ust	30	3.9%		
6 N	No opinion		228			
Warning: these figures i	indicate the nu	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary statis	stics of the population of interest.		

wejryd: F.2L Confidence in: Anders Wejryd

Literal question		Now we would like to also ask you to specify your confidence in the following persons' way of working. If you do not know of them, you can also specify that you have no opinion Anders Wejryd			
Value	Label	Cases Per		entage	
1	Very high	rust	45	6.8%	
2	Quite high	trust	193		29.3%
3	Neither hig	h nor low trust	281		42.6%
4	Quite low t	rust	68	10.3%	
5	Very low the	rust	72	10.9%	
6	No opinion		341		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary statis	tics of the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5]	[Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 659 /-] [Invalid: 341		[Valid: 659 /-] [Invalid: 341 /-]			

# rapp_or	m_landsbygd	l: F.3A Opinion on Swedish ma	ss media reporti	ng: Swedish countryside
Literal question In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media rep			edish mass media repo	orting on the Swedish countryside?
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	-5 Reportin	ng far too negative	48	4.8%
2	-4		45	4.5%
3	-3	-3		9.7%
4	-2		152	15.2%
5	-1		116	11.6%
6	0 points		422	42.2%
7	+1		50	5.0%
8	+2		46	4.6%
9	+3		19	1.9%
10	+4		1	0.1%
11	+5 Reporting far too positive		4	0.4%
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpreted as summary stati	tistics of the population of interest.
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rar	ge: 1- 11] [Missing: *	*]
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 5.	092 /-] [StdDev: 1.794	4 /-]

rapp_om_landsbygd: F.3A Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: Swedish countryside

# rapp_or	m_storstad:	F.3B Opinion on Swedish mas	ss media reporting	: Major Swedish	cities
Literal question		In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting on major Swedish cities?			
Value	Label		Cases Percentage		
1	-5 Reportir	ng far too negative	12	1.2%	
2	-4		24	2.4%	
3	-3	-3		3.5%	
4	-2	-2		6.8%	
5	-1	-1		7.8%	
6	0 points		335		33.5%
7	+1		115	11.5	%
8	+2		165		16.5%
9	+3		93	9.3%	
10	+4		41	4.1%	
11	+5 Reporting far too positive		34	3.4%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary stat	tistics of the population of inter-	erest.
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [F	Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *	*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean:	6.583 /-] [StdDev: 2.03	3 /-]	

# rapp_o	m_boendeor	: F.3C Opinion on Swedish	mass media reportii	ng: Place where you live	
Literal question In general, what do you think of the Swedish			Swedish mass media repo	orting about the place where you live?	
Value	Label		Cases	s Percentage	
1	-5 Reportin	ng far too negative	31	3.1%	
2	-4		42	4.2%	
3	-3		58	5.8%	
4	-2		103	10.3%	
5	-1		99	9.9%	
6	0 points		460		46.0%
7	+1		79	7.9%	
8	+2		71	7.1%	
9	+3		45	4.5%	
10	+4		9	0.9%	
11	+5 Reporting far too positive		3	0.3%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cann	ot be interpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 11] [Missing: *]	
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean	n: 5.605 /-] [StdDev: 1.82]	1 /-]	

# rapp_or	# rapp_om_eu: F.3D Opinion on Swedish mass media reporting: The EU				
Literal que	Literal question In general, what do you think of the Swedish mass media reporting			rting on the EU?	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	-5 Reportin	g far too negative	24	2.4%	
2	-4		34	3.4%	
3	-3		47	4.7%	
4	-2	-2		8.9%	
5	-1	-1		7.5%	
6	0 points		395	39.5%	
7	+1		85	8.5%	
8	+2		117	11.7%	
9	+3		62	6.2%	
10	+4		38	3.8%	
11	+5 Reporting far too positive		34	3.4%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	rpreted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.	
Information	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:	: 1- 11] [Missing: *]]	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Val		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 6.17]	7 /-] [StdDev: 2.131	/-]	

# media_p	# media_pv_kunskaper: F.4A Opinion on the influence of mass media content: Knowledge					
Literal question How much influence do you think the content of the mass media has on people's? - Knowledge			lia has on people in Sweden when i	t comes to		
Value	Label	Label		Percentage		
1	Very great	/ery great		12.5%		
2	Fairly grea	Fairly great			56.4%	
3	Not very g	Not very great		28.3%		
4	No influen	No influence		2.9%		
5	No opinior	L Contraction of the second	21			

	# media_pv_kunskaper: F.4A Opinion on the influence of mass media content: Knowledge Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 979 /-] [Invalid: 21 /-]			

media_pv_sikter: F.4B Opinion on the influence of mass media content: Opinions

Literal question How much influence do you think the people's? - Opinions			he mass me	dia has on people in Sweden when it comes to	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very great		318	32.3%	
2	Fairly great	t .	579	5	8.9%
3	Not very gr	reat	83	8.4%	
4	No influence	ce	3	0.3%	
5	No opinion		17		
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-4] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 983 /-] [Invalid: 17 /-]				

-		How much influence do you think the content of the mass media has on people in Sweden when it comes to people's? - Life styles					
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very great		267	7 27.3%			
2	Fairly grea		517			52.8%	
3	Not very g	reat	176		18.0%		
4	No influen	ce de la companya de	19	1.9%			
5	No opinion		21				
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	tistics of the population	n of interest.		
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-4]	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 979 /-] [Invalid: 21 /-]						

# media_p	v_humr: F.4	4D Opinion on the influence of mass me	edia cont	tent: Mood			
Literal question		How much influence do you think the content of the mass media has on people in Sweden when it comes to people's? - Mood					
Value	Label		Cases Perc		tage		
1	Very great		81	8.5%			
2	Fairly great	t	351		36.7%		
3	Not very gr	reat	439		45.9%		
4	No influence	ce	86	9.0%			
5	No opinion		43				
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as	summary stati	istics of the population of interest.			
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid: 957 /-] [Invalid: 43 /-]					

-		How much influence do you think the content of the mass media has on people in Sweden when it comes to people's? - Feelings					
Value Label			Cases	Cases Percentage			
1	Very great		98	9.8%			
2	Fairly great	:	420		42.0%		
3	Not very gr	eat	371		37.1%		
4	No influence	ce	66	6.6%			
5	No opinion		45	4.5%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted a	s summary statist	ics of the population of interest.			
Informatio	n	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-5]	[Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

posneg_pv_kunskaper: F.5A Positive or negative influence of mass media content: Knowledge

Literal question		Do you consider the influence of the content in people's? - Knowledge	the mass medi	a to be primarily positive or negat	tive in terms of
Value	Label		Cases Perce		9
1	There is no	influence	15	1.6%	
2	Very positi	ve	77	8.1%	
3	Rather pos	itive	370		38.8%
4	Neither pos	sitive nor negative	328		34.4%
5	Rather neg	ative	139	14.6%	
6	Very negat	ive	24	2.5%	
7	No opinior	L Contraction of the second	47		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	d as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 953 /-] [Invalid: 47 /-]			

Literal que	stion	Do you consider the influence of the content in t people's? - Opinions	he mass med	ia to be primarily positive or	negative in terms of
Value	Label	l Cases		ses Percentage	
1	There is no	influence	4	0.4%	
2	Very positi	ve	29	3.0%	
3	Rather pos	tive	181	1	9.0%
4	Neither pos	sitive nor negative	333		34.9%
5	Rather neg	ative	314		32.9%
6	Very negat	ive	92	9.7%	
7	No opinion		47		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	tistics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-6]	[Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 953 /-] [Invalid: 47 /-]		[Valid: 953 /-] [Invalid: 47 /-]			

<pre># posneg_</pre>	_pv_livsstilar	: F.5C Positive or negative in	fluence of mass me	edia content: Life styles		
Literal question Do you consider the influence of the contempopel's? - Life styles			content in the mass media	a to be primarily positive or negative in terms of		
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		
1	There is no	influence	7	0.7%		
2	Very positi	ve	28	3.0%		
3	Rather pos	itive	201	21.4%		
4	Neither pos	sitive nor negative	380	40.4%		
5	Rather neg	ative	249	26.5%		
6	Very negat	ive	75	8.0%		
7	No opinior	L	60			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They canno	t be interpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	ı	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [I	Range: 1- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 940 /-] [Invalid: 60 /-]						

posneg_pv_humr: F.5D Positive or negative influence of mass media content: Mood

Literal que	stion	Do you consider the influence of the content in the people's? - Mood	e mass medi	ia to be primarily positive or negative in	terms of
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	There is no	oinfluence	20	2.2%	
2	Very posit	Very positive		1.1%	
3	Rather pos	itive	110	12.0%	
4	Neither po	sitive nor negative	530		58.0%
5	Rather neg	ative	200	21.9%	
6	Very negat	ive	44	4.8%	
7	No opinior	1	86		
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	as summary stat	istics of the population of interest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range:		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6]	[Missing: *]		
Statistics [N	NW/ W]	[Valid: 914 /-] [Invalid: 86 /-]			

posneg_pv_knslor: F.5E Positive or negative influence of mass media content: Feelings

Literal question		Do you consider the influence of the content is people's? - Feelings	in the mass medi	a to be primarily positi	ive or negative in ter	ms of
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	There is no	influence	17	1.9%		
2	Very positive		11	1.2%		
3	Rather positive		116	12.7%		
4	Neither pos	sitive nor negative	481			52.8%
5	Rather neg	ative	242		26.6%	
6	Very negat	ive	44	4.8%		
7	No opinior	L	89			
Warning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary stati	istics of the population of inte	erest.	
Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Rang		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1	- 6] [Missing: *]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 911 /-] [Invalid: 89 /-]		[Valid: 911 /-] [Invalid: 89 /-]				

Literal question Which party do you like best today?					
Value	Label		Cases	Percent	age
1	Social Der	nocrats	297		29.7%
2	Moderate	Party	231		23.1%
3	Center Par	ty	35	3.5%	
4	Liberal Pa	rty	75	7.5%	
5	Christian I	Democrats	35	3.5%	
6	Left Party		52	5.2%	
7	Green Part	у	59	5.9%	
8	Sweden D	emocrats	42	4.2%	
9	Other part	у	16	1.6%	
10	None of th	e above	158	15.89	%
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	l as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1-1	0] [Missing: *]]	
Statistics [N	W/W]	[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

# parti2:]	F.6B Closest	political party				
Literal ques	tion	Which political party do you lean towa	rds?			
Value	Label		Cases	es Percentage		
1	Social Den	nocrats	30	19.0%		
2	Moderate I	Party	23	14.6%		
3	Center Par	ty	1	0.6%		
4	Liberal Party		8	5.1%		
6	Left Party	Left Party		2.5%		
7	Green Part	у	6	3.8%		
8	Sweden De	emocrats	4	2.5%		
9	Other party	7	5	3.2%		
10	None of th	e above	77		48.7%	
Sysmiss			842			
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot b	e interpreted as summary stati	istics of the population of interest.		
Information	l	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ra	nge: 1- 10] [Missing: *	°]		
Statistics [N	W/W]	[Valid: 158 /-] [Invalid: 842 /-]				

Literal question If you had to describe your current family, w			which of the follow	ving categories do you think b	best applies?
Value Label			Cases Percentage		tage
1	Working-c	lass family	356		35.6%
2	Agricultura	l family	10	1.0%	
3	Civil serva	nt's family	456		45.6%
4	Higher civi	l servant's family/academic family	118	11.8%	
5	Industrialis	t family	60	6.0%	
Warning: these j	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte	erpreted as summary stati	stics of the population of interest.	
Information	1	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range	: 1- 5] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Val		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]			

familj: F.7 Current family category

Literal question		What is your personal income per month?					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	up to 1000	0 SEK per month	135	13.5%			
2	10001-150	00 SEK per month	88	8.8%			
3	15001-200	00 SEK per month	168		16.8%		
4	20001-250	00 SEK per month	236		23.6%		
5	25001-300	00 SEK per month	138	1	13.8%		
6	30001-350	00 SEK per month	70	7.0%			
7	35001-400	00 SEK per month	47	4.7%			
8	40001-450	00 SEK per month	25	2.5%			
9	45001-500	00 SEK per month	11	1.1%			
10	more than 50000 SEK per month		18	1.8%			
99	Do not know/do not want to state		64	6.4%			
Warning: these	figures indicate the r	number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i	interpreted as summary statis	stics of the population of interest.			
Information [Type: discrete]		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Ran	te] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 99] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]					

Literal question What is your household's ind			month?			
Value	Label	1	Cases	Percentage		
1	up to 1000	0 SEK per month	31	3.1%		
2	10001-150	00 SEK per month	33	3.3%		
3	15001-200	00 SEK per month	70	7.0%		
4	20001-250	00 SEK per month	91	9.1%		
5	25001-300	00 SEK per month	73	7.3%		
6	30001-350	00 SEK per month	78	7.8%		
7	35001-400	00 SEK per month	101	10.1%		
8	40001-450	00 SEK per month	106	10.6%		
9	45001-500	00 SEK per month	95	9.5%		
10	50001-550	00 SEK per month	78	7.8%		
11	55001-600	00 SEK per month	45	4.5%		
12	60001-65000 SEK per month		38	3.8%		
13	65001-700	00 SEK per month	35	3.5%		
14	more than	70000 SEK per month	45	4.5%		
99	Do not know/do not want to state		81	8.1%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary statistics of t	the population of interest.		
Information		[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 99] [Missing: *]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid: 1000 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]				