



Institutional trust 2003

Holmberg, Sören

Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen

Weibull, Lennart

Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Swedish national data service
Production Date	April 1, 2014
Identification	SND0953-001

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors	4
Sampling	4
Data Collection	4
Accessibility	5
File Description(s)	6
Förtroendebarmeter 2003	_____
Variable Group(s)	7
Study information	7
Background variables/constructed variables	7
Interview questions	7
Variables Description	10
Förtroendebarmeter 2003	_____

Institutional trust 2003*Institutional trust 2003***Overview**

Identification	SND0953-001
Abstract Since 1997, MedieAkademin has carried out an annual survey titled The Institutional Trust. The survey has focused on major social institutions, such as the parliament, big business, the daily press, and TV/radio, as well as some specific companies such as Sveriges Television, TV4, IKEA, Skandia, and Volvo. The number of institutions included has varied somewhat over the years. Some of the institutions and companies have been measured every year while others have been investigated more irregularly. The survey was carried out by NFO Infratest and involved 740 individuals who were interviewed by telephone between October 10 and November 3, 2003.	
Kind of Data	Surveydata: Oberoende undersökningar
Unit of Analysis	Individ

Scope & Coverage

Keywords	förtroende, förtroende för regeringen, politisk åsikt, massmedia
Topics	massmedia, POLITIK
Time Period(s)	2003
Countries	Sverige
Universe Personer i åldrarna 16-74 år	

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Holmberg, Sören, Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen Weibull, Lennart, Göteborgs universitet, Institutionen för journalistik och masskommunikation
Other Producer(s)	Göteborgs universitet, Statsvetenskapliga institutionen MedieAkademin TNS Gallup

Sampling

Sampling Procedure	Sannolikhetsurval: obundet slumpmässigt urval (OSU)
---------------------------	---

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	start 2003-10-14 end 2003-11-03
Data Collection Mode	Intervju: Telefon
Data Collector(s)	NFO Infratest

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Svensk nationell datatjänst

File Description(s)

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

Förtroendebarmeter 2003	
Cases	740
Variable(s)	57

Variable Group(s)

Dataset contains 3 group(s)

Study information			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	SND_studie	SND-studie 0953	-
2	SND_dataset	SND-dataset 0953-001	-
3	SND_version	SND version 1.1	-
4	respnr	SERIAL ID	-

Background variables/constructed variables			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	vikt	Weight	-
2	sex	Sex	-
3	utbild	Education	-
4	region	Region	-
5	age	Age	-
6	inkmonth	Household's income/month	-
7	inkyear	Household's income/year	-
8	morgon	Frequency of morning paper reading - category	-
9	kvall	Frequency of evening paper reading - category	-
10	tvnytt	Frequency of TV watching - category	-

Interview questions			
#	Name	Label	Question
1	riksdag	F.1A Confidence in: The Parliament	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - the Parliament
2	radiotv	F.1B Confidence in: Radio and television	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio and television
3	storftg	F.1C Confidence in: Big business	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Big business
4	dagspr	F.1D Confidence in: The daily press	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The daily press
5	univer	F.1E Confidence in: Universities	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Universities
6	fack	F.1F Confidence in: The trade unions	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The trade unions
7	eukomm	F.1G Confidence in: EU commission	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - EU commission
8	polpart	F.1H Confidence in: The political parties	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - the political parties

#	Name	Label	Question
9	ikea	F.II Confidence in: IKEA	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - IKEA
10	volvo	F.IJ Confidence in: Volvo	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Volvo
11	posten	F.IK Confidence in: Posten AB	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Posten AB
12	ericsson	F.IL Confidence in: Ericsson	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Ericsson
13	sr	F.1M Confidence in: Radio Sweden	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Swedish Radio
14	svt	F.1N Confidence in: Swedish Television	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Swedish Television
15	tv4	F.1O Confidence in: TV4	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV4
16	tv3	F.1P Confidence in: TV3	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV3
17	dn	F.1Q Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - DN (Dagens Nyheter)
18	afton	F.1R Confidence in: Aftonbladet	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Aftonbladet
19	q21	F.2A Occurrence of: Incorrect facts in the media	How often occurs - incorrect facts in the media?
20	q22	F.2B Occurrence of: Lack of respect for people's privacy in the media	How often occurs - lack of respect for people's privacy in the media?
21	q23	F.2C Occurrence of: Too much personal opinions among journalists in the media	How often occurs - too much personal opinions among journalists in the media?
22	q24	F.2D Occurrence of: Too much negative news in the media	How often occurs - too much negative news in the media?
23	q25	F.2E Occurrence of: Lack of self-criticism in the media	How often occurs - lack of self-criticism in the media?
24	q26	F.2F Occurrence of: Simplistic and superficial news in the media	How often occurs - simplistic and superficial news in the media?
25	q27	F.2G Occurrence of: Reluctance to fix errors in the media	How often occurs - reluctance to fix errors in the media?
26	q31	F.3A Frequency of: Reading morning paper	Frequency of reading morning paper
27	q32	F.3B Frequency of: Reading evening paper	Frequency of reading evening paper
28	q33	F.3C Frequency of: Television-viewing of news	Frequency of television-viewing of news
29	q41	F.4AA Assessment of morning papers: Provides accurate news	Assessment of morning papers - provides accurate news
30	q42	F.4AB Assessment of morning papers: Provides space for replies	Assessment of morning papers - provides space for replies
31	q43	F.4AC Assessment of morning papers: Respecting privacy	Assessment of morning papers - respecting privacy
32	q44	F.4AD Assessment of morning papers: Not judging anyone unheard	Assessment of morning papers - not judging anyone unheard
33	q49	F.4BA Assessment of evening papers: Provides accurate news	Assessment of evening papers - provides accurate news

#	Name	Label	Question
34	q410	F.4BB Assessment of evening papers: Provides space for replies	Assessment of evening papers - provides space for replies
35	q411	F.4BC Assessment of evening papers: Respecting privacy	Assessment of evening papers - respecting privacy
36	q412	F.4BD Assessment of evening papers: Not judging anyone unheard	Assessment of evening papers - not judging anyone unheard
37	q417	F.4CA Assessment of TV news: Provides accurate news	Assessment of TV news - provides accurate news
38	q418	F.4CB Assessment of TV news: Provides space for replies	Assessment of TV news - provides space for replies
39	q419	F.4CC Assessment of TV news: Respecting privacy	Assessment of TV news - respecting privacy
40	q420	F.4CD Assessment of TV news: Not judging anyone unheard	Assessment of TV news - not judging anyone unheard
41	parti1	F.5A Political party sympathy	Which political party do you sympathize with?
42	parti2	F.5B Closest political party	Which political party do you lean towards?
43	familj	F.6 Current family category	Family category

Variables Description

Dataset contains 57 variable(s)

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

SND_studie: SND-studie 0953

	SND-studie 0953: Förtroendebarmeter 2003		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
953	SND 0953	740	100.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 953- 953] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

SND_dataset: SND-dataset 0953-001

	SND-dataset 0953-001: Förtroendebarmeter 2003		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SND 0943-001	740	100.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

SND_version: SND version 1.1

	SND version 1.0, februari 2014		
Notes	Lables, questions and response alternatives translated into english		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	version 1.1	740	100.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

resprnr: SERIAL ID

	Respondent-ID		
Information	[Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Range: 30445- 36680] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]		

vikt: Weight

	Vikt		
Information	[Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0.3051- 3.8401] [Missing: *]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 0.993 /-] [StdDev: 0.375 /-]		

sex: Sex

	Kön		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Man	362	48.9%
2	Woman	378	51.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

sex: Sex

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 2] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

utbild: Education

	Utbildning		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	School refusal	3	0.4%
1	Primary/Elementary school	133	18.0%
2	Primary/Elementary school - training	51	6.9%
3	Junior secondary school/Girls' school	14	1.9%
4	Junior secondary school/Girls' school - training	9	1.2%
5	2 year upper secondary school education/High school degree	82	11.1%
6	3-4 year upper secondary school education/High school degree	191	25.8%
7	Post-secondary education	257	34.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 7] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

region: Region

	Region		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stockholm urban area	137	18.5%
2	Eastern midst of Sweden	98	13.2%
3	Småland (islands included)	73	9.9%
4	Southern Sweden	114	15.4%
5	Western Sweden	141	19.1%
6	Northern midst of Sweden	84	11.4%
7	Middle and northern Sweden	93	12.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 7] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

age: Age

	Ålder
Information	[Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Range: 16- 74] [Missing: *]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-] [Mean: 42.419 /-] [StdDev: 15.493 /-]

inkmonth: Household's income/month

	Hushållsinkomst per månad
Information	[Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 550000] [Missing: *]

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

inkmonth: Household's income/month

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 617 /-] [Invalid: 123 /-] [Mean: 38356.494 /-] [StdDev: 40965.319 /-]

inkyear: Household's income/year

Hushållsinkomst per år

Information [Type: continuous] [Format: numeric] [Range: 0- 6600] [Missing: *]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 626 /-] [Invalid: 114 /-] [Mean: 458.909 /-] [StdDev: 488.628 /-]

morgon: Frequency of morning paper reading - category

Läskrekvens morgontidning, gruppering av fråga 3A (variabel q31)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days	505	68.2%
2	2-5 days	157	21.2%
3	1 day or less	78	10.5%
4	Don't know	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */4]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

kvall: Frequency of evening paper reading - category

Läskrekvens av kvällstidning, gruppering av fråga 3B (variabel q32)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	4-7 days	178	24.2%
2	1-3 days	342	46.5%
3	Less than one day	216	29.3%
4	Don't know	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */4]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 736 /-] [Invalid: 4 /-]

tvnytt: Frequency of TV watching - category

Tittarfrekvens nyheter i TV, gruppering av fråga 3C (variabel q33)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	6-7 days	487	65.8%
2	3-5 days	178	24.1%
3	2 days or less	75	10.1%
4	Don't know	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 3] [Missing: */4]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

riksdag: F.1A Confidence in: The Parliament

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - the Parliament

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	36	4.9%
2	Quite high trust	309	42.0%
3	Neither high nor low trust	244	33.2%
4	Quite low trust	110	14.9%
5	Very low trust	37	5.0%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 736 /-] [Invalid: 4 /-]

radiotv: F.1B Confidence in: Radio and television

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Radio and television

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	27	3.7%
2	Quite high trust	304	41.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	270	36.9%
4	Quite low trust	109	14.9%
5	Very low trust	21	2.9%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 731 /-] [Invalid: 9 /-]

storftg: F.1C Confidence in: Big business

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Big business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	10	1.4%
2	Quite high trust	166	23.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	286	40.1%
4	Quite low trust	190	26.6%
5	Very low trust	61	8.6%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	27	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 713 /-] [Invalid: 27 /-]

dagspr: F.1D Confidence in: The daily press

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The daily press

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

dagspr: F.1D Confidence in: The daily press

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	18	2.5%
2	Quite high trust	219	29.9%
3	Neither high nor low trust	246	33.6%
4	Quite low trust	187	25.5%
5	Very low trust	62	8.5%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 732 /-] [Invalid: 8 /-]

univer: F.1E Confidence in: Universities

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Universities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	151	22.4%
2	Quite high trust	411	61.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	85	12.6%
4	Quite low trust	22	3.3%
5	Very low trust	4	0.6%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	67	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 673 /-] [Invalid: 67 /-]

fact: F.1F Confidence in: The trade unions

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - The trade unions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	41	5.7%
2	Quite high trust	202	28.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	239	33.3%
4	Quite low trust	151	21.0%
5	Very low trust	85	11.8%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	22	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 718 /-] [Invalid: 22 /-]

eukomm: F.1G Confidence in: EU commission

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - EU commission

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

eukomm: F.1G Confidence in: EU commission

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	6	0.9%
2	Quite high trust	93	13.4%
3	Neither high nor low trust	246	35.5%
4	Quite low trust	202	29.2%
5	Very low trust	145	21.0%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	48	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 692 /-] [Invalid: 48 /-]

polpart: F.1H Confidence in: The political parties

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - the political parties

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	5	0.7%
2	Quite high trust	125	17.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	302	41.8%
4	Quite low trust	204	28.3%
5	Very low trust	86	11.9%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	18	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 722 /-] [Invalid: 18 /-]

ikea: F.II Confidence in: IKEA

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - IKEA

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	98	13.6%
2	Quite high trust	381	52.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	191	26.4%
4	Quite low trust	44	6.1%
5	Very low trust	9	1.2%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	17	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 723 /-] [Invalid: 17 /-]

volvo: F.1J Confidence in: Volvo

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Volvo

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

volvo: F.1J Confidence in: Volvo

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	106	14.9%
2	Quite high trust	346	48.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	192	27.0%
4	Quite low trust	53	7.5%
5	Very low trust	13	1.8%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 710 /-] [Invalid: 30 /-]

posten: F.1K Confidence in: Posten AB

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Posten AB

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	40	5.4%
2	Quite high trust	141	19.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	179	24.4%
4	Quite low trust	194	26.4%
5	Very low trust	180	24.5%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 734 /-] [Invalid: 6 /-]

ericsson: F.1L Confidence in: Ericsson

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Ericsson

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	24	3.4%
2	Quite high trust	158	22.3%
3	Neither high nor low trust	311	43.8%
4	Quite low trust	149	21.0%
5	Very low trust	68	9.6%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	30	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 710 /-] [Invalid: 30 /-]

sr: F.1M Confidence in: Radio Sweden

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Swedish Radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	79	11.0%

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

sr: F.1M Confidence in: Radio Sweden

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Quite high trust	383	53.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	189	26.2%
4	Quite low trust	53	7.4%
5	Very low trust	17	2.4%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	19	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 721 /-] [Invalid: 19 /-]

svt: F.1N Confidence in: Swedish Television

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Swedish Television

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	60	8.2%
2	Quite high trust	368	50.1%
3	Neither high nor low trust	212	28.8%
4	Quite low trust	75	10.2%
5	Very low trust	20	2.7%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 735 /-] [Invalid: 5 /-]

tv4: F.1O Confidence in: TV4

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	56	7.7%
2	Quite high trust	295	40.6%
3	Neither high nor low trust	262	36.0%
4	Quite low trust	94	12.9%
5	Very low trust	20	2.8%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 727 /-] [Invalid: 13 /-]

tv3: F.1P Confidence in: TV3

Literal question How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - TV3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	27	4.6%
2	Quite high trust	104	17.8%

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

tv3: F.1P Confidence in: TV3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither high nor low trust	226	38.7%
4	Quite low trust	158	27.1%
5	Very low trust	69	11.8%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	156	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 584 /-] [Invalid: 156 /-]

dn: F.1Q Confidence in: Dagens Nyheter

Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - DN (Dagens Nyheter)
-------------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	65	11.7%
2	Quite high trust	265	47.7%
3	Neither high nor low trust	171	30.8%
4	Quite low trust	40	7.2%
5	Very low trust	15	2.7%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	184	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 556 /-] [Invalid: 184 /-]

afton: F.1R Confidence in: Aftonbladet

Literal question	How much confidence do you have in the way the following institutions and businesses do their job? - Aftonbladet
-------------------------	--

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very high trust	12	1.8%
2	Quite high trust	166	25.2%
3	Neither high nor low trust	220	33.3%
4	Quite low trust	177	26.8%
5	Very low trust	85	12.9%
6	Vet ej/Ej svar	80	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 5] [Missing: */6]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 660 /-] [Invalid: 80 /-]

q21: F.2A Occurrence of: Incorrect facts in the media

Literal question	How often occurs - incorrect facts in the media?
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very often	82	11.5%
2	Quite often	331	46.2%

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

q21: F.2A Occurrence of: Incorrect facts in the media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	About once in the last 12 months	290	40.5%
4	Never	13	1.8%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	24	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 716 /-] [Invalid: 24 /-]

q22: F.2B Occurrence of: Lack of respect for people's privacy in the media

Literal question	How often occurs - lack of respect for people's privacy in the media?
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very often	248	33.7%
2	Quite often	340	46.3%
3	About once in the last 12 months	132	18.0%
4	Never	15	2.0%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 735 /-] [Invalid: 5 /-]

q23: F.2C Occurrence of: Too much personal opinions among journalists in the media

Literal question	How often occurs - too much personal opinions among journalists in the media?
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very often	123	17.9%
2	Quite often	324	47.1%
3	About once in the last 12 months	215	31.2%
4	Never	26	3.8%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	52	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 688 /-] [Invalid: 52 /-]

q24: F.2D Occurrence of: Too much negative news in the media

Literal question	How often occurs - too much negative news in the media?
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very often	214	29.6%
2	Quite often	293	40.5%
3	About once in the last 12 months	176	24.3%

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

q24: F.2D Occurrence of: Too much negative news in the media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Never	41	5.7%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	16	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 724 /-] [Invalid: 16 /-]

q25: F.2E Occurrence of: Lack of self-criticism in the media

Literal question	How often occurs - lack of self-criticism in the media?
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very often	100	14.7%
2	Quite often	316	46.3%
3	About once in the last 12 months	236	34.6%
4	Never	30	4.4%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	58	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 682 /-] [Invalid: 58 /-]

q26: F.2F Occurrence of: Simplistic and superficial news in the media

Literal question	How often occurs - simplistic and superficial news in the media?
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very often	125	18.1%
2	Quite often	317	46.0%
3	About once in the last 12 months	228	33.1%
4	Never	19	2.8%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	51	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 689 /-] [Invalid: 51 /-]

q27: F.2G Occurrence of: Reluctance to fix errors in the media

Literal question	How often occurs - reluctance to fix errors in the media?
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very often	73	11.3%
2	Quite often	226	34.9%
3	About once in the last 12 months	286	44.2%
4	Never	62	9.6%

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

q27: F.2G Occurrence of: Reluctance to fix errors in the media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	93	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 647 /-] [Invalid: 93 /-]

q31: F.3A Frequency of: Reading morning paper

Literal question	Frequency of reading morning paper
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day a week	34	4.6%
2	2 days a week	32	4.3%
3	3 days a week	45	6.1%
4	4 days a week	22	3.0%
5	5 days a week	58	7.8%
6	6 days a week	137	18.5%
7	7 days a week	368	49.7%
8	Never/none	44	5.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 8] [Missing: */9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

q32: F.3B Frequency of: Reading evening paper

Literal question	Frequency of reading evening paper
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day a week	165	22.4%
2	2 days a week	99	13.5%
3	3 days a week	78	10.6%
4	4 days a week	41	5.6%
5	5 days a week	34	4.6%
6	6 days a week	8	1.1%
7	7 days a week	95	12.9%
8	Never/none	216	29.3%
9	Don't know/no answer	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 8] [Missing: */9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 736 /-] [Invalid: 4 /-]

q33: F.3C Frequency of: Television-viewing of news

Literal question	Frequency of television-viewing of news
-------------------------	---

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

q33: F.3C Frequency of: Television-viewing of news

Notes Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 day a week	31	4.2%
2	2 days a week	26	3.5%
3	3 days a week	52	7.0%
4	4 days a week	56	7.6%
5	5 days a week	70	9.5%
6	6 days a week	33	4.5%
7	7 days a week	454	61.4%
8	Never/none	18	2.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 8] [Missing: */9]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 740 /-] [Invalid: 0 /-]

q41: F.4AA Assessment of morning papers: Provides accurate news

Literal question Assessment of morning papers - provides accurate news

Notes Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	115	16.1%
2	Fairly well	545	76.1%
3	Fairly poor	50	7.0%
4	Very poor	6	0.8%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	24	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 716 /-] [Invalid: 24 /-]

q42: F.4AB Assessment of morning papers: Provides space for replies

Literal question Assessment of morning papers - provides space for replies

Notes Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	44	6.9%
2	Fairly well	450	70.1%
3	Fairly poor	137	21.3%
4	Very poor	11	1.7%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	98	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 642 /-] [Invalid: 98 /-]

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

q43: F.4AC Assessment of morning papers: Respecting privacy

Literal question Assessment of morning papers - respecting privacy

Notes Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	42	6.0%
2	Fairly well	440	63.1%
3	Fairly poor	186	26.7%
4	Very poor	29	4.2%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	43	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 697 /-] [Invalid: 43 /-]

q44: F.4AD Assessment of morning papers: Not judging anyone unheard

Literal question Assessment of morning papers - not judging anyone unheard

Notes Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	32	4.9%
2	Fairly well	338	52.2%
3	Fairly poor	238	36.7%
4	Very poor	40	6.2%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	92	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 648 /-] [Invalid: 92 /-]

q49: F.4BA Assessment of evening papers: Provides accurate news

Literal question Assessment of evening papers - provides accurate news

Notes Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	13	2.0%
2	Fairly well	184	27.9%
3	Fairly poor	327	49.6%
4	Very poor	135	20.5%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	81	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 659 /-] [Invalid: 81 /-]

q410: F.4BB Assessment of evening papers: Provides space for replies

Literal question Assessment of evening papers - provides space for replies

Notes Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

File : Förtroendebarmeter 2003

q410: F.4BB Assessment of evening papers: Provides space for replies

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	5	0.9%
2	Fairly well	193	32.9%
3	Fairly poor	300	51.2%
4	Very poor	88	15.0%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	154	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 586 /-] [Invalid: 154 /-]

q411: F.4BC Assessment of evening papers: Respecting privacy

Literal question Assessment of evening papers - respecting privacy

Notes Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	2	0.3%
2	Fairly well	78	11.9%
3	Fairly poor	311	47.6%
4	Very poor	262	40.1%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	87	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 653 /-] [Invalid: 87 /-]

q412: F.4BD Assessment of evening papers: Not judging anyone unheard

Literal question Assessment of evening papers - not judging anyone unheard

Notes Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	2	0.3%
2	Fairly well	91	14.4%
3	Fairly poor	321	50.9%
4	Very poor	217	34.4%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	109	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid: 631 /-] [Invalid: 109 /-]

# q417: F.4CA Assessment of TV news: Provides accurate news			
Literal question	Assessment of TV news - provides accurate news		
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	137	19.1%
2	Fairly well	537	74.7%
3	Fairly poor	41	5.7%
4	Very poor	4	0.6%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	21	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 719 /-] [Invalid: 21 /-]		

# q418: F.4CB Assessment of TV news: Provides space for replies			
Literal question	Assessment of TV news - provides space for replies		
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	48	7.1%
2	Fairly well	440	65.0%
3	Fairly poor	169	25.0%
4	Very poor	20	3.0%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	63	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 677 /-] [Invalid: 63 /-]		

# q419: F.4CC Assessment of TV news: Respecting privacy			
Literal question	Assessment of TV news - respecting privacy		
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	69	9.9%
2	Fairly well	450	64.6%
3	Fairly poor	158	22.7%
4	Very poor	20	2.9%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	43	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 697 /-] [Invalid: 43 /-]		

# q420: F.4CD Assessment of TV news: Not judging anyone unheard			
Literal question	Assessment of TV news - not judging anyone unheard		
Notes	Uppgift om exakt frågeformulering saknas.		

# q420: F.4CD Assessment of TV news: Not judging anyone unheard			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	38	5.6%
2	Fairly well	432	63.7%
3	Fairly poor	188	27.7%
4	Very poor	20	2.9%
5	Vet ej/Ej svar	62	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 4] [Missing: */5]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 678 /-] [Invalid: 62 /-]		

# parti1: F.5A Political party sympathy			
Literal question	Which political party do you sympathize with?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Left Party	44	7.2%
2	The Swedish Social Democratic Party	183	29.9%
3	The Centre Party	19	3.1%
4	Liberal Party	53	8.6%
5	The Moderate Party	96	15.7%
6	Christian Democrats	28	4.6%
7	Swedish Green Party	22	3.6%
8	Other	13	2.1%
9	None	155	25.3%
0	Don't know/no answer	127	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 9] [Missing: */0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 613 /-] [Invalid: 127 /-]		

# parti2: F.5B Closest political party			
Literal question	Which political party do you lean towards?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Left Party	20	10.3%
2	The Swedish Social Democratic Party	49	25.3%
3	The Centre Party	8	4.1%
4	Liberal Party	19	9.8%
5	The Moderate Party	18	9.3%
6	Christian Democrats	8	4.1%
7	Swedish Green Party	6	3.1%
8	Other	4	2.1%
9	None	62	32.0%
0	Don't know/no answer	88	
Sysmiss		458	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 9] [Missing: */0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 194 /-] [Invalid: 546 /-]		

parti2: F.5B Closest political party

familj: F.6 Current family category

Literal question		Family category	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working-class family	303	41.5%
2	Civil servant's family	13	1.8%
3	Higher civil servant's family/academic family	183	25.1%
4	Agricultural family	134	18.4%
5	Self-employed	71	9.7%
6	Other	26	3.6%
0	Don't know/no answer	10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information	[Type: discrete] [Format: numeric] [Range: 1- 6] [Missing: */0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid: 730 /-] [Invalid: 10 /-]